Evaluation of High-Speed Railway Bridges Based on a Nondestructive Monitoring System
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Abstract: Recently, trains’ velocities in Korea increased more than the speed used in the design of some bridges. Accordingly, this paper demonstrates the evaluation of a railway bridge due to high-speed trains’ movement. A nondestructive monitoring system is used to assess the bridge performance under train speeds of 290, 360, 400 and 406 km/h. This system is comprised of a wireless short-term acceleration system and strain monitoring sensors attached to the bridge girder. The results of the analytical methods in time and frequency domains are presented. The following conclusions are obtained: the cross-correlation models for accelerations and strain measurements are effective to predict the performance of the bridge; the static behavior is increased with train speed developments; and the vibration, torsion, fatigue and frequency contents analyses of the bridge show that the bridge is safe under applied trains’ speeds.
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1. Introduction

Nowadays, transportation by high-speed trains is considered as one of the important transportation facilities in South Korea as well as in the world’s high income countries. Therefore, increasing of the trains’ speed is one of the main problems facing existing infrastructure facilities. In Korea, high speed trains started in 1992 from Seoul to Busan, while the Korea Train eXpress (KTX) services were launched on 1 April 2004. The bridges and infrastructure of express trains have been developing continuously from 1970. Most high-speed railway bridges are designed based on 350 km/h velocity. Therefore, with the velocity increase, existing bridges should be redesigned and evaluated. The newly-completed train HEMU-430X is currently running at high speed over 400 km/h in the transportation network of Korea. Therefore, this study aims to evaluate the existing composite steel Kaya Bridge of Seoul-Busan High-Speed Railway under the effect of the high speed train movement. The acceleration and strain measurements are used to evaluate the composite bridge under velocities between 290 to 406 km/h.

Lee et al. [1] evaluated steel and pre-stressed concrete (PC) box girder bridges under high speed trains up to 289.3 km/h. From their study, they found that no noticeable differences of dynamic responses due to the different materials (steel or concrete) could be found. Xia et al. [2] evaluated the real observation for the multi-span PC of high-speed railway bridges in a time domain. In their study, they recommended the use of the results as a reference for the design of high-speed railway bridges. Ding et al. [3] used the long term acceleration measurements to evaluate high-speed railway steel bridges. More monitoring systems for the effect of high-speed railway trains on bridges can
be found in [4–7]. In general, the main objective of the structural health monitoring (SHM) systems is collecting the observations or information to detect and assess bridge condition, damage, fatigue and performance for proper and timely maintenance intervention. In order to identify the modes of bridge characteristics, it is necessary to excite the structure in order to produce a response at each relevant mode. The loads and response of structures are parameters for the monitoring of the bridge performance under current and future loadings conditions. Typical SHM implementations in highway and steel bridges are summarized in [8,9]. In addition, for continuous health monitoring studies, the response monitoring technique is more suitable [10].

The evaluation methodologies of high speed railway bridges are concluded in [11–13]. Sartos et al. [14] assessed the stress/strain levels, load distributions, and fatigue for four different bridges based on strain measurements, and they concluded that the system is effective in the static performance analysis. Xia et al. [15] used a simulation model to evaluate vertical and lateral bridge behavior under high-speed trains. The results of their study showed that the deflections and accelerations of the bridge girder are in accordance with the safety and comfort standards of bridges and running train vehicles. Ding et al. [3] proposed the parametric (polynomial fitting) and nonparametric (correlation models, mean value control, root mean square (RMS)) statistical methodology for the acceleration measurements to study the safety and early-warning of the bridge. From their study, they found that the quadratic polynomial fitting provides a good capability for detecting the abnormal changes of the transverse acceleration measurements. Furthermore, the correlation models describing the overall structural behavior of the bridge can be obtained with the support of the health monitoring system, which includes cross-correlation models for accelerations. Liu et al. [6] concluded that the numerical simulation gives a good relation between the predicted and the measured responses. Therefore, the statistical analysis can be used to detect fatigue, torsion and reliability of structures based on strain and displacement measurements [16–18]. Furthermore, parametric models are used to detect the performance of structures based on acceleration and strain measurements [19,20]. The main advantage of these methods is the ability to use them to evaluate and detect structural movements and damage.

