Inter-Annual Variability in Blue Whale Distribution off Southern Sri Lanka between 2011 and 2012
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Abstract: Blue whale (Balaenoptera musculus) movements are often driven by the availability of their prey in space and time. While globally blue whale populations undertake long-range migrations between feeding and breeding grounds, those in the northern Indian Ocean remain in low latitude waters throughout the year with the implication that the productivity of these waters is sufficient to support their energy needs. A part of this population remains around Sri Lanka where they are usually recorded close to the southern coast during the Northeast Monsoon. To investigate inter-annual variability in sighting locations, we conducted systematic Conductivity-Temperature-Depth (CTD) and visual surveys between January–March 2011 and January–March 2012. In 2011, there was a notable decrease in inshore sightings compared to 2009 and 2012 (p < 0.001). CTD data revealed that in 2011 there was increased freshwater in the upper water column accompanied by deeper upwelling than in 2012. We hypothesise that anomalous rainfall,
along with higher turbidity resulting from river discharge, affected the productivity of the inshore waters and caused a shift in blue whale prey and, consequently, the distribution of the whales themselves. An understanding of how predators and their prey respond to environmental variability is important for predicting how these species will respond to long-term changes. This is especially important given the rapid temperature increases predicted for the semi-enclosed northern Indian Ocean.
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### 1. Introduction

Whales live in heterogeneous ocean environments. Due to their large size and energy needs they target areas of high productivity [1]. While blue whales have low mass specific metabolic rates, their immense absolute mass requires them to exploit exceptionally dense krill aggregations to meet their overall nutritional requirements [2]. This dependence on krill makes them susceptible to environmental change as environmental variability that influences krill abundance is likely to be a driver of distributional change [3,4]. Relationships between predator distribution and physical or biological variables have been demonstrated in other marine species (e.g., [5–9]).

Animal migrations are often instigated by seasonal cycles of food supply [10,11]. In general, most baleen whale species undertake long-range seasonal migrations between productive high latitude feeding grounds and unproductive, low latitude breeding grounds [12,13]. However, there is evidence that blue whales also feed at mid and low latitude areas [14,15]. Blue whale populations within the northern Indian Ocean are thought not to undertake polar migrations but remain in warm low latitude waters year round [16], with a part of their population remaining resident around Sri Lanka as evidenced by year-round sightings, strandings and acoustic detections [1]. That they choose to remain resident in tropical waters suggests that there is sufficient food in the area to offset the need to migrate.

The presence of a landmass to the north of the Indian Ocean leads to unequal heating and cooling of land and sea and results in monsoonal winds, which drive the climate of the region. The Northeast Monsoon occurs between December and April and the Southwest Monsoon occurs between June and October, and they are interspersed by two inter-monsoon periods [17]. Throughout the Northeast Monsoon, the period of this study, the population of blue whales off southern Sri Lanka is seen in consistently high numbers in coastal waters, which has driven the development of a coastal whale-watch industry.

Given the importance of krill to foraging blue whales, and the close relationship between physical oceanographic variables and krill distribution, we investigated the links between salinity, sea surface temperature and blue whale distribution and abundance over the years 2009, 2011 and 2012. Cetaceans and other large mobile predators have the capacity to shift their ranges in response to changing ocean temperatures and anthropogenic threats; however, the semi-enclosed nature of the northern Indian Ocean limits northward range shifts. The implications of this spatial-confinement within the northern Indian Ocean, a geographical “cul-de-sac”, make this population particularly vulnerable to oceanographic
and human induced changes highlighting the need for understanding the dynamics of the ecological relationships within this area. If whale abundance and distribution is closely linked to movements of their prey, then we might be able to predict areas of importance through simple physical measurements of variables important to prey distribution. Therefore we compared sighting locations of blue whales from 2009, 2011, 2012 and sea surface temperature and salinity along a predetermined transect (in 2011 and 2012) during the Northeast Monsoon to observe how these physical parameters correlated with the distribution of blue whales from year to year.

