Table S1. The model summary of the logistic regression predicting snack choice for the chocolate selection task. Primary predictors included were condition (availability ratio of high & low calorie snacks), state rumination and trait preservative cognition with gender and self-reported hunger incorporated entered as covariates.

		011.		95% Conference Interval	
	Wald	<i>p</i> -Value	Odds Ratio –	Lower	Upper
Male (Ref: Female)	3.992	0.046	1.85	1.01	3.37
Hunger	2.805	0.094	1.69	1.05	2.70
Uneven Condition (Ref: Even)	9.436	0.002	2.50	1.39	4.48
State Rumination (BSRI score)	1.809	0.179	1.63	0.80	3.34
Trait Preservative cognition (PTQ score)	1.136	0.286	1.02	0.99	1.04
Constant	4.088	0.043	5.43		

Nagelkerke R Square = 0.129

Hosmer and Lemeshow Test: $\chi^2 = 6.065$, p = 0.640

Table S2. The model summary of the logistic regression predicting snack choice for the crisp selection task. Primary predictors included were condition (availability ratio of high & low calorie snacks), state rumination and trait preservative cognition with gender and self-reported hunger incorporated entered as covariates.

				95% Conference Interval	
	Wald	<i>p</i> -Value	Odds Ratio	Lower	Upper
Male (Ref: Female)	5.995	0.014	2.23	1.17	4.24
Hunger	4.829	0.028	1.69	0.91	3.57
Uneven Condition (Ref: Even)	4.790	0.029	1.93	1.06	2.70
State Rumination (BSRI score)	2.834	0.092	1.80	1.07	3.48
Trait Preservative cognition (PTQ score)	0.059	0.808	1.00	0.98	1.03
Constant	2.601	0.107	3.65		

Nagelkerke R Square = 0.147

Hosmer and Lemeshow Test: χ^2 = 3.539, p = 0.896