



# Article Live Yeast (Saccharomyces cerevisiae var. boulardii) Supplementation in a European Sea Bass (Dicentrarchus labrax) Diet: Effects on the Growth and Immune Response Parameters

Anna Perdichizzi<sup>1</sup>, Martina Meola<sup>1,\*</sup>, Letteria Caccamo<sup>1</sup>, Gabriella Caruso<sup>2</sup>, Francesco Gai<sup>3</sup>, and Giulia Maricchiolo<sup>1</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Institute for Marine Biological Resources and Biotechnology, National Research Council (CNR-IRBIM), Spianata S. Raineri, 98122 Messina, Italy; anna.perdichizzi@cnr.it (A.P.); letteria.caccamo@cnr.it (L.C.); giulia.maricchiolo@cnr.it (G.M.)
- <sup>2</sup> Institute of Polar Sciences (CNR), Spianata S. Raineri, 98122 Messina, Italy; gabriella.caruso@cnr.it
  - <sup>3</sup> Institute of Sciences of Food Production (CNR), Largo Paolo Braccini, 10095 Grugliasco, Italy; francesco.gai@ispa.cnr.it
- \* Correspondence: martina.meola@irbim.cnr.it

Simple Summary: In animal science, dietary supplementation with probiotics offers several advantages, in terms of both environmental sustainability and production, and probiotic supplementation in aquatic animals is known to enhance stress tolerance, disease prevention, and growth, as has already been documented for several fish species. Among the various probiotics, the yeast-based ones have been stimulating a great deal of interest because of their ability to produce polyamines, which participate in numerous biological processes, and Saccharomyces cerevisiae var. boulardii (LSB), one of the most studied yeasts, has been used throughout the world for the prevention and treatment of a variety of gut inflammatory diseases. In our study, in order to obtain insights into the potential beneficial effects of this yeast as a probiotic, the dietary inclusion of an LSB strain (CNCM I-1079) was evaluated, at increasing concentrations (0, 100, and 300 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> of feed) for 90 days, on the welfare and health status of juvenile European sea bass, an important fish species in Mediterranean aquaculture. Our results indicate that LSB has an immunomodulatory action, and the observed lowering of pro-inflammatory gene transcripts in the gut suggests a possible anti-inflammatory action of this probiotic strain on the gut immune system of sea bass, with a possible enhancement of fish disease prevention. LSB also exerted a low, albeit insignificant, stimulating effect on the hematological and immunological parameters.

Abstract: The present study has been aimed at evaluating the effects of the dietary inclusion of the live yeasts, *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* var. *boulardii* (LSB) administered at increasing concentrations (0, 100, and 300 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> of feed, here referred to as LSB 0, 100, 300) for 90 days, on the health conditions of European sea bass. The main zootechnical parameters, histological and morphological analyses, innate immunity response parameters (intestinal cytokine expression, lysozyme content, spontaneous hemolytic and hemagglutinating activities, antibacterial activities, and peroxidase activity) were measured as fish welfare parameters. LSB did not impair either growth parameters or the morphometric indexes. LSB down-regulated interleukin-1 $\beta$  transcription in the distal gut of fish treated with 5.4 × 10<sup>5</sup> CFU g<sup>-1</sup> (LSB100) for 21 days. The interleukin-6 mRNA level decreased significantly in the proximal gut for both doses of yeast, after 21 days of feeding; the gene expression of interleukin-6 was significantly lower in the sea bass fed 10.81 × 10<sup>5</sup> CFU g<sup>-1</sup> (LSB300) probiotic. The levels of TNF- $\alpha$  mRNA were not influenced by probiotic supplementation. Increases, although not significant, in the hematological and immunological parameters were also recorded. The data collected in the present study suggests that an LSB-supplemented diet acts on the gut immune system of sea bass by modulating the expression of the key inflammatory genes.

Keywords: probiotics; gut immunity; antibacterial activity; Dicentrarchus labrax



Citation: Perdichizzi, A.; Meola, M.; Caccamo, L.; Caruso, G.; Gai, F.; Maricchiolo, G. Live Yeast (*Saccharomyces cerevisiae* var. *boulardii*) Supplementation in a European Sea Bass (*Dicentrarchus labrax*) Diet: Effects on the Growth and Immune Response Parameters. *Animals* 2023, 13, 3383. https://doi.org/10.3390/ ani13213383

Academic Editors: Samad Rahimnejad and Constanze Pietsch

Received: 4 August 2023 Revised: 20 October 2023 Accepted: 26 October 2023 Published: 31 October 2023



**Copyright:** © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/).

# 1. Introduction

Probiotics, according to the definition currently adopted by the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO), are "live microorganisms which, when administered in adequate amounts, confer a health benefit on the host" [1–4]. Probiotics are opening a new era in health management strategies in aquaculture [4]. They are in fact well known as supplements in human diets [5,6], and they have recently gained attention in animal-rearing practices, because they are considered a functional food, even for aquatic species [4,7,8].

Dietary supplementation with probiotics offers many advantages in terms of both environmental sustainability and production. It allows the limitations and side effects of antibiotics or other drugs to be overcome, resulting in enhanced growth and disease prevention [2,4,9]. Probiotics affect certain elements of the non-specific immune system (such as monocytes, macrophages, neutrophils, natural killer cells, etc.). The use of probiotics could allow the use of antibiotics in aquaculture to be reduced, and an eco-friendly methodology to be generated to control pathogens, through the promotion of both the innate [10] and subsequentially adaptative immunity systems. Probiotic supplementation in aquatic species is known to enhance stress tolerance [11,12], disease prevention [11,13,14], and growth, as previously documented in rainbow trout [15], Nile tilapia [13], common carp [16], and channel catfish [17]. Numerous studies have been conducted involving the use of probiotics in aquaculture [7,15,18–20], and some of their mechanisms of action have been reported for the majority of probiotic strains. These mechanisms include effects on the feed conversion ratio [21–24], protection against pathogens through competitive exclusion of adhesion sites [25], the production of organic acids, hydrogen peroxide, antibiotics, bacteriocins, siderophores, lysozyme [3,7,12,16,23,26,27], and also the modulation of physiological and immunological responses [28–30].

However, in fact, it is still necessary to consider other variables, such as selective ingestion, manipulation in the intestinal tract, microbial interactions, and/or nutritional environment. The effect of probiotics can vary, according to several variables, including the form of supplementation (whole cells or cellular components, cell-free supernatants; encapsulated or freeze-dried probiotics), the level of dosage, and the duration of application, as well as the length of the delivery period [31].

The gut is the organ in which probiotics establish and perform their functions, including immunostimulatory activity [32,33]. Therefore, the interaction between probiotics, epithelial cells, and the gut immune system is of high interest to ensure fish health. Cytokines are one of the key regulators that modulate the immune response in fish [34] and it has also been shown that probiotics are actively involved in triggering strong responses by means of these chemical messengers. For example, it has been observed that fish fed with probiotics show an increased expression of immune-related genes, such as *il*-1 $\beta$ ; *il*-6; *il*-21; *tnf*- $\alpha$ ; and *tlr*-1, 3, and 4 [35]. The immunomodulatory properties of probiotics have only recently been explored in teleost [36–38] because the importance of the effects of probiotics on the immune response [39] and on the metabolism, which is reflected in the blood parameters, is becoming evident [40].

Numerous investigations have evaluated the sustainability and efficacy of feed supplementation, but the most well-documented probiotics are lactic acid bacteria (LAB) and *Bacillus* spp. [18,28]. However, yeast-based probiotics are also stimulating a great deal of interest [9,41,42] because of their ability to produce polyamines that participate in numerous biological processes [3,32,43]. Yeasts are active in different ways in the defense against pathogens: they adhere to and colonize the intestinal tract and produce active molecules, superoxide dismutase, and polyamine [3,44]; they act on epithelial cells through the stimulation of innate immunity receptors (Pattern Recognition Receptor, PRR), which are crucial for the key functions of the innate immune system [10]. PRRs recognize Pathogen-Associated Molecular Patterns induced by a cytokine release that is activated by leukocytes. IL-1 $\beta$  is produced in response to bacterial infections, while IL-6 is produced by macrophages and endothelial cells as a result of injury or trauma, and they represent both a pro-inflammatory mediator that stimulates B2 cells and a direct anti-inflammatory agent that triggers acute inflammation [45].

Saccharomyces cerevisiae var. boulardii (S. boulardii) has a prominent place among the most studied and characterized yeasts. From a taxonomical point of view, it is a yeast that belongs to the *S. cerevisiae* species, and it is used worldwide for the prevention and treatment of a variety of gut inflammatory diseases in both humans and animals [46–52], although very few data are available on its effects on aquatic organisms [53]. The molecular basis for the beneficial effects of *S. boulardii* was extensively studied both in vitro and in animal models, and it was found to have an impact on inflammatory cytokine production by intestinal epithelial cells [50,54].

Several studies have assessed the effects of probiotics on the immune status and gut microbiota of European sea bass, *Dicentrarchus labrax* (Linnaeus, 1758). The investigated aspects range from antioxidant and digestive enzyme activities, growth performances, gut histology and immunohistochemistry, and gut microbiota, to disease resistance and stress tolerance, the immunological and hematological response, body composition, malformations, and the survival rate [8,20,21,55,56]. However, there is a lack in the literature on the use of *S. boulardii* and its effects on the intestinal immune system of fish. In addition, the species/strain/stage specificity of probiotics is a topic of great concern, which needs to be addressed carefully and promptly. Indeed, the variability of literature data confirms the difficulty and complexity of elucidating the mechanisms of action as well as the benefits for the host, even in consideration of further differences that may be observed when similar probiotic species (i.e., members of the same genus) are used in the same fish species [57,58].

The aim of this study has been to investigate the effects of increasing the dietary supplementation of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* var. *boulardii* yeast (LSB, LEVUCELL SB20<sup>®</sup> TITAN, Lallemand Sas, Blagnac, France) on the welfare and health status of juvenile seabass reared in experimental aquaculture tanks. The intestinal cytokine expression, non-specific immune response parameters (lysozyme, spontaneous hemolytic activity SH50, hemagglutinating activity, respiratory burst), the antibacterial activities of the sera, skin, and intestinal mucus, and the peroxidase activity were all measured over a period of 90 days, to obtain insights into the potential beneficial effects of this yeast as a probiotic.

#### 2. Materials and Methods

#### 2.1. Ethics Statements

The experimental procedures were conducted in compliance with European Directive 86/609/EEC, which regulates the use of animals in research. The experiment was carried out from May/June to August 2013 at the Aquaculture Experimental Plant of the Institute for Marine Biological Resources and Biotechnology (IRBIM) (previously denominated IAMC, Institute for Coastal Marine Environment), CNR Messina (Italy). Fish were kept in indoor tanks equipped with a flow-through seawater system (with complete water renewal every hour). The photoperiod and thermoperiod were natural, and the photoperiod was approximately 14:L/10:D during the June and July months, while it was 13:L/11:D in August, and the water temperature ranged from 15.6 °C to 26.6 °C. The quality parameters of the water were maintained over a suitable range for European sea bass (36.0 ± 0.5 g L<sup>-1</sup> salinity; 8 ± 1 mg L<sup>-1</sup> oxygen) [59]. Euthanasia was conducted, previa sedation (0.25 mg/L), through the administration of a lethal dose (0.5 g/L) of Ethyl 3-aminobenzoate methanesulfonate (MS-222—Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis, MI, USA). After confirming death, that is, as the total loss of opercular movement, the fish were left in the euthanasia solution for at least 10 min.

#### 2.2. Fish Rearing Conditions

European sea bass juveniles were obtained from a commercial farm in Sicily and they were acclimated to the new rearing conditions for three weeks before the onset of the trial. During this period, the fish were fed ad libitum on a control diet (Table 1).

Ingredients (g/kg)	LSB0	LSB100	LSB300
Herring fish meal (CP $^1$ , 70%)	480	480	480
Corn gluten	140	140	140
Gelatinized starch	135	135	135
Soybean meal (CP <sup>1</sup> , 44%)	115	115	115
Cod liver oil	110	110	110
Vitamin mixture <sup>2</sup>	10	10	10
Mineral mixture <sup>3</sup>	10	10	10
LEVUCELL SB20 <sup>®</sup> TITAN	-	0.1	0.3
Proximate composition, % DM <sup>4</sup>			
Dry Matter		94.5	
Crude protein		50.0	
Ether extract		15.3	
Ash		8.2	
Nitrogen free extracts <sup>5</sup>		26.5	
Gross energy <sup>6</sup> , MJ/kg DM		22.4	

**Table 1.** Ingredients and proximate composition of the basal diet expressed as a percentage of the total diet.

<sup>1</sup> CP = crude protein; <sup>2</sup> per kg of diet: Vit. A 200 IU; α-tocopheril acetate 16 mg; Niacin 72 mg; Vit. B6 16 mg; Choline 0.48 mg; <sup>3</sup> per kg of diet: Ca 22.60%; P 9.90%; Mg 7.20%; Na 1.70%; <sup>4</sup> DM = dry matter; <sup>5</sup> Calculated as: 100 – (% Crude protein +% Ether extract +% Ash); <sup>6</sup> Calculated as: [Crude protein (g) × 23.6 + Ether extract (g) × 39.5 + Nitrogen 3]/100.

The feeding experiment was conducted in twelve 1.4 m<sup>3</sup> seawater fiberglass tanks with a continuous flow of seawater (24 changes per day, one per hour). Each tank was randomly stocked with 51 fish (average body weight of  $174.6 \pm 6.4$  g; mean total length  $24.5 \pm 1.8$ ), and each treatment was conducted in triplicate. Feed palatability was checked during the acclimation period, and the experiment was started when the fish began to consume the entire daily ration.

#### 2.3. Diets and Feeding Regime

The *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* var. *boulardii* yeast (LSB, LEVUCELL SB20<sup>®</sup> TITAN) was obtained from Lallemand Animal Nutrition (Blagnac, France). LEVUCELL SB contains a natural and selected live yeast, that is, the CNCM I-1079 strain (Pasteur Institute, Paris, France), which is metabolically active and in a micro-encapsulated form (Titan Technology).

Three isoproteic (50% crude protein) and isoenergetic diets (22.4 MJ kg<sup>-1</sup>) were obtained utilizing a basal diet that was formulated to meet the nutritional requirements of sea bass. The ingredients and composition of the basal diet are shown in Table 1.

