

## Article

# The Balanchine Dilemma: “So-Called Abstraction” and the Rhetoric of Circumvention in Black-and-White Ballets

Tamara Tomić-Vajagić 

Department of Dance, University of Roehampton, London SW15 5PU, UK;  
Tamara.Tomic-Vajagic@roehampton.ac.uk

Received: 14 July 2020; Accepted: 17 November 2020; Published: 24 November 2020



**Abstract:** Choreographer George Balanchine was known for rejecting the premise that his ballets were abstract. Yet, a closer look into his comments on abstraction reveals a greater degree of ambivalence toward the concept than previously noticed. His influential words found response in dance critical writing, where the term “abstract” continued to circulate, but was often applied in vague ways, such as “so-called abstraction.” This and other softened terminological variations formed an ambiguous collection of abstractive terms, like a vague word cloud around the dance concept. This article explores abstraction in Balanchine’s particular ballets, and makes a two-fold argument. On the one hand, by emphasizing the visual aspects of Balanchine’s compositions, we may uncover ways to untangle his dilemma about dance abstraction. Visual theories of “semantic abstraction” by Harold Osborne, and of “the gesture of abstraction” by Blake Stimson, may help us to understand the abstractive modes in several of Balanchine’s black-and-white ballets. On the other hand, whether discussed or not, Balanchine’s abstractive gestures have created powerful representational shifts in some cases. In particular, by examining the interracial cast duet from the ballet *Agon* (1957) as a visual case study, we may see how Balanchine’s rejections of the concept, amplified by critics’ vague terminological invocations of, or silence about, abstractive choreographic gestures, occluded the work’s participation in the discourse of abstraction. Simultaneously, unnoticed yet potent choreographic gestures of semantic abstraction may have promoted whiteness as a normative structure, one that relies on a hegemonic “bodily integrity” (as discussed by Saidiya Hartman). Such an analysis leads to a recognition that Balanchine’s abstraction could have been a subversive form of dissent similar to Kobena Mercer’s concept of “discrepant abstraction.” However, I posit that, as a result of the Balanchine dilemma and its influence, the interlinked gestures of an abstract nature that have not been recognized as such promoted the self-regulative structure identified by Bojana Cvejić as “white harmony.” Ultimately, a more specific and clear application of the term “abstract” in ballet is needed, as it can help to dismantle or disrupt the system of white supremacy operative in dominant ballet structures.

**Keywords:** George Balanchine; black-and-white ballets; *Agon*; Arthur Mitchell; visibility; semantic abstraction; gestures of abstraction; harmony; whiteness; Black ballet dancer

## 1. Introduction

I am so often told that my choreographic creations are “abstract.” Does abstract mean that there is no story, no literary image, at best a general idea which remains untranslated in terms of reality? Does it mean the presentation of sound and movement, of unrelated conceptions and symbols in a disembodied state? (Balanchine [1951] 1992, p. 39)

Choreographer George Balanchine (1904–1983) often questioned whether abstraction was an appropriate aesthetic designation for his ballets. Throughout his career, he mused about the term, and in his recorded comments often seemed to reject it explicitly.<sup>1</sup> The questions he posed in the quotation above show an understanding of abstraction in dance as a compounded, unclear term, with connotations of detachment from the body and its reality, as well as the inference of storylessness, even meaninglessness. Through a closer reading of his statements, however, I will propose that Balanchine’s attitude toward ballet abstraction is far more indeterminate than his rhetoric would at first suggest. Balanchine rejected the word “abstract” as a form of disembodiment or bodily masking, as well as non-narrativity. At the same time, he left space for some abstractive possibilities in his choreography. He recognized that abstraction may be part of the audience’s interpretation; furthermore, his choreographic approach involved certain abstractive methods, including the elimination of extraneous details. To denote this ambivalence, I refer to his complex attitude toward abstraction as “the Balanchine dilemma.”

Discussing the Balanchine dilemma today may seem only a matter of interest for the historical record about the processes and artistic intentions that have shaped his works. I propose, however, that this matter is of continued significance for contemporary considerations of specific Balanchine ballets that are still being performed across the international repertoire. The abstraction dilemma is also still relevant because of Balanchine’s towering influence over contemporary ballet training and practice: his seeming rejection of abstraction continues to resonate in dance criticism, scholarship, and ballet profession. For instance, this is how former prima ballerina of the Royal Ballet, Deborah Bull, expressed it:

We’ve all been taught not to say “abstract,” haven’t we, because of the famous Balanchine quote, and I would agree with that actually. I think that the human brain certainly seeks meanings—it can’t help it. So, non-narrative may be more appropriate. (Bull, interview with the author, 17 May 2011)

Bull belongs to the generation of dancers who performed Balanchine’s works during the late 20th–early 21st century—much later than the time when his words were uttered. Although she did not train in Balanchine’s School of American Ballet (SAB), and was not a member of his New York City Ballet (NYCB), Bull—like many other ballet dancers—nonetheless learnt to refrain from employing the term, plausibly through the oral tradition of ballet teaching and international coaching.<sup>2</sup> Bull’s words reveal how the Balanchine dilemma, with its international influence, had a far and lasting reach, leading to terminological changes, including the avoidance of abstraction as a ballet concept.

The hesitance to use the term “abstract” opened ways for alternative terms to emerge in ballet writing: as discussed below, replacements include non-narrativity, plotlessness, Balanchine’s own term “storylessness,” and several others, even non-representationalism.<sup>3</sup> Yet, at the same time, Balanchine’s dilemma deterred scholars and practitioners alike from deeper considerations of abstraction in his ballets even if, as was the case all too often, few seem able to fully dispense with the term. Instead of analyzing “abstraction,” however, many qualified the term by putting quotation marks around it, or by questioning it euphemistically with softeners or prefixes.<sup>4</sup> The dance critic Edwin Denby’s decision to

<sup>1</sup> The citation above is comparable to Balanchine’s later statements, as in a 2004 documentary: “What is abstract? [Do] . . . they mean story-less? But there could be a meaning in it, you see. . . . That one person gives a hand and a girl embraces it, it already has a meaning in it . . . Duet is a love story almost.” Balanchine in (Brockway 2004).

<sup>2</sup> Ballets are licensed through the George Balanchine Trust, and coached by “Balanchine Trust-approved repetiteurs,” often—although not exclusively—as dancers who have created the roles, or worked directly with the choreographer. <https://www.balanchine.com/licensing-the-ballets>.