The proposed study aims to evaluate Kaya bridge performance using a nondestructive monitoring system designed to assess the existing bridge under high-speed train movement as well as investigating the bridge structural behavior based on a simple application of time series and frequency analyses for the acceleration and strain responses. Finally, the effectiveness of the monitoring sensors in time and frequency domains is assessed in order to decrease the monitoring system cost.

2. Kaya Bridge, High-Speed Trainsand Monitoring System Descriptions

Kaya Bridge, shown in Figure 1a, is a composite steel box girder bridge with 50 m span supports two line high-speed railways. The cross-section of the bridge is shown in Figure 1b. Two longitudinal girders are used with a spacing of 6.5 m and reinforced concrete deck with 14.00 m wide, which is used to provide the large stiffness for such heavy live loads. The use of a steel box as the deck system is another feature in the design of this bridge, which is adopted to reduce uneven deflection and torsion of the deck. The bridge design criteria at the mid span are presented in Table 1.
The sensors were installed at the bottom flange of the main girders in the vertical direction to detect accelerations, strains, deformations and fatigue of the steel box girder bridge were monitored and studied under different speeds of the passing high-speed trains. Other important parameters including accelerations, strains, deformations and fatigue of the steel box girder bridge were monitored and studied under different speeds of the passing high-speed trains.

**Table 1.** Railway Bridge design criteria [5].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Review Factor</th>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>Note</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vertical acceleration</td>
<td>4.9 m/s²</td>
<td>For concrete track</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Displacement as safety</td>
<td>82 mm</td>
<td>Under 350 km/h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Displacement as comfort</td>
<td>22 mm</td>
<td>Under 350 km/h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Track twist</td>
<td>0.4 mm/m</td>
<td>By dynamic analysis</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The next-generation high-speed developed train (HEMU-430X) is intended to travel with a maximum speed of 430 km/h (Figure 2). The high-speed train is controlled to pass over the bridge in the passage track with four different speeds, i.e., 290, 360, 400, and 406 km/h.

To monitor the behavior of the bridge as per the passing of the high speed trains, a wireless SHM system was designed and installed on the Kaya Bridge shortly after it was opened to use the HEMU-430X train, as shown in Figure 3. This on-line concise SHM system was designed with a minimum of 20 sensors to monitor the key parameters. Moreover, a total of 20 accelerometer and strain gauge sensors (five sensors on each railway track) with sampling frequency of 100 Hz were installed on the main girders under each railway track in each direction with equal-spacing of 8.3 m. The sensors were installed at the bottom flange of the main girders in the vertical direction to detect and monitor the vertical vibration and fatigue of the bridge, as illustrated in Figure 3. The entire system consists of a set of sensors, data acquisition, data transmission, data management and a structural evaluation mechanism. The primary purpose of the system is to monitor in-service performance of the bridge structure under high-speed trains, and to provide early warning of abnormal changes in in-service performance of the bridge. Herein, the structural parameters to be monitored in the Kaya Bridge were determined using the structural sensitivity analysis with the finite element model under the designed train speeds action, as shown in Kim et al. [5]. Other important parameters including accelerations, strains, deformations and fatigue of the steel box girder bridge were monitored and studied under different speeds of the passing high-speed trains.
3. Evaluation of the Bridge Condition Using SHM Monitoring Data

Kim et al. [5] designed a 3-D finite element model (FEM) of the Kaya Bridge using ANSYS V10.0 software (ANSYS, Canonsburg, PA, USA, 2005) to support the proposed movement analysis of different train’s speeds. Train speeds from 200 to 450 km/h were studied. The FEM model results are concluded as follows: (i) the natural frequency modes of the bridge are 3.186 (1st bending), 3.689 (2nd bending) and 5.913 (1st torsion) Hz for the first, second and third modes, respectively; (ii) the maximum vertical acceleration and displacement are 3.5 m/s² and 6 mm occurred with train speed 250 and 280 km/h, respectively; (iii) the maximum acceleration and displacement for the train 400 km/h speed are 1.6 m/s² and 3.8 mm, respectively. Therefore, comparing the FEM results and the bridge design criteria in Table 1 shows that the bridge is safe under applied loads.

The current study utilizes the real monitoring data that is collected from the SHM system of Kaya Bridge to assess and evaluate the real bridge condition in terms of its vibration, static strain, torsional and fatigue behavior of the steel deck as well as evaluating and comparing the frequency contents for the measurements of the monitoring sensors.