2. Methods

2.1. Survey Area

Surveys for blue whales were conducted off southern Sri Lanka between Weligama and Dondra. This area encompasses a narrow, steep continental slope and a submarine canyon (Figure 1) with consistently high blue whale sightings during the Northeast Monsoon period (December–April).

Figure 1. (a) Location map of study area on the southern coast of Sri Lanka delineated by the red box. (b) Dashed lines indicate saw tooth transect tracklines in 2011 and 2012. Blue-grey vertical line directly south of Weligama Bay represents the Conductivity-Temperature-Depth (CTD) transect used to gather salinity, temperature and density data. Red arrows indicate the major east-west shipping route across the Indian Ocean from Admiralty charts.
2.2. Satellite Data

Sea surface salinity data for the Indian Ocean were obtained from the HYCOM global hindcast model for 14 January 2009, 2011 and 2012. Seasonal (January–March 2009, 2011 and 2012) precipitation anomaly data for the waters around Sri Lanka were obtained from the NOAA Climate Prediction Center’s CAMS_OPI data set. These data were used to compare ocean and climate conditions among the three years.

2.3. Survey Methodology

In 2009 blue whale sighting data were collected using platforms of opportunity and focal encounters, while in 2011 and 2012 surveys were conducted from dedicated platforms and data gathered through both systematic transects and opportunistic focal encounters. On 14 January 2011 and 21 January 2012 salinity and temperature data were gathered at eight stations along a predetermined transect off Weligama using a Conductivity-Temperature-Depth (CTD, YSI CastAway, San Diego, CA, USA) measure (Figure 1b). The first CTD station lay 7 km due south of the mouth of Weligama Bay with each of the subsequent stations located 1.65–1.75 km southward.

The systematic survey transect was designed to cover a range of water depths from the continental shelf to over 1000 m, and included the continental slope and the submarine canyon off Dondra (Figure 1b). It was intended to provide an indication of blue whale distribution over the selected study area.

During all surveys conducted from the 6 m research vessel, a pair of observers with an eye height of 2 m above water level searched in an arc 180° forward of the boat out to the horizon. Observations were made using the naked eye but a pair of 7 × 40 binoculars was available to verify sightings. Boat speed was maintained between 5 and 7 knots at all times. On sighting a whale, the time of sighting, GPS location of boat, distance and radial angle to the whale, number of individuals in the group and behaviours observed were recorded. In addition, weather and sea state data were collected at the start of the survey and whenever conditions changed significantly. Systematic surveys were only conducted when Beaufort Sea State was 2 or less and visibility was greater than 3 km and carried out twice over each study period. The vessel did not deviate from the trackline during these surveys and only sightings within 2 km of the trackline were recorded.

Blue whale sighting data were also collected during opportunistic focal follows when individuals were approached for photo-identification and for the collection of behavioural data. The protocols followed were the same as during the systematic surveys except searching did not occur over predetermined tracklines.

Salinity and temperature data were gathered over the top 100 m of the water column along a predetermined CTD transect with the first station located 7 km due south of the mouth of Weligama Bay (Figure 1b). At each of the eight stations located 1.65–1.75 km apart, salinity, temperature, density (sigma-t) and coordinate of cast location were collected once or twice during the study period depending on sea conditions. The YSI CastAway CTD was selected for portability and ease of hand casting from a small boat without a winch and was restricted to a maximum depth of 100 m.
2.4. Data Processing and Analysis

Blue whale sighting data were adjusted based on radial angle and distance to sighting. The data were then plotted in distribution maps of blue whale sightings using the M_Map package in MATLAB [18] representing (a) systematic surveys (2011 and 2012) and (b) opportunistic encounters (2009, 2011 and 2012).

We conducted a one-way ANOVA to look at the difference in number of whale sightings per km of trackline between years using the statistical package JMP. Distance from shore was calculated for each whale sighted during the opportunistic focal follows. To verify that sightings were independent, photo-identification images were used to remove repeat sightings. Distance from shore data was binned according to year and a one-way ANOVA was conducted using SYSTAT to determine if the areas where blue whales were sighted varied significantly from year to year.