After a preliminary test, performed on the same product, the diets were supplemented with LSB ( $2.0 \times 10^{10}$  colony forming units (CFU)/g) at concentrations of 0 (LSB0, used as a control), 100 (LSB100), and 300 (LSB300) mg/kg of diet, respectively. After pelleting, one sample of each diet (500 g) was collected and analyzed. The yeast concentration corresponded to  $5.4 \times 10^5$  and  $10.8 \times 10^5$  CFU/g for the experimental groups fed with the LSB100 and LSB300 diets, respectively.

The experimental diets were distributed by hand, 6 days per week, twice a day (at 9:00 and 16:00) for 90 days (from June to August).

During the experimental period, the daily feeding rate ranged from 1.2 to 1.7% tank biomass per day<sup>-1</sup>, according to the water temperature in the tank. The water temperature ranged from 15.6 °C to 26.6 °C throughout the experimental period. The fish biomass present in each tank was determined each month by weighing fish in bulk after a 24 h period of starvation, in order to update their daily feeding rate.

## 2.4. Viability Test of the Probiotic in the Intestinal Tract

The fecal pellets of the reared sea bass were collected from each experimental tank during the weighing of the fish to verify the viability of the probiotic and its concentration in the intestinal tract. The fecal material was collected, using a sterile spatula, taking care to prevent contamination and to maintain aseptic conditions. Decimal (w/v) dilutions of the feces were prepared in 2% peptone water, with a pH of 6.5. Dilutions corresponding to final concentrations of  $10^{-8}$ ,  $10^{-7}$ , and  $10^{-6}$  were spread on the surface of Sabouraud Glucose Agar plates containing chloramphenicol (Fluka) and were incubated at 37 °C for 48 h. The colonies with typical morphological characteristics (white and smooth) were counted, and the counts were expressed as the number of Colony Forming Units (CFU) per gram of feces, considering the initial dilution of the intestinal contents.

#### 2.5. Fish Sampling and Dissection

The fish sampling was conducted after 21, 45, and 90 days of dietary supplementation with the probiotic. Six fish from each feeding group (two fish per group) were randomly collected at each sampling time from their rearing tanks and were sacrificed with a lethal dose of anesthetic (see the Ethical Statement). The blood was immediately withdrawn from the caudal vein. Small aliquots of whole blood were collected in heparinized tubes for the respiratory burst activity measurements, while unheparinized blood samples were allowed to clot at 4 °C and centrifuged (1500 rpm for 10 min) for the other innate immune response parameters (lysozyme, hemolysins and hemagglutinins, peroxidase and bactericidal activities), and the obtained serum was stored at -20 °C for further analyses.

After blood sampling, the zootechnical parameters of each fish were measured, and this was followed by the collection of mucus and kidneys. A significant number of fish (n = 5) were used for the collection of skin and intestinal mucus. Skin mucus was removed by delicately scraping the skin with a sterile spatula, and it was stored in Eppendorf tubes at -20 °C for further lysozyme measurements and for the antibacterial activity assay against bacterial pathogens. Some reference strains—provided by the BIOMORF Dept., at The University of Messina (Prof. Laganà)—were used for this latter assay. Escherichia coli and Pseudomonas aeruginosa were chosen as Gram-negative bacterial representatives, while Staphylococcus aureus and Staphylococcus epidermidis were selected from the Gram-positive strains. Such pathogens were chosen as they are those used the most frequently in the antibacterial assays performed at the CNR laboratory, because of their clinical relevance; moreover, no fish pathogens (such as Listonella anguillarum or Photobacterium damselae subsp. *piscicida* or *Aeromonas hydrophila*) were tested in this assay, as previous trials performed on sea bass mucus had not given any positive results for these pathogens (unpublished data), and these species were therefore not included in our antibacterial assay. Finally, the whole intestinal tract of each fish was removed and separated into two parts on the basis of the visually different diameters: the anterior intestine (AI), that is, the tract following the pyloric ceca, and the posterior intestine (PI), immediately following the pre- and postileorectal valve, including the rectum. Samples were stored in RNA at 4 °C until RNA extraction. Intestine samples were stored in an appropriate fixative liquid for optical and electron microscopy analyses (see Sections 2.7 and 2.8). Some whole intestines were used, together with skin and kidney samples, to determine the antibacterial properties of the intestinal mucus.

For this analysis, intestine portions were dissected and incised with a sterile scalpel, and the mucus was gently scraped with a sterile spatula and stored in Eppendorf tubes at -20 °C until the assay was performed as described below.

The spleen and cephalic kidneys were collected and treated for extraction of the RNA and the lysozyme assay, respectively. The kidneys were weighed, and a 50 mg aliquot was homogenized in 100  $\mu$ L of 0.1 M NaCl and the obtained homogenate was stored at -20 °C until analysis.

#### 2.6. Zootechnical Parameters

Performance indexes were calculated to verify the effectiveness of the experimental diets on the productive performance of the fish, whereby the weights of the fish and their organs were measured before their treatment for the other analyses. The weight (BW, Body weight) and length (BL, Body Length) of the total fish and the weight of its intestine and

visceral organs (stomach, liver, spleen, intestine, gallbladder) were measured to calculate the zootechnical parameters as follows.

- i Weight gain (WG) (g): BW final (g) BW initial (g)
- ii Specific Growth Rate (SGR) (%): [(ln BW final (g) ln BW initial (g))/feeding days]  $\times$  100
- iii Feed Conversion Ratio (FCR): total supplied feed as DM (g)/WG (g), (where DM indicates Dry Matter)
- iv Protein Efficiency Ratio (PER): WG (g)/total protein fed (g)
- v Feeding rate (FR) (%): (ingested feed (g)/days of feeding)  $\times$  100

While the morphometric indices were calculated using the formulae:

- i. Viscerosomatic index (VSI): (viscera weight/BW)  $\times$  100
- ii Hepatosomatic index (HSI): (liver weight/BW)  $\times$  100
- iii Coefficient of fatness (CF): (perivisceral fat weight/BW)  $\times$  100
- iv Condition factor (K):  $(BW/BL^3) \times 100$
- v Relative Intestinal Length (RIL): intestinal length/BW

# 2.7. Histological Analysis: Light Microscopy

Tissue samples were fixed in Bouin's solution (Bio-Optica) (pH 1.75 at room temperature) for 48 h, processed for paraffin embedding, and cut into thin sections (3–5  $\mu$ m) for microscopic observation. Sections were stained with hematoxylin-eosin (H&E) and examined using a light microscopy (Leica DMR) equipped with a camera (DFC295) and with image management software (Leica IM1000).

Histological analyses were carried out to evaluate the following intestinal morphological indexes: villi length (VL); villi thickness (VT); microvilli length (MT); number of goblet cells (GC); thickness of the lamina propria (LP), serous layer (SL), muscular layer (ML), and submucosa layer (SML).

The measurements and observations adopted in this study were based on a combination of criteria previously suggested by different authors [60,61], taking into account the possible effects of the utilization of a novel fish feed nutrient/additive on the gut and liver histology.

The criteria adopted in [62] were used for the liver morphology evaluation, as well as the quantification of the hepatocytes, to observe any possible alterations of the hepatocyte condition or hepatic cell morphology. The number of hepatocytes per area was quantified (125.000  $\mu$ m<sup>2</sup>) [61].

## 2.8. Morphological Analysis: Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM)

Intestine samples were fixed in a solution of 4.5% paraformaldehyde and 2.2% glutaraldehyde 0.1 M in cacodylate buffer with 5% sucrose (pH 7.5) and postfixed in 1% osmium tetroxide in 0.1 M cacodylate buffer with 5% sucrose for SEM analysis. The samples were then dehydrated in alcohol, critical point dried using liquid argon (Balzers CPD 030), coated with 3 nm gold-palladium in an SCD050 sputter-coated device (BAL-TEC), and examined under a Cambridge Stereoscan 240 SEM operating at 20 kV.

# 2.9. Innate Immunity Response Parameters

#### 2.9.1. Lysozyme Content in the Mucus, Plasma, and Kidney Samples

The lysozyme content was measured in the mucus, plasma, and kidney samples, by means of the diffusion method, on agarose plates containing a 0.05% suspension of *Micrococcus lisodeikticus* [63]. Aliquots (100  $\mu$ L) of each sample were dispensed into wells produced in the thickness of the agarose; after incubation at 30 °C for 22 h, the diameter of the lysis halo obtained on the plates was measured and converted into Units/mL, through calibration with increasing concentrations of chicken egg white lysozyme (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA), which was used as the standard.

#### 2.9.2. Spontaneous Hemolytic Activity (SH50)

The method outlined in [64] was adopted to determine the Spontaneous Hemolytic activity (SH50) of the serum. Two-fold serial dilutions of serum were prepared in phosphatebuffered saline (PBS) and incubated at 37 °C for 1 h with a 2.5% sheep erythrocyte suspension in PBS. After centrifugation, the supernatant was collected, and its absorbance was measured at 540 nm using a Cary Varian UV/VIS spectrophotometer. The results were expressed as Spontaneous Hemolysis SH50 (SH50), in Units/mL, which was calculated from the reciprocal of the dilution at which 50% hemolysis was recorded, according to [65].

#### 2.9.3. Hemagglutininating Titer

The hemagglutinating activity of the serum was measured on mucus volumes (2 mL) from a pool of 3 individuals, which were centrifuged (2000 rpm) to remove scales or other skin debris that could have been collected during the sampling. The assay was performed on 96-well microtiter U plates (Nunc Inc., Roskilde, Denmark) through incubation of serial two-fold dilutions in PBS, of 20  $\mu$ L of serum with an equal volume of a 2.5% sheep erythrocyte suspension, left at 35 °C for 1 h and at +4 °C overnight [66]. The obtained results were reported as the hemagglutinating titer, i.e., the reciprocal of the highest dilution that showed a visible agglutination of the red blood cells.

#### 2.9.4. Respiratory Burst Activity

The respiratory burst activity was assayed using a method based on the reduction of the substrate ferricytochrome C (Sigma-Aldrich) [67]. The reduced cytochrome C was determined spectrophotometrically on the basis of the difference in the absorbance readings between 550 and 468 nm, which is proportional to the amount of reduced cytochrome C. Controls were also included in the reaction, using the Superoxide Dismutase enzyme (SOD, 300 IU ml<sup>-1</sup> Sigma-Aldrich), which prevents the reduction of cytochrome C and of the Zymosan A (Sigma-Aldrich) and 4-a Phorbol 12-myristate 13-acetate (PMA, 1 µg ml<sup>-1</sup> Sigma-Aldrich) substrates, which stimulate respiratory burst activity; incubation was performed at 22 °C for 30 min. Triplicate measurements were performed in the assay.

#### 2.9.5. Antibacterial Activity of the Serum, Kidneys, and Skin and Intestinal Mucus

Serum, intestinal, skin mucus, and kidney samples were assayed for their possible antibacterial activity against certain reference strains of bacterial pathogens. An analytical procedure that was reported in a previous study [68] was followed. An axenic culture with a final concentration of  $10^8$  cells/mL in Brain Heart Infusion broth—as assessed through a comparison with a 0.5 McFarland standard of turbidity—was prepared for each bacterial pathogen. A 4 mm thick aliquot of 1% NaC1 was added to the surface of Tryptic Soy Agar (Oxoid) plates, according to the standard Kirby–Bauer procedure, and was inoculated with 0.1 mL of each bacterial culture, using a sterile cotton swab. Disks of 6 mm diameter sterile pads were placed on the plates and each one was soaked with 20  $\mu$ L of sample (serum, skin or intestinal mucus, or kidney homogenate). After incubation of the plates at 35 °C for 24 h, the diameters of the inhibition zones of bacterial growth were measured using a precision caliper (Mitutoyo, Andover, UK).

#### 2.9.6. Peroxidase Activity of the Serum

The peroxidase activity of the serum was measured according to the method outlined in [69]. Briefly, 30  $\mu$ L of serum was diluted with 120  $\mu$ L of HBSS buffer without Ca<sup>2+</sup> or Mg<sup>2+</sup> on flat-bottom multi-well (96 wells) plates. Thereafter, 50  $\mu$ L of a 10 mM solution of tetramethylbenzidine chloride (TMB, Sigma-Aldrich) and 5 mM hydrogen peroxide were added as substrates. The change in color of the reaction mixture was stopped after 2 min of incubation through the addition of 50  $\mu$ L of 2 M sulfuric acid. The absorbance of the reaction product was measured at 450 nm using a spectrophotometer equipped with a 96-well plate reader (Microtiter reader ELx-808, Bio Whittaker Europe, Walkersville, MD, USA). Blanks were prepared with the reaction mixture but without the sample, as controls.

# 2.10. Analysis of Cytokine Gene Expression

## 2.10.1. RNA Extraction and cDNA Synthesis

The total RNA was extracted from the proximal and distal tracts of the gut (n = 6 for each experimental group; approximately 90 mg per sample) using TRIzol reagents (Invitrogen, Waltham, MA, USA), according to the manufacturer's instructions. NanoPhotometer<sup>®</sup> P-Class (Implen, Munich, Germany) and Gel Red<sup>™</sup> (Sigma Aldrich, Milan, Italy) staining of the 28S and 18S ribosomal RNA bands on 1% agarose gel was used to assess the RNA concentration and integrity.

Complementary DNA (cDNA) was synthesized from 2  $\mu$ g of total RNA using a High-Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription Kit (Applied Biosystem, Waltham, MA, USA) in a 20  $\mu$ L reaction containing 2X Reverse Transcription Master Mix and MultiScribe Reverse Transcriptase; cDNA was then diluted 1:10 in RNase-DNase-free water. An aliquot of cDNA was used to check the specificity of the primer pair.

## 2.10.2. Quantitative Real-Time PCR

The gene expression of interleukin-1 (*il*-1 $\beta$ ), interleukin-6 (*il*-6), and tumor necrosis factor alpha (tnf- $\alpha$ ) were assessed using real-time PCR.  $\beta$ -actin was used as a housekeeping gene.

The primer sequences, melting temperature, and Gene Bank Accession number are shown in Table 2. Primers were designed on the basis of the genomic sequence deposited in Gene Bank. The qRT-PCR reactions were performed by means of a TaqMan Universal PCR Master Mix (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA), using 5 pmol of each primer and 9 pmol of both the target and beta-actin probes.