<sup>3</sup> All of these terms are difficult and may not be fitting to describe Balanchine’s ballets. For instance, it is well known that many dancers who worked with Balanchine directly speak of thematic imagery behind certain steps and even outline micro-narratives in particular non-narrative ballets that they have either heard from the choreographer or learnt through his studio demonstrations of movement. I consider that issue more fully in (Tomic-Vajagic 2016).

<sup>4</sup> This includes my own writing, for instance, see (Tomic-Vajagic 2012).

call Balanchine's style "so-called abstraction" is one of the representative and influential examples of this widespread, ongoing tendency. This propensity to euphemize abstraction has multiplied in dance writing, leading to a cacophonous effect that I denote as the "word cloud" of "so-called abstraction," or a choral proliferation of unclear words around Balanchine's ballets.

In this text, I also argue that this circumvention—the implicit unwillingness to probe abstraction in Balanchine's specific ballets—is much more problematic than the term abstract itself. I propose that there are works in Balanchine's rich opus that are unequivocally abstract, but in very specific ways, unrelated to the issue of disembodiment or plotlessness. In the first part of this article, aiming to untangle the Balanchine dilemma, I analyze the mid-20th century use of the term in ballet. Partly supported by the choreographer's own writing, I aim to chart a mode of abstraction that does not challenge the integrity of the performer's figure. I suggest that Harold Osborne's philosophical theory of "semantic abstraction" in the visual arts and pictorial images more broadly (Osborne 1976a, 1979) may help us to understand the way abstract visual modes coexist with figurative representation and realism in Balanchine's compositions (Osborne 1976a, 1979). If Balanchine's leotard-clad "black-and-white ballets"<sup>5</sup> are considered as dance examples of semantic abstraction, not only do we respond to the choreographer's critique of abstraction, but are led to pay closer attention to his process of "eliminating" or suppressing connotative details. This analysis further draws on Blake Stimson's theory of abstraction in photographic reportage, which points out the artistic embodied subjectivity in "the gesture of abstraction" (Stimson 2008). In this case, as it will be seen, woven gestures of abstraction by Balanchine and influential writers as his interlocutors, have promoted an ostensibly detached discourse. Yet, such detachment was still based on selections (of topics for observation and discussion) that were deeply rooted in the authors' subjective "process of corporeal induction" (Stimson 2008, p. 69).

The significance of these unexamined modes of visual abstraction is explored in more detail through a socio-historical reading of Balanchine's famous ballet *Agon* (1957), and particularly its originally interracial cast duet. It is well known that Balanchine's predominant interest was in choreo-musical explorations of dance, particularly when working with Stravinsky's scores (Jordan 2007; Joseph 2002). Yet, by looking at *Agon*'s visual aesthetic through the lens of abstraction, we may observe that more is at stake. The power of the compounded gestures of abstraction may be seen as abstracting away from the lived reality of the dancer's body. This is to say, Balanchine's choreographic and casting choices, and writers' unchallenging responses, projected uncomfortable—racially charged—meanings onto dancers' bodies.<sup>6</sup> Whilst seeming to promote equality between Black and white dancers, Balanchine's unnoticed gesture of semantic abstraction, that erased racial signifiers from the ballet in several later iterations, inadvertently promoted "white harmony"—a socially structured self-regulatory undercurrent that smooths over the potent frictions (Cvejić 2018).

As one of the key examples of semantically abstract ballets in Balanchine's oeuvre, *Agon* initially strongly animated questions of racial equality, and used abstractive strategies for their subversive, dissenting potential (much like Mercer's (2006) mode of "discrepant abstraction"). Yet, through the interlinked gestures of abstraction, *Agon*—perhaps unintentionally, but nevertheless effectively—avoided rattling ballet's historically sedimented social structures. Supported by writers who euphemistically treated the ballet as a "so-called abstraction," attention was deflected from *Agon*'s revelation of the unequal terms of participation, or the fact that its casting changes promoted the single

<sup>5</sup> Often used term in New York City Ballet programmes, for instance: <https://www.lincolncenter.org/show/balanchine-black-and-white-1> (accessed on 3 March 2020).

<sup>6</sup> *Agon* is not the only interesting example of Balanchine's semantically abstract works that could be analyzed for its abstractive effects that have implications for dancers and their representations. The lens of abstraction could be used to revisit some of the existing interpretations, including Ann Daly's influential feminist analysis of the sections of Balanchine's *The Four Temperaments* (1946) as detrimental to the female dancer's agency as well as representations of the ballerina as an object rather than a transient subject (Daly 1987; her later contemplations in (Daly 2000)). Related points are raised in a controversial autobiography by the former NYCB ballerina Gelsey Kirkland, who illustrated the ways in which she felt "dehumanized" in Balanchine's ballets—for instance, the choreography of *Concerto Barocco* (1941) Kirkland experienced as a form of robotic, metronomic adherence to the beat where she sought "a distinction between human being and machine". In (Kirkland 1986).

uncontested and historically grounded “bodily integrity,” normative and white (Hartman 1997). Thus, it is still crucial today to dedicate attention to Balanchine’s abstraction dilemma, as it leads us to ask what is extraneous and withdrawn, and what is the “essential” content retained in the work.

## 2. Untangling the Balanchine Dilemma

Whilst such debates are not exclusive to dance,<sup>7</sup> it is important to note that a dilemma about abstraction was present already in ballet before Balanchine’s career.<sup>8</sup> Mark Franko has pointed out that one of the principal ways in which abstraction was invoked in 20th century Euro-American theatre, including ballet, had to do with the ways in which dance historicized its own past. One such manifestation was a questioning of mimesis, and shifting the terms of representation by breaking down narrative structures (Dickerman and Franko 2013, p. 38).<sup>9</sup> Such a meaning may be distinguished from the related positions in the early modern dance traditions of the US and Europe, particularly choreographies that abstracted the dancer’s body by masking, visually deconstructing it, or challenging its three-dimensional representationality (Salazar-Sutil 2014; Andrew 2020). Rather, the mode of abstraction that resisted narrative unity and characterization was often paired with naturalistic presentation of bodies, highlighting the movement of “the self-connoting human form” (Dickerman and Franko 2013, p. 36). This strand of abstraction broadly related to non-mimetic experiments in modernist theatre and other time-based arts, in which “abstractionism” stood for “a decidedly episodic structure in contrast to the causally stringent development of events” (Meyer-Dinkgraeve 2005, p. 58).<sup>10</sup> This meaning of the adjective “abstract” arrived through the tradition of balletic *divertissements*,<sup>11</sup> but the direct term was popularized in writings of the 1920s by the writer André Levinson, proponent of “pure dance.”<sup>12</sup> In his critical essays, inspired by Symbolist poetry, Levinson argued that abstraction could liberate ballet classicism from its baggage of mimetic meaning-making, whilst still allowing the form to retain its intellectual sublimity.<sup>13</sup> For Levinson, then, abstraction was not particularly firmly related to the dancing figure, but was also an outcome of compositional principles that involve choreographic and musical organization of dancers’ interactions on the stage.<sup>14</sup>

