An Efficient Numerical Approach for Field Infrared Smoke Transmittance Based on Grayscale Images
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Abstract: Infrared smoke screen has been playing an important role in electro-optical countermeasures on the battlefield. Smoke transmittance is one of the most important parameters which can evaluate the obscuration performance of smoke. In this paper, an efficient numerical approach for field infrared smoke transmittance based on grayscale images is presented. Firstly, a field trial experimental setup is introduced. Then a grayscale smoke transmittance mathematical model is deduced and built. In addition, an image processing algorithm is used to extract the gray values of certain pixel points from grayscale images, and the positions of the selected points are discussed. Lastly, a field trial sample calculation is included to illustrate the procedure of the proposed method. The results prove to be of enough precision for engineering applications, and the method has greatly simplified the field trial process, thus improving efficiency.
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1. Introduction

A smoke screen is a cloud of smoke released into the air to mask the movement or location of military units such as infantry, tanks, aircraft, or ships. Smoke screens are usually either deployed by a canister (such as a grenade) or generated by a vehicle (such as a tank or a warship) [1,2]. As a practical and cost-effective countermeasure on battlefield operations, the infrared smoke screen, has long been employed tactically to protect land and naval targets from hostile threats [1–5]. With the rapid development of smoke techniques, questions arise as to the performance of smoke against electro-optical equipment in general [6–8]. Therefore, methods that can practically evaluate the effectiveness of a smoke screen, especially in the field trial, are of great interest.

Smoke transmittance, which provides an objective and quantitative evaluation criterion, is a key parameter for evaluating the interference capability of smoke screen [9–12]. In previous smoke transmittance measurement studies, traditional methods rely on a spectrometer to receive point-to-point target radiation in line of sight, so field of view is limited to a narrow area due to equipment specifications [13–16]. However, the dynamic smoke cloud in the field trial usually covers a wide area and diffuses with atmospheric turbulence over time. Thus, in order to evaluate smoke screening performance comprehensively, infrared imaging techniques, which are extensively applied in electro-optical instrumentations, have been introduced to facilitate smoke research. Infrared imaging techniques have the advantages of a larger field of view, simulating the actual combat scene and allowing us to handle heterogeneous smoke clouds and smoke diffusion [17]. With the grayscale images provided by a thermal infrared imager, we can analyze the target radiance by extracting the pixel gray value and thus evaluate screening performance of the smoke. Until now, there has not been a universal and convincing method to assess the overall field trial smoke screen performance in any open literature.
The approach introduced in this paper utilizes a thermal infrared imager to output real-time grayscale images of target scene. An infrared target array is set to provide target radiance information. According to the theory of infrared transmission and infrared imaging calibration, a grayscale smoke transmittance model is then put forward. By analyzing the images frame by frame, field smoke transmittance can finally be calculated using the mathematical model.

2. Experimental Setup

The field trial described in this paper is illustrated in Figure 1 below. The experiment site consists of three parts: an infrared radiation target array, a smoke release site, and an observation site.

![Schematic diagram of field trial.](image)

Standard blackbodies, which can emit electromagnetic radiation as targets, were placed in lines and columns vertically to form an $n \times m$ infrared signal array. Here, $n$ stands for the number of columns in the target array, and $m$ means the number of lines. The infrared signal array intends to cover most of the smoke diffusion path to provide more target information. In order to determine the size of infrared signal array, the size of the smoke cloud and atmospheric turbulence at the scene need to be taken into account.

According to the infrared blackbody calibration theory, the geometrical resolution on the blackbodies at the given range should be at least twice but preferably four to five times the geometrical resolution of the system (IFOV) [18]. Therefore, the distance between two blackbodies in the infrared signal array was set to be five times the geometrical resolution of the system.

The distance between the observation site and the smoke release site was selected to get the optimum spatial resolution for imaging systems. At the observation site, images of the infrared signal array during the smoke diffusion process were recorded by a thermal infrared imager. When the smoke cloud covers certain targets, the smoke transmittance can be evaluated by comparing the targets radiation before and after smoke release.

3. Principles of Numerical Approach

3.1. Grayscale Smoke Transmittance Model

The fundamental equation of smoke transmittance is shown below, according to the theory of infrared radiation transmission [19].

$$\tau_{smk} = \frac{L_{re}}{L_{tar}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $\tau_{smk}$ means smoke transmittance, $L_{tar}$ refers to the infrared radiance of the target that the infrared detector receives before the attenuation of smoke, $L_{re}$ stands for the residue target radiance that infrared detector receives under the attenuation of the smoke screen.
Theoretically, smoke transmittance can be accurately calculated based on Equation (1). However, it is impractical to measure \( L_{\text{tar}} \) and \( L_{\text{re}} \) directly in the field trial due to environmental factors. As evident, every nonzero temperature object in nature will emit electromagnetic energy spontaneously [20]. Thus, targets, background, and smoke cloud itself are all electromagnetically radiant. The incident radiation that thermal infrared imager receives is the total amount of radiation in line of sight, and the infrared detector cannot quantitatively distinguish the amount of radiation from the target. In order to obtain the true value of \( L_{\text{tar}} \) and \( L_{\text{re}} \) in Equation (1), some other parameters are introduced to represent them as below.