Cytokine Gene	Primer Sequence	$\mathbf{AT}^{\circ}$	Accession No.
il-1ß	FW: GAGACACTGATGAGCACTGAGT	61.2	A I260472 1
	RV: CTGATGTTCAAACCGGAGTC	62.2	AJ209472.1
:1 (	FW: AAACATGCCCTGAGAAGTCC	63.0	A M 4000 (2 1
11-6	RV: TTGACGTGTTCTCTGTGCCT	63.6	AM490062.1
tnf-α	FW: CTCAACACAGCGGATATGGA	63.7	D007024(1
	RV: CCTTCTAAATGGATGGCTGC	63.4	DQ070246.1
ß-Actin	FW: GGTACCCATCTCCTGCTCCAA	69.0	A JE27401 1
	RV: GAGCGTGGCTACTCCTTCACC	61.9	AJ537421.1

Table 2. Primers sequences used in real-time PCR. AT: annealing temperature.

Negative controls revealed no amplification of the product, and no primer-dimer formation was found in the control templates. PCRs were performed in triplicate using a 7300 PCR real-time System (Applied Biosystems), setting the reaction as follows: 3 min at 95 °C, 45 cycles of 20 s at 95 °C, 20 s at the annealing temperature (see Table 2), and 20 s at 72 °C. A fluorescent signal was detected at the end of each cycle, and melting curve analysis was performed to confirm that only one PCR product was present in these reactions. The expression level of the analyzed genes was quantified using the comparative Ct method  $(2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct})$  and expressed as the  $\pm$  n-fold difference versus the standard.

#### 2.11. Statistical Analysis

Measurements were performed in triplicate for each parameter, and the results were reported as the mean value  $\pm$  standard deviation (SD). The occurrence of significant differences among the experimental groups was evaluated using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA), which was performed on the obtained dataset. Before ANOVA, the assumption of normality of data and homogeneity of variance was tested using one-way Kolmogorov–Smirnov (K–S) and Levene's tests, respectively.

As a consequence of the non-normality of the dataset, the values of all the analyses were log-transformed [ln(x + 1)]. The Kruskal–Wallis test was used for heterogeneous variances. PAST<sup>®</sup> software (version 4.0) was used for the statistical analysis in order to test differences among the diets for each parameter at a single timepoint; *p* values < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

# 3. Results

# 3.1. Viability of the Probiotic

The concentrations of yeast present in the feces and still viable on the Sabouraud Glucose Agar selective medium (Figure S1) showed values that on average were of the order of  $10^4$  Colony Forming Units (CFU)/g, ranging from  $7.80 \times 10^3$  to  $4.30 \times 10^4$  CFU/g in the sea bass fed with LSB 100, and from  $1.73 \times 10^4$  to  $1.03 \times 10^5$  CFU/g in the fish fed LSB 300 (Figure S2). As expected, the abundance of yeast in the intestine increased over time, peaking at T90, but no significant differences were found between the groups of sea bass fed with a probiotic diet of 100 and 300 mg/kg feed (F = 4.01), or for the different times (F = 4.23).

#### 3.2. Zootechnical Parameters and Morphometric Indices

The results of the zootechnical parameters and morphometric indices are shown in Table 3. Differences among the experimental groups were statistically analyzed for each sampling using the one-way ANOVA test, considering the diet as a discriminating factor. The results showed that there were no significant differences for any of the zootechnical or morphometric indices.

Table 3.	Zootechnical	parameters	(mean $\pm$ standard	l deviation,	, <i>n</i> = 3) and	morphometric	: indices
(mean $\pm$	standard dev	iation, $n = 6$ )					

	Days of Feeding	LSB0	LSB100	LSB300
Weight Gain (g)	21	$21.13\pm3.77$	$22.77\pm3.59$	$22.06\pm5.94$
0 (0/	45	$54.53 \pm 2.45$	$56.00\pm7.74$	$56.66 \pm 8.71$
	90	$105.22\pm8.56$	$105.24\pm8.90$	$97.35\pm13.36$
Specific Growth Rate (%)	21	$0.55\pm0.11$	$0.61\pm0.09$	$0.56\pm0.13$
	45	$0.55\pm0.02$	$0.57\pm0.07$	$0.55\pm0.05$
	90	$0.61\pm0.05$	$0.62\pm0.03$	$0.56\pm0.04$
Feed Conversion Rate	21	$1.93\pm0.24$	$1.86\pm0.31$	$2.10\pm0.59$
	45	$2.30\pm0.11$	$2.24\pm0.27$	$2.31\pm0.21$
	90	$2.28\pm0.19$	$2.26\pm0.09$	$2.37\pm0.22$
Protein Efficiency Ratio	21	$1.06\pm0.14$	$1.09\pm0.17$	$1.00\pm0.24$
2	45	$0.88\pm0.04$	$0.90\pm0.10$	$0.87\pm0.08$
	90	$0.89\pm0.07$	$0.88\pm0.04$	$0.78\pm0.06$
VSI (%)	21	$11.42\pm0.96$	$12.38\pm2.21$	$11.71\pm1.97$
	45	$11.43 \pm 1.35$	$11.52\pm1.17$	$11.16\pm1.32$
	90	$11.01 \pm 1.21$	$11.00\pm2.11$	$10.08 \pm 1.42$
HSI (%)	21	$2.22\pm0.43$	$2.39\pm0.57$	$2.23\pm0.39$
	45	$2.45\pm0.77$	$1.92\pm0.35$	$2.26\pm0.48$
	90	$2.00\pm0.37$	$2.01\pm0.25$	$2.22\pm0.34$
Coefficient of Fatness (%)	21	$6.23\pm0.97$	$6.34 \pm 1.06$	$6.53 \pm 1.63$
	45	$6.42 \pm 1.00$	$6.86 \pm 1.06$	$6.38 \pm 1.03$
	90	$6.48 \pm 1.21$	$6.53 \pm 1.95$	$5.47 \pm 1.32$
Κ	21	$0.50\pm0.20$	$0.47\pm0.19$	$0.56\pm0.23$
	45	$0.82\pm0.41$	$0.59\pm0.37$	$0.79\pm0.45$
	90	$0.75\pm0.51$	$0.74\pm0.29$	$1.15\pm0.65$
Relative Intestinal Length	21	$0.52\pm0.06$	$0.56\pm0.09$	$0.52\pm0.07$
0	45	$0.49\pm0.13$	$0.52\pm0.12$	$0.50\pm0.07$
	90	$0.43\pm0.13$	$0.42\pm0.08$	$0.38\pm0.10$

## 3.3. Histological Analysis: Microscopy

The analysis of the histological parameters of the proximal gut (Table 4) revealed statistically significant differences in villi length (VL) between the three treatments. A significant (p < 0.05) elongation of the length of the villi was observed in the LSB300 group, compared with the LSB100 group, after feeding for 21 days. Statistical differences were also observed in the SML thickness (SML). The SML thickness of the LSB100 group was significantly higher than the control after 45 days of feeding. The length of the microvilli showed significant differences between the probiotic-supplemented diets (LSB100 and LSB300) and the control after feeding for 90 days.

**Table 4.** Mean value  $\pm$  standard deviation of the histological parameters of the proximal gut measured in sea bass fed the three experimental diets supplemented with *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* var. *boulardii* (LSB) at a concentration of 0, 100 or 300 mg/Kg of diet, respectively.

	Days of Feeding								
		21		45			90		
	LSB0	LSB100	LSB300	LSB0	LSB100	LSB300	LSB0	LSB100	LSB300
VL (µm)	$381.54 \pm 97.22$ <sup>ab</sup>	$336.39 \pm 90.23$ <sup>b</sup>	$\begin{array}{r} 481.83 \pm \\ 137.26 \ ^{\rm a} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 267.67 \pm \\ 70.96 \end{array}$	279.69 ± 83.54	278.56 ± 91.11	$299.54 \pm 73.23$ <sup>ab</sup>	$345.42 \pm 72.26$ <sup>a</sup>	$278.38 \pm 37.28 \text{ b}$
VT (µm)	$\begin{array}{r} 86.65 \pm \\ 20.39 \end{array}$	$92.28 \pm 19.85$	$\begin{array}{c} 102.12 \pm \\ 14.90 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 82.41 \pm \\ 14.40 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r}95.01\pm\\28.82\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 82.54 \pm \\ 17.68 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 84.73 \pm \\ 18.01 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r}95.39 \pm \\22.24\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 86.54 \pm \\ 11.67 \end{array}$
GC	$\begin{array}{c} 5.07 \pm \\ 4.41 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 6.92 \pm \\ 3.05 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 4.33 \pm \\ 8.80 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 3.07 \pm \\ 2.50 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 3.23 \pm \\ 2.85 \end{array}$	$3.5\pm2.59$	$\begin{array}{c} 2.85 \pm \\ 1.90 \end{array}$	$2.5\pm2.01$	$2.5\pm1.57$
LP (µm)	$\begin{array}{c} 8.68 \pm \\ 4.05 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 10.8 \pm \\ 1.28 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 8.77 \pm \\ 2.52 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 10.89 \pm \\ 1.78 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 11.32 \pm \\ 2.91 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 10.8 \pm \\ 2.07 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 10.78 \pm \\ 2.04 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 12.55 \pm \\ 3.46 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 12.12 \pm \\ 2.01 \end{array}$
MT (µm)	$3.48 \pm 0.64$	$3.44 \pm 0.52$	$\begin{array}{c} 4.11 \pm \\ 1.34 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 4.02 \pm \\ 0.64 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 3.92 \pm \\ 0.82 \end{array}$	$3.7\pm0.46$	$3.85 \pm 0.77$ b	$4.49 \pm 0.80$ a	$3.57 \pm 0.41$ c
SL thickness (µm)	$\begin{array}{c} 42.10 \pm \\ 16.10 \end{array}$	${}^{47.28\pm}_{20.16}$	$\begin{array}{c} 62.94 \pm \\ 28.42 \end{array}$	${\begin{array}{c} 63.46 \pm \\ 19.89 \end{array}}$	$\begin{array}{c} 52.11 \pm \\ 18.18 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 60.41 \pm \\ 23.53 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 49.27 \pm \\ 15.20 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 45.7 \pm \\ 17.30 \end{array}$	37.93 ± 13.21
ML thickness (µm)	$\begin{array}{r} 89.63 \pm \\ 32.32 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 80.59 \pm \\ 32.05 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 110.99 \pm \\ 34.10 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 115.11 \pm \\ 37.60 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r}91.89\pm\\40.28\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 117.97 \pm \\ 34.84 \end{array}$	$97.69 \pm 28.92$	$\begin{array}{r} 86.06 \pm \\ 35.83 \end{array}$	$93.87 \pm \\26.52$
SML thickness (um)	$\begin{array}{c} 23.41 \pm \\ 6.62 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 29.89 \pm \\ 7.97 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 28.66 \pm \\ 10.02 \end{array}$	$26.69 \pm 13.34$ <sup>b</sup>	${}^{36.28\pm}_{8.01}{}^{\rm a}$	$32.59 \pm 9.19^{\ ab}$	27.51 ± 11.12	$\begin{array}{c} 30.78 \pm \\ 14.46 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} \textbf{27.81} \pm \\ \textbf{9.76} \end{array}$

Villi Length (VL); Villi Thickness (VT); number of goblet cells (GC); thickness of Lamina Propria (LP); Microvilli Length (MT); Serous Layer (SL) thickness, Muscular Layer (ML) thickness, and SubMucosa Layer (SML) thickness. Different letters in the same row, at the same timepoint, indicate the presence of statistically significant differences.

The analyses on the distal portion of the intestine did not show any significant differences, except the presence of yeast colonizing the mucosa detected with SEM.

The histological study of the liver, which considered the number of hepatocytes per area, was performed as described in Section 2.7. Our results showed the occurrence of significant differences between the control group and both the LSB100 and LSB300 treatments. Significant differences were in particular found between the control and the LSB100 group after 21 and 90 days of feeding, while the differences between the control and the LSB 300 group were significant for all the sampling times (after 21, 45, and 90 days of feeding). Overall, the greatest difference in the hepatocyte numbers, in relation to the supplementation with the probiotic, was found in the fish fed the diet with the highest concentration of *S. cerevisiae* var. *boulardii*.

## 3.4. Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM)

The colonization of yeast in the intestinal mucosa was evident from the SEM results. As can be seen from the comparison of the two intestinal tracts photographed with the SEM, *S. cerevisiae* was absent in the anterior intestine but it was instead concentrated in the posterior tract (Figure S3).

## 3.5. Immune Innate Response

The results regarding all the immune innate response parameters are reported in Table 5.

**Table 5.** Mean value  $\pm$  standard deviation of the non-specific immune parameters measured in the sea bass fed the three experimental diets supplemented with *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* var. *boulardii* (LSB) at concentrations of 0, 100, or 300 mg/kg of diet, respectively.

<b>.</b> .		Diets				
Parameter	Daysof Feeding	LSB0	LSB100	LSB300		
Skin mucus						
lysozyme (U/mL)	0	$1.397\pm0.120$				
· · ·	21	$1.384\pm0.084$	$1.397\pm0.103$	$1.397\pm0.103$		
	45	$1.421\pm0.055$	$1.570\pm0.081$	$1.570\pm0.081$		
	90	$1.137\pm0.194$	$1.236\pm0.200$	$1.236\pm0.200$		
Plasma						
lysozyme (U/mL)	0	$2.287 \pm 0.105$				
	21	$2.184\pm0.103$	$2.287 \pm 0.084$	$2.328\pm0.075$		
	45	$2.522\pm0.152$	$2.596\pm0.071$	$2.596\pm0.089$		
	90	$2.497\pm0.164$	$2.534\pm0.122$	$2.534 \pm 0.084$		
Kidney						
lysozyme (U/mL)	0	$2.027 \pm 0.167$				
	21	$1.669\pm0.138$	$1.875\pm0.098$	$1.936\pm0.121$		
	45	$1.730\pm0.187$	$1.710\pm0.098$	$1.916\pm0.187$		
	90	$1.730\pm0.137$	$1.710\pm0.197$	$1.916\pm0.098$		
Hemolytic						
activity (SH 50 Units)	0	$1.407 \pm 0.650$				
	21	$1.470\pm0.384$	$1.806\pm0.487$	$2.528\pm0.826$		
	45	$1.000\pm0.990$	$1.319\pm0.630$	$2.271 \pm 1.530$		
	90	$3.140 \pm 1.140$	$3.578\pm0.922$	$3.680\pm0.623$		
Hemagglutinating titer	0	$4\pm 0$				
	21	$8\pm 2$	$8\pm 2$	$128\pm42$		
	45	$8\pm 2$	$8\pm 2$	$8\pm 2$		
	90	$256\pm32$	$32\pm12$	$8\pm 0$		
Respiratory						
Burst (nmol O <sub>2</sub> /10 <sup>6</sup>	0	$0.022\pm0.010$				
granulocytes)						
	21	$0.060 \pm 0.020$	$0.027\pm0.010$	$0.033 \pm 0.030$		
	45	$0.387 \pm 0.080$	$0.355 \pm 0.020$	$1.771 \pm 0.080$		
	90	$0.175 \pm 0.040$	$0.291 \pm 0.010$	$1.931 \pm 0.500$		
Peroxidase activity (U/mL)	0	$0.063\pm0.010$				
	21	$0.053\pm0.006$	$0.087\pm0.050$	$0.062\pm0.020$		
	45	$0.138 \pm 0.080$	$0.091\pm0.040$	$0.072\pm0.020$		
	90	$0.097\pm0.020$	$0.202\pm0.080$	$0.247\pm0.020$		

## 3.5.1. Lysozyme

The lysozyme content reached higher values in the skin mucus, plasma, and kidneys of the sea bass fed diets containing an LSB300 dietary supplementation, as well as in those fed an LSB100 supplementation, albeit only for the skin mucus and plasma. However, the quantitative differences recorded among the experimental groups were not statistically significant. Similar patterns were observed during the experiment; higher lysozyme values were measured 45 days after feeding with the probiotic supplementation. Prolonging

feeding to 90 days resulted in slightly lower or constant values in the skin mucus or plasma, or in the kidneys, respectively. The LSB300 dietary supplementation induced an increased immune response in this organ that was on average 1.12 times higher than the control values.