3.1. Evaluation of the Bridge Girder Vibration Behavior

Figure 4a,b illustrate the typical vertical acceleration time histories of the passage monitoring points of the girder measured from accelerometers 1 to 5 for the 290 km/h and 406 km/h speed, respectively. It can be seen that the \( dt \) (\( dt = t_2 \) (leave time) – \( t_1 \) (entrance time)) values are reported as 5.9, 2.92, 1.9 and 1.85 s with the speeds 290, 360, 400 and 406 km/h, respectively. This means that the vibration time effect on the bridge decreased by 68.65% as the train speed increased from 290 to 406 km/h. In addition, it is observed that the vibrations of the monitored points at a speed of 290 km/h are approximately the same, while as the speed increased, the entrance and exit monitoring points are experiencing high vibration response compared to the mid span point.

![Figure 3. Structural health monitoring (SHM) system locations and components.](image)

**Figure 3.** Structural health monitoring (SHM) system locations and components.

![Figure 4.](image)

**Figure 4.** Vertical acceleration time histories of the girder caused by a high-speed train. (a) passage response points for 290 km/h; (b) passage response points for 406 km/h.
Figure 5 shows that the vertical acceleration of the mid-span point of the girder at 406 km/h is much smaller than that of 290 km/h. In addition, it is noticed that the vibration response for the passage way is higher than that of the opposite side at 400 and 406 km/h, while at 290 and 360 km/h, the acceleration responses for the passage and opposite sides are equal. These indicate that, although the structural layouts of the five monitoring points of the main girder are the same, there is a significant difference between the vertical vibration characteristics of two sides of the bridge due to the rail irregularity in the vertical directions with different speeds. Thus, there is a need to monitor the vertical accelerations of the span in the long term so as to realize anomaly alarms for vibration behavior of the main girder. In addition, the measured vertical acceleration is smaller than the FEM results by 62% according to the design criteria of the bridge (as shown Table 1), which means that the real response is safe. Furthermore, the girder torsional behavior should be studied with new development trains’ speeds.

Figure 6 illustrates the dynamic torsional behavior of the bridge girder based on vertical acceleration measurements [21]. The torsional behavior of the girder due to train passage at 406 km/h is shown in Figure 6a. While the torsional behavior of the mid span point at different speeds is shown in Figure 6b.

\[
T = \frac{a_{\text{pass}} - a_{\text{opps}}}{l}
\]

where \( T \), \( a_{\text{pass}} \), \( a_{\text{opps}} \) and \( l \) are the torsion, acceleration for the passage and opposite points and distances between sensors’ positions.

Figure 6. Torsional measurements for the bridge girder. (a) the torsional of the monitoring points at 406 km/h passage; (b) mid span point torsional values.

It is noticed that the torsional values increased as the train velocity increased with maximum values at points 1 and 5. As the speed increased from 290 to 406 km/h, the torsional values increased by
61.20%, while they increased by 22.33% as the train speed increased from 360 to 406 km/h. This means that the bridge deck torsional response is higher than the vibration response. The girder torsional value at the mid span point, 0.103 rad/s², is within the design values, as shown in Table 1.

The statistical parameters, maximum and root mean square (RMS), for the acceleration measurements and torsional calculations at 360 and 406 km/h are presented in Table 2. The 360 km/h is assumed as the base-speed for the study of the bridge safety because the bridge design speed is 350 km/h. Furthermore, the Bessel filter cut-off frequency of 30 Hz is applied to remove the noise measurements of the accelerometer [21]. It is noticed that the maximum acceleration occurred at points 1 and 5 for the passage and opposite directions at 406 km/h speed, respectively, with values within the design limits as shown in Table 1. While there is no high relative change at points 2 to 4, the RMS of the 360 km/h is smaller than that of the 406 km/h on passage way, while vice versa in the opposite way except for point 5. The maximum torsion occurred at point 5 at a speed of 406 km/h with a value very close to the design value (Table 1). Thus, it is recommended to limit the train speed to 400 km/h only. In addition, the effective torsion test should be assessed at the end points of the bridge (point of maximum torsion).

