Thermodynamic Analysis of Pyrolusite for Dry Flue Gas Desulfurization
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Abstract: Various approaches to flue gas desulfurization by low-grade manganese and high efficiency desulfurization in sintering enterprises were investigated, and the predominance areas of the Mn/Fe-S-O system were constructed in this paper. Additionally, the areas in different temperatures were established based on the thermodynamic properties achieved from manuals. From the view of thermodynamics, manganese oxides were suitable and feasible for desulfurization at an appropriate temperature range from 393 K to 453 K (120 °C to 180 °C), which means that the SO2 of sintering flue gas could be removed directly without further cooling or heating. The analysis often showed that there was an overlap area of the Mn-S-O and Fe-S-O system, indicating that it would be a coexistence stability region of MnSO4 and Fe2O3, which provided a possibility of desulfurization by selective salvation without the sulfate and sulfide of iron forming. More importantly, the predominance areas of Mn/Fe-S-O would offer an attractive way of determining optimum experimental conditions for dry desulfurization by low-grade manganese resources.
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1. Introduction

SO2 was well-known as an undesirable contaminant being emitted into the atmosphere from the combustion of coal, the smelting of ores, and the production and consumption of petroleum and natural gas, increasing the damaging effects to the environment and public health. Although the SO2 concentration was very low, the total amount was huge [1]. Since 1970, flue gas desulfurization (FGD) has been attracting the attention of the world, with the dominant technology being the lime/limestone process due to its high efficiency and stability [2]. However, the present FGD technology has many disadvantages, such as high capital cost, large occupation of land area, large consumption of fresh water, and formation of secondary pollutants [3,4]; it is consequently not suitable for sintering flue gas. Many methodologies have been proposed to remedy such problems, such as dry FGD technologies, namely SO2-containing flue gases related with dry sorbets (lime or limestone), which were considered to be more suitable for flue gas desulfurization because of their low operating costs, high desulfurization efficiency without preheat before desulfurization, and lower water consumption [3,4].

Manganese oxides have received special emphasis as an absorbent for removing sulfur dioxide from flue gas. In early studies, investigators [5–9] indicated that pyrolusite slurry or manganese oxides could remove SO2 from flue gas with little negative impact on the environment. From a theoretical
point of view, pyrolusite or manganese oxides have desirable properties: a high sulfur capacity, the ability to remove SO$_2$ to a very low level, and the feasibility of formed manganese sulfate, which could soluble in the aqueous solution. From a technical point of view, the new technology paves the way to exploit and utilize low-grade manganese resources. However, during the process of absorption, the manganese dioxide in the pyrolusite was converted to dithionate [10], bringing pollution to the subsequent processing. The fuel gas of the sintering process discharge temperatures was about 120 °C to 180 °C [11,12]. Therefore, the present work proposed a dry desulfurization technique employing low-grade manganese resources at a discharged temperature range from 393 K to 453 K, where the flue gas from the sintering process could be desulfurized directly without further cooling or heating.

There were a number of studies that led to the consideration of manganese oxides or manganese-based sorbet for flue gas desulfurization. The earlier work, which was subsequently followed by kinetic studies, predicted manganese sulfate would not be thermodynamically feasible above approximately 1188 K [13,14]. Kiang et al. [15] proposed a non-topochemical model at temperatures from 573 K to 723 K using manganese dioxide, assuming the reaction was irreversible and first-order with respect to SO$_2$ concentration, and also proportional to the reacting surface area. Lacey et al. [16] reported a homogeneous model of the absorption of SO$_2$ by γ-Mn$_2$O$_3$, and the data indicated that the absorption is controlled by both diffusion and chemical reaction. Ingraham et al. [17] measured the rate of absorption of SO$_2$ by MnO$_2$, Mn$_2$O$_3$ and Mn$_3$O$_4$ at temperatures between 573 K and 723 K, finding the weight gain increased almost linearly with time. Li et al. [18] tested fixed bed absorption of SO$_2$ by MnO$_2$ and Mn$_2$O$_3$ and found the absorption capacity increased with the increasing surface area and decreasing specific gravity. Uno et al. [19] presented an empirical equation for the absorption of SO$_2$ from flue gas with manganese oxide (MnO$_x$·$i$H$_2$O: $x=1.5–1.8$, $i=0.1–1.0$), and found the reaction rate decreased with the increase in MnSO$_4$ content in the absorbent and dropped sharply when the MnSO$_4$ content reached about 30%–40%.