## 3.5.2. Hemolytic Activity (SH50)

The hemolytic activity values increased in the fish fed with probiotic-supplemented diets, with a greater response in the group of sea bass fed with the highest probiotic dose (LSB300), where the SH50 values were 1.72 and 2.27 times higher than the control values after 21 and 45 days of dietary supplementation with LSB, respectively. However, the differences between the three experimental groups were not significant. After 45 days of feeding, a slight decrease was recorded in the hemolytic activity values, which then increased after 90 days.

#### 3.5.3. Hemagglutinating Titer

The effect of LSB dietary supplementation on the hemagglutinating titer values was already evident after 21 days of feeding for the highest dose of *S. cerevisiae* var. *boulardii*, although the increase was not significant. On the other hand, contrasting results, characterized by the absence of variations (at T45) or by opposite trends to the previous ones, i.e., with a depressive effect on the hemagglutinating activity for an increase in the probiotic concentration, and a peak in the control group were observed for the successive samplings.

## 3.5.4. Respiratory Burst Activity

Respiratory burst activity, which was measured as the production of superoxide anion, highlighted that LSB induced a stimulating effect on the sea bass fed the LSB300supplemented diet, with values that increased 4 and 11 times compared to the control values at 45 and 90 days after feeding with LSB. This effect, although not significant, appeared to be delayed, compared to the other parameters of the immune response.

## 3.5.5. Peroxidase Activity

The peroxidase activity values measured in the serum depicted a variable pattern, which initially showed an insignificant increase in the sea bass fed with the diet containing LSB 100 and reached almost triplicate values (>0.24 U/mL), after feeding for 90 days, in those fed with LSB 300.

## 3.5.6. Antibacterial Activity of the Serum, Kidneys, Skin, and Intestinal Mucus

The results of the assay on the antibacterial activity of the serum, kidneys, intestinal, and skin mucus against the target pathogenic bacteria were expressed in terms of the percentage of the total number of examined samples that showed a positive reaction (Table 6).

The serum samples showed antibacterial activity almost exclusively against *S. epidermidis*; only after feeding for 90 days was a positive reaction against *P. aeruginosa* detected in the LSB300 group. No activity was observed against *E. coli* or *S. aureus*.

High percentages of the kidney samples showed antibacterial activity toward P. aeruginosa. A low percentage of samples also exhibited activity against *E. coli*, while no activity against *S. epidermidis* was observed. A high percentage of samples was positive after feeding for 21 days, even in the control group; no clear correlation was observed between the number of positive reactions and the dose of the probiotic contained in the diet at T45 or T90.

A high antibacterial activity against all the tested bacteria was observed for all the skin mucus samples. Greater antibacterial activity was recorded for T21, T45, and T90, probably in relation to the higher temperatures of the summer months than those of the spring season (T0 sampling), while no differences were found among the various experimental groups.

The intestinal mucus samples showed a high bactericidal activity against all the tested pathogens, and in particular against *P. aeruginosa* and *S. epidermidis*, while the activity

against *E. coli* was lower. A positive response was not found for *S. aureus*, except for the control group after feeding for 45 days. In general, there was more activity at 90 days; no significant relationship was highlighted, in relation to the diet, between the expression of the antibacterial activity and the levels of probiotic supplementation.

**Table 6.** Antibacterial activity measured in the serum, kidneys, intestinal and skin mucus of the sea bass fed the three experimental diets supplemented with *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* var. *boulardii* (LSB) at concentrations of 0, 100, or 300 mg/kg of diet, respectively. The obtained results are expressed in terms of the percentage of the total number of examined samples that showed a positive reaction.

		Antibacterial Activity					
	Days of feeding		E. coli	P. aeruginosa	S. aureus	S. epidermis	
	0	LSB0	0	0	0	0	
		LSB0	0	0	0	100	
	21	LSB100	0	0	0	0	
		LSB300	0	0	0	100	
Comun		LSB0	0	0	0	0	
Serum	45	LSB100	0	0	0	0	
		LSB300	0	0	0	50	
		LSB0	0	0	0	50	
	90	LSB100	0	0	0	100	
		LSB300	0	50	0	0	
	0	LSB0	0	50	50	0	
		LSB0	50	50	50	0	
	21	LSB100	0	100	0	0	
		LSB300	0	50	0	0	
Kidnov		LSB0	0	100	0	0	
Runey	45	LSB100	0	50	0	0	
		LSB300	50	0	0	0	
		LSB0	100	0	0	0	
	90	LSB100	0	50	0	0	
		LSB300	0	50	0	0	
	0	LSB0	25	100	0	25	
		LSB0	-	-	-	-	
	21	LSB100	-	-	-	-	
		LSB300	-	-	-	-	
Intestinal	l	LSB0	0	0	50	50	
Mucus	45	LSB100	0	50	0	0	
		LSB300	100	50	0	0	
		LSB0	0	100	0	100	
	90	LSB100	0	100	0	100	
		LSB300	0	0	0	0	
	0	LSB0	-	-	50	-	
		LSB0	-	100	100	-	
	21	LSB100	-	100	100	-	
		LSB300	-	100	100	-	
Skin		LSB0	-	100	100	100	
Mucus	45	LSB100	-	100	100	100	
		LSB300	-	100	100	100	
		LSB0	-	100	100	100	
	90	LSB100	-	100	100	100	
		LSB300	-	100	100	100	

#### 3.6. Cytokine Gene Expression

The *il*-1 $\beta$ , *il*-6, and *tnf*- $\alpha$  genes were examined to evaluate whether the probiotic treatment had an effect, at the gut mucosal level, on cytokine mRNA expression, using a real-time PCR method. The expression of the cytokine genes is reported in Table 7 (proximal

gut) and Table 8 (distal gut). There were no significant differences in il-1 $\beta$  expression in the proximal gut between the probiotic-supplemented groups and the control, at any of the sampling times, whereas the level of il-1 $\beta$  mRNA was significantly higher in the LSB100 group after 45 days than in the LSB300 group. Instead, the level of il-1 $\beta$  mRNA was significantly lower in the distal portion of the gut in the LSB100 group after 21 days of feeding.

**Table 7.** Mean value  $\pm$  standard deviation of the gene expression of the pro-inflammatory cytokine *il*-1 $\beta$ , *il*-6, and *tnf*- $\alpha$  genes in the proximal gut of the sea bass fed the three experimental diets supplemented with *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* var. *boulardii* (LSB) at concentrations of 0, 100 or 300 mg/kg of diet, respectively.

	Days of Feeding								
	LSB0	21 LSB100	LSB300	LSB0	45 LSB100	LSB300	LSB0	90 LSB100	LSB300
il-1β	$1.29\pm0.24$	$1.33\pm0.19$	$1.19\pm0.43$	$1.02\pm0.25$ $^{\mathrm{ab}}$	$1.11\pm0.3$ a	$0.59 \pm 0.33$ <sup>b</sup>	$0.87\pm0.28$	$0.73\pm0.47$	$0.67\pm0.18$
il-6	$0.67\pm0.15$ a	$0.46 \pm 0.13$ <sup>b</sup>	$0.26\pm0.12$ c	$0.44\pm0.33$	$0.29\pm0.11$	$0.31\pm0.12$	$0.35 \pm 0.09$ <sup>c,b</sup>	$0.4\pm0.22$ <sup>b</sup>	$0.65\pm0.13$ a
tnf-α	$0.86\pm0.49$	$0.91\pm0.42$	$0.85\pm0.61$	$0.75\pm0.37$	$0.57\pm0.38$	$0.41\pm0.31$	$0.73\pm0.43$	$0.87\pm0.32$	$0.72\pm0.62$

Differences between the data series were determined by means of the Kruskal–Wallis test; different letters in the same row, at the same time point, indicate the presence of statistically significant differences.

**Table 8.** Mean value  $\pm$  standard deviation of the gene expression of the pro-inflammatory cytokine *il*-1 $\beta$ , *il*-6, and *tnf*- $\alpha$  genes in the distal gut of the sea bass fed the three experimental diets supplemented with *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* var. *boulardii* (LSB) at concentrations of 0, 100 or 300 mg/kg of diet, respectively.

	Days of Feeding								
	LSB0	21 LSB100	LSB300	LSB0	45 LSB100	LSB300	LSB0	90 LSB100	LSB300
il-1β il-6 tnf-α	$\begin{array}{c} 1.07 \pm 0.06 \ ^{a} \\ 0.79 \pm 0.29 \\ 1.1 \pm 0.42 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.63 \pm 0.21 \ ^{\text{b}} \\ 0.74 \pm 0.17 \\ 1.25 \pm 0.36 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.83 \pm 0.45 \\ 0.83 \pm 0.33 \\ 1.21 \pm 0.33 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.99 \pm 0.2 \\ 0.88 \pm 0.32 \\ 1.16 \pm 0.36 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.03 \pm 0.18 \\ 1.02 \pm 0.21 \ ^{a} \\ 0.79 \pm 0.38 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.68 \pm 0.59 \\ 0.70 \pm 0.2 \ ^{\rm b} \\ 0.89 \pm 0.36 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.76 \pm 0.08 \\ 0.76 \pm 0.25 \\ 0.90 \pm 0.33 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.95 \pm 0.52 \\ 0.70 \pm 0.06 \\ 1.18 \pm 0.37 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.78 \pm 0.13 \\ 1.04 \pm 0.46 \\ 1.01 \pm 0.5 \end{array}$

Differences between the data series were determined by means of the Kruskal-Wallis test; different letters in the same row, at the same time point, indicate the presence of statistically significant differences.

The gene expression level in the proximal gut of *il-6* was down-regulated in both probiotic groups. After 21 days of feeding, the LSB100 and LSB300 groups both showed a significant difference from the control and between each other. At this time of sampling, the expression of the *il-6* gene was significantly lower in the fish fed the LSB300 probiotic. After 90 days, the expression of the *il-6* gene in LSB300 was significantly higher than in the control and LSB100 groups. No significant differences in expression were observed for the distal gut, except after 45 days, when the LSB100 *il-6* gene expression was significantly higher than the LSB300 group. The levels of TNF- $\alpha$  mRNA do not seem to have been influenced by probiotic supplementation. No significant differences between groups were observed, either over time or in the different portions of the gut.

## 4. Discussion

The comprehensive dataset collected in our study can be considered a first contribution to knowledge on the effects of dietary supplementation with *S. cerevisiae* var. *boulardii* in sea bass. Although the beneficial effects of diets supplemented with this yeast species have been documented for mammals [50,70], and have recently been reviewed in several animal models [71], the potential effects on immune system parameters are yet unknown for fish, and only a few reports have documented the effects on the growth parameters of some freshwater fish species [72].

Although several studies have reported on the use of probiotics in aquaculture nutrition, caution should be taken in the interpretation of the biological data, because both zootechnical parameters and morphometric indices can vary to a great extent in relation to different variables, such as the stocking density [73], and no significant differences might be observed in the case of enriched diets [74].

As far as the biometric measurements are concerned, none of the zootechnical parameters or morphometric indices examined in our study showed significant differences related to the administered experimental diets, as expected, considering the results published in the literature. The supplementation with *S. cerevisiae* var. *boulardii* had positive effects on the growth performance, health, and survival rate of the reared fish, especially when the probiotic was added at a concentration of 2.5% of body weight [72]. An enhancement of growth performance and zootechnical parameters has been reported for fish fed with *S. cerevisiae* enriched diets [11,13,75]. Finally, positive effects on growth performance were registered for sea bass fed with *S. cerevisiae* administered at a dose of  $15 \times 10^9$  CFU/g, thus suggesting that the response to administration could change depending on the yeast concentration [40]. A significant difference has also been reported for this species when testing different strains of yeast [8].

The dietary inclusion of *S. cerevisiae* has been shown to accelerate digestive system maturation and increase nutrient digestibility as a result of improvements in the integrity of the intestinal mucosa and the density of the intestine villi [11].

Nile tilapia fed with probiotics, in particular *S. cerevisiae*, have shown a significant increase in villi length [13,43,75]. This elongation of the villi was related to the absorption surface and it occurred above all in the anterior part of the intestine [75]. Such findings are consistent with our results, where there was a significant difference in villi length, especially in the proximal (or anterior) part of the intestine. The elongation of the villi was proportional to the probiotic concentration after feeding for 21 days, while, after 90 days, the longest length was recorded in the diet with a lower concentration of the LSB100 probiotic. The explained changes in absorption surface are usually matched with a consistent increase in the thickness of the epithelium layers [43], thus supporting our finding regarding the submucosal layer (SML) thickness.

In addition, in our study, the microvilli thickness was found to increase, which resulted in an improved absorption, without any events of necrosis or cell disruption, thus confirming the results obtained for the same species by Rawling et al. [8].

The histological examination results are in agreement with previous results on the same species [53], where the liver analysis showed the greatest difference between the control and the LSB300 group for all the sampling times. A significant increase in the number of hepatocytes-area was detected, thereby showing a positive effect of the probiotic on the fish, especially for the highest concentration [76]. Conversely, none of the negative signals related to the supplementation of alternative diets reported in the literature were observed [77]; in fact, none of the experimental groups showed high vacuolization scores, thus indicating there were no signs of steatosis in the trial.

