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Effect of Ozone Treatment on Inactivation of *Escherichia coli* and *Listeria* sp. on Spinach
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**Abstract:** The efficacy of “gaseous” ozone in reducing numbers and re-growth of food-borne pathogens, (*Escherichia coli* and *Listeria* spp.), on leafy salads was investigated using spinach. A preliminary *in vivo* study showed 1-log reduction in six strains of *E. coli* and two species of *Listeria* spp. on spinach exposed to 1 ppm ozone for 10 min. A range of ozone treatments were explored to deliver optimal bacterial inactivation while maintaining the visual appearance (color) of produce. Exposure to a higher ozone concentration for a shorter duration (10 ppm for 2 min) significantly reduced *E. coli* and *Listeria* spp. viable counts by 1-log and the pathogens did not re-grow following treatment (over a nine-day storage period). Impacts of 1 and 10 ppm ozone treatments were not significantly different. Approximately 10% of the pathogen population was resistant to ozone treatment. We hypothesized that cell age may be one of several factors responsible for variation in ozone resistance. *E. coli* cells from older colonies demonstrated higher ozone resistance in subsequent experiments. Overall, we speculate that gaseous ozone treatment constitutes the basis for an alternative customer-friendly method to reduce food pathogen contamination of leafy produce and is worth exploring on a pilot-scale in an industrial setting.
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1. Introduction

In addition to reducing produce spoilage, an increased incidence in the outbreaks of microbial borne diseases associated with the consumption of raw leafy produce have added to the need to find alternative methods to reduce microbial loads [1]. All types of leafy produce have the potential to harbor pathogens, such as Escherichia coli, Listeria monocytogenes, Shigella spp., and Salmonella spp., which are ultimately responsible for the majority of foodborne outbreaks [2,3]. Contamination of fresh produce with pathogens can occur either pre-harvest and/or post-harvest. Pre-harvest sources of pathogens generally include organic fertilizers, irrigation water, and soil, whereas post-harvest sources mainly result from handling procedures including equipment, transport vehicles, and containers [4].

A recent investigation of retail leafy salads revealed contamination of a significant proportion with E. coli and L. monocytogenes [5]. E. coli is a Gram-negative, facultative anaerobic member of the Enterobacteriaceae family. It is commonly present in gastrointestinal tract of humans and animals including deer, cattle, and pigs [6]. Although most E. coli are harmless to humans, epidemiological research has documented that intake of leafy produce contaminated with E. coli O157:H7 and variants thereof, with a dose as low as 10 cells, can pose severe threat to human health [7]. E. coli that cause disease are categorized on the basis of pathogenic mechanisms, virulence, and clinical syndrome. For example, E. coli O157:H7 belongs to the enterohaemorrhagic (EHEC) group [8]. Infection with E. coli O157:H7 causes major outbreaks particularly associated with raw leafy produce [5]. Although leaf surfaces are not a suitable environment for E. coli, it can survive both harsh field and post-harvest storage conditions [5].

L. monocytogenes is a Gram-positive, facultative anaerobic, non-spore forming rod, which is capable of growing at low temperatures. This pathogen is widely present in soil, plant, and water surfaces [9]. It causes less than one percent of foodborne diseases, but it is responsible for causing listeriosis in human [10]. In healthy individuals, the main symptoms are fever and diarrhea, whereas in pregnant women, L. monocytogenes causes septicaemia, meningitis, abortion, or stillbirth [5,10]. L. monocytogenes is capable of growing at refrigeration temperatures and also surviving in food-processing sites [5].

Microbial contamination of fresh produce by pathogenic microbes not only poses significant risk to public health but also affects the industry financially by resulting in costly product recalls. For example, the recent Shiga toxin-producing E. coli O157 outbreak in watercress is estimated to have required the recall of 200,000 items in the United Kingdom [11]. Foodborne outbreaks are common in many countries. This could be due to the pathogens developing resistance to traditional sanitizing agents, thus posing a hazard to the safety of the food supply [12].