Contour plots of salinity and temperature were plotted to observe changes from onshore to offshore along the transect using a script written in MATLAB. In addition, a temperature-salinity diagram was plotted to look at differences between 2011 and 2012.

3. Results

3.1. Climate Influences

HYCOM global hindcast model data of sea surface salinity indicated the presence of high salinity water between 33.5 and 34.5 PSU around Sri Lanka in 2009 and 2012 (Figure 2). In 2011 this layer was replaced by low salinity water (between 32 and 33 PSU, Figure 2). Seasonal (January–March 2009, 2011 and 2012) precipitation anomaly data from the NOAA Climate Prediction Center’s CAMS_OPI data set [19] indicated the presence of low salinity water that resulted from increased precipitation levels during the 2011 season with between 300 and 600 mm/season above average off the southern coast. In contrast, no anomalous rainfall was experienced during the same period in 2009 and 2012 (Figure 3).

Table 1. Date, effort, weather conditions and number of blue whales sighted on each systematic survey conducted in 2011 and 2012.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Effort (Distance in km)</th>
<th>Effort (min)</th>
<th>Weather (Beaufort Scale)</th>
<th>n</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>13 January</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9 February</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>20 January</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>367</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4 February</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>393</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.2. Systematic Survey Data

Systematic surveys were conducted twice each during 2011 and 2012 and covered a total of 368 km. Time spent on effort in both years was comparable (Table 1). Overall few blue whales (n = 2) were recorded during the systematic transects in 2011. In contrast, in 2012, an increased number of whales were recorded during the surveys (n = 66) (Table 1; Figure 4). A one-way analysis of variance
revealed significant differences between the number of whales sighted per km of trackline in 2011 and 2012, $F(1,12) = 24.4769, p < 0.001, n = 14$ (Figure 5).

**Figure 2.** Sea surface salinity in the Indian Ocean from (a) 14 January 2009 (b) 14 January 2011 and (c) 14 January 2012 from HYCOM global hindcast model.
Figure 3. Seasonal precipitation anomaly around Sri Lanka for the period of (a) January–March 2009 (b) January–March 2011 and (c) January–March 2012. Green represents positive precipitation anomalies while brown represents negative precipitation anomalies.
Figure 4. Blue whale distribution based on data collected during systematic transects. Orange dots indicate sightings from 2011 and purple dots indicate sightings from 2012. Dashed line indicates the vessel trackline for both years.

Figure 5. Mean number of whales (±S.E.) sighted per km of trackline.

3.3. Opportunistic Sighting Data

In 2009 and 2012, blue whales were seen largely between the 100 and 1000 m contours with fewer sightings in depths ranging to about 1500 m. In 2011 however, the majority of sightings occurred further offshore, in waters exceeding 1500 m, and were spread over a greater area (Table 2; Figure 6). In all three years, opportunistic photo-identification/focal encounters targeted groups that were closest inshore. Therefore the reduced opportunistic sightings in inshore areas in 2011 likely indicate a real shift in blue whale distribution to offshore areas and are representative of the actual spread of whales.

A one-way analysis of variance revealed significant differences between mean distances from shore over the three years, $F(2,209) = 40.416$, $p < 0.0001$, $n = 212$. Post-hoc comparisons using Tukey’s HSD revealed that in 2011 whales were seen significantly further offshore than in 2009 and 2012.
Table 2. Percentage of opportunistic blue whale sightings in waters ≤1000 m vs. >1000 m depth and mean distance from shore for 2009, 2011 and 2012.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>≤1000 m</th>
<th>&gt;1000 m</th>
<th>Mean Distance from Shore (km)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>79%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>11.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>16.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>82%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>11.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 6. Blue whale sightings from 2009 (grey), 2011 (orange) and 2012 (purple). Each dot represents an individual sighting. All sightings were from first encounter of an individual on each day.