Nutritional status is recognized as an important indicator of the resistance of fish to diseases [78]; the relationships that link nutrition to the immune response in fish have been widely investigated [4,15,16,79,80], and fish diseases have been found to occur more frequently in fish stressed by different factors, e.g., a sub-optimal temperature [39].

Among the beneficial effects of the use of probiotics in fish, those related to the modulation of the immune system are well known. Probiotics are effective in modulating the inflammatory response and in increasing disease resistance to bacterial infections, as demonstrated in *Sander lucioperca* protected *by Lactobacillus brevis* against *Aeromonas hydrophila* [81]. Moreover, Maricchiolo et al. [53] demonstrated that *S. cerevisiae* var. *boulardii*, administered at a dosage of 300 mg/kg (after 21 days of feeding), is able to protect the intestinal mucosa of gilthead seabream against *V. anguillarum* infections.

Enhancement of the immune response following dietary supplementation with probiotics has been widely documented [42,82]. Probiotics are known to determine an increase in the activity of the complement system of fish [83,84], and yeasts have been reported to be capable of stimulating the immune response of these aquatic organisms. Among the various yeasts, *S. cerevisiae*, administrated as whole cell or cell walls, may provide very important non-nutritive compounds, including various immunostimulating compounds such as glucose polymers ( $\beta$  glucans), mannan oligosaccharides [85], nucleic acids and chitin, which may be beneficial to fish health [9,32].

*S. cerevisiae* var. *boulardii* has been reported to act as a pathogen antagonist and immunomodulator in mammals [50,70]; an increase in the production of secretory IgA after feeding with *S. cerevisiae* var. *boulardii* has also been reported in rats and mice [86,87]. *S. cerevisiae* is known to increase the growth performance, immune responses, and disease resistance of several species of fish, such as gilthead seabream [32,88,89], Nile tilapia [11,90], Channel catfish [17], and rainbow trout [91,92]. The beneficial effects of this yeast on growth, physiological responses, and gut microbiota have also been reported in beluga juveniles by Hoseinifar et al. [93] and in *D. labrax* [8].

The effects on the non-specific immune parameters of dietary supplementation with probiotics can in general be affected to a great extent by the fish species, the stage of development, the strain of the probiotic, dosage, feeding duration, feed composition, mode of supplementation and/or certain environmental-rearing conditions, such as water temperature fluctuations [94]. With respect to this latter variable, in our feeding trial, the temperature ranged over about 11 °C and such a thermic variability could have affected the response of non-specific immune parameters to the yeast administration, as already reported for other fish species [95]. The probiotic efficiency of *S. cerevisiae* seems to depend on the used strain [58], and a difference between single-strain and multi-strain probiotics has also been detected [8,19]. In addition, the viability of a probiotic is also important, as shown for rainbow trout, where the immune response of fish may vary according to the probiotic status, that is, active or inactivated [96,97].

In the present study on sea bass, the viability of the probiotic administered with the diet was assessed by evaluating the abundance of yeast in the feces; the amount of viable yeast was gradually increased during the experiment. However, only a study through electron microscopy can provide information on the type of yeast present in the gut, i.e., can reliably indicate whether the flora has colonized the intestinal mucosa transiently or actively. The presence of *S. cerevisiae* var. *boulardii* CNCM I-1079 was not detected in the gut of the juvenile sea bass, and a low level of colonization was found, even after 9 months; the same strain did not seem to affect the intestinal bacteria of rainbow trout.

Regarding the effects of *S. cerevisiae* var. *boulardii* on the lysozyme content, slight and insignificant increases in the values of this parameter were observed during the present study. Rodriguez et al. [98] reported significant increases of lysozyme in *Sparus aurata*, 2–4 weeks after dietary supplementation with a mutant strain (fks-1) of *S. cerevisiae* as a probiotic. Song et al. [99] recorded an increase in the activity of lysozyme and bactericidal activity in *Miichtys miiuy* fed a mixture of microorganisms, that is, both bacteria and yeasts (*Clostridium butyricum*, *Bacillus subtilis*, *Lactobacillus acidophilus*, *S. cerevisiae*), which would seem to suggest that stimulation of the immune system had occurred. Supplementation with *S. cerevisiae* var. *boulardii* CNCM I-3799 enhanced the lysozyme, the complement activities, and the Ig level of rainbow trout [58]. The enhancement of lysozyme activity due to the use of *S. cerevisiae* has also been reported in other species, such as *Pangasianodon hypophthalmus* [100]. The lysozyme activity was found to show a dose-dependent response, which was affected by *S. cerevisiae* supplementation of the diet [40].

An improved immunological response (serum lysozyme activity and total immunoglobulins) has recently been recorded for *Pangasianodon hypophthalmus* fingerlings fed for 8 weeks on diets supplemented with a mixture of yeast (*S. cerevisiae*) and bacteria (*Bacillus subtilis, Lactobacillus plantarum,* and *Enterococcus faecium*) [101]. Similar increases in the serum lysozyme content have also been found in largemouth bass (*Micropterus salmoides*) fed for 8 weeks with *Bacillus subtilis, Lactobacillus plantarum,* and *S. cerevisiae*) [102].

The increases in the hemolytic activity recorded in the examined sea bass, although not significant, were related to the beneficial effects produced by probiotics on the hematology parameters, which are commonly referred to as indicators of the physiological state of fish, in agreement with what Mohapatra et al. reported [103]. Chiu et al. [104] reported a

significant increase in the activity of the complement (ACH50) and lysozyme content in grouper (*Epinephelus coioides*) fed a diet supplemented with *S. cerevisiae* at concentrations of  $10^5$  and  $10^7$  CFU/kg of body biomass, compared to the control diet (without any probiotic). A significant increase in ACH 50 activity (and total Ig and lysozyme activity) has been observed in striped catfish (*Pangasianodon hypophthalmus*) in the presence of *S. cerevisiae* enriched diets ( $10^6$  and  $10^8$  CFU *S. cerevisiae* g<sup>-1</sup> diets) after an experimental period of 120 days [100].

Chiu et al. [104] found that the alternative complement activity (ACH50), together with the phagocytic activity, respiratory burst, and serum lysozyme activity of *Epinephalus corioides* fed diets containing *S. cerevisiae* at  $10^5$  and  $10^7$  CFU/kg were significantly higher than those of fish fed a lower probiotic concentration ( $10^3$  CFU/kg).

Abu-Elala et al. [90] found a significant increase in the growth performance and stimulation of non-specific cellular/humoral immunological parameters, including lysozyme, phagocytosis, and cytokine production, an alternative complement pathway in cultured *Oreochromis niloticus* fed with different forms of *S. cerevisiae* (namely as a whole yeast cell, its extract (mannan-oligosaccharide) and as a mixture of the whole cell and its extract).

The patterns described for the hemagglutination titer in sea bass fed with *S. cerevisiae* var. *boulardii* were quite variable, and they therefore did not allow us to correlate any effect with the administration of the probiotic. Furthermore, the reduction in the hemagglutinating activity measured during the last sampling could also be associated with a depression in the activity of the complement, as previously observed by Rodriguez et al. [98] in *Sparus aurata* after 6 weeks of feeding with the *S. cerevisiae* mutant strain fks-1.

Sakai [105] reported a progressive and dose-dependent increase in the respiratory burst activity of tilapia, which has also been observed for the respiratory burst values observed in the sea bass during the present study, albeit not at levels of statistical significance. Increases in the respiratory burst and the intestinal lysozyme contents were also measured by Kim and Austin [106] in rainbow trout after administration of probiotics. Ortuño et al. [89] reported that the administration of *S. cerevisiae* had a positive effect on both the respiratory burst and the complement activities.

*S. cerevisiae* has been shown to have a marked effect on the respiratory burst activity of Nile tilapia, where the supplementation of 2, 4, 6, and 10 g of *S. cerevisiae*/kg diet was found to determine the linear and quadratic enhancement of respiratory burst and lysozyme as well as superoxide dismutase, catalase, and glutathione peroxidase [13]. The findings pertaining to rainbow trout reveal that the use of *S. cerevisiae* as a probiotic ( $10^7$  CFU/g) significantly affects the respiratory burst activity, especially after 130 days of treatment [107]. Harikrishnan et al. [108] found an immunostimulant and protective effect against infection with *Uronema marinum* in olive flounder (*Paralichthys olivaceus*) supplemented with *S. cerevisiae*, with a significant increase in lysozyme and superoxide anion production after 4–8 weeks of feeding. Significant improvements in respiratory burst activity have also been reported in tilapias fed diets supplemented with *Bacillus subtilis*, *S. cerevisiae* and *Aspergillus oryzae* as probiotics (p < 0.05), compared to control fish without any probiotics [94].

A single inclusion of *S. cerevisiae* in the diet of rainbow trout over 130 days led to increased respiratory burst activity and an increased number of blood cells (neutrophils, lymphocytes, and monocytes) [107].

Regarding the antibacterial activity of the serum, kidneys, and skin and intestine mucus, a discrete activity was found in sea bass fed with *S. cerevisiae*, particularly at the skin mucus level. However, the results of the antibacterial activity assay did not allow a clear stimulating action on the bactericidal activity following the probiotic supplementation to be observed. Conversely to our findings, Taoka et al. [109] reported increases in the antibacterial activity of plasma in *Oreochromis niloticus* after 15 and 30 days of feeding with such probiotics as *Bacillus subtilis*, *Lactobacillus acidophilus*, *Clostridium butyricum*, and *S. cerevisiae*. Moreover, significant antimicrobial activity against several pathogens, such as *E. coli*, *Shigella*, *Salmonella*, *V. cholerae*, *C. difficile*, and *Candida albicans*, was recorded

following a dietary supplementation with *S. cerevisiae boulardii* CNCMI-745 in several animal models [54].

The patterns of peroxidase activity obtained during the present experiment suggest that *S. cerevisiae* had a stimulating, although somewhat delayed, effect on this parameter, as observed at the last sampling. This result was in contrast with the depression of peroxidase activity reported in the serum of *S. aurata* by Rodriguez et al. [98], 6 weeks after the administration of *S. cerevisiae* (mutant strain fks-1).

It has also been shown that peroxidase activity increases significantly in red sea bream (*Pagrus major*) fed with *S. cerevisiae* or *B. subtilis*, while, surprisingly, the catalase activity decreases [110]; the concentration of the probiotic can also affect the peroxidase activity in red seabream [18]. Moreover, the presence of *S. cerevisiae* also seemed to enhance the peroxidase activity in Atlantic salmon receiving dietary supplementation with *Kluyveromyces marxianus*. The same results were obtained in Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) fed diets supplemented with *S. cerevisiae* [13]. It has recently been demonstrated that *S. cerevisiae* enhances the peroxidase activity in European sea bass, with a simultaneous increase in the lysozyme and other antioxidant enzyme contents [40].

More in general, Tukmechi et al. [111] found that the dietary administration of *S. cerevisiae* stimulated the immune function and enhanced resistance to *Yersinia ruckeri* in *Oncorhynchus mykiss*. A similar result was obtained by Sheikhzadeh et al. [58]. Instead, Tewary and Patra [112] showed that the yeast cell wall enhanced the innate immunity and growth parameters of *Labeo rohita*, and also stimulated fish disease resistance; enhanced non-specific immune responses were also recorded by Bandyopadhyay et al. [113] in juveniles of this species.

Abu-Elala et al. [90] reported a significant improvement in the growth, performance, and non-specific cellular-humoral immunological parameters of another species, *Ore-ochromis niloticus*, fed a fully fermented diet as well as an enhancement of resistance against some fish pathogens.

In short, the differences in our experimental trial in the hematological and immune response parameters induced by the LSB administration were not significant. This result is consistent with the absence of the differences reported by Munir et al. [114] in snakehead (*Channa striata*) fingerlings after feeding with prebiotics and probiotics (*S. cerevisiae*, beta-glucan, galactooligosaccharides, mannan-oligosacchafrides, *Lactobacillus acidophilus*) for 16 weeks, followed by 8 weeks of feeding with a control diet without any pre- or probiotics supplementation.

In the present study, *S. boulardii* dietary supplementation significantly modulated the expression of inflammatory interleukin genes in the gut of sea bass. The considered dosages both had a positive effect on the expression of the interleukins in the gut, but the most evident benefit was observed after 21 days of feeding, especially in the proximal gut (see our histological results).

IL-1 $\beta$  is one of the first pro-inflammatory cytokines to have been expressed [115]. In our study, real-time PCR detected a lower *il-1\beta* transcript in the probiotic groups than in the controls after 21 days of feeding. This result is in further agreement with the literature that has reported the downregulation of IL-1 $\beta$  in *Micropterus salmoides* [102], *Epinephelus coioides* [116], and *Oncorhynchus mykiss* [117] when a diet is supplemented with yeasts.

Moreover, again regarding IL-6, our studies noted a downregulation of transcription at day 21 in the groups fed on a supplemented diet, in a dose-dependent manner, compared to the control group.

IL-6 is a potent, pleiotropic cytokine produced by various cells to regulate hematopoiesis, inflammation, immune responses, and bone homeostasis [45]. It plays a major role in the humoral immunity of fish and, under normal conditions, its level is low, while, in the course of pathogen infection, its transcription is upregulated in the spleen and kidney head [118,119]. Unfortunately, to the best of our knowledge, the expression of the *il-6* gene in the gut has never been explored in fish, especially under normal conditions in the absence of damage of any kind.

In our study, the down-regulation of both interleukins in response to the administration of *S. boulardii* could be interpreted as a positive signal of "gut health" in *D. labrax*.

TNF- $\alpha$  is an important component during the onset of early inflammatory events. TNF- $\alpha$  is synthesized by various cell types upon stimulation with endotoxin, inflammatory mediators, or cytokine, such as IL-1, and, in an autocrine manner, upon stimulation by TNF itself. In our study, the expression of *tnf*- $\alpha$  was not influenced by the administration of the probiotic. The behavior observed in TNF- $\alpha$  may be the result of a combination of factors, such as the organ in which the expression was analyzed, the fish species, and the administered probiotic.

*S. cerevisiae* usually determines the up-regulation of TNF-  $\alpha$ , which is coupled with a histological variation, such as an increase in goblet cells in different species [42,90]. Our results are in agreement with this scenario, as none of the aforementioned signals appeared, thus suggesting that the doses used for probiotic addition do not determine any stimulation of this pro-inflammatory cytokine. Consequently, it is possible to suggest that the response of those genes could appear after a longer period than 90 days, as pointed out by Vazirzadeh et al. [107], or for higher concentrations of yeast (10<sup>6</sup>) [3,42].