Ozone has been successfully used as a principal sanitizer for treating drinking and municipal waters for 100 years, but recently gained attention in the food and agriculture industry [13]. It is well known for its strong oxidizing capacity and has been recognized as a powerful antimicrobial agent, reacting with organic substances approximately 3000 times quicker than chlorine [14]. Ozone is capable of inactivating microorganisms including both Gram positive and Gram negative bacteria, bacterial spores, fungi, fungal spores, viruses, and protozoa [15]. In 1997, the United States Food and Drug Administration (US-FDA) in union with an expert panel granted ozone as GRAS (Generally Recognised as Safe) status [16], and in 2003, it received formal approval from the US-FDA as a “direct contact food sanitizing agent” [17]. One of the major advantages of ozone treatment is the fact the gas leaves no detectable
residues in/on treated products, as ozone rapidly decomposes into oxygen unlike other sanitizers used in the food processing industry [13].

Given the importance of controlling pathogen contamination of leafy fresh produce, the present study aimed to determine the antimicrobial effects of ozone for the control of different strains of E. coli and Listeria spp. and to observe the regrowth of these pathogenic bacteria on ozone-treated produce during storage of produce for nine days at 4 °C. Previous studies have shown the impact of ozone on pathogens [14,18,19] but have not investigated re-growth after treatment. We also wanted to use ozone levels that did not damage produce, i.e., we investigated commercially-relevant ozone levels for produce treatment. Previous research has shown that not all pathogens are killed by ozone treatment [20] and another objective of this work was to establish why this may occur. Accordingly, we examined the effect of cell age on E. coli resistance to ozone. Spinach was artificially contaminated by inoculating with E. coli or Listeria spp. before ozone treatment. Six different strains of non-pathogenic E. coli were used as a representative model for E. coli O157:H7, as there have been no reports suggesting significant differences in growth pattern and survival strategy between non-pathogenic E. coli and pathogenic E. coli O157:H7 [21]. In addition, L. innocua and L. seeligeri were used as surrogates for L. monocytogenes because these offer safe non-pathogenic alternatives for experimental purposes whilst exhibiting similar growth characteristics and behavior on leafy produce as L. monocytogenes [20,22].

2. Results and Discussion

2.1. Effect of Ozone Exposure on E. coli and Listeria sp. in Vitro

Colony numbers (CFU) of E. coli K12 and L. innocua in vitro were significantly reduced (p < 0.05) by all ozone treatments (Figure 1), even at the lowest level used (1 ppm for 10 min). Less than 1-log reduction was achieved when colonies on agar were exposed to 1 ppm ozone for 10 min, but more than 1-log reduction was achieved when both the strains of food pathogens were treated with ozone concentrations of 10 and 50 ppm. Similar results were observed by Alwi [18], when E. coli O157, L. monocytogenes, and Salmonella typhimurium were treated in vitro with 0.1, 0.3, 0.5, and 1.0 ppm ozone concentration for exposure times of 0.5, 3, 6, and 24 h, respectively. They also observed increases in ozone concentration, and exposure time increased the antibacterial activity.

Interestingly, the agar based in vitro assay on both Gram-positive and Gram-negative pathogens showed no significant difference in colony counts between 10 ppm and 50 ppm ozone concentration treatment. This is possibly due to cells being physically protected by others on the surface of the agar plates, i.e., when the cells are spread on agar some cells may not be present as individuals but as groups that provide physical protection; thus, this could reduce the effectiveness of ozone treatment [18]. Alternatively, some cells may have an intrinsic resistance to ozone exposure perhaps due to their age and exposure to stress (see below Section 2.6). Fan and colleagues [20] reported that the maximum inactivation of L. innocua cells was observed in less than 2 h and inactivation reached a plateau after 4 h when treated with gaseous ozone in vitro.
2.2. Optimization of the Concentration and Duration of Ozone Exposure Levels to Treat Spinach without Causing Visual Damage

The visual appearance and freshness of leafy produce has been the main judging criteria for quality distinction at purchase or consumption [23]. No visual ozone damage was observed when spinach was treated with 1 ppm gaseous ozone, but higher levels, e.g., 10 ppm for 10 min, caused significant visual blemishes and discoloration to spinach (Figure 2A). Similar results were previously observed on fresh produce like lettuce, spinach, and rocket leaves when treated with similar ozone concentrations [24]. Figure 2B illustrates ozone injury/visual damage on spinach when exposed to 10 ppm ozone concentration for 10 min. It is evident that the impact of ozone treatment on the quality of leafy produce is dependent on concentration; it may be beneficial up to a certain level to apply ozone, whereas after a critical level acceleration of browning responses will result in inferior quality.