The incentive of this present research was part of an ongoing project which aimed at developing a predominance area for dry desulfurization by low-grade manganese resources. According to the study, the predominance areas of Mn/Fe-S-O would offer an attractive way of determining optimum experimental conditions for dry desulfurization.

2. Theoretical Principles

According to Kirchhoff, the Gibbs free energy was given by Equation (1):

$$\Delta_r G_T^\theta = \Delta H_{298.15}^\theta - T\Delta S_{298.15}^\theta + \int_{298.15}^T \Delta c_p dT - T \int_{298.15}^T \frac{\Delta c_p dT}{T}$$

In the Mn/Fe-S-O system, the chemical reactions studied may be represented by the general stoichiometric equation:

$$aA(s) + bB(g) = dD(s) + hH(g)$$

(2)

When the reaction was at equilibrium, the Gibbs free energy could be expressed as:

$$\Delta_r G_T = \Delta_r G_T^\theta + RT \times \ln k_{ep} = 0$$

(3)

With the equilibrium constant of this reaction (i.e., for $a_D = a_A = 1$):

$$k_{ep} = \frac{a_D^d(p_H/p)^h}{a_A^a(p_B/p)^b} = \frac{p_H^d}{p_B^b} \times p^{b-h}$$

(4)

where $a_i$ is the activity of component $i$, and $p$ is normal pressure.
Hence, it followed from Equations (3) and (4):

$$h \ln p_H = \frac{\Delta G_T^0}{2.303RT} + b \ln p + (b - h) l p$$  

(5)

where $k_{eq}$ was the thermodynamic equilibrium constant of the reaction at any temperature; $p_i$ was the equilibrium partial pressure of gaseous component $i$; $R$ was the universal gas constant; and $T$ was the reaction temperature in Kelvin.

The species that existed in Mn-S-O systems were O$_2$, SO$_2$, Mn, MnO, MnO$_2$, Mn$_2$O$_3$, Mn$_3$O$_4$, MnS, MnS$_2$ and MnSO$_4$. The thermodynamic properties of these species were gathered in Table 1.

**Table 1. Thermodynamic properties of species in the Mn-S-O system.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Compounds</th>
<th>Temperature Range (K)</th>
<th>$\Delta H^{298.15}_r$ (kJ mol$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$\Delta S^{298.15}_r$ (kJ mol$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$c_f(T) = a + bT + cT^2 + dT^2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>O$_2$ (g)</td>
<td>298.15–1000.00</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>205.03</td>
<td>26.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>SO$_2$ (g)</td>
<td>298.15–1700.00</td>
<td>$-296,842.00$</td>
<td>248.10</td>
<td>53.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Mn (s)</td>
<td>298.15–980.00</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>32.01</td>
<td>23.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>MnO (s)</td>
<td>298.15–2115.15</td>
<td>$-384,928.00$</td>
<td>59.83</td>
<td>46.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>MnO$_2$ (s)</td>
<td>298.15–523.00</td>
<td>$-520,071.20$</td>
<td>53.13</td>
<td>69.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Mn$_2$O$_3$ (s)</td>
<td>298.15–1350.00</td>
<td>$-956,880.80$</td>
<td>110.45</td>
<td>103.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Mn$_3$O$_4$ (s)</td>
<td>298.15–1445.00</td>
<td>$-1,386,577.60$</td>
<td>153.97</td>
<td>144.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>MnS (s)</td>
<td>298.15–1803.00</td>
<td>$-213,384.00$</td>
<td>80.33</td>
<td>47.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>MnS$_2$ (s)</td>
<td>298.15–700.00</td>
<td>$-223,844.00$</td>
<td>99.91</td>
<td>69.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>MnSO$_4$ (s)</td>
<td>298.15–973.00</td>
<td>$-1,085,246.40$</td>
<td>112.13</td>
<td>122.42</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The equilibrium reaction and its enthalpy, entropy at the temperature of 298.15 K, and the Gibbs free energy were listed in Table 2. Additionally, Equation (3) could be used to calculate the equilibrium constant ($k_{eq}$).