The total radiance that the infrared detector receives before smoke interference in line of sight can be obtained from

\[
L(r_0) = L_{\text{tar}} + L_{\text{sur}} \quad (2)
\]

where \( L(r_0) \) stands for the total radiance that the infrared detector receives before smoke interference in line of sight. This not only includes the radiation from the target \( L_{\text{tar}} \) but also consists of radiation from the surrounding \( L_{\text{sur}} \), such as the background, the sun, and the sky.

The total radiance that the infrared imager receives after the release of smoke in line of sight can be obtained from

\[
L(r) = L'_{\text{tar}} + L'_{\text{sur}} + L_{\text{smk}} \quad (3)
\]

where \( L(r) \) refers to the total radiance that the infrared imager receives after the release of smoke in line of sight, and it contains the target remaining radiance after attenuation by the smoke \( L'_{\text{tar}} \) and surroundings radiance \( L'_{\text{sur}} \). In addition, the radiation from the smoke itself \( L_{\text{smk}} \) is also included.

Substituting Equations (2) and (3) into Equation (1), the smoke transmittance calculation equation is expressed as follows:

\[
\tau_{\text{smk}} = \frac{L(r) - L'_{\text{sur}} - L_{\text{smk}}}{L(r_0) - L_{\text{sur}}} \quad (4)
\]

For the purpose of calculating smoke transmittance, five radiance variables in Equation (4) have to be determined first. With the field trial images provided by the infrared imager, the gray value of each pixel in the image can be extracted by an image processing algorithm. Consequently, a method of converting the radiance to a corresponding gray value in infrared images is proposed here.

According to the infrared radiance calibration and the relevant infrared physics information, the relationship between the target’s radiance in the field and its corresponding gray value in the image is as follows [21]:

\[
L = aG + L_{\text{off}} \quad (5)
\]

where \( L \) stands for target’s radiance, \( G \) is the corresponding gray value in the infrared image, \( a \) is a coefficient relating radiance to gray level, and \( L_{\text{off}} \) refers to the radiance offset. For the same model of thermal infrared imager equipment, \( a \) and \( L_{\text{off}} \) are constants.

Substituting Equation (5) into Equation (4), the mathematical model of smoke transmittance based on gray value can be finally concluded as

\[
\tau_{\text{smk}} = \frac{G(r) - (G'_{\text{sur}} + G_{\text{smk}})}{G(r_0) - G_{\text{sur}}} \quad (6)
\]

where \( G(r_0) \) is the total gray value of the target before smoke interference in line of sight; \( G(r) \) is the total gray value of the target under the interference of smoke in line of sight; \( G_{\text{sur}} \) is the gray value of the target’s surrounding background before the interference of the smoke screen; \( G'_{\text{sur}} \) is the gray value of the surrounding background under the influence of smoke; \( G_{\text{smk}} \) is the gray value of the smoke itself.
3.2. Selections of Positions

Once the coordinates of the points in an image are determined, the gray value of each point can be obtained by the image processing method [22]. In Equation (6), $G(r_0)$ and $G(r)$ can be directly obtained by extracting the gray value of the corresponding target pixel point in the image. $G_{\text{sur}}$ and $G'_{\text{sur}} + G_{\text{smk}}$ need to be estimated by extracting the gray value of the surrounding points of the target, which will be discussed in this section.

The principle of selecting positions of $G_{\text{sur}}$ and $G'_{\text{sur}} + G_{\text{smk}}$ is based upon the theory that choosing the surrounding points of targets that can represent the background conditions of targets. The midpoint between targets was set to be twice the geometrical resolution of the system (IFOV) away from the target. In this way, the midpoint is close enough to the target to represent its background condition.

Figure 2 below shows the schematic picture for the selection of positions without a smoke screen. In Figure 2, the red points stand for standard blackbodies used as targets, and blue points represent the midpoints near targets. The total gray value of each target $G(r_0)$ can be directly obtained by extracting the pixel gray value of the corresponding red point in the infrared image. In addition, the environmental condition of nearby blue points is similar to that of the target, so the gray value from the environment $G_{\text{sur}}$ of each target can then be estimated by the gray value of a certain nearby blue point, or the mean gray value of the surrounding blue points in the image. By the method mentioned above, $G(r_0)$ and $G_{\text{sur}}$ can be obtained, and the denominator in Equation (6) remains a constant value during the calculation process.

\[
G_{\text{sur}} = \frac{G(r) - G(r_0)}{G'_{\text{sur}} + G_{\text{smk}}}
\]

Figure 2. The selection of positions of $G(r_0)$ and $G_{\text{sur}}$ (no smoke).