No visual ozone damage was observed when spinach was exposed to higher concentrations such as 10, 15, and 20 ppm ozone for shorter durations (Table 1). Ozone treated produce visually looked as fresh and as attractive as untreated produce (control) after seven days of storage. Ozone injury/visible damage were observed on spinach when exposed to 25 ppm ozone concentration for all durations examined (30 s, 45 s, and 2 min).

Subsequent experiments examined the effect of varying ozone levels and exposure times on pathogens inoculated onto spinach surfaces.

Table 1. The maximum ozone exposure levels that can be applied on spinach without causing visible damage.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Duration of the Exposure of Spinach</th>
<th>Ozone concentration (ppm)</th>
<th>Time (s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10 ppm</td>
<td>2 min</td>
<td>45 s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 ppm</td>
<td>2 min</td>
<td>45 s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 ppm</td>
<td>2 min</td>
<td>45 s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 ppm</td>
<td>Damaged at 30 s, 45 s and 2 min</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.3. Effect of Ozone Exposure (1 ppm for 10 min) on Different Strains of E. coli Inoculated onto Spinach Leaf Surfaces

Colony numbers (CFU) of all six strains of E. coli, i.e., E. coli O157:K88a, E. coli O25:H4, E. coli O128:K67, E. coli K12, E. coli O55:K59, and E. coli O104:H12 obtained from ozone exposed leaves were significantly reduced (p < 0.05) compared with non-ozone exposed controls (Figures 3 and 4). No E. coli colonies were isolated from non-inoculated spinach leaves. In the past, gaseous ozone treatment at 1 ppm for 5 min showed 3–5 log_{10} reduction of E. coli O157:H7 on spinach after 24 h of storage [25]. An experiment conducted in vacuum-cooling in combination with ozone gas (10 ppm for up to three days) showed 1.4 log_{10} reduction of E. coli O157:H7 on spinach [17]. Gaseous ozone treatment has also proved to be effective in reducing E. coli on many products like lettuce [14], parsley [17], mushrooms [19], blueberries [26], and dried figs [27]. Singh et al. [14] reported that the bactericidal effect of ozone against E. coli O157:H7 increased with exposure time and ozone concentration. For example, they observed 0.79–1.79 log_{10} CFU/g reduction of E. coli O157:H7 population on lettuce when exposed to ozone for 15 min. However, ozone treatment for 5 or 10 min did not decrease the E. coli O157:H7 population.
Figure 3. Impacts of ozone-enrichment on six strains of *E. coli* inoculated onto the surface of spinach leaves. Leaves were either treated with 1 ppm ozone concentration (grey bar) or untreated (black bar) for 10 min. Values represent means (±Standard Error) of measurements made on three independent spinach leaves per treatment. Bars with different letters are significantly different (*p* < 0.05).

Figure 4. Colonies of *E. coli* O157:K88a on Tryptone Bile X-Glucuronide (TBX) agar recovered from leaves after being exposed to either “clean” air (control) or 1 ppm ozone concentration for 10 min.