**Table 2. The enthalpy, entropy and Gibbs free energy of the equilibrium reaction.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Equilibrium Reaction</th>
<th>$\Delta H^{298.15}_r$ (kJ mol$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$\Delta S^{298.15}_r$ (kJ mol$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$\Delta G^{298.15}_r$ (kJ mol$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>MnO$_2$(s) + SO$_2$(g) = MnS$O_4$(s)</td>
<td>248.33</td>
<td>189.10</td>
<td>249,514.77 + 185,477 − 0.0588 × InT − 0.0137²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>MnS$O_4$(s) + O$_2$(g) + MnS$O_4$(s)</td>
<td>265.86</td>
<td>171.96</td>
<td>245,623.65 + 237,587 − 20.877 × InT − 0.0023²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Mn$_2$O$_3$(s) + O$_2$(g) + MnO$_2$(s)</td>
<td>248.84</td>
<td>189.10</td>
<td>249,514.77 + 185,477 − 0.0588 × InT − 0.0137²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2MnS$O_4$(s) + 2SO$_2$(g) = 2Mn$_2$O$_3$(s)</td>
<td>248.33</td>
<td>189.10</td>
<td>249,514.77 + 185,477 − 0.0588 × InT − 0.0137²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Mn$_2$O$_3$(s) + O$_2$(g) + 2MnS$O_4$(s)</td>
<td>248.33</td>
<td>189.10</td>
<td>249,514.77 + 185,477 − 0.0588 × InT − 0.0137²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>4MnS$O_4$(s) + 2SO$_2$(g) = 2Mn$_2$O$_3$(s)</td>
<td>248.33</td>
<td>189.10</td>
<td>249,514.77 + 185,477 − 0.0588 × InT − 0.0137²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>2MnS$O_4$(s) + O$_2$(g) + 3MnO$_2$(s)</td>
<td>248.33</td>
<td>189.10</td>
<td>249,514.77 + 185,477 − 0.0588 × InT − 0.0137²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>2Mn$_2$O$_3$(s) + 3SO$_2$(g) = 2MnS$O_4$(s)</td>
<td>248.33</td>
<td>189.10</td>
<td>249,514.77 + 185,477 − 0.0588 × InT − 0.0137²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>2MnS$O_4$(s) + 2SO$_2$(g) = 2Mn$_2$O$_3$(s)</td>
<td>248.33</td>
<td>189.10</td>
<td>249,514.77 + 185,477 − 0.0588 × InT − 0.0137²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>2MnS$O_4$(s) + 3SO$_2$(g) + O$_2$(g) = 4MnS$O_4$(s)</td>
<td>248.33</td>
<td>189.10</td>
<td>249,514.77 + 185,477 − 0.0588 × InT − 0.0137²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>3MnS$O_4$(s) + O$_2$(g) + 2MnO$_2$(s)</td>
<td>248.33</td>
<td>189.10</td>
<td>249,514.77 + 185,477 − 0.0588 × InT − 0.0137²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Mn$_2$S$_3$(s) + 2O$_2$(g) + MnO$_2$(s)</td>
<td>248.33</td>
<td>189.10</td>
<td>249,514.77 + 185,477 − 0.0588 × InT − 0.0137²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Mn$_2$S$_3$(s) + 3O$_2$(g) + SO$_2$(g)</td>
<td>248.33</td>
<td>189.10</td>
<td>249,514.77 + 185,477 − 0.0588 × InT − 0.0137²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>3MnS$O_4$(s) + 5O$_2$(g) = Mn$_3$S$O_4$(s) + 3SO$_2$(g)</td>
<td>248.33</td>
<td>189.10</td>
<td>249,514.77 + 185,477 − 0.0588 × InT − 0.0137²</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Predominance area of Mn-S-O