<sup>7</sup> Interrogations of the term abstraction were already addressed in the visual arts. Theoretical writings by Alfred Barr in the mid-1930s include a statement: “The ambiguity of the word abstract as applied to works of art is really useful for it reveals the ambiguity and confusion which is inseparable from the subject [of the work]” (Barr [1936] 2013).

<sup>8</sup> For early modernist history of ballet abstraction, including Vaslav Nijinsky’s works, see (Bellow and Andrew 2015; Franko 1998). A detailed study of Moscow-based Russian avant-garde includes various abstractive ideas in work of Kasyan Goleizovsky, Fedor Lopukhov, Lev Lukin and others in (Misler 2017).

<sup>9</sup> As Dickerman and Franko (2013, p. 38) says, Balanchine is one of the particular examples of “retrospective modernism” that combined “plotless ballet” with classical technique.

<sup>10</sup> See also (Fuchs 1996). Somewhat simplified distinction between story ballets and “abstractions” is drawn by Alan M. Kriegsman in his journalistic expose (Kriegsman 1978).

<sup>11</sup> Ballet’s episodic scenes or *divertissements* traditionally infused dance sequences into the opera. *Divertissements* may involve mimesis through character traits, and can represent micro-narratives, but without connections to the overarching plot. Abstraction is associated with *divertissements* in Lincoln Kirstein’s writing about Jules Perrault’s *Pas de quatre* (1845), a Romantic period star-vehicle, which featured four key ballerinas in noncharacter roles: a “perhaps first deliberately ‘abstract’ ballet whose subject was *danse d’école* itself, without apology, autonomous and absolute” (Kirstein 1970). The causality between narrativity and characterization is discussed in (Tomic-Vajagic 2016).

<sup>12</sup> For a later, racialized, conceptualization of the term “pure dance” found in the writings by Rudolf Laban, see (Keilson 2019).

<sup>13</sup> Mimesis as the core mode of ballet’s intellectualization is explained by (Cohen [1953] 1983). Levinson’s understanding of ballet abstraction drew upon Stéphane Mallarmé and Paul Valéry, particularly in “The Idea of Dance from Aristotle to Mallarmé” (1927) where he outlined a canonic lineage of European classicism with cyclical shifts towards and away from mimesis. “Ever since the students of the Renaissance created the ballet, inspired by the Orchestras of the Greeks, there have been two elements vying for supremacy in the dance: movement and story, abstract form and pure expression, execution and pantomime” (Levinson [1927] 1991).

<sup>14</sup> Levinson’s writings cast classicism in line with abstraction through ballet technique’s formal propensity toward stylization of body movement, but also in the spatial arrangements of the uniformed *corps de ballet*. See Levinson’s ([1927] 1991) essay “The Idea of Dance . . .”. A brief comparative discussion of Levinson’s ideas about Petipa’s abstraction and Siegfried Kracauer’s abstract “mass ornament” is in (Burt 1998).

Ballet abstraction in relation to the performer's body—in dances that employ an unaltered human form as the carrier of movement—was considered by the writer and critic Rayner Heppenstall in the 1930s. Heppenstall observed that corporeal artistic forms, including dance and singing, could resolve the seemingly paradoxical relation of abstraction to materiality. For Heppenstall, the non-mimetic dancing of a naturalistic body, set free from literary libretti, principally referred to embodiment (of song and movement). The “human organism” uplifted as a sole artistic material and medium then produced a form of art that is “Abstract by the way of Concrete” (Heppenstall 1936, p. 129).<sup>15</sup> Heppenstall's contemplation of the dancer's unmasked body as a dance material and a medium capable of polysemic meaning-making may be relevant for understanding of the Balanchine dilemma, but not quite sufficient to address the reservations concerning the appropriateness of the term “abstract.”

A related direct link between abstraction and Balanchine's ballets was forged a few years later, in texts of the prolific dance writer Edwin Denby. In his early writings (late 1930s–early 1940s), Denby, a close ally of New York artists from the Abstract Expressionist scene, liberally applied the adjective to Balanchine's choreography.<sup>16</sup> Later, he shifted his position. As Andrea Harris demonstrates in detail, Denby ultimately tended to omit the word abstraction, speaking of Balanchine's “dance works” that highlight the “direct enjoyment of dancing as an activity” (Harris 2017, p. 128). Harris emphasizes that Denby positioned Balanchine's style as “pure” dance neoclassicism (Harris 2017, p. 128).<sup>17</sup> I add to Harris's analysis, with a further look into Denby's writings, which show that when using the term abstraction, he often softened it in various ways, including the “so-called” syntagm.<sup>18</sup> From the late 1940s onward, there is a silent enunciation: when writing about Balanchine's ballets, Denby qualified “abstract” with quotation marks.<sup>19</sup> It is not clear whether this is incidental to Balanchine's own comments or informed by them, but by the 1950s–1960s, Denby rarely used the term in his essays. We may observe also that Denby's explicit invocations of the word were carefully qualified, as seen in the celebrated essay “Three Sides of *Agon*” (1959). Here, Denby conceived of abstraction as an interpretive prerogative on the spectatorial side of the proscenium: “While the ballet happens, the continuity one is delighted by is the free-association kind. The audience sees the sequence of action as screwball or abstract, and so do I” (Denby and Cornfield [1959] 1998, p. 265).