In conclusion, as also documented for other animals, yeasts, and *S. boulardii* in particular, modulate the immunological function by decreasing the levels of kinases and pro-inflammatory molecules [120].

The present results may indicate that a similar immunomodulatory action takes place in the gut of *D. labrax*, thus suggesting a possible anti-inflammatory effect of this probiotic strain.

## 5. Conclusions

In conclusion, LSB was found to have a positive effect on *D. labrax* at the tested concentrations because it did not impair either the growth parameters and morphometric indexes, or the intestinal mucosa structure, and it positively modulated the expression of the key inflammatory genes of the sea bass gut immune system. LSB, at a dosage of 300 mg/kg, lowered the expression of the interleukin-6 gene, when it was administrated over short time periods. Indeed, Maricchiolo et al. [53] recommended a diet supplementation (with this dosage) for 21 days because of its marked protective effects on intestinal mucosa. On the contrary, we observed that IL-6 was upregulated after a prolongated administration. This behavior was confirmed by an increasing trend of the respiratory burst and hemolytic activity parameters, where a stimulating effect was observed after 90 days. Consequently, further studies in which the probiotic administration is extended to longer periods (>90 days) are recommended to draw robust conclusions on the administration of *S. cerevisiae* var. *boulardii* as a probiotic for sea bass.

**Supplementary Materials:** The following supporting information can be downloaded at: https:// www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/ani13213383/s1, Figure S1: Colonies of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* var. *boulardii* cultured on Sabouraud Agar; Figure S2: Concentrations of live yeast (as Colony Forming Units, CFU, per gram) in the feces of *D. labrax* fed with LSB100 and LSB300; Figure S3: Scanning Electron Microscope microphotographs of the anterior (A) and posterior (B) tracts of the fish intestines.

**Author Contributions:** Conceptualization, A.P., F.G. and G.M.; methodology, F.G., A.P. and G.C.; software, A.P.; validation, A.P., M.M. and G.M.; formal analysis, G.C. and M.M.; data curation, A.P., L.C. and G.M.; writing—original draft preparation, A.P. and M.M.; writing—review and editing, all the authors; supervision, G.M.; project administration, G.M.; funding acquisition, G.M. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was funded by the "INNOVAQUA" PON02\_3362185 research project.

**Institutional Review Board Statement:** The experimental procedures were conducted in compliance with European Directive 86-609-EEC (Italian Legislative Decree 116-92) in force in Europe until 2013, which regulates the use of animals in research. This study did not need authorization according to Directive 86-609-CEE because the animals suffered no pain, distress, or temporary or permanent

damage. No procedures or experiments were applied during the experiment and the fish were slaughtered by means of humane methods.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

**Data Availability Statement:** The data that support the findings of this study are available from the main author, A.P., upon reasonable request.

Acknowledgments: The authors would like to thank Castex (Lallemand SAS, Blagnac, France) for providing the LEVUCELL<sup>®</sup>SB 20. The authors are grateful to P. Lagana and S.A. Delia (Department of BIOMORF, University of Messina) who kindly provided the reference strains of the bacterial pathogens used for the antibacterial activity assay.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

## References

- 1. FAO. *Probiotics in Food*; Food and Nutrition; FAO: Rome, Italy, 2001.
- Chauhan, A.; Singh, R. Probiotics in Aquaculture: A Promising Emerging Alternative Approach. Symbiosis 2019, 77, 99–113. [CrossRef]
- Reyes-Becerril, M.; Angulo, C.; Angulo, M.; Esteban, M.Á. Probiotic Properties of *Debaryomyces hansenii* BCS004 and Their Immunostimulatory Effect in Supplemented Diets for Gilthead Seabream (*Sparus aurata*). *Aquac. Res.* 2021, 52, 2715–2726. [CrossRef]
- 4. Yilmaz, S.; Yilmaz, E.; Dawood, M.A.O.; Ringø, E.; Ahmadifar, E.; Abdel-Latif, H.M.R. Probiotics, Prebiotics, and Synbiotics Used to Control Vibriosis in Fish: A Review. *Aquaculture* **2022**, *547*, 737514. [CrossRef]
- 5. Harish, K.; Varghese, T. Probiotics in Humans—Evidence Based Review. Calicut Med. J. 2006, 4, 3.
- Kerry, R.G.; Patra, J.K.; Gouda, S.; Park, Y.; Shin, H.S.; Das, G. Benefaction of Probiotics for Human Health: A Review. J. Food Drug Anal. 2018, 26, 927–939. [CrossRef]
- Chattaraj, S.; Ganguly, A.; Mandal, A.; Das Mohapatra, P.K.; Mohapatra, P.K. Das A Review of the Role of Probiotics for the Control of Viral Diseases in Aquaculture. *Aquac. Int.* 2022, 30, 2513–2539. [CrossRef]
- Rawling, M.D.; Pontefract, N.; Rodiles, A.; Anagnostara, I.; Leclercq, E.; Schiavone, M.; Castex, M.; Merrifield, D.L. The Effect of Feeding a Novel Multistrain Yeast Fraction on European Seabass (*Dicentrachus labrax*) Intestinal Health and Growth Performance. *J. World Aquac. Soc.* 2019, *50*, 1108–1122. [CrossRef]
- 9. Sharma, S.; Dahiya, T.; Jangra, M.; Muwal, A.; Singh, C. *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* as Probiotics in Aquaculture. *J. Entomol. Zool. Stud.* **2022**, *10*, 101–104. [CrossRef]
- 10. del Valle, J.C.; Bonadero, M.C.; Fernández-Gimenez, A.V. *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* as Probiotic, Prebiotic, Synbiotic, Postbiotics and Parabiotics in Aquaculture: An Overview. *Aquaculture* **2023**, *569*, 739342. [CrossRef]
- Abass, D.A.; Obirikorang, K.A.; Campion, B.B.; Edziyie, R.E.; Skov, P.V. Dietary Supplementation of Yeast (*Saccharomyces cerevisiae*) Improves Growth, Stress Tolerance, and Disease Resistance in Juvenile Nile Tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*). Aquac. Int. 2018, 26, 843–855. [CrossRef]
- Kuebutornye, F.K.A.; Abarike, E.D.; Lu, Y. A Review on the Application of *Bacillus* as Probiotics in Aquaculture. *Fish Shellfish Immunol.* 2019, 87, 820–828. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 13. Abdel-Tawwab, M.; Adeshina, I.; Issa, Z.A. Antioxidants and Immune Responses, Resistance to *Aspergilus flavus* Infection, and Growth Performance of Nile Tilapia, *Oreochromis niloticus*, Fed Diets Supplemented with Yeast, *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* **2020**, *263*, 114484. [CrossRef]
- 14. Meidong, R.; Nakao, M.; Sakai, K.; Tongpim, S. *Lactobacillus paraplantarum* L34b-2 Derived from Fermented Food Improves the Growth, Disease Resistance and Innate Immunity in *Pangasius Bocourti*. *Aquacult*. **2021**, *531*, 735878. [CrossRef]
- Dang, Y.; Sun, Y.; Zhou, Y.; Men, X.; Wang, B.; Li, B.; Ren, Y. Effects of Probiotics on Growth, the Toll-like Receptor Mediated Immune Response and Susceptibility to *Aeromonas salmonicida* Infection in Rainbow Trout *Oncorhynchus mykiss*. *Aquaculture* 2022, 561, 738668. [CrossRef]
- Ajdari, A.; Ghafarifarsani, H.; Hoseinifar, S.H.; Javahery, S.; Narimanizad, F.; Gatphayak, K.; Van Doan, H. Effects of Dietary Supplementation of PrimaLac, Inulin, and Biomin Imbo on Growth Performance, Antioxidant, and Innate Immune Responses of Common Carp (*Cyprinus carpio*). Aquac. Nutr. 2022, 2022, 8297479. [CrossRef]
- 17. Xia, R.; Hao, Q.; Xie, Y.; Zhang, Q.; Ran, C.; Yang, Y.; Zhou, W.; Chu, F.; Zhang, X.; Wang, Y.; et al. Effects of Dietary *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* on Growth, Intestinal and Liver Health, Intestinal Microbiota and Disease Resistance of Channel Catfish (*Ictalurus punctatus*). *Aquac. Rep.* **2022**, *24*, 101157. [CrossRef]
- Shadrack, R.S.; Manabu, I.; Koshio, S.; Yokoyama, S.; Zhang, Y.; Mzengereza, K.; El Basuini, M.F.; Dawood, M.A.O. Effects of Single and Mixture Probiotic Supplements on Growth, Digestive Activity, Antioxidative Status, Immune and Growth-Related Genes, and Stress Response of Juvenile Red Sea Bream (*Pagrus major*). *Aquac. Nutr.* 2022, 2022, 8968494. [CrossRef]
- Sumon, M.A.A.; Sumon, T.A.; Hussain, M.A.; Lee, S.-J.; Jang, W.J.; Sharifuzzaman, S.M.; Brown, C.L.; Lee, E.-W.; Hasan, M.T. Single and Multi-Strain Probiotics Supplementation in Commercially Prominent Finfish Aquaculture: Review of the Current Knowledge. J. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 2022, 32, 02032. [CrossRef]

- 20. Yousuf, S.; Tyagi, A.; Singh, R. Probiotic Supplementation as an Emerging Alternative to Chemical Therapeutics in Finfish Aquaculture: A Review. *Probiotics Antimicrob. Proteins* **2022**, *15*, 1151–1168. [CrossRef]
- Eissa, E.H.; Baghdady, E.S.; Gaafar, A.Y.; El-badawi, A.A.; Bazina, W.K.; Al-kareem, O.M.A.; El-hamed, N.N.B.A. Assessing the Influence of Dietary *Pediococcus acidilactici* Probiotic Supplementation in the Feed of European Sea Bass (*Dicentrarchus labrax* L.) (Linnaeus, 1758) on Farm Water Quality, Growth, Feed Utilization, Survival Rate, Body Composition, Body Composition, Blood Biochemical Parameters, And Intestinal Histology. *Aquac. Nutr.* 2022, 2022, 11. [CrossRef]
- 22. Hartono, D.; Barades, E. Effectiveness of Using Commercial Probiotics in Biofloc System Culture Media on Growth, FCR, and Feed Efficiency of Catfish (*Clarias gariepinus*). *IOP Conf. Ser.: Earth Environ. Sci.* **2022**, 1012, 012019. [CrossRef]
- Naiel, M.A.E.; Abdelghany, M.F.; Khames, D.K.; El-hameed, S.A.A.A.; Mansour, E.M.G.; El-nadi, A.S.M.; Shoukry, A.A. Administration of Some Probiotic Strains in the Rearing Water Enhances the Water Quality, Performance, Body Chemical Analysis, Antioxidant and Immune Responses of Nile Tilapia, *Oreochromis niloticus*. Appl. Water Sci. 2022, 12, 209. [CrossRef]
- 24. Tran, N.T.; Yang, W.; Nguyen, X.T.; Zhang, M.; Ma, H.; Zheng, H.; Zhang, Y.; Chan, K.-G.; Li, S. Application of Heat-Killed Probiotics in Aquaculture. *Aquaculture* **2022**, *548*, 737700. [CrossRef]
- Mugwanya, M.; Dawood, M.A.O.; Kimera, F.; Sewilam, H. Updating the Role of Probiotics, Prebiotics, and Synbiotics for Tilapia Aquaculture as Leading Candidates for Food Sustainability: A Review. *Probiotics Antimicrob. Proteins* 2022, 14, 130–157. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Chizhayeva, A.; Amangeldi, A.; Oleinikova, Y.; Alybaeva, A.; Sadanov, A. Living Resources Lactic Acid Bacteria as Probiotics in Sustainable Development of Aquaculture. *Aquat. Living Resour.* 2022, 35, 10. [CrossRef]
- 27. Kuebutornye, F.K.A.; Abarike, E.D.; Lu, Y.; Hlordzi, V.; Sakyi, M.E.; Afriyie, G.; Li, Y.; Xie, C.X. Mechanisms and the Role of Probiotic *Bacillus* in Mitigating Fish Pathogens in Aquaculture. *Fish Physiol. Biochem.* **2020**, *46*, 819–841. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Amit; Pandey, A.; Tyagi, A.; Khairnar, S.O. Oral Feed-Based Administration of *Lactobacillus plantarum* Enhances Growth, Haematological and Immunological Responses in *Cyprinus carpio. Emerg. Anim. Species* 2022, 3, 100003. [CrossRef]
- Ghodrati, M.; Rajabi Islami, H.; Hosseini Shekarabi, S.P.; Shenavar Masouleh, A.; Shamsaie Mehrgan, M. Combined Effects of Enzymes and Probiotics on Hemato-Biochemical Parameters and Immunological Responses of Juvenile Siberian Sturgeon (*Acipenser baerii*). Fish Shellfish Immunol. 2021, 112, 116–124. [CrossRef]
- Kord, M.I.; Maulu, S.; Srour, T.M.; Omar, E.A.; Farag, A.A.; Nour, A.A.M.; Hasimuna, O.J.; Abdel-Tawwab, M.; Khalil, H.S. Impacts of Water Additives on Water Quality, Production Efficiency, Intestinal Morphology, Gut Microbiota, and Immunological Responses of Nile Tilapia Fingerlings under a Zero-Water-Exchange System. *Aquaculture* 2022, 547, 737503. [CrossRef]
- 31. de Fátima Marques de Mesquita, E.; Calixto, F.A.A.; Takata, R. Probiotics and Prebiotics in Fish Aquaculture. In *Probiotics and Prebiotics in Foods*; Elsevier: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2021; pp. 263–281.
- El-bab, A.F.F.; Saghir, S.A.M.; El-naser, I.A.A.; El-kheir, S.M.M.A.; Abdel-kader, M.F.; Alruhaimi, R.S.; Alqhtani, H.A.; Mahmoud, A.M.; Naiel, M.A.E.; El-raghi, A.A. The Effect of Dietary *Saccharomyces Cerevisiae* on Growth Performance, Oxidative Status, and Immune Response of Sea Bream (*Sparus aurata*). *Life* 2022, *12*, 1013. [CrossRef]
- 33. Randazzo, B.; Di Marco, P.; Zarantoniello, M.; Daniso, E.; Cerri, R.; Finoia, M.G.; Capoccioni, F.; Tibaldi, E.; Olivotto, I.; Cardinaletti, G. Effects of Supplementing a Plant Protein-Rich Diet with Insect, Crayfish or Microalgae Meals on Gilthead Sea Bream (*Sparus aurata*) and European Seabass (*Dicentrarchus labrax*) Growth, Physiological Status and Gut Health. *Aquaculture* 2023, 575, 739811. [CrossRef]
- 34. Sakai, M.; Hikima, J.I.; Kono, T. Fish Cytokines: Current Research and Applications. Fish. Sci. 2021, 87, 1–9. [CrossRef]
- Lin, Y.; Saputra, F.; Chen, Y.; Hu, S. Fish and Shell Fi Sh Immunology Dietary Administration of *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* R8 Reduces Hepatic Oxidative Stress and Enhances Nutrient Metabolism and Immunity against *Aeromonas hydrophila* and *Streptococcus agalactiae* in Zebra Fi Sh (*Danio rerio*). *Fish Shellfish Immunol.* 2019, *86*, 410–419. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Jinendiran, S.; Archana, R.; Sathishkumar, R.; Kannan, R.; Selvakumar, G.; Sivakumar, N. Dietary Administration of Probiotic Aeromonas veronii V03 on the Modulation of Innate Immunity, Expression of Immune-Related Genes and Disease Resistance Against Aeromonas hydrophila Infection in Common Carp (Cyprinus carpio). Probiotics Antimicrob. Proteins 2021, 13, 1709–1722. [CrossRef]
- Naya-català, F.; Pereira, V.; Piazzon, M.C.; Fernandes, A.M.; Josep Alvar, C.; Sitjà-bobadilla, A.; Conceição, L.E.C.; Pérez-Sánchez, J. Cross-Talk Between Intestinal Microbiota and Host Gene Expression in Gilthead Sea Bream (*Sparus aurata*) Juveniles: Insights in Fish Feeds for Increased Circularity and Resource Utilization. *Front. Physiol.* 2021, 12, 748265. [CrossRef]
- Simón, R.; Docando, F.; Nuñez-Ortiz, N.; Tafalla, C.; Díaz-Rosales, P. Mechanisms Used by Probiotics to Confer Pathogen Resistance to Teleost Fish. Front. Immunol. 2021, 12, 653025. [CrossRef]
- Naiel, M.A.E.; Shehata, A.M.; El-Kholy, A.I.; El-Naggar, K.; Farag, M.R.; Alagawany, M. The Mitigating Role of Probiotics against the Adverse Effects of Suboptimal Temperature in Farmed Fish: A Review. *Aquaculture* 2022, 550, 737877. [CrossRef]
- Dawood, M.A.O.; El-kader, M.F.A.; Farid, M.A.; Abd-elghany, M.F.; Alkafafy, M.; van Doan, H.; Aquaculture, S.; El-sheikh, K.; Arabia, S. Saccharomyces cerevisiae Enhanced the Growth, Immune and Antioxidative Responses of European Seabass (*Dicentrarchus labrax*). Ann. Anim. Sci. Anim. Sci. 2021, 21, 1423–1433. [CrossRef]
- 41. Mahdy, M.A.; Jamal, M.T.; Al-harb, M.; Al-mur, B.A.; Haque, F. Use of Yeasts in Aquaculture Nutrition and Immunostimulation: A Review. J. Appl. Biol. Biotechnol. 2022, 10, 59–65. [CrossRef]