3.4. Temperature and Salinity Data

The salinity and temperature contour plots for the two years indicated that in January 2012 cooler waters, characterised by the 24.5 °C contour, upwelled onto the shelf at a depth of approximately 60 m. In 2011 this water mass was recorded at 80 m (Figure 7). In 2012 the top 45 m of the water column was relatively well mixed and ranged from 27 to 28 °C, while in 2011 it was more stratified with surface waters at 26.5 °C and the 28 °C layer occurring as a sub-surface maximum at 65 m. Further, the top 85 m of the water column was fresher during 2011 compared to 2012. In 2011 salinity in the top 65 m of the water column ranged from 31.5 to 33 whilst in 2012 the layer of 33 PSU salinity water occurred much shallower, at 40 m. In 2011 the salinity of the water column in the top 100 m reached 33.5 while in 2012 it reached 35 (Figure 7). The lower salinity water (31.5 PSU) close to shore extending 8 km from the start of the CTD transect is a feature documented only in 2011 and can be described as a “freshwater cap”.

The marked differences in salinity and temperature between the two years are evident in Figure 8. The temperature-salinity plot shows that in 2011 temperature in the water column was uniform (over a 0.6 °C range) over a wider salinity range—from 30.5 to 33.5 PSU. However, in 2012 salinity showed less variation (ranging 1.2 PSU) while temperature covered a wider 1.7 °C range.
Figure 7. Contour plots for temperature, salinity and density (measured in sigma-t) for the two contrasting years; (a) 2011 and (b) 2012.
Figure 8. Temperature-salinity plot for mean of cast 2 along the transect in 2011 (solid black) and 2012 (dashed black).

4. Discussion

Our results suggest that blue whale distribution off southern Sri Lanka may have been influenced by anomalous rainfall resulting in excessive freshwater runoff through river discharge into the coastal waters. Of the 103 river basins around Sri Lanka, the Nilwala Ganga that discharges into our study area, is vulnerable to floods [20] and is the main source of freshwater to the nearshore areas. The high precipitation anomaly that led to island-wide flooding that displaced and killed many people around Sri Lanka during the Northeast or Winter Monsoon of 2011 has been linked with the La Niña that results from episodic cooling in the equatorial Pacific Ocean [21]. The 2010/2011 La Niña is considered one of the strongest recorded over the past eight decades [22].

Increased freshwater runoff is a likely cause of change in blue whale distribution in 2011. The influence of the floodwater within the study area in 2011 is evident from the salinity contour plot (Figure 7) as the layer of freshwater at the surface is ~30.5 PSU. This is below the salinity range of water from the Bay of Bengal (33.5–35 PSU above 25 °C; [23]) which influences the surface waters south of Sri Lanka during this period [23,24]. The distributional shift was apparently temporary as blue whales returned to the nearshore areas in 2012, which was a drier year.

We hypothesise that the abundant freshwater decreased salinity and likely increased turbidity in the water column. The presence of the freshwater layer in the upper water column in 2011 appeared to cause the cooler, 24.5 °C water to occur approximately 20 m deeper than in 2012. Therefore this freshwater cap may potentially influence the productivity of the area.

The nearshore water was often greener and fresher with offshore waters being darker blue and more saline. Distinct fronts could be seen between these water masses in some areas (Figure 9). Over the course of this study we did not record blue whales in the greener, fresher water, with all sightings occurring in the more saline waters. This contrasts with earlier reports as Alling et al. [25] recorded an equal number of blue whales within and outside a river plume off the northeast coast of Sri Lanka on one day in 1984.
While the direct impact of freshwater runoff is restricted to the upper few metres of the water column, it modifies the structure and dynamics of the physical environment by modulating stratification, circulation and nutrient input, as well as the vertical distribution and production of plankton [26–28]. Marine species distributions have been specifically associated with a number of variables such as sea surface temperature and salinity. Rutherford et al. [29] found that approximately 90% of the geographic variation seen in planktic foraminiferal diversity in the Atlantic was explained by sea surface temperature. Salinity or turbidity related to river outputs was cited as a possible influence on diversity in the Western tropical Atlantic [29]. In the Atlantic and Pacific, salinity is also considered a significant predictor of euphausid species abundance particularly in coastal and fjordic systems, where river outputs impact salinity [30]. Calliari et al. [31] showed that instantaneous salinity reductions compromised the survival and feeding rates of two species of co-occurring copepods. They suggest that decreased feeding rate may have direct implications for processes at both the individual level, in relation to energy acquisition, and the ecosystem level, in relation to the transfer of organic matter.