Following later writings about Balanchine during the mid- and late-20th century, we may observe a similar trend. Abstraction is often mentioned but rarely analyzed, and made tentative through euphemisms. Particularly, the influential critical writing that had a broad reach and impact on the audience's understanding and reception of Balanchine's choreography often added quick-fixes such as quotation marks or prefixes.<sup>20</sup> Such articulations allowed the idea of abstraction to remain in the

<sup>15</sup> Heppenstall wrote about dance and “Song” as arts that originate through “human organs”—“the human organism is, originally, the total material, in which the total artistic process . . . takes place . . . the human being is not only producer and consumer, but the goods also. That is to say, it is an approach to the Abstract by the way of Concrete” (Heppenstall 1936). This contention may invite further juxtapositions with Frédéric Pouillaude's philosophical analysis of Valéry's writings on the dancing experience as an embodied abstraction, in (Pouillaude 2017). Other contemporary theories may further complicate such proposals, for instance, Bojana Kunst's post-Marxist essay on the duality of concreteness and abstraction in the dancer's labour (Kunst 2017).

<sup>16</sup> For example, Denby's writing about *Ballet Imperial* (in 1943) simply states: “[i]n point of form . . . [*Ballet Imperial*] is an abstract ballet interpreting Tchaikovsky's *Second Piano Concerto*.” In (Denby and Cornfield [1943] 1998, p. 68).

<sup>17</sup> Harris explains that Lincoln Kirstein also “shunned the word ‘abstract,’” preferring Denby's later denotation ‘dance-works’ to describe Balanchine's plotless ballets (Harris 2017, pp. 79–80).

<sup>18</sup> In his 1953 text “Some Notes on Classicism and George Balanchine,” Denby distinguished story-based choreography from works with more elusive “meaning”: “[t]hat Balanchine expresses a meaning in ballet is clear enough in those that tell a story. . . . The subject matter, however, of the so-called abstract dance ballets is not so easy to specify” (Denby and Cornfield [1953] 1998, p. 238). About the ballet *Variations* (1962), Denby wrote: “Balanchine's so-called abstract ballets extend this traditional merging of gesture and dance momentum” (in Denby and Cornfield [1962] 1998, p. 287).

<sup>19</sup> Denby's 1945 analysis of *Apollo* includes “abstract” under quotations, further briefly clarified to exclude “dehumanizing” connotations: “. . . the ‘abstract’ classicism is at no point dehumanized or out of character with the dramatic situation” (Denby and Cornfield [1945] 1998, p. 174).

<sup>20</sup> I am also guilty of resorting to the comfort of Balanchine's “so-called abstraction” in my earlier writing (as in Tomic-Vajagic 2012, p. 4). More influential examples include dance writings by Taper (1984); by Greskovic (2012) who described “famously ‘abstract’ black-and-white *Symphony in C*”; Arlene Croce introduced neoclassical ballet *Jewels* (1967) “often billed” as “the



discourse, whilst absolving the writers of using a term that the choreographer disliked. In *The New York Times*, for instance, Roger Copeland wrote about Balanchine as “the first major creator of truly ‘abstract’ ballets” (Copeland 1978); in *The New Yorker*, Arlene Croce positioned Balanchine’s work in the “so-called abstract ballet” as a “genre” vaguely related to “pure” dance.<sup>21</sup> Such fuzzy, uncommitted uses of the term enveloped Balanchine’s opus with a vague word cloud that I call the discourse of “so-called abstraction.”

Considering the nebulous terminology, the choreographer’s doubts seem legitimate:

I said on another occasion<sup>22</sup> that no piece of music, no dance can in itself be abstract. You hear a physical sound, humanly organized, performed by people, or you see moving before you dancers of flesh and blood in a living relation to each other. What you hear and see is completely real. (Balanchine [1951] 1992, p. 39)

The connotation of “abstract” as dissociated from the performers’ reality seemed particularly illogical and uncomfortable for an embodied art. Such objections are not exclusive to Balanchine; many artists were apprehensive of terms imposed from the “outside” by spectators and writers.<sup>23</sup> Indeed, we may agree that even the most radical examples of non-representational performing arts, such as Kandinsky’s theatrical experiments at the Bauhaus, in which the dancer’s body was occluded so that it became an abstract stage presence, still required living, breathing humans to carry out the event, whether they were visible or not.<sup>24</sup> In Balanchine’s works, and particularly in his visually stripped-down black-and-white ballets (named for the practice-costume tones), dancers and their embodiment of movement arguably was highlighted to an even greater extent.<sup>25</sup> The human figure delineated through tight-fitting body suits was further framed by the background-lit color cyclorama, often the only décor.<sup>26</sup>

Although he was not the only ballet choreographer to experiment with such a pared-down aesthetic, Balanchine’s monochrome designs are emblematic of his opus.<sup>27</sup> Particularly in the period of 1950s–1970s, he proliferated a number of structurally focused explorations that shifted away “from lavish and referential costuming” toward “simple body coverings reminiscent of classwork [attire] which forefronted what the body was doing” (Preston-Dunlop and Sanchez-Colberg 2002, p. 22). Those works accentuated the dancer’s performing personality, rather than treating them as characters in a story. Led by musical scores, the choreographer deployed a full range of motion afforded by the medium so as to arrive at precise choreo-musical responses. He developed a style of ballet training

---

world’s first full-length ‘abstract’ ballet” (Croce [1980] 2003, p. 687). Balanchine’s dancers also adopted the syntagm, for instance as in Violette Verdy’s interview: “I would say that Mr.B’s Champs-Élysées is the Stravinsky collaboration, with the result of the so-called abstract ballet, and that maybe it will remain longer than any other avenue . . . ” (Verdy quoted by Mason 1984).

<sup>21</sup> Croce wrote: “The so-called abstract ballet had in fact been established by Massine and Nijinska, but *Les Présages*, *Choreartium*, *Rouge et Noir*, and *Chopin Concerto* haven’t survived, and for all practical purposes it is to Balanchine that we look to make an break the rules of the genre” (Croce [1980] 2003, pp. 331–32).

<sup>22</sup> Balanchine here referred to his previous essay, (Balanchine 1949).

<sup>23</sup> Modern dancer Doris Humphrey addressed the “fallacy” of dance abstraction—“an inescapable physical fact [is] the body can never look like an abstraction,” in (Humphrey 1959). It is well known that the abstraction debate was not exclusive to dance—much earlier, Barr wrote that it was “customary to apologise for the word ‘abstraction’” in visual arts too (Barr [1936] 2013, p. 28).

<sup>24</sup> See the discussion of “re-moved” dancer in Kandinsky’s theatre dance, *Pictures at an Exhibition*, in (Salazar-Sutil 2014).