- 42. Siddik, M.A.B.; Foysal, M.J.; Fotedar, R.; Francis, D.S.; Gupta, S.K. Probiotic Yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* Coupled with *Lactobacillus casei* Modulates Physiological Performance and Promotes Gut Microbiota in Juvenile Barramundi, *Lates calcarifer*. *Aquaculture* **2021**, *546*, 737346. [CrossRef]
- 43. Islam, S.M.M.; Rohani, M.F.; Shahjahan, M. Probiotic Yeast Enhances Growth Performance of Nile Tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) through Morphological Modifications of Intestine. *Aquac. Reports* **2021**, *21*, 100800. [CrossRef]
- 44. Bardócz, S.; Duguid, T.J.; Brown, D.S.; Grant, G.; Pusztai, A.; White, A.; Ralph, A. The Importance of Dietary Polyamines in Cell Regeneration and Growth. *Br. J. Nutr.* **1995**, *73*, 819–828. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 45. Hirano, T. Interleukin 6 in Autoimmune and Inflammatory Diseases: A Personal Memoir. *Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. B* 2010, *86*, 717–730. [CrossRef]
- Abid, R.; Waseem, H.; Ali, J.; Ghazanfar, S.; Muhammad Ali, G.; Elasbali, A.M.; Alharethi, S.H.; Ali, G.M.; Elasbali, A.M.; Alharethi, S.H. Probiotic Yeast *Saccharomyces*: Back to Nature to Improve Human Health. *J. Fungi* 2022, *8*, 444. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Chan, M.Z.A.; Liu, S.-Q.Q. Fortifying Foods with Synbiotic and Postbiotic Preparations of the Probiotic Yeast, Saccharomyces boulardii. Curr. Opin. Food Sci. 2022, 43, 216–224. [CrossRef]
- Fu, J.J.; Liu, J.; Wen, X.P.; Zhang, G.; Cai, J.; Qiao, Z.; An, Z.; Zheng, J.; Li, L. Unique Probiotic Properties and Bioactive Metabolites of *Saccharomyces boulardii*. *Probiotics Antimicrob*. *Proteins* **2022**, *15*, 967–982. [CrossRef]
- Gu, Y.; Wang, C.; Qin, X.; Zhou, B.; Liu, X.; Liu, T.; Xie, R.; Liu, J.; Wang, B.; Cao, H. Saccharomyces boulardii, a Yeast Probiotic, Inhibits Gut Motility through Upregulating Intestinal Serotonin Transporter and Modulating Gut Microbiota. *Pharmacol. Res.* 2022, 181, 106291. [CrossRef]
- Pais, P.; Almeida, V.; Yılmaz, M.; Teixeira, M.C. Saccharomyces boulardii: What Makes It Tick as Successful Probiotic? J. Fungi 2020, 6, 78. [CrossRef]
- 51. Rocha, V.P.; Araújo, L.R.S.; de Mendonça, I.B.; Martins, L.P.; de Alcântara Araújo, G.G.; Watanabe, P.H.; Andrade, T.S.; Evangelista, J.N.B. Effects of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* Var. *boulardii* CNCM I-1079 on Performance, Colostrum and Milk Composition, and Litter Performance of Mixed-Parity Sows in a Tropical Humid Climate. *Trop. Anim. Health Prod.* 2022, 54, 41. [CrossRef]
- 52. Tamang, J.P.; Lama, S. Probiotic Properties of Yeasts in Traditional Fermented Foods and Beverages. J. Appl. Microbiol. 2022, 132, 3533–3542. [CrossRef]
- Maricchiolo, G.; Caccamo, L.; Mancuso, M.; Cusimano, G.M.; Gai, F.; Genovese, M.; Ghonimy, A.; Genovese, L. Saccharomyces cerevisiae Var. boulardii Preserves the Integrity of Intestinal Mucosa in Gilthead Seabream, Sparus aurata Subjected to a Bacterial Challenge with Vibrio anguillarum. Aquac. Res. 2017, 48, 725–728. [CrossRef]
- 54. Czerucka, D.; Rampal, P. Diversity of *Saccharomyces boulardii* CNCM I-745 Mechanisms of Action against Intestinal Infections. *World J. Gastroenterol.* **2019**, 25, 2188–2203. [CrossRef]
- Goda, A.M.; Omar, E.A.; Srour, T.M.; Kotiet, A.M.; El-Haroun, E.; Davies, S.J. Effect of Diets Supplemented with Feed Additives on Growth, Feed Utilization, Survival, Body Composition and Intestinal Bacterial Load of Early Weaning European Seabass, *Dicentrarchus labrax* Post-Larvae. *Aquac. Int.* 2018, 26, 169–183. [CrossRef]
- 56. Öztürk, F.; Esendal, Ö.M. Usage of *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* as a Probiotic in Sea Bass (*Dicentrarchus labrax*). J. Anatol. Environ. Anim. Sci. 2020, 5, 93–99.
- Addo, S.; Carrias, A.A.; Williams, M.A.; Liles, M.R.; Terhune, J.S.; Davis, D.A. Effects of *Bacillus Subtilis* Strains on Growth, Immune Parameters, and *Streptococcus iniae* Susceptibility in Nile Tilapia, *Oreochromis niloticus*. J. World Aquac. Soc. 2017, 48, 257–267. [CrossRef]
- Sheikhzadeh, N.; Makhloughi, A.R.; Nofouzi, K.; Tukmechi, A. Influence of Diets Enriched with Two Different Saccharomyces cerevisiae Strains on Growth Performance, Innate Immune System and Disease Resistance in Rainbow Trout (Onchorhynchus mykiss). Aquac. Res. 2015, 47, 2691–2695. [CrossRef]
- 59. Person-Le Ruyet, J.; Mahé, K.; Le Bayon, N.; Le Delliou, H. Effects of Temperature on Growth and Metabolism in a Mediterranean Population of European Sea Bass, *Dicentrarchus labrax*. *Aquaculture* **2004**, 237, 269–280. [CrossRef]
- Martínez-Llorens, S.; Baeza-Ariño, R.; Nogales-Mérida, S.; Jover-Cerdá, M.; Tomás-Vidal, A. Carob Seed Germ Meal as a Partial Substitute in Gilthead Sea Bream (*Sparus aurata*) Diets: Amino Acid Retention, Digestibility, Gut and Liver Histology. *Aquaculture* 2012, 338–341, 124–133. [CrossRef]
- Nogales Mérida, S.; Tomás-Vidal, A.; Martínez-Llorens, S.; Jover Cerdá, M. Sunflower Meal as a Partial Substitute in Juvenile Sharpsnout Sea Bream (*Diplodus puntazzo*) Diets: Amino Acid Retention, Gut and Liver Histology. *Aquaculture* 2010, 298, 275–281. [CrossRef]
- McFadzen, I.R.; Coombs, S.; Halliday, N. Histological Indices of the Nutritional Condition of Sardine, Sardina pilchardus (Walbaum) Larvae off the North Coast of Spain. J. Exp. Mar. Bio. Ecol. 1997, 212, 239–258. [CrossRef]
- 63. Osserman, E.F.; Lawlor, D.P. Serum and Urinary Lysozyme (Muramidase) In Monocytic and Monomyelocytic Leukemia. *J. Exp. Med.* **1966**, *124*, 921–952. [CrossRef]
- 64. Roed, K.H.; Fjalestad, K.; Larsen, H.J.; Midthjel, L. Genetic Variation in Haemolytic Activity in Atlantic Salmon (*Salmo salar* L.). *J. Fish Biol.* **1992**, *40*, 739–750. [CrossRef]
- 65. Sunyer, J.O.; Tort, L. Natural Hemolytic and Bactericidal Activities of Sea Bream *Sparus aurata* Serum Are Effected by the Alternative Complement Pathway. *Vet. Immunol. Immunopathol.* **1995**, *45*, 333–345. [CrossRef]