2.4. Impact of Ozone Treatment on *Listeria innocua* and *L. seeligeri* Inoculated onto Spinach Leaves

In the present study, *L. innocua* and *L. seeligeri* were used as microbial surrogate of *L. monocytogenes*, as they are useful indicators of contamination and have also demonstrated behavior similar to *L. monocytogenes* on fresh produce [28]. Results from spinach artificially contaminated with *L. innocua* and *L. seeligeri* treated with 1 ppm ozone for an exposure time of 10 min showed a 1-log reduction in colony count compared with the untreated control (Figure 5). Karaca and his colleague [17] reported a reduction in *L. innocua* of 1.14 log_{10} CFU/g on flat-leaved parsley when treated with high ozone concentration of 950 ppm for 20 min. Similar results have been shown by previous research on mushrooms, alfalfa sprouts, alfalfa seeds, and lettuce [19]. The growth of *L. innocua* and *L. seeligeri* on spinach remained significantly reduced after Day 9 of storage (Figure 5). This may be due to the interactions between the natural background microflora of spinach and *L. innocua*, which can affect its growth and survival [22]. O’Berine and his colleague [22] reported that lactic acid bacteria and mixed population of natural microflora isolated from shredded lettuce reduced *L. innocua* growth in model
media. Rodgers and colleagues [19] demonstrated complete inactivation of *L. monocytogenes* on lettuce during nine days of storage when treated with 3 ppm ozone for 3 min.
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**Figure 5.** Impacts of ozone-enrichment on (A) *L. innocua* and (B) *L. seeligeri* inoculated onto the surface of spinach leaves. Leaves were either treated with 1 ppm ozone concentration (grey bar) or untreated (black bar) for 10 min. Colonies were enumerated either directly after the treatments, *i.e.*, Day 0 or after nine days of storage. Values represent means (±Standard Error) of measurements made on three independent spinach leaves per treatment. Bars with different letters are significantly different (*p* < 0.05).

### 2.5. Effect of Higher Ozone Treatment on *E. coli* and *Listeria* sp. Inoculated onto Spinach Leaf Surface

Results of spinach artificially contaminated with two strains of *E. coli* (*E. coli* O157:K88a and *E. coli* O25:H4) and *Listeria* (*L. innocua* and *L. seeligeri*) treated with 10 ppm of ozone concentration for 2 min are shown in Figure 6. For *E. coli* O157:K88a and *E. coli* O25:H4, ozone treatment significantly (*p* < 0.05) reduced counts by 1-log compared with the untreated control (Figure 6A). Ozone had less than 1-log effect on *L. innocua* and *L. seeligeri* (Figure 6B). Awli [18] achieved reduction of 2.89 and 3.06 log$_{10}$ for *E. coli* O157 and *L. monocytogenes*, respectively, on bell pepper when exposed to 9 ppm ozone for 6 h. Their work met the standards for an antimicrobial agent by attaining a minimum of 2 log$_{10}$ reduction [18]. Similar reductions were observed from application of 5 ppm ozone for 3 min on whole tomato [29]. When results from this work (on leafy produce) are compared with other hardy produce, it appears that ozone treatment was less successful. This is most probably due to the delicate nature of leafy produce, which limits the use of increased ozone concentration and exposure time (results from Section 2.2).

In addition, the results obtained from this treatment, *i.e.*, 10 ppm for 2 min were not significantly more effective in reducing bacterial viable counts in comparison to previous ozone treatment used in this study, *i.e.*, 1 ppm for 10 min (from Sections 2.3 and 2.4).

Ozone inactivates bacterial cells by the progressive oxidation of important cellular constituents [17], and suggestions for the principal target of ozonation include the bacterial cell surface. Bacterial cell death was observed as a consequence of a ruptured cell membrane and as a result of disintegration of cell wall to function as a barrier [17,18,20]. *E. coli*, a Gram-negative bacterium, is more susceptible to ozone treatment because it has a thin peptidoglycan lamella that is covered by an outer membrane made of polysaccharides and lipoproteins [30]. In contrast, some studies claimed that Gram-negative bacteria were more resistant to ozone treatment as compared with Gram-positive bacteria [31]. Results from this study show that ozone treatment was effective in both *E. coli* and *Listeria* spp. inactivation but
Listeria spp. were slightly more resistant. These results are in line with Yuk and colleagues [19], who showed that *E. coli* O157:H7 is more sensitive than *Listeria monocytogenes*.
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**Figure 6.** Impacts of increased levels of ozone exposure on two strains of (A) *E. coli* and (B) *Listeria* sp. inoculated onto the surface of spinach leaves. Leaves were either treated with 10 ppm ozone concentration (grey bar) or untreated (black bar) for 2 min. Values represent means (±Standard Error) of measurements made on three independent spinach leaves per treatment. Bars with different letters are significantly different (*p* < 0.05).

To investigate the after effects of the ozone treatment on pathogen growth, artificially contaminated spinach was stored at 7 °C for nine days. Figure 7 shows that populations of both *E. coli* (*E. coli* O157:K88a and *E. coli* O25:H4) and *Listeria* sp. (*L. innocua* and *L. seeligeri*) after nine days of storage did not regrow, as a significant reduction in number of colonies was observed in comparison with the untreated control. However, effect of higher ozone treatment on pathogen recovery did not show a significant difference in count as compared with treatment with lower ozone concentration.