<sup>25</sup> About fifteen Balanchine’s works could be included in this category, following George Balanchine Foundation Catalogue (2007): *Divertimento* (to Haieff, 1947), *Concerto Barocco* (1951 version), *The Four Temperaments* (1951 version), parts of *Ivesiana* (1954), *Square Dance* (1957), *Agon* (1957), *Episodes II* (1959, later *Episodes*), *Monumentum pro Gesualdo* (1960), *Modern Jazz: Variants* (1960/1962), *Movements for Piano and Orchestra* (1963), *Variations* (1966 original), *Symphony in Three Movements* (1972), *Stravinsky Violin Concerto* (1972), *Duo Concertant* (1972), *Le Tombeau de Couperin* (1974).

<sup>26</sup> Discussed in more detail in (Tomic-Vajagic 2014).

<sup>27</sup> See Misler’s discussion of the Russian avant-garde aesthetic of the nude body in the early 1920s, particularly in ballets by Goleizovsky and Lukin, in Misler’s *The Art of Movement . . .* Mid-20th century examples of stripped down aesthetic include Maurice Béjart’s ballets (*Bolero*, for instance, introduced by the Paris Opera Ballet as a unitard-clad “highly abstract” ballet, <https://www.operadeparis.fr/en/magazine/and-bejart-created-bolero>), or Frederick Ashton’s *Monotones I and II* (denoted as “abstract ballet” in Summers 2004, p. 14).

that famously extended dancers' range of musical eloquence<sup>28</sup>—a spectrum of soft and rounded, as well as angular, three-dimensional postural and gestural actions, expressed a dancing response to the unaltered tempi.<sup>29</sup> His movement style involved speed, variability, and expansive qualities. Dancers famously travel across the stage as if to devour the space through movement, often with swift shifts of bodily orientation. Balanchine's choreographic legibility thus deeply highlighted dancers' subtle and surprising physical explorations and spectators delighted, as Denby suggested above, in a range of actions which originate deeply from the body.

Such a movement style, with its emphasis on intricate embodiment (expansiveness, variability, dancers' musicality), makes the Balanchine dilemma very compelling. How could dances that highlight the reality of the performer's neurologically and socially constructed body be abstract? Such reasoning may be behind Balanchine's strong rejection of "abstract" as a "dangerous" term:

Music is often adjectived as being too abstract. This is a vague and dangerous use of words and as unclear to me as when my ballets are described that way. Neither a symphony nor a fugue nor a sonata ever strikes me as being abstract. It is very real to me, very concrete, though 'storyless.' But storyless is not abstract. Two dancers on the stage are enough material for a story; for me, they are already a story in themselves. (Balanchine [1951] 1992, p. 40)

Yet, upon a closer reading of the whole essay from which this quotation was extracted, we can observe how Balanchine later obliquely acknowledged at least two possible manifestations of dance abstraction. The first is based on the idea that abstraction may emerge as an effect in the spectator's imagination. This is similar to Denby's previously cited point about *Agon*: as Balanchine put it, "the after-image that remains with the observer may have for him the quality of an abstraction" (Balanchine [1951] 1992, p. 39). The choreographer's example was his own experience of listening to Stravinsky's score *Apollon musagète* (1927): "I myself think of Stravinsky's *Apollon*, for instance, as white music, in places as white-on-white" (Balanchine [1951] 1992, p. 39).<sup>30</sup> Balanchine thus condoned abstraction, if it was outside of the work itself.

The second manifestation in Balanchine's text, although more covert, in fact is even more revealing. In explaining his choreographic credo later in the essay, Balanchine revealed an affinity toward restraint and elimination as core aspects of his choreographic process:

My first real collaboration with Stravinsky began in 1928 when I worked on *Apollon*. I consider this the turning point of my life. This score, with its discipline and restraint, with its sustained oneness of tone and feeling, was a great revelation to me. It was then that I began to realise that to create means, first of all, to eliminate . . . I began to see how I could clarify by limiting and by reducing what seemed previously to have multiple possibilities. (Balanchine [1951] 1992, p. 42)

Although Balanchine did not expand upon his terms "to eliminate," "reduce," and "limit," it appears that he was interested in "restraint" as a way of retaining in the work that which is essential, and eliminating what he thought of as extraneous. Nevertheless, it is difficult not to draw the links between the notion of subtraction/reduction and the definition of the verb "to abstract" as the "action of taking something away; the action or process of withdrawing or removing something from" (OED, Abstraction).<sup>31</sup> This definition is also linked etymologically with Balanchine's rejected adjective,

<sup>28</sup> See, for instance, (Blumenthal 1993); further, (Walczak and Kai 2008).

<sup>29</sup> Representative examples include (Villella and Drew 1968; Bell 2001; Jordan 2002).

<sup>30</sup> Although Balanchine's words here may remind us of various concepts, including synesthesia, they may also remind of Kazimir Malevich's *White-on-White* (1918). Balanchine did not acknowledge Suprematism, nor Russian avant-garde paintings as his direct influences. His well-documented formative influences from the avant-garde include choreographer Goleizovsky (Souritz 2003), and poet Vladimir Mayakovsky, particularly the poem "A Cloud in Trousers", as Balanchine himself explained in (Volkov 1984).

<sup>31</sup> For the purpose of maintaining this article's topic, I am only focusing on the first level of meaning here: the link between the definition of the term "to abstract" as related to Balanchine's own terms—"reducing", "limiting" and "eliminating".

through the Latin, *abstrahere*.<sup>32</sup> Then, Balanchine's strong rhetoric notwithstanding, his own words invite further questions. For instance: in which ways do his acts of "eliminating" or reducing affect the choreographic work itself? This is where his argument—that his ballets cannot be abstract—begins to break down.