The compounds involved in this diagram were stable within a predominance region, bordered by lines that represent the coexistence with other phases. The slope of each curve was determined by the stoichiometric coefficients of the corresponding reaction. Figure 1 indicated that manganese sulfate was the major chemical substance occurring during flue gas desulfurization by MnO$_2$ or other manganese oxides. It also illustrated that MnO$_2$, the main component of pyrolusite, can remove sulfur oxides to the level of government regulations, and the emission limit of sulfur oxides for the current sintering process is 600 mg/m$^3$ in China.
To obtain the desired and high value by-product, the conditions of desulfurization must be carefully controlled. The off-gas flows of the sinter machine were volatile, approximately reaching a value range of 500,000 to 2,000,000 Nm$^3$/h (NTP) [11], with a SO$_2$ concentration from 0.05% to 0.23%. According to Number 1 in Table 2, as the SO$_2$ partial pressure decreases along with the vertical downward dotted line, the value 0.21 atm represents the O$_2$ partial pressure in the atmospheric pressure, and the balance moved forward and arrived at the equilibrium boundary of MnO$_2$/MnSO$_4$, to approach an equilibrium value ($10^{-21}$), which is shown in Figure 1. In addition, the low valence manganese oxides achieve equilibrium with MnSO$_4$ more easily. This implies that MnSO$_4$ is the stable form of manganese for the manganese-containing flue gas desulfurization.

There are four stable oxides of manganese: MnO, Mn$_3$O$_4$, Mn$_2$O$_3$ and MnO$_2$. The reactions with SO$_2$, such as NO. 1, NO. 9, NO. 10 and NO. 11 in Table 2, however, were very exothermic and the Gibbs free energy was negative. From Figure 1, it also can be found that they are appropriate for desulfurization.

3.2. The Influence of Temperature on Dry Desulfurization

The extent of desulfurization achieved depended on the temperature and the gas composition. To obtain the desired and high value by-product, the conditions of desulfurization must be carefully controlled. The extent of desulfurization achieved depended on the temperature and gas composition. Importantly, the involved reaction of the Mn-S-O systems containing manganese oxides was exothermic. Therefore, temperatures must be carefully chosen to keep the reactivity and physical durability of the manganese ores. High temperatures may cause surface packing, leading pyrolusite reactivity to reduce greatly through the substantial loss of porosity [20,21]. Relatively low temperatures may result in a low reaction velocity and mass transfer rate. The effect of temperature was displayed by the predominance area diagram of the Mn-S-O systems at 350 K, 400 K, 500 K and 600 K, as shown in Figure 2.

It was seen from Figure 2, as the temperature was increased, that the slope of each boundary remains the same, but its position was moved toward the upper right-hand corner of the diagram, further limiting the region of stability of the manganese sulfate. Additionally, as the temperature decreased, fewer residuals of SO$_2$ are found in the clean gas. An appropriate temperature can ensure the efficiency of the reaction, thus eliminating the diffusion control caused by blocking the product surface. However, the operating temperature was determined by the macroscopic properties of the
minerals and desulfurization process. It also can be found that desulfurization at 600 K was more efficient than at 350 K. More importantly, the fuel gas of the sintering process discharge temperatures was about 120 °C to 180 °C \[11,12\]. We concluded that the discharge temperatures were the ideal reaction temperature range and the flue gas could be desulfurized directly without further cooling or heating means.

![Figure 2. Predominance area diagram of Mn-S-O system: (a) 350 K; (b) 400 K; (c) 500 K; (d) 600 K.](image)

This type of above presentation does not show the effect of temperatures, however. This could be directly achieved by plotting \( \log p_S \) versus temperature, or better yet against reciprocal temperature, for a constant O\(_2\) pressure, as shown in Figure 3.

![Figure 3. Effect of temperature on phase equilibrium in the Mn-S-O system at constant O\(_2\) partial pressure, \( p_{total} = 1 \text{ atm} \).](image)
According to Figure 3, the former value was selected because it represents the O2 partial pressure in atmospheric pressure, and the latter value was selected according to Ref. [22]. As the O2 partial pressure decreases, the regions of sulfur dioxide stability expand while those of the sulfide and sulfate diminish. The effect of temperatures during desulfurization was consistent with the above discussion. Additionally, the low valence manganese oxides can readily oxidize sulfur dioxide to manganese sulfate even in low O2 partial pressure. It was also clear that the equilibriums of MnO/Mn3O4 and Mn3O4/Mn2O3 are independent of the SO2 partial pressure.

3.3. The Overlap Area of Mn-S-O and Fe-S-O Systems

Pyrolusite, the main component of manganese oxide, was a mixtures of many substances, including silica, iron, aluminum, calcium, and other materials [23]. Iron oxide was considered to be a very promising and attractive sorbet for high-temperature desulfurization [5,22,24] because of its favorable sulfation thermodynamics.