Table 2. Maximum acceleration, root mean square (RMS) and torsional acceleration for the filtration of vibration measurements.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Point</th>
<th>Acceleration (m/s²)</th>
<th>RMS (m/s²)</th>
<th>Max Torsion (rad/s²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Passage 360</td>
<td>406</td>
<td>Opposite 360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.104</td>
<td>1.251</td>
<td>0.169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.169</td>
<td>0.183</td>
<td>0.219</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.244</td>
<td>0.319</td>
<td>0.279</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.569</td>
<td>0.622</td>
<td>0.252</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.401</td>
<td>0.169</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ding et al. [3,17] concluded that the quadratic linear fitting for the maximum and RMS are calculated for the acceleration measurements can be used to detect the performance of high-speed railway bridges based on one span monitoring. Herein, this method is applied to detect and check the performance of the bridge due to different speeds on the two ways of the track. The maximum and RMS of 150 acceleration of the original measurements are shown in Figure 7. The quadratic linear fitting is suitable for the maximum acceleration (Figure 7a), while the RMS shows no correlation between the passage and opposite ways. Therefore, the maximum acceleration fitting can be used to check the safety of the bridge.

Figure 7. Cross-correlation between the passage and opposite way responses for 360 km/h. (a) cross-correlation maximum acceleration; (b) cross-correlation root mean square (RMS) acceleration.
In this case, the fitting equation is used to predict the opposite way measurements for the 400 and 406 km/h, as shown in Figure 8. The correlation coefficient of the measured maximum values and predicted values with 400 and 406 km/h is 0.999 and 0.998 for opposite accelerations, respectively. The results indicate that good cross-correlation exists between the maximum values of the opposite way at the two train speeds. Herein, the control chart can be used to monitor the changes in the vertical accelerations caused by deterioration of the vibration behavior. Firstly, the condition index $e = \text{Max (measurments)} - \text{Max (prediction)}$ for early warning of abnormal vibration behavior is defined as the difference between the measured and predicted maximum values of the accelerations in the opposite way. Then, a mean value control chart is employed to monitor the time series of $e$ with regard to the opposite accelerations. For online monitoring, the controlling parameter is chosen so that, when the structural vibration is in good condition, all observation samples fall between the control limits. When the new measurement is made, the structural abnormal vibration condition can be detected if an unusual number of samples fall beyond the control limits. In this study, the control condition is assumed with 290 km/h found within $0.025\text{ to } -0.03\text{ m/s}^2$. The calculated condition index for the 400 and 406 km/h is between $0.0043\text{ and } -0.028\text{ m/s}^2$. Hence, a long-term monitoring of the maximum values of the opposite accelerations can help in the early-warning of the vibration behavior deterioration. These results are identical with the Ding et al. [3,17] conclusions.

![Figure 8](image_url)

**Figure 8.** Predicting the effect of cross-correlation between maximum values of accelerations by using quadratic polynomials. (a) 400 km/h; (b) 406 km/h.

### 3.2. Evaluation of the Bridge Girders’ Train Responses

The measured vertical strain histories of the monitoring points of the passage way for the train speeds 290 and 406 km/h are presented in Figure 9a,b. The vertical strain of the mid-span point of passage and opposite ways for different train speeds are compared in Figure 9c,d. As the train speeds increase to 290, 360, 400 and 406 km/h, the strain responses ($dt$) decrease to 2.1, 1.93, 1.64 and 1.61 s, respectively. This shows that the time of static strain responses decreases by 23.33% when the speed changes from 290 and 406 km/h. In addition, the maximum strain response in the passage and opposite ways occurred at a speed of 290 km/h. The results as such show that the static and dynamic behavior of the bridge is higher with low train speeds. In addition, the strain measurements of the bridge points are highly correlated (0.95) with each speed change. This means that the strain measurement of the mid span point can be used to detect the performance of the whole bridge. This situation will decrease the cost of the monitoring system due to the use of one monitoring point only. The high correlation (0.99) of strain response for the passage and opposite ways occurred at 400 and 406 km/h. It means that the strain response of the two speeds is approximately equal in the static response. However, to show clearly the relationship between dynamic and static response of the bridge, the dynamic increment factor should be calculated and analyzed. The Savitzky-Golay finite impulse response(FIR) smoothing filter is applied to detect the static strain of the bridge. The first polynomial order with 101 frame size is utilized in this study. Figure 10a shows the strain measurements and filter data of the mid span of
passage direction with a train speed of 406 km/h. Therefore, the dynamic increment factor (DF) can be calculated as follows [22,23]:

$$DF = 1 + \left( \frac{A_{\text{dyn}}}{A_{\text{stc}}} \right)$$

where $A_{\text{dyn}}$ and $A_{\text{stc}}$ are the maximum absolute of dynamic and static amplitude of the strain, as shown in Figure 10a. The dynamic factors of passage (P) and opposite (O) directions for the monitored points are illustrated in Figure 10b.