That "eliminating," as Balanchine's medium-specific methodology, produced visible or visual effects within the work can be seen in several ballets—including Balanchine's own example, *Apollo*, and its evolution.<sup>33</sup> Here I focus my argument only on the aspects of the visual aesthetic, rather than on choreo-musical or movement-compositional properties of the work. As dance and art historian Juliet Bellow explains, in response to this score Balanchine choreographed for Diaghilev's Ballets Russes troupe the original *Apollon musagète* (1928), "a pure-dance ballet blanc," originally performed in white "knee-length tutus worn by the three Muses" (Bellow 2007, pp. 241, 243). The original short-lived decor and costumes by André Bauchant involved well-selected referential elements that illuminated the Greek mythical story.<sup>34</sup> Subsequently, Balanchine deployed a process of further reduction as the ballet underwent a series of revisions.<sup>35</sup> The stylistic subtraction of narrative and decorative details can be traced through photographs: we may follow Balanchine's gradual stripping down of the work to a minimalist *mise-en-scène* with black-and-white costuming (Figure 1). Ultimately, Balanchine arrived at an all-white, pared-down version, perhaps as the closest correlate to his "white-on-white" after-image (Figure 2). Recognizing that the lack of "literary stimulation" represented "a great challenge to the designer's imagination," Balanchine claimed that "there are the costumes and sets which can underline and help—with their composition of colour and form—to make the visualisation of music plastic and dramatic" (Balanchine [1951] 1992, p. 41).

According to Balanchine's vision, then, elements seen as essential were carefully considered, and those remain in the ballet as performed today. Through subtraction, the choreographer arrived at a spare design that accented the choreo-musical legibility. Balanchine even left certain, carefully selected, referential elements that infuse the ballet with story-like properties: the props, including Apollo's lute, and the Muses' signifiers of lyre, mask, and scroll. The ballet itself is then a very good illustration of the Balanchine dilemma about abstraction. Balanchine's verbal rejection of abstraction notwithstanding, the outcome of the process of abstracting has produced visible effects within the work and aligned them with definitions of abstraction. *Apollo* particularly may closely exemplify Susan Manning's continuum of "mythic abstraction" whereby "choreographers represented dancers as inhabitants of abstract worlds" (Manning 2004, p. 118)—even with the aforementioned referential elements, which may prompt us to question its non-narrativity. But, if we continue to inquire into the duality of representation and abstraction, coupled with Balanchine's propensity toward eliminating, we may be encountering a visual aesthetic of "semantic abstraction."

---

Yet, I am not addressing the possible second level of analysis—there may be different forms of "abstracting", including those in which an element abstracted may be highlighted as the essential property of the work, rather than an extraneous or unnecessary detail.

- <sup>32</sup> "Abstract: *adj.* XIV, *sb.* XV.—(O)F. *abstract* or L. *abstractus*, pp. of *abstrahere*, f. ABS- + *trahere* draw. Etymological origin from Latin past participial stem of "abstrahere" which means "to drag away, to appropriate, take away, to set free, to separate, to deduct, subtract, to exclude, to turn aside, divert (in post-classical Latin also to summarize, c1290, 1412 in British sources)." (OED/Oxford English Dictionary: Abstract). That actions of elimination and selection lead to choreographic abstraction are recognised elsewhere, e.g., in the educational book on choreography by Lynne Anne Bloom and L.Tarin Chaplin: "Selection is the key. As you [the choreographer] select and discard different aspects of the image, you are abstracting" (Bloom and Chaplin 1982).
- <sup>33</sup> In addition to *Apollo*, famous examples of ballets changed to a minimal monochrome design include *Concerto Barocco* (1941) and *The Four Temperaments* (1946)—both stripped in 1951. See (Tomic-Vajagic 2014).
- <sup>34</sup> Bauchant's set and costume design with more details is documented in Gabrielle Enthoven Collection: V&A Theatre and Performance Collection: <http://collections.vam.ac.uk/item/O1387640/apollon-musagete-photograph-unknown/>.
- <sup>35</sup> Further revisions included: 1929 (new costumes by Coco Chanel); 1941 (American Ballet Caravan with Tomás Santa Rosa's set and costumes); and several NYCB redesigns, including 1957 when ballet is titled *Apollo* and "danced in practice clothes"; the all-white costumes from 1979 with set almost "omitted entirely." See *The George Balanchine Foundation*: [http://www.balanchine.org/display\\_result.jsp?id=137&current=0&sid=Apollo&searchMethod=exact](http://www.balanchine.org/display_result.jsp?id=137&current=0&sid=Apollo&searchMethod=exact) (accessed on 28 February 2020).





**Figure 1.** George Balanchine's *Apollo* in 1964. NYCB dancers: Melissa Hayden and Jacques D'Amboise. Photo © Martha Swope and the New York Public Library, used with permission.



**Figure 2.** Balanchine's *Apollo* in the NYCB, 1982. Dancers: Suzanne Farrell, Maria Calegari, Peter Martins, Kyra Nichols. Photo © Martha Swope and the New York Public Library, used with permission.

### 3. From "Semantic Abstraction" to "Gestures of Abstraction"

"Semantic abstraction" is part of a broader taxonomy of abstraction in visual objects and pictorial depictions, developed by British art historian [Osborne \(1976a, 1979\)](#). Osborne's theory could be understood to unite the perspectives of aesthetic philosophy and art history, offering a range of pictorial examples predominantly from European art history, design, and illustration. He used concepts from information theory to show how the process of pictorial abstracting retains and uplifts a certain referential content. In addition to semantic abstraction, Osborne discussed another broad, fully non-figurative, or nonrepresentational mode, which he called "syntactic/non-iconic."<sup>36</sup> Both modes

<sup>36</sup> The non-iconic/syntactic mode corresponds to Barr's "pure-abstraction," or "those in which the artist makes a composition of abstract elements such as geometrical or amorphous shapes" ([Barr \[1936\] 2013](#), p. 29). Osborne sees it as "abstraction from natural appearances" that "has reached a point where objects [subjects] can no longer be discerned" ([Osborne 1976a](#),

individually, or at times blended, may project certain abstractive “expressive information,” in his parlance (Osborne 1976a, p. 242).<sup>37</sup>

As a discrete mode with several sub-categories, semantic abstraction is found in “the work of figurative or representational art, i.e., one which [ . . . ] transmits information about some segment of the visible world other than itself” (Osborne 1976a, p. 243). Osborne introduces several sub-types of semantic abstraction (decorative, aesthetic, voluntary, selective) and illuminates the distinctions between them through a myriad of art historical and contemporary examples that today we would classify as the domain of visual culture, but that he broadly deemed as pictorial objects or “pictures.”<sup>38</sup> The abstractive mode in images is an outcome of certain informational content deliberately left incomplete, or fully omitted: “when we say, for example that a certain [ . . . ] scene is abstract rather than naturalistic,” semantic abstraction is gradational, and “equivalent to incomplete specification” about the object, subject, or event presented (Osborne 1976a, p. 243). This definition may remind us of the progressive reduction of details in Balanchine’s *Apollo*, which ultimately articulated a pared-down design that provided incomplete, yet sufficient, specification about the event depicted.