### 2.6. Effect of Age on Ozone Resistance of *E. coli* O157:K88a in Vitro

Throughout the study, we observed that a certain proportion of cells survived ozone exposure and we were interested to make initial investigations into potential ozone resistance mechanisms. *E. coli* cells of increasing colony age were exposed to ozone (*in vitro*) and results demonstrated a clear increase in ozone resistance of *E. coli* O157:K88a with increasing colony age. For example, survival of *E. coli* O157:K88a was observed to be greater (approximately 15%) after five days of growth compared with the day 1 time point. Survival levels increased even further by Day 7 (Figure 8) suggesting that cells in older bacterial colonies are more ozone resistant than cells from younger colonies. This is possibly because the older *E. coli* cells may to be in their long-term stationary phase (fifth phase of bacterial growth cycle that survives on the nutrient released by the dead population of bacteria). These older cells can survive external stress unlike the younger cells (probably in first or second phase of bacterial growth cycle) and can remain viable for months or even years once they enter long-term stationary phase [32]. This stationary phase is dominated by the accumulation of the sigma factor RpoS [32]. The entire cellular physiology of *E. coli* is influenced by RpoS that directly or indirectly affects the expression of 10% of the *E. coli* genes. These genes are involved in morphological variations within the cell and responsible for increasing resistance during numerous stress conditions, e.g., oxidative stress, osmotic stress, heat shock, etc. [32].
Figure 7. Impacts of ozone-enrichment on two strains of (A) *E. coli* and (B) *Listeria* sp. inoculated onto the surface of spinach leaves. Leaves were either treated with 10 ppm ozone concentration (grey bar) or untreated (black bar) for 2 min. Colonies were enumerated after nine days of storage. Values represent means (±Standard Error) of measurements made on three independent spinach leaves per treatment. Bars with different letters are significantly different (*p* < 0.05).

Figure 8. Survival of cells obtained from different colony ages of *E. coli* O157:K88a exposed to 10 ppm ozone concentration for 2 min. After ozone exposure, the culture plates were maintained at 37 °C for seven days.

3. Experimental Section

3.1. Ozone Fumigation System

A purpose designed ozone fumigation system (Figure 9) was housed in a fume hood and constructed of stainless steel (diameter 35 cm). An inlet pipe was used to add ozone generated by electric discharge from oxygen (model SGA01 Pacific Ozone Technology Inc., Brentwood, CA, USA), and the introduction of ozone was manually controlled via stainless steel needle valves/gap flow meters. Once the desired ozone concentration was achieved, Petri plates/produce to be exposed to ozone was placed at the bottom of the system and the fumigation system closed with the Pyrex cover (Figure 9). The ozone concentration in the system was recorded using a photometric analyzer (model 450, manufactured by Advanced Pollution Instrumentation Division, 9480 Carroll Park Drive, San Diego, CA, USA). The ozone monitor employed in these studies was serviced weekly and calibrated routinely against standards using a Dasibi 1008PC unit.
3.2. Assessing the Impact of Ozone Treatment on Food Pathogens E. coli and L. innocua in Vitro

E. coli K12 and L. innocua were obtained from a culture collection maintained by Geneius Laboratories Ltd. (44 Colbourne Crescent, Nelson Park, Cramlington, UK). These cultures were sub-cultured by spread plating on Nutrient agar (NA) and Agar Listeria according to Ottaviani and Agosti (ALOA) agar plates, respectively. A single colony was isolated from each culture plate after incubation at 37 °C for 24 h and 30 °C for 48 h, respectively, and transferred to minimum recovery diluent (MRD). A standardized concentration of 10⁴ cells per mL (100 μL) of each culture was spread onto sterile NA and ALOA agar plates, respectively. These plates were then either exposed to 1 ppm, 10 ppm, 50 ppm ozone concentration, or charcoal filtered “clean air” (controls) for 10 min at room temperature. After treatment, NA and ALOA agar plates were incubated at 37 °C for 24 h and 30 °C for 48 h, respectively. The number of colonies produced on control plates (non-ozone exposed) were compared with the numbers found on ozone-treated plates based on three replicate observations.