The offshore shift in blue whale sightings in 2011 compared to 2008/2009 was also commented on by Ilangakoon [32]. Ilangakoon [32] suggested that this distributional change was connected to newly established commercial whale-watch activities and asserts that this shift increased the likelihood of ship strike of these whales. We suggest that the shift is more likely a function of oceanographic change rather than being anthropogenically induced. Furthermore, unlike small cetaceans, little conclusive evidence exists to show that large baleen whales are affected by the presence of whale-watch vessels. Recent meta-analyses by Senigaglia et al. [33] did not show any changes in respiration rate in the presence of whale-watch boats. Similarly, blue whales in Sri Lankan waters did not display changes in fluking behaviour in the presence of whale-watch boats [34]. Secondly, in 2012 there was an increase in the number of whale-watching boats on the water compared to 2011 [35]. If the offshore shift was a
function of whale-watching alone then we would have expected it to persist through to 2012. The major Indian Ocean shipping lanes lie off the southern coast of Sri Lanka with separation zones extending approximately 10 km to 30 km offshore [36] (Figure 1b), blue whales are consistently recorded within the shipping lanes in all years and ship strikes are known to occur and have been highlighted as a major concern [37]. Changes in the onshore-offshore distribution of whales such as those documented here likely affect the risk of collisions between whales and shipping, which may be relevant to efforts to mitigate this problem.

Other studies have highlighted the influence of climatic events such as El Niño, La Niña and the North Atlantic Oscillation index on different levels of the food chain [38–41]. Changes in abundance and diversity and species richness patterns have also been documented for a variety of taxa [41–44]. A study by Reilly and Fiedler [45] found that inter-annual variation in dolphin distribution related to shifts in preferred habitat that reflected El Niño Southern Oscillation (ENSO) cycles. The distribution and abundance of baleen whales on the eastern Bering Sea shelf also changed between 1999 and 2004 as a result of corresponding temperature increases over this period [46]. Littaye et al. [47] showed that fin whales adapted their movements and group size to food availability which was driven by the environmental conditions in the preceding months, while off Monterey Bay, California, 12 commonly sighted species of marine mammals redistributed annually depending on the prevailing environmental conditions [48]. In El Niño years, when basin-wide decreases in primary production were documented, marine mammals would move from offshore to nearshore areas because of the relatively greater productivity, while during localised events marine mammals, including blue whales, redistributed to the areas influenced by the anomaly [48].

Our results are based on only two years of systematic sampling and three years of opportunistic data; however, we acknowledge that to better understand longer-term variability in the environment, sustained monitoring over multiple years is essential. An improved understanding of changes in the distribution of top predators is important in light of global climate change and the subsequent effects on the ocean. Specifically, an understanding of how different environmental and oceanographic changes influence prey distribution and the blue whale populations off Sri Lanka will provide insight into the response of this little known population of blue whales to future environmental variability.

5. Conclusions

Dedicated visual surveys coupled with salinity and temperature measurements, identified a correlation between the reduced inshore sightings of blue whales and increased freshwater discharge from rivers, as a result of anomalous rainfall in 2011. We hypothesise that the freshwater run off during the 2011 survey season may have increased turbidity and thereby influenced productivity in the nearshore waters. An understanding of how predators respond to environmental variability is important to predict how they will respond to long-term changes. This is especially important given the predicted global climate changes that may affect the semi-enclosed northern Indian Ocean.
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