The thermodynamic condition can be obtained from the overlap diagram of the Mn-S-O and Fe-S-O systems, as shown in Figure 4.

![Figure 4](image-url)

**Figure 4.** The overlap area of Mn-S-O system and Fe-S-O system at 420 K.

As indicated in the figure, ferric oxide in pyrolusite may be transformed to ferric sulfate or ferrous sulfate by SO2, which is soluble in the water solution, thus making co-precipitates and bringing some trouble to the subsequent processing. Theoretical guidelines may be deduced from Figure 4 to avoid the possibility of formation of sulfate ferric during desulfurization. The location of the shaded region on the diagram is the coexistent stability region of MnSO4 and Fe2O3. By using an appropriate thermodynamic condition, MnO2 can be sulfated without sulfide and sulfate of iron. Besides, the manganese oxides exhibit great chemical activity and more complex mineralogical composition than ferric oxide at high temperatures [24,25], which are available to partition manganese and ferric oxides into different mineral phases and chemical forms by selective sulfation.

The sintering fuel gas includes a significant amount of SO2 and NOx, together with a small amount of entrained solid particles such as fly ash and other heavy metals. Manganese minerals can remove the above-mentioned waste at the same time to meet the stringent government regulations, achieving the goal of recovery of a high value manganese-containing end-product economically. In addition, the amount of entrained solid particles is more or less than manganese oxides, thus leading a slight influence to the efficiency of desulfurization.
Manganese minerals are of potential commercial interest because in addition to Mn they also contain significant amounts (several tenths to more than one weight percent) of Fe, Ca, Ni, Co, and other strategic metals [26–28]. The thermodynamic analysis only shows the feasibility of dry desulfurization by manganese oxides. The actual process of desulfurization was a solid-gas reaction; the efficiency of desulfurization may be controlled by gas film diffusion, product layer diffusion, and surface reaction. How to increase the efficiency of flue gas desulfurization requires a deep insight into the thorough and detailed understanding of the atomic structures and crystal chemistries of manganese oxide minerals and the reaction mechanism of the solid-gas phase. Some kinetic studies of sulfur dioxide absorption by manganese should be further discussed.

4. Conclusions

As presented by the predominance area diagram of the Mn-S-O system, the removal of sulfur dioxide by using manganese oxides from sintering flue gas at discharge temperatures was able to meet the government regulations.

According to the predominance area diagram presented above at different temperatures, the flue gas could be desulfurized directly without further cooling or heating means which potentially offers significant thermal efficiency benefits.

The overlap of the predominance areas of the Mn-S-O and Fe-S-O systems indicated that both manganese and iron have the ability to desulfurize. By using an appropriate thermodynamic condition, a method of selective sulfation can lead manganese and ferric oxides into different mineral phases and chemical forms. The natural manganese resources, including manganese nodules and pyrolusite, provide a practical and extremely effective way for flue gas desulfurization, due to their large surface area and high chemical activity.

The predominance areas of Mn/Fe-S-O would offer an attractive way of determining optimum experimental conditions for dry desulfurization by low-grade manganese resources, detectibly yielding a high-value end-product.
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Abbreviations

The following abbreviations are used in this manuscript:

\( a \) stoichiometric coefficients of component \( A \)
\( a_i \) activity of component \( i \)
\( A \) component \( A \)
\( b \) stoichiometric coefficients of component \( B \)
\( B \) component \( B \)
\( c_p \) specific heat capacity, kJ·mol\(^{-1}\)·K\(^{-1}\)
\( d \) stoichiometric coefficients of component \( D \)
\( D \) component \( D \)
\( \Delta G \) Gibbs free energy change, kJ·mol\(^{-1}\)
\( \Delta H \) enthalpy change, kJ·mol\(^{-1}\)
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$h$ stoichiometric coefficients of component $H$

$H$ component $H$

$k_{ep}$ thermodynamic equilibrium constant of reaction

$p_i$ equilibrium partial pressure of gaseous component $i$, Pa

$p_S$ equilibrium partial pressure of SO$_2$ gas, Pa

$p_O$ equilibrium partial pressure of O$_2$ gas, Pa

$p$ normal pressure, 101325 Pa

$R$ universal gas constant

$\Delta S$ entropy change, J mol$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$

$T$ temperature, K
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