3.3. Optimization of Ozone Exposure Levels (Concentration and Duration) to Treat Leafy Salads without Causing Visual Damage to Produce

This experiment focused on optimizing the concentration and duration of ozone exposure to which fresh produce could be exposed without causing visible damage/deterioration. To determine the impact on visual quality of the produce, baby spinach was received from Vitacress Ltd. (Hampshire, UK) and then exposed to 1, 10, 25, 50 ppm ozone or “clean air” (controls) for varying periods of time (1–60 min). Following exposure to ozone, the produce was then packed in a sterile self-seal bag and maintained at 4 °C in dark conditions. Ozone injury was assessed visually by comparing ozone exposed produce with control (non-ozone exposed) produce every alternate day for seven days.

3.4. Ozone Resistance of Different Strains of E. coli: Inoculation of E. coli onto Spinach Leaves and Ozone Exposure Conditions

Six strains of E. coli (E. coli O157:K88a, E. coli O25:H4, E. coli O128:K67, E. coli K12, E. coli O55:K59, and E. coli O104:H12) were obtained from a culture collection maintained by Geneius Laboratories Ltd. (Nelson Park, Cramlington, UK). Cultures were stored at 4 °C on Luria-Bertani (LB)
agar plates, and activated in LB broth at 37 °C. Baby-leaf spinach was purchased from a local retailer and aseptically cut into discs measuring 1.13 cm² using a sterile cork borer. A suspension of *E. coli* (overnight culture, 10⁸–10⁹ CFU/mL LB broth) was applied directly to the leaf disc in 300 μL aliquots, and then the inoculated leaves were stored overnight at 7 °C to mimic produce storage conditions and to allow attachment of *E. coli* to the leaf surface. Inoculated leaves were either exposed to 1 ppm ozone or charcoal filtered “clean air” for 10 min at room temperature. To determine the number of *E. coli* remaining (control and ozone exposed), the leaf discs were vigorously shaken in MRD for 2 min and then serially diluted using MRD, followed by pour plate technique using Tryptone Bile X-Glucuronide (TBX) agar plates. Plates were incubated at 44 °C for 24 h, and presumptive colonies were counted on the basis of three replicate observations.

3.5. Impact of Ozone Treatment on *L. innocua* and *L. seeligeri* Inoculated onto Spinach Leaves

Two strains of *Listeria* (*L. innocua* and *L. seeligeri*) were obtained from a culture collection maintained by Geneius Laboratories Ltd. Cultures and stored at 4 °C on ALOA agar plates. Spinach leaves were then aseptically cut into discs measuring 1.13 cm² using a sterile cork borer. A suspension of *Listeria* sp. (10⁷–10⁸ CFU/mL MRD) was applied directly to the leaf disc in 300 μL aliquots, and the inoculated leaves were maintained at 7 °C to mimic produce storage conditions for 2 h to allow attachment of *Listeria* sp. to the leaf surface. Inoculated leaves were either exposed to 1 ppm ozone or charcoal filtered “clean air” for 10 min at room temperature and survival rate enumerated (see below). For determining the survival and growth of *Listeria* sp. during storage, a proportion of the treated and untreated inoculated leaves were maintained at 7 °C for a further nine days. The number of colonies remaining (control and ozone exposed) on Day 0 and Day 9 was determined by vigorously shaking the leaf disc in MRD for 2 min after 1 h incubation at room temperature, and then serially diluting in MRD followed by standard spread technique on ALOA agar plates. Plates were incubated at 30 °C for 48 h, and colonies were counted.