The concept of semantic abstraction can also help us to understand abstractness as a way of stripping down redundant detail, with Balanchine’s careful selection of several props as referential symbols left in the work. Osborne particularly addressed semantic abstraction’s ability to retain the duality of depiction and restriction of information. When artists aim to present “a new way of seeing the world,” they may provide “only a small proportion of the information.” This “selective [semantic] abstraction” promotes “a selective focusing of attention upon some items” in an aim to establish informational clarity by forming selected “associations of relevance” (Osborne 1976a, p. 248). This does not exclude representational artworks: Osborne discusses landscapes by Constable, and Post-Impressionist paintings by Cézanne and Monet.<sup>39</sup>

Osborne’s pictorial theory has its limitations as an explanatory tool for visual art and for the moving, embodied art of dance. However, his semantic modes offer us useful points of entry into the Balanchine dilemma, especially the choreographer’s comments about abstraction. As the reviewer of Osborne’s *Abstraction and Artifice in Twentieth-Century Art* (1979), Ralph A. Smith noticed, “Osborne forsakes historical and sociological criticism” of abstraction “in favour of an approach in which he examines the problems the artists themselves say they faced” (Smith 1981, p. 210). Particularly given Balanchine’s propensity for the gradual reduction of visual elements, semantic abstraction as a gradational mode is helpful in discussing the black-and-white leotard ballets. Although limitation of individuating details certainly seems integral to any art work as it is not “a replica of a real life,” Osborne proposed that we tend to denote a given work as abstract only when the “information is notably less complete than the medium would allow.” Such reduction could have been avoided by the artist “even though he may not have been consciously planning to abstract in this way” (Osborne 1976a, pp. 245–246).<sup>40</sup>

---

p. 244). For more detailed discussion of non-iconic abstraction see also (Osborne 1976b). For the embodied art of dance, this latter mode is far less likely.

<sup>37</sup> Non-iconic and semantic abstraction are not completely binary—Osborne sees them as woven through expressive information in some works—Henri Matisse’s *Le bonheur de vivre* (1905–1906) unifies the “semantic theme and syntactical composition” to “convey an expressive character of Arcadian joy” (Osborne 1979, p. 13).

<sup>38</sup> Osborne defines “picture” as a form of representational image that may assume a range of forms—a painting, drawing, or an illustrative depiction “of something”: “[A] picture has been defined in Information Theory as an image which represents something else at a lower degree of abstraction than itself. . . . An exact replica of a thing is not called a picture” (Osborne 1976a, p. 245).

<sup>39</sup> “It is by his individual manner of perceptual selection that an original artist arrives at his personal vision of the world and by his selective abstraction, integral to his very manner of perceiving, he communicates and recommends his personal vision to others by the pictures he paints” (Osborne 1976a, p. 249).

<sup>40</sup> As others before him, Osborne recognized that in some measure, any image is semantically abstract but, “[w]e do not say that a monochrome engraving or a painting in grisaille is abstract” because the media impose these limitations (Osborne 1976a, p. 245). See also Susanne Langer’s abstraction as a “core of artistic illusion” in (Langer 1964).

Thus, in semantic abstraction, the reduction is “voluntary” or “deliberate”—even if unintended, abstraction is there, and some information is highlighted as more pertinent by virtue of the process of elimination of other elements (Osborne 1976a, p. 245). Extending Osborne’s analysis to dance, in *Apollo*, we saw that Balanchine’s progressive reduction of referential details in decor and costuming (Figures 1 and 2) was intentional, and greater than what was afforded by the theatrical medium.<sup>41</sup> Furthermore, Osborne’s concepts might help to untangle Balanchine’s word “storyless” as a potential kin to abstraction, but also an oxymoron, because of human bodies’ polysemic connotations.<sup>42</sup> Semantic abstraction speaks about such a duality: the abstractive restraint of informational details may accentuate particular expressive information. In political posters or caricatures, for instance, certain referential-contextual details may be omitted in order to emphasize an ideological message, such as to spin a news story (Osborne 1976a, p. 250).

Osborne’s discussion also, then, invites us to inquire into the function of “voluntary” abstraction. The function of suppressing informational detail might be didactic. Some individuating elements are stripped in devising a generic type of a species in botanical illustrations or other similar imagery. Likewise, details may be omitted in schematic and instructional images, engineers’ drawings, architects’ plans, or graphic design symbols that urge particular action. The human figure, for instance, is particularly stripped down in traffic or road signs (Osborne 1976a, p. 246). Yet, semantic abstraction’s aim equally may be ideological, as we saw in political caricature, or artistic, as in Fauvist line drawings by Matisse. The “selection has been done for us” in order to perceive the artist’s vision of the world, or “we are predisposed to find interesting the artist’s own manner of perceiving and presenting the things he depicts” (Osborne 1976a, p. 249).

On one level, then, we can also understand Balanchine’s aesthetic as a didactically-oriented form of semantic abstraction. Jordan (2007), Joseph (2002), and others have demonstrated how Balanchine’s choreographic responses to music guide the spectator to listen to the less noticeable nuances in the orchestration.<sup>43</sup> In a stripped-down work, such as *Apollo*, if we follow Balanchine’s cues we may be more focused on music, and could even be predisposed to hear what Balanchine may have heard. However, we should not miss potential ideological aspects either. Balanchine’s words in the same essay cited above indicated a tension between his attention to the “reality” and the aim of his art:

My imagination is guided by the human material, by the dancers’ personalities. I see the basic elements of the dance in its aesthetic manifestations, that is, in the beauty of movement, in the unfolding of rhythmical patterns, and not in their possible meaning or interpretation; I am less interested in the portrait of any real character than in the choreographic idea behind the dance action. Thus the importance of the story itself becomes reduced to being the frame for the picture I want to paint. (Balanchine [1951] 1992, p. 41)

Although he was inspired by his dancers as human individuals, for Balanchine the “real” world was just a pretext to explore an artistic affinity and to promote the correspondences between music and dance.<sup>44</sup>

<sup>41</sup> Osborne’s taxonomy does not focus on the degrees, but rather semantic sub-modes are distinguished according to their function, methods, and resulting effects. In this respect, his taxonomy is more detailed from some other gradational proposals, including Barr [1936]’s (Barr [1936] 2013) relative (“near-abstraction”) and total (“pure-abstraction”), or Mark Cheetham’s ascending and descending abstraction. See Cheetham’s argument in (Dickerman and Franko 2013, pp. 3–51).

