

Supplementary B. Keywords in environmental sciences

Table S4. Keywords in medicine, interdisciplinarians, nursing, and library and information sciences in 15 articles in 14 journals between 2017-2021

Journal	Title	Bibliometric	Cochrane	Cluster	Content analysis	Evidence	Knowledge	Quantitative	Literature review	Meta-analysis	Search terms	Narratives	Green supply chains	VOS viewer	PRISMA	Scoping	Synthesis	Systematic review	Systematic literature review	Graphical analysis	References
1. <i>Clean Technologies and Environmental Policy</i>	1. Research on green supply chain: a bibliometric analysis	79	0	4	0	0	6	3	15	0	0	0	4	10	0	0	0	2	4	5	(Amirbagheri, Núñez-Carballosa, Guitart-Tarrés, & Merigó, 2019)
2. <i>Environmental Research</i>	2. The pathways linking objectively-measured greenspace exposure and mental health: A systematic review of observational studies	0	0	0	0	50	0	0	0	10	2	0	0	0	5	2	3	33	0	0	(Zhang, Zhang, & Rhodes, 2021)
3. <i>PloS ONE</i>	3. Blinded by PRISMA: Are systematic reviewers focusing on PRISMA and ignoring other guidelines?	0	0	0	0	6	5	0	0	13	0	0	0	0	61	0	0	3	0	0	(Fleming, Koletsi, & Pandis, 2014)
4. <i>Scientific Reports</i>	4. Meta-analysis of urbanization impact on rainfall modification	0	0	7	0	4	8	15	2	49	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	(Liu & Niyogi, 2019)
5. <i>BMC Medical Research Methodology</i>	5. What is the most appropriate knowledge synthesis method to conduct a review? Protocol for a scoping review	0	16	0	2	44	89	30	2	8	0	2	0	0	0	24	157	23	0	0	(Kastner, et al., 2012)
6. <i>BMJ</i>	6. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement	0	7	0	0	20	2	1	0	13	0	0	0	0	53	0	7	73	0	0	(Moher, Liberati, Tetzlaff, GAltman, & Group, 2009)
7. <i>JAM- Journal of the American Medical Association</i>	7. The history and meaning of the journal impact factor	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	(Garfield, 2006)
8. <i>Nephron Clinical Practice</i>	8. Adding up the evidence: Systematic reviews and meta-analyses	0	6	0	0	5	2	3	0	29	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	54	0	0	(Noordzij, Zoccali, Dekker, & Jager, 2011)
9. <i>PLoS Med</i>	9. The PRISMA statement for reporting systematic reviews and meta-analyses of studies that evaluate health care interventions: Explanation and elaboration	0	74	0	0	76	10	10	0	103	2	0	0	0	78	0	23	111	0	0	(Liberati, et al., 2009)
	10. Epidemiology and reporting characteristics of systematic reviews	0	82	0	0	13	0	4	2	11	2	0	0	0	0	0	6	46	0	0	(Moher, Tetzlaff, Tricco, Sampson, & Altman, 2007)

Journal	Title																			References	
		Bibliometric	Cochrane	Cluster	Content analysis	Evidence	Knowledge	Quantitative	Literature review	Meta-analysis	Search terms	Narratives	Green supply chains	VOS viewer	PRISMA	Scoping	Synthesis	Systematic review	Systematic literature review		Graphical analysis
10. Systematic Reviews	11. The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews	0	8	0	0	0	9	4	2	15	0	0	0	0	128	0	42	97	0	0	(Page, et al., 2021)
11. Research in Nursing & Health	12. Using qualitative metasummary to synthesize qualitative and quantitative descriptive findings	0	7	0	0	0	4	55	0	3	0	2	0	0	0	0	25	14	0	0	(Sandelowski, Barroso, & Voils, 2007)
12. International Journal of Social Research Methodology	13. Scoping studies: towards a methodological framework	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	85	0	13	0	0	(Arksey & O'Malley, 2005)
13. Qualitative Research	14. Innovation in qualitative research methods: a narrative review	0	0	2	0	8	0	113	0	0	0	36	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	(Wiles, Crow, & Pain, 2011)
14. Scientometrics	15. An index to quantify an individual's scientific research output that takes into account the effect of multiple coauthorship	15	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	o	0	0	0	0	0	0	(Hirsch, 2010)