- Harrell, L.W.; Etlinger, H.M.; Hodgins, H.O. Humoral Factors Important in Resistance of Salmonid Fish to Bacterial Disease. II. Anti-Vibrio anguillarum Activity in Mucus and Observations on Complement. Aquaculture 1976, 7, 363–370. [CrossRef]
- 67. Caruso, G.; Denaro, M.G.; Caruso, R.; Mancari, F.; Genovese, L.; Maricchiolo, G. Response to Short Term Starvation of Growth, Haematological, Biochemical and Non-Specific Immune Parameters in European Sea Bass (*Dicentrarchus labrax*) and Blackspot Sea Bream (*Pagellus bogaraveo*). *Mar. Environ. Res.* **2011**, 72, 46–52. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 68. Caruso, G.; Maricchiolo, G.; Genovese, L.; Caruso, R.; Denaro, M.G.; Delia, S.; Laganà, P. Comparative Study of Antibacterial and Haemolytic Activities in Sea Bass, European Eel and Blackspot Seabream. *Open Mar. Biol. J.* **2014**, *8*, 10–16. [CrossRef]
- Quade, M.J.; Roth, J.A. A Rapid, Direct Assay to Measure Degranulation of Bovine Neutrophil Primary Granules. Vet. Immunol. Immunopathol. 1997, 58, 239–248. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- McFarland, L.V.; Bernasconi, P. Saccharomyces boulardii'. A Review of an Innovative Biotherapeutic Agent. Microb. Ecol. Health Dis. 1993, 6, 157–171. [CrossRef]
- 71. Shruthi, B.; Deepa, N.; Somashekaraiah, R.; Adithi, G.; Divyashree, S.; Sreenivasa, M.Y. Exploring Biotechnological and Functional Characteristics of Probiotic Yeasts: A Review. *Biotechnol. Rep.* **2022**, *34*, e00716. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Savin, V.; Patriche, N.; Mocanu, E.; Tenciu, M.; Lăcătuş, M.; Popa, D.; Savin, C. The Effect of Saccharomyces boulardii Yeast Feed Supplementation On Growth Parameters And Biochemical Composition Of Carp (Cyprinus carpio). Univ. Agric. Sci. Vet. Med. Iasi 2019, 72, 188–192.
- Roy, J.; Terrier, F.; Marchand, M.; Herman, A.; Heraud, C.; Surget, A.; Lanuque, A.; Sandres, F.; Marandel, L. Effects of Low Stocking Densities on Zootechnical Parameters and Physiological Responses of Rainbow Trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) Juveniles. *Biology* 2021, 10, 1040. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 74. de Souza Silva, L.T.; de Padua Pereira, U.; de Oliveira, H.M.; Brasil, E.M.; Pereira, S.A.; Chagas, E.C.; Jesus, G.F.A.; Cardoso, L.; Mourino, J.L.P.; Martins, M.L. Hemato-Immunological and Zootechnical Parameters of Nile Tilapia Fed Essential Oil of Mentha Piperita after Challenge with *Streptococcus agalactiae*. Aquaculture 2019, 506, 205–211. [CrossRef]
- 75. De Moraes, A.V.; Owatari, M.S.; da Silva, E.; de Oliveira Pereira, M.; Piola, M.; Ramos, C.; Farias, D.R.; Schleder, D.D.; Jesus, G.F.A.; Jatobá, A. Effects of Microencapsulated Probiotics-Supplemented Diet on Growth, Non-Specific Immunity, Intestinal Health and Resistance of Juvenile Nile Tilapia Challenged with *Aeromonas hydrophila*. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* 2022, 287, 115286. [CrossRef]
- Picoli, F.; de Alcantara Lopes, D.L.; Zampar, A.; Serafini, S.; Freccia, A.; Veronezi, L.O.; Kowalski, M.W.; Ghizzo, J.B.; Emerenciano, M.G.C. Dietary Bee Pollen Affects Hepatic–Intestinal Histomorphometry of Nile Tilapia Fingerlings. *Aquac. Res.* 2019, 50, 3295–3304. [CrossRef]
- 77. Romano, N.; Kumar, V.; Yang, G.; Kajbaf, K.; Rubio, M.B.; Overturf, K.; Brezas, A.; Hardy, R. Bile Acid Metabolism in Fish: Disturbances Caused by Fishmeal Alternatives and Some Mitigating Effects from Dietary Bile Inclusions. *Rev. Aquac.* 2020, 12, 1792–1817. [CrossRef]
- Gasco, L.; Gai, F.; Maricchiolo, G.; Genovese, L.; Ragonese, S.; Bottari, T.; Caruso, G. Supplementation of Vitamins, Minerals, Enzymes and Antioxidants in Fish Feeds. In *Feeds for the Aquaculture Sector*; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 2018; pp. 63–103.
- 79. Jobling, M. Fish Nutrition Research: Past, Present and Future. Aquac. Int. 2016, 24, 767–786. [CrossRef]
- Martin, S.A.M.; Król, E. Nutrigenomics and Immune Function in Fish: New Insights from Omics Technologies. *Dev. Comp. Immunol.* 2017, 75, 86–98. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Faeed, M.; Kasra Kermanshahi, R.; Pourkazemi, M.; Ahmadnezhad, M. In Vivo Study on Probiotic Lactobacillus brevis in Sander lucioperca and Some of Their Nonspecific Immune Parameters, Intestinal Morphology and Survival against Aeromonas hydrophila. Iran. J. Fish. Sci. 2022, 21, 387–402. [CrossRef]
- Hooshyar, Y.; Abedian Kenari, A.; Paknejad, H.; Gandomi, H. Effects of *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* ATCC 7469 on Different Parameters Related to Health Status of Rainbow Trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) and the Protection Against Yersinia Ruckeri. *Probiotics Antimicrob. Proteins* 2020, 12, 1370–1384. [CrossRef]
- Guardiola, F.A.; Porcino, C.; Cerezuela, R.; Cuesta, A.; Faggio, C.; Esteban, M.A. Impact of Date Palm Fruits Extracts and Probiotic Enriched Diet on Antioxidant Status, Innate Immune Response and Immune-Related Gene Expression of European Seabass (*Dicentrarchus labrax*). Fish Shellfish Immunol. 2016, 52, 298–308. [CrossRef]
- Rahimi, R.; Mirahmadi, S.A.; Hajirezaee, S.; Fallah, A.A. How Probiotics Impact on Immunological Parameters in Rainbow Trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss)? A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Rev. Aquac. 2022, 14, 27–53. [CrossRef]
- 85. Cabib, E.; Roberts, R.; Bowers, B. Synthesis of the Yeast Cell Wall and Its Regulation. *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* **1982**, *51*, 763–793. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Bagherpour, G.; Ghasemi, H.; Zand, B.; Zarei, N.; Roohvand, F.; Ardakani, E.M.; Azizi, M.; Khalaj, V. Oral Administration of Recombinant *Saccharomyces boulardii* Expressing Ovalbumin-CPE Fusion Protein Induces Antibody Response in Mice. *Front. Microbiol.* 2018, *9*, 723. [CrossRef]
- Buts, J.-P.P.; Bernasconi, P.; Vaerman, J.-P.P.; Dive, C. Stimulation of Secretory IgA and Secretory Component of Immunoglobulins in Small Intestine of Rats Treated with *Saccharomyces boulardii*. *Dig. Dis. Sci.* 1990, 35, 251–256. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Espinosa, C.; Esteban, M.A. Effect of Dietary Supplementation with Yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae on Skin, Serum and Liver of Gilthead Seabream (Sparus aurata L). J. Fish Biol. 2020, 97, 869–881. [CrossRef]

- Ortuño, J.; Cuesta, A.; Rodríguez, A.; Esteban, M.A.; Meseguer, J. Oral Administration of Yeast, *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, Enhances the Cellular Innate Immune Response of Gilthead Seabream (*Sparus aurata* L.). *Vet. Immunol. Immunopathol.* 2002, *85*, 41–50. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Abu-Elala, N.M.; Younis, N.A.; AbuBakr, H.O.; Ragaa, N.M.; Borges, L.L.; Bonato, M.A. Influence of Dietary Fermented Saccharomyces cerevisiae on Growth Performance, Oxidative Stress Parameters, and Immune Response of Cultured Oreochromis niloticus. Fish Physiol. Biochem. 2020, 46, 533–545. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Sönmez, A.Y. Evaluating Two Different Additive Levels of Fully Autolyzed Yeast, *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, on Rainbow Trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) Growth Performance, Liver Histology and Fatty Acid Composition. *Turkish J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* 2017, 17, 379–385. [CrossRef]
- Vidakovic, A.; Huyben, D.; Sundh, H.; Nyman, A.; Vielma, J.; Passoth, V.; Kiessling, A.; Lundh, T. Growth Performance, Nutrient Digestibility and Intestinal Morphology of Rainbow Trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) Fed Graded Levels of the Yeasts *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and *Wickerhamomyces anomalus*. *Aquac. Nutr.* 2020, 26, 275–286. [CrossRef]
- Hoseinifar, S.H.; Mirvaghefi, A.; Merrifield, D.L. The Effects of Dietary Inactive Brewer's Yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae Var. ellipsoideus on the Growth, Physiological Responses and Gut Microbiota of Juvenile Beluga (Huso huso). Aquaculture 2011, 318, 90–94. [CrossRef]
- 94. Iwashita, M.K.P.; Nakandakare, I.B.; Terhune, J.S.; Wood, T.; Ranzani-Paiva, M.J.T. Dietary Supplementation with *Bacillus subtilis*, Saccharomyces cerevisiae and Aspergillus oryzae Enhance Immunity and Disease Resistance against Aeromonas hydrophila and Streptococcus iniae Infection in Juvenile Tilapia Oreochromis niloticus. Fish Shellfish Immunol. 2015, 43, 60–66. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 95. Abram, Q.; Dixon, B.; Katzenback, B. Impacts of Low Temperature on the Teleost Immune System. *Biology* **2017**, *6*, 39. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 96. Irianto, A.; Austin, B. Probiotics in Aquaculture. J. Fish Dis. 2002, 25, 633–642. [CrossRef]
- Panigrahi, A.; Kiron, V.; Puangkaew, J.; Kobayashi, T.; Satoh, S.; Sugita, H. The Viability of Probiotic Bacteria as a Factor Influencing the Immune Response in Rainbow Trout Oncorhynchus mykiss. Aquaculture 2005, 243, 241–254. [CrossRef]
- Rodríguez, A. Immunostimulant Properties of a Cell Wall-Modified Whole Saccharomyces cerevisiae Strain Administered by Diet to Seabream (Sparus aurata L.). Vet. Immunol. Immunopathol. 2003, 96, 183–192. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 99. Song, Z.F.; Wu, T.X.; Cai, L.S.; Zhang, L.J.; Zheng, X.D. Effects of Dietary Supplementation with *Clostridium butyricum* on the Growth Performance and Humoral Immune Response in *Miichthys miiuy*. J. Zhejiang Univ. Sci. B. **2006**, 7, 596–602. [CrossRef]
- Boonanuntanasarn, S.; Ditthab, K.; Jangprai, A.; Nakharuthai, C. Effects of Microencapsulated Saccharomyces cerevisiae on Growth, Hematological Indices, Blood Chemical, and Immune Parameters and Intestinal Morphology in Striped Catfish, Pangasianodon hypophthalmus. Probiotics Antimicrob. Proteins 2019, 11, 427–437. [CrossRef]
- Abdel-Latif, H.M.R.; Chaklader, M.R.; Shukry, M.; Ahmed, H.A.; Khallaf, M.A. A Multispecies Probiotic Modulates Growth, Digestive Enzymes, Immunity, Hepatic Antioxidant Activity, and Disease Resistance of *Pangasianodon hypophthalmus* Fingerlings. *Aquaculture* 2023, 563, 738948. [CrossRef]
- 102. Tao, J.; Wang, S.; Qiu, H.; Xie, R.; Zhang, H.; Chen, N.; Li, S. Modulation of Growth Performance, Antioxidant Capacity, Non-Specific Immunity and Disease Resistance in Largemouth Bass (*Micropterus salmoides*) upon Compound Probiotic Cultures Inclusion. *Fish Shellfish Immunol.* 2022, 127, 804–812. [CrossRef]
- Mohapatra, S.; Chakraborty, T.; Kumar, V.; Deboeck, G.; Mohanta, K.N. Aquaculture and Stress Management: A Review of Probiotic Intervention. J. Anim. Physiol. Anim. Nutr. 2013, 97, 405–430. [CrossRef]
- 104. Chiu, C.H.; Cheng, C.H.; Gua, W.R.; Guu, Y.K.; Cheng, W. Dietary Administration of the Probiotic, Saccharomyces cerevisiae P13, Enhanced the Growth, Innate Immune Responses, and Disease Resistance of the Grouper, Epinephelus coioides. Fish Shellfish Immunol. 2010, 29, 1053–1059. [CrossRef]
- 105. Sakai, M. Current Research Status of Fish Immunostimulants. Aquaculture 1999, 172, 63–92. [CrossRef]
- Kim, D.H.; Austin, B. Innate Immune Responses in Rainbow Trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*, Walbaum) Induced by Probiotics. *Fish* Shellfish Immunol. 2006, 21, 513–524. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Vazirzadeh, A.; Roosta, H.; Masoumi, H.; Farhadi, A.; Jeffs, A. Long-Term Effects of Three Probiotics, Singular or Combined, on Serum Innate Immune Parameters and Expressions of Cytokine Genes in Rainbow Trout during Grow-Out. *Fish Shellfish Immunol.* 2020, 98, 748–757. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Harikrishnan, R.; Kim, M.C.; Kim, J.S.; Balasundaram, C.; Heo, M.S. Immunomodulatory Effect of Probiotics Enriched Diets on Uronema Marinum Infected Olive Flounder. *Fish Shellfish Immunol.* 2011, 30, 964–971. [CrossRef]
- 109. Taoka, Y.; Maeda, H.; Jo, J.Y.; Kim, S.M.; Park, S.I.; Yoshikawa, T.; Sakata, T. Use of Live and Dead Probiotic Cells in Tilapia *Oreochromis niloticus. Fish. Sci.* 2006, 72, 755–766. [CrossRef]
- Zaineldin, A.I.; Hegazi, S.; Koshio, S.; Ishikawa, M.; Dawood, M.A.O.; Dossou, S.; Yukun, Z.; Mzengereza, K. Singular Effects of Bacillus subtilis C-3102 or Saccharomyces cerevisiae Type 1 on the Growth, Gut Morphology, Immunity, and Stress Resistance of Red Sea Bream (Pagrus major). Ann. Anim. Sci. 2021, 21, 589–608. [CrossRef]
- 111. Tukmechi, A.; Rahmati Andani, H.R.; Manaffar, R.; Sheikhzadeh, N. Dietary Administration of Beta-Mercapto-Ethanol Treated Saccharomyces cerevisiae Enhanced the Growth, Innate Immune Response and Disease Resistance of the Rainbow Trout, Oncorhynchus mykiss. Fish Shellfish Immunol. 2011, 30, 923–928. [CrossRef]
- Tewary, A.; C Patra, B. Oral Administration of Baker's Yeast (*Saccharomyces cerevisiae*) Acts as a Growth Promoter and Immunomodulator in *Labeo rohita* (Ham.). J. Aquac. Res. Dev. 2011, 2, 1000109. [CrossRef]

- 113. Bandyopadhyay, P.; Mishra, S.; Sarkar, B.; Swain, S.K.; Pal, A.; Tripathy, P.P.; Ojha, S.K. Dietary *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* Boosts Growth and Immunity of IMC *Labeo rohita* (Ham.) Juveniles. *Indian J. Microbiol.* **2015**, *55*, 81–87. [CrossRef]
- 114. Munir, M.B.; Hashim, R.; Nor, S.A.M.; Marsh, T.L. Effect of Dietary Prebiotics and Probiotics on Snakehead (*Channa striata*) Health: Haematology and Disease Resistance Parameters against *Aeromonas hydrophila*. Fish Shellfish Immunol. 2018, 75, 99–108. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 115. Reyes-Cerpa, S.; Maisey, K.; Reyes-Lpez, F.; Toro-Ascuy, D.; Mara, A.; Imarai, M. Fish Cytokines and Immune Response. In *New Advances and Contributions to Fish Biology*; IntechOpen: London, UK, 2012.
- 116. Yang, X.; He, Y.; Lin, S.; Dong, X.; Yang, Q.; Liu, H.; Zhang, S.; Chi, S.; Tan, B. Saccharomyces cerevisiae Extracts Improved the Effects of a Low Fishmeal, Complex Plant Protein Diet in the Orange-Spotted Grouper, Epinephelus coioides. Aquac. Rep. 2021, 19, 100574. [CrossRef]
- Huyben, D.; Vidakovic, A.; Sundh, H.; Sundell, K.; Kiessling, A.; Lundh, T. Haematological and Intestinal Health Parameters of Rainbow Trout Are Influenced by Dietary Live Yeast and Increased Water Temperature. *Fish Shellfish Immunol.* 2019, *89*, 525–536. [CrossRef]
- 118. Sawa, Y.; Ueki, T.; Hata, M.; Iwasawa, K.; Tsuruga, E.; Kojima, H.; Ishikawa, H.; Yoshida, S. LPS-Induced IL-6, IL-8, VCAM-1, and ICAM-1 Expression in Human Lymphatic Endothelium. *J. Histochem. Cytochem.* **2008**, *56*, 97–109. [CrossRef]
- Wei, X.; Li, B.; Wu, L.; Yin, X.; Zhong, X.; Li, Y.; Wang, Y.; Guo, Z.; Ye, J. Interleukin-6 Gets Involved in Response to Bacterial Infection and Promotes Antibody Production in Nile Tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*). *Dev. Comp. Immunol.* 2018, 89, 141–151. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Fidan, I.; Kalkanci, A.; Yesilyurt, E.; Yalcin, B.; Erdal, B.; Kustimur, S.; Imir, T. Effects of *Saccharomyces boulardii* on Cytokine Secretion from Intraepithelial Lymphocytes Infected by *Escherichia coli* and *Candida albicans*. *Mycoses* 2009, 52, 29–34. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.