![Figure 9](image.png)

**Figure 9.** Strain measurements of the main girder induced by high-speed train. (a) passing response points for 290 km/h; (b) passing response points for 406 km/h; (c) passage response mid span points; (d) opposite response mid span points.

The dynamic factor calculation shows that the DF of 290 km/h train is higher than other train speeds at the passage and opposite directions. Furthermore, the DF of the opposite direction is higher than the passage direction with all train speeds except point (P3) with speeds of 400 and 406 km/h.

![Figure 10](image.png)

**Figure 10.** Measured static strain and dynamic factor of the strain. (a) measured and filtered static strain; (b) dynamic factor of the monitoring points.

From Figure 10b, it can be seen that the DFs for the development speeds are less than two. It means that the dominant performance of the bridge is static with speeds of 360, 400 and 406 km/h at all
monitoring points, while the dynamic performance occurred at the opposite monitoring direction with train speed 290 km/h and point (O1) with train speed 400 km/h. These results indicate that the static behavior increases with increased train speeds. Therefore, the fatigue and frequency behavior should be studied to investigate the safety of the bridge under high train speed effect.

Herein, the cross-correlation evaluation is used to predict the dynamic behavior of strain contents. The same conditions used in the acceleration analysis are used in this part. Figure 11 presents the cross-correlation and the cubic fitting of the maximum dynamic of strain measurements. The relationship between the maximum dynamic of strain contents in the passage and opposite directions for the train speed of 360 km/h is illustrated in Figure 11a. While Figure 11b shows the prediction of the opposite direction contents of the dynamic strain for 406 km/h, the statistical analysis of cubic and quadratic fitting shows that the correlation coefficient of quadratic is 0.90, so the cubic fitting in this case is better to predict the dynamic behavior of the strain contents. The comparison between the results of acceleration and strain dynamic contents shows that the dynamic evaluation of acceleration is better and effective to assess the vibration of the bridge, but the strain dynamic contents can be used to decrease the monitoring cost.

![Figure 11. Cross-correlation and prediction of maximum strain dynamic.](image)

To perform fatigue evaluation, a simplified rain-flow cycle counting algorithm was used first to process strain history data and the spectrum of stress matrix obtained by statistical analysis [17,24]. The spectra of stress matrix calculated using the strain history data with train speeds of 360 and 406 km/h for the passage (left) and opposite (right) as shown in Figure 12, respectively. In addition, the maximum stress cycles are presented in Figure 12. It is observed that the maximum stress amplitude, obtained from strain history curves under two trains’ effects for the passage and opposite directions, is smaller than 2.5 MPa. Therefore, only a small number of stress cycles occur at the higher stress range. Most cycles occur in the region of stress mean and amplitude from −0.5 to 0.5 MPa and 0 to 0.5 MPa, respectively. Thus, the mean and amplitude values of the stress for the two trains in the two directions are equal.

![Figure 12. Cont.](image)
The maximum number of stress cycles at 406 km/h is smaller than that occurring at 360 km/h in the two directions. The results show that the fatigue stress and number of cycles limit are 29 MPa and $2 \times 10^6$, respectively [25], as recommended by Eurocode 3. The value of the equivalent stress amplitude and the number of cycles when the high-speed train passes through bridge is far less than this value for two trains. However, the fatigue behavior of the bridge deck satisfies the requirement of the infinite-fatigue-life design method.

