

Supplementary Table S3

Table S3. Zone of inhibition growth of the *Bacillus sp.* derived from probiotic

<i>Bacillus sp.</i>	Antibiotics							
	K	S	C	AM	SAM	OX	B	RA
<i>B. subtilis</i>	14.8 ± 0.3	10.7 ± 5.0	20.2 ± 0.3	0.0 ± 0.0	10.7 ± 0.6	20.2 ± 0.3	12.7 ± 0.6	12.8 ± 0.3
<i>B. atropheus</i>	13.7 ± 0.6	8.8 ± 4.0	22.8 ± 0.3	0.0 ± 0.0	4.5 ± 2.3	17.3 ± 0.3	10.7 ± 1.9	13.0 ± 0.9
<i>B. cereus</i>	15.5 ± 0.9	7.3 ± 0.6	13.8 ± 0.3	0.0 ± 0.0	8.0 ± 2.0	4.0 ± 1.7	0.0 ± 0.0	12.8 ± 0.3
<i>B. licheniformis</i>	14.0 ± 1.5	0.0 ± 0.0	14.2 ± 0.3	0.0 ± 0.0	6.7 ± 2.3	8.3 ± 1.5	0.0 ± 0.0	23.3 ± 1.5
<i>B. pumilus</i>	17.2 ± 1.0	9.5 ± 0.5	16.8 ± 0.3	20.1 ± 0.1	21.7 ± 0.6	22.8 ± 0.3	0.0 ± 0.0	13.8 ± 0.3
<i>B. amyloliquefaciens</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Results are shown as inhibition diameter of growth of *Bacillus* (in mm) for triplicate samples, with the standard deviation and at a significance level of $P < 0.05$. Antibiotics discs were as follows: K- kanamycin (30 µg), AM- ampicillin (10 µg), SAM- ampicillin & sulfbactam (20 µg), S- streptomycin (10 µg), RA- rifampicin (5 µg), OX-oxacillin (5 µg), B- bacitracin (10 µg), and C- chloramphenicol (30 µg).