3.6. Modified Ozone Fumigation System—Delivery of High Ozone Concentrations for Short Time Durations (Seconds)

A modified ozone fumigation system was engineered to improve the application of ozone to produce surfaces, and to reduce the time required to build up the desired ozone concentrations needed for produce treatment. The aim was to develop a system allowing application of higher ozone concentrations for shorter durations to achieve better bacterial kill without damaging the produce. This system was developed after discussions/meetings with industrial partners who ideally wanted to be able to expose produce to ozone quickly during their harvest and processing procedures. The modified ozone exposure apparatus was housed in a fume hood and constructed from 20 cm² Perspex. Produce was placed on a steel mesh in a 2 cm deep tray within the box and produce was then exposed to ozone once the desired concentration was achieved (Figure 10). An inlet pipe was used to add ozone generated by electric discharge from oxygen, with the introduction of ozone controlled manually. The ozone concentration was recorded by a photometric analyzer (model 450, manufactured by Advanced Pollution Instrumentation Inc., San Diego, CA, USA). The ozone monitor employed in these studies was serviced routinely.
3.7. Exploration of Higher Ozone Exposure Levels to Treat Spinach without Causing Visual Damage

This experiment aimed to determine the highest ozone concentration and exposure time that could be used on organic baby spinach without causing visible damage/deterioration to the produce. Produce was exposed to 10, 15, 20, 25 ppm ozone or “clean air” (controls) for varying periods of time ranging from 30 s to 2 min. Following exposure to ozone, produce was then packed in a sterile self-seal bag and maintained at 4 °C in the dark. Ozone injury was assessed visually by comparing ozone exposed produce with control (non-ozone exposed) produce every alternate day for seven days.

3.8. Impact of Higher/Increased Ozone Concentrations on Two Strains of E. coli and Listeria Inoculated onto Spinach Leaves

This experiment aimed to use the highest ozone exposure levels that did not cause produce damage (data obtained from Section 3.7—Result Section 2.2) to try and achieve higher reductions in pathogenic bacteria on the surface of baby spinach leaves. Two strains of E. coli (E. coli O157:K88a and E. coli O25:H4) and Listeria (L. innocuous and L. seeligeri) were inoculated onto spinach leaves as described in Sections 3.4 and 3.5, respectively. Inoculated leaves were either treated with 10 ppm ozone concentration or charcoal filtered “clean air” for 2 min. The number of E. coli and Listeria sp. remaining (control and ozone exposed) was determined as described above (Sections 3.4 and 3.5).

To determine the impact of highest ozone exposure levels on the survival and growth of E. coli (E. coli O157:K88a and E. coli O25:H4) and Listeria (L. innocua and L. seeligeri) during storage, the inoculated leaves were treated as mentioned in Section 3.5. After the treatment, inoculated and control leaves were maintained at 7 °C for nine days. The number of colonies remaining (control and ozone exposed) on day 9 was determined as mentioned in Section 3.5.

3.9. Age Effects on Ozone Resistance of E. coli in Vitro

To determine whether cell age affected the ozone resistance of the bacteria, a colony of E. coli O157:K88a obtained from a culture collection maintained by Geneius Laboratories Ltd. was sub-cultured onto NA plates and incubated at 37 °C for seven days. A single colony was isolated on the first, third, fifth, and seventh day of the incubation and transferred to MRD. A standardized concentration of 10^4 cells per mL (100 μL) of each cell age was spread onto sterile NA plates and these plates were then exposed to either 10 ppm ozone concentration or charcoal filtered “clean air” for 2 min. Colony count was determined after incubating NA plates at 37 °C for 24 h.
3.10. Statistical Analysis

Data were analyzed using SPSS (IBM SPSS Statistics 19 64Bit) and graphs were produced using Microsoft Office Excel 2010 and SigmaPlot 12.5. Normal data distribution was tested using a Normality test and significant differences between mean values were verified using LSD ($p < 0.05$) following one-way ANOVA.

4. Conclusions

Exposure to 1 ppm and 10 ppm gaseous ozone treatment for 10 and 2 min, respectively, significantly reduced *E. coli* and *Listeria* spp. populations on spinach. In addition, the pathogens did not re-grow after treatment, *i.e.*, over a nine-day storage period. Although ozone treatment only reduced bacterial loads by 1 log, there is still commercial potential as ozone is easy to produce on site and apply at levels which do not damage sensitive leafy produce. The findings from this study show that some bacteria in populations are resistant to ozone treatment and increasing cell (colony) age of *E. coli* was shown to be linked to enhanced ozone resistance. Further work is needed to better understand the exact mechanism of resistance, and this may lead to determining methods that can overcome resistance. Such applications could deliver immense potential benefits for commercial use and improving public health.
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