Figure 3 shows the schematic picture of the selection of positions under the influence of smoke. After being released, smoke spreads out gradually and starts to obscure certain targets. In this circumstance, the total gray value of target $G(r)$ can be acquired by extracting the gray value of the red point in the infrared image. In addition, the gray value of the environment and smoke itself under the influence of smoke $G'_{\text{sur}} + G_{\text{smk}}$ can also be estimated by the gray value of a certain nearby blue point, or the mean gray value of the surrounding blue points in the image.

\[
G'_{\text{sur}} + G_{\text{smk}} = G_{\text{sur}} + G_{\text{smk}} = \frac{G(r) - G(r_0)}{G(r)}
\]

Figure 3. The selection of positions of $G(r)$ and $G'_{\text{sur}} + G_{\text{smk}}$ (with smoke).
Provided that all the variables required in Equation (6) are all obtained by the method mentioned above, the infrared smoke transmittance of each obscured target during the smoke diffusion process can then be calculated.

Moreover, the number of surrounding midpoints to represent target background condition also needs further study. In this paper, we propose three different algorithms to calculate the background condition of the target. The three value computing methods are shown in Figure 4 as follows:

Figure 4. Schematic picture of three value computing methods.

(a) choose one midpoint beside the target;
(b) choose the mean value of four midpoints surrounding the target;
(c) choose the mean value of the points circled around the target.

4. Results and Discussion

With the field experiment setup and grayscale smoke transmittance model introduced above, a field trial example is illustrated and discussed in this section. A flow chart of the approach is shown in Figure 5 below.

Figure 5. Field smoke transmittance trial flow chart.

First of all, a video of the target scene is input into the Matlab computer software (R2012a, Mathworks, Natick, MA, USA); secondly, the grayscale image is converted into a binary image for the convenience of target positioning; thirdly, the motion path of dynamic smoke is tracked and recorded in the image; lastly, the smoke transmittance of each target is calculated based on the grayscale smoke transmittance model, and an $n \times m$ smoke transmittance matrix can be output at each image frame. Thus, a smoke transmittance matrix cube containing a smoke transmittance matrix of each frame is formed in the end. Combined with the transmittance threshold, we can demonstrate whether the target is efficiently obscured by a smoke screen during anytime of the smoke diffusion process.

In order to observe the variation trend of smoke transmittance, we chose 8 targets that were exactly within the smoke transport and diffusion path as study objects according to the video. In Figure 6, targets circled in red are the selected study objects to output its smoke transmittance variation graph. By using the three value computing methods mentioned in Section 3, smoke transmittance curves were obtained and are shown in Figure 7.
Figure 6. Study objects in infrared target array.

Figure 7. Smoke transmittance curves of eight study objects shown in Figure 6 during the smoke diffusion process. $T_a$, $T_b$, and $T_c$ represent transmittance results from three different methods mentioned in Section 3.
In Figure 7, calculation curves are almost consistent with the theory analysis. The smoke transmittance remains 1 at first, when there is no block of radiation in line of sight. After the release of smoke, smoke transmittance gradually drops to nearly 0 due to the obscuration effect of the smoke cloud. When the smoke cloud continues to drift out of the target area with the wind, the transmittance finally recovers to 1 again.

In theory, the true value of transmittance should be between 0 and 1. According to the three value computing methods shown in Figure 7, methods (a) and (b) have large relative errors compared with method (c). For example, in (5) and (8) of Figure 7, the value of transmittance by method (a) even reaches -0.3 and 1.3 at 5 s, respectively. This is because a smoke cloud forms and has very intense infrared radiance. Since method (c) extracts more samples adjacent to the target, the value it calculates is closer to the true value of the background condition. Thus, it is suggested that method (c) be applied to calculate the background condition of targets.

Based on the infrared images and grayscale smoke transmittance model, the smoke transmittance of the study objects can be calculated at any time. Figure 8 below is the image of the target scene at 9.72 s, and Table 1 below describes the smoke transmittance at each target.

![Image of target infrared array at 9.72 s.](image)

**Table 1.** Smoke transmittance of study objects at 9.72 s.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Transmittance</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. Conclusions

This study investigated the numerical approach of field infrared smoke transmittance based on grayscale images. Experiment results show that this approach can provide great help in the evaluation work of field smoke performance. According to the findings of the study, the following conclusions can be made:

1. With the help of the field target array and one thermal infrared imager, a method for testing smoke transmittance is put forward, which efficiently solves the difficulty of measuring smoke transmittance in the field trial.
2. The infrared radiance of the target and the background in the field trial can be expressed by a corresponding gray value in the infrared image to some extent.
3. The selection of positions of $G(r)$ and $G_{sur} + G_{smk}$ is discussed. Three different value computing methods of the background position are compared, which provides a recommendation of choosing the mean value of midpoints circled around the target to represent the background gray value.
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