3.3. Acceleration-Strain Frequency Domain Evaluation

The frequency contents of strain and acceleration measurements for the mid-span monitoring points in the passage and opposite directions are illustrated in Figure 13. The cross spectrum density function in Matlab (Version 7.6, MathWorks, Natic, MA, USA, 2008) is used to calculate the frequency contents. Based on the FEM [5] analysis, the band-pass filters in between 1 to 45 Hz with 101 hamming window are used to filter the measured data to include the static and dynamic frequency contents of the bridge. From Figure 13, the frequency contents are 3.223, 3.906 Hz and 3.223, 4.199 Hz for 290 and 360 km/h at the opposite and passage directions, respectively. In addition, the frequency contents equal (4.297 Hz) for the 400 and 406 km/h at the two directions. From the comparison of the FEM frequency and real data, it is observed that the first dynamic mode changes increased with increasing the trains’ speeds. The changes of passage frequency from the first bending FEM frequency mode are 18.5%, 24.2%, 25.8% for the speeds 290, 360 and 406 km/h, respectively. The strain frequencies contents at the two directions with the effect of all trains’ speeds are similar. In addition, the static frequency contents are clearly shown with strain measurements only. The low frequencies are 0.781, 0.977, 1.172, 1.172 Hz and 0.879, 1.074, 1.172, 1.172 Hz of the opposite and passage directions for the 290, 360, 400, 406 km/h train speeds, respectively. It means that the strain measurements are enough to estimate the static and dynamic behavior in frequency domain. Moreover, from the comparison between the first mode contents of the measurements and the FEM calculations, it can be concluded that the bridge is safe under its current dynamic behavior with the development speeds of trains.

The Matlab Spectrogram toolbox is used to extract the three dimensional time-frequency maps for the passage train at the mid-span point of the bridge at speeds 290 and 406 km/h, as shown in Figure 14. The results show that the power spectrum density (PSD) at 290 km/h speed is lower than the PSD amplitude at 406 km/h. The PSD frequency responses’ amplitude differences between trains passage and departure (load and unload) show small values at 406 km/h. Therefore, it is concluded that the dynamic behavior of the bridge at train speeds of 406 km/h is greater than the 290 km/h. However, it is concluded that the bridge is safe at a speed of 406 km/h, but it should be continuously monitored if trains speeds are increased above this value. Moreover, the increase of PSD with train speeds indicates that the simple beam girders of steel bridges are very sensitive to train induced vibrations, and, therefore, may be not suitable for an increase in the speed of train traffic.
4. Summary and Conclusions

This paper aims to evaluate the measurements of a structural health monitoring system of the Kaya railway bridge in Korea with high-speed trains. A non-destructive monitoring system using accelerometers and strain sensors is designed to monitor the performance of the bridge under new development train speeds of 400 and 406 km/h. The static and dynamic behavior of the bridge are analyzed and discussed. Accordingly, the following remarks and conclusions are drawn:

- The effective torsion test should be assessed at the end points of the bridge.
- The torsional response shows a higher effect than the vibration response on the bridge deck.
- The mathematical correlation models describing the overall structural behavior of the bridge can be obtained with the support of the health monitoring system.
- The effective torsion test should be assessed at the end points of the bridge.

Figure 13. Acceleration and strain frequency contents. (a) passage-acceleration; (b) opposite-acceleration; (c) passage-strain; (d) opposite-strain.

Figure 14. Time-frequency acceleration measurements for the trains speeds (a) 290 km/h and (b) 406 km/h.
- The mean value control chart for the acceleration and strain can be applied for bridge monitoring and for the early warning of any abnormal behavior.
- The dynamic factor calculation shows that the static behavior increases with train speed developments.
- The statistical analysis of cubic and quadratic fits shows that the cubic fitting in monitoring strain is better to predict the dynamic behavior of the strain contents.
- The comparison between the results of acceleration and strain dynamic contents shows that the dynamic evaluation of acceleration is better and effective to assess the vibration of the bridge, but the strain dynamic contents can be used to decrease the monitoring cost.
- The fatigue performance of the bridge deck satisfies the requirement of infinite-fatigue-life design method, and the highest cycles occur in a close region of stress mean and amplitude. Therefore, the bridge-deck fatigue is safe under current trains’ speeds.
- The frequency calculation of the acceleration and strain measurements shows that the strain measurements are enough to estimate the static and dynamic behavior in frequency domain.
- Comparing the first mode contents of the measurements and the FEM calculations shows that the dynamic behavior of the bridge is safe with development speeds of trains.
- The increase of PSD with train speeds indicates that the simple beam girders of steel bridges are very sensitive to train induced vibrations, and, therefore, may be not suitable for increased speed of train traffic.
- Based on the vibration, torsion, fatigue and frequency contents of the bridge, it is concluded that the bridge is safe under the development speed with a recommendation not to increase the train speed because the torsion performance is critical at 406 km/h at the entrance and exit monitoring points.
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