<sup>42</sup> See also (Haidu 2020).

<sup>43</sup> In addition to (Jordan 2007) *Stravinsky Dances...* see also (Jordan 2000) *Moving Music* ...

<sup>44</sup> Balanchine’s opus could be analyzed for semantically abstract ideological messages, beyond music. For instance, if Balanchine’s tutu ballets are viewed through Osborne’s “generic semantic abstraction,” then “the suppression of individuating detail” is carried out so to “display the generic type to which a thing [or a subject] belong” (Osborne 1976a, p. 247). This mode is found in educational manuals where nature species are depicted as types, and individuating details are “omitted or played down so that in the representation things [types of flowers, birds] appear more alike than in the reality they are perceived to be” (Osborne 1976a, p. 243). Balanchine’s ensemble tutu ballets, which Lynn Garafola denoted as “neo-imperial works” (Garafola 2005, p. 243), *Theme and Variations*, *Symphony in C*, *Ballet Imperial* and others, can

Stimson's (2008) theory of "the gesture of abstraction" may help us to understand the deeper ideological implications of Balanchine's self-professed approach. To be inspired by human reality, but simultaneously to shift away from it, is to perform what Stimson describes as the "gesture of abstraction."<sup>45</sup> Writing in the context of aestheticized photographic reportage, Stimson points to the photographer's click of the shutter. The moment involves two close, deeply embodied acts: the instance of the maker's looking out into the world to seek (and be inspired by) an image, in a moment of selection. This is closely followed by the instance of "turning away" from the external event being captured, toward the medium's apparatus (a look into the eye of the camera). The "turning inward of the photographer's attention from the world outside to his own affective response" is a two-fold "gesture of abstraction" seen even in "realistic" images (a reportage) that purport to represent more objective, even universal experiences (Stimson 2008, p. 78).<sup>46</sup> However, an artistic point made about the reality of the world ("[t]he cool, distant, and objective 'over there'") rather deeply encapsulates the specifically embodied moment of authorial selection ("the wooly, intimate, and subjective 'in here'") (Stimson 2008, p. 70). "[T]he process of abstraction itself" inscribes "the removal of understanding outward from any particular experience to a general, all-purpose explanation or figure or type"; at the same time, such a shift highlights the author's subjective and sometimes parallaxic "locus of affective or embodied engagement" in their concern with the medium (Stimson 2008, p. 69). In other words, the purportedly removed representations and generalizing abstractions obscure power structures and "the terms of participation" upon which they are based (Stimson 2008, p. 80).

How Stimson's "gesture of abstraction" may relate to dance is demonstrated by K lina Gotman, who drew upon the theory to analyze historical writings about the dance phenomenon of choreomania in the 16th–19th centuries (Gotman 2018). Gotman extends Stimson's analysis, including the point that an author-observer (a photographer or an ethnographic writer, in her cases) may use its device "not as a vehicle for connection, but as a device for distancing othering, abstracting" (Stimson 2008, p. 80). Gotman observed ways in which chroniclers of choreomania projected ideological meanings (including pathologization) on events and participants they observed. In "describing, categorizing, and ultimately displacing vital events onto a discursive plane," these writers strongly and invisibly inscribed "the paradox"—the gesture of abstraction-as-generalization alienates, "shifts and transforms the event itself just as it attempts to capture it" (Gotman 2018, p. 14). Gotman's detailed study shows how gestures of abstraction in the dance context may produce strong ideological meanings, including generalizations and negative stereotyping—an issue to which I will return shortly.

By applying Stimson's concept of gestures of abstraction to dance, then, we can understand also how both Balanchine's choreography, and critics' vague discussions of it, were based on selection and elimination rooted in their own subjective corporealities. Yet, such abstractive interventions may have ideologically infused or shifted the "reality" of embodied events and thus projected further meanings upon Balanchine's semantically abstract ballets. The way in which Balanchine described his own approach aligned with the way Stimson theorized the "gesture of abstraction": whilst capturing the reality of human dancers, he ultimately prioritized the concerns of his medium. Thus, when writer Roger Copeland observed that Balanchine's "essence of the dance is [ . . . ] the human body in motion; and according to the modernist mandate, anything extraneous—decor, characterization, costumes, story—should be progressively eliminated" (Copeland 1978), we need to ask further questions. If we

---

be seen as odes to a dancer type, celebrating the "classical ballerina," over a particular woman, individual dancer, or a psychologically-developed character.

<sup>45</sup> Stimson looks at a particular point in the history of photography—the rise of aestheticised reportage (e.g., post-war images of Robert Frank and Henri Cartier-Bresson) whereby the collectivisation is "withdrawn from the social field and attached to a putative theatrical element" (Stimson 2008, p. 75).

<sup>46</sup> Stimson makes this point about Frank's series *Americans*, not as a critique but rather to explain how the performative "gesture of reportage" (after Jeff Wall), from which representation of a certain reality might be read, is in fact a complex "amalgam of several interrelated" subjectively embodied gestures "that inscribe the social form of lived experience" (see Stimson 2008, pp. 77–78).

accept that specific works by Balanchine are semantically abstract, we have to pay attention to the acts of subtraction. What is selected as the key content? What is minimized, or even taken away as “extraneous” within choreographic and critical gestures of abstraction? How do the terminological obfuscations and Balanchine’s “dilemma” play a part in this process? In the following section, I apply these concepts to the famous *pas de deux* from *Agon* (1957), where we can observe how Balanchine’s gestures, compounded and supported by the writers’ own abstractive acts, may have produced complicated, perhaps even unwanted, ideological messages.

#### 4. The *Agon* Duet and the Implications of Interlaced Gestures of Abstraction

Am I a subject or a vessel, an agent or a channel? [Gutierrez \(2018\)](#)

Much has been written on the subject of Balanchine’s much-loved modernist black-and-white ballet *Agon*, ever since the early reviews of the December 1957 NYCB premiere ([Reynolds 1977](#), pp. 182–86). Much of that conversation has focused on the relationship between music and choreography. Nancy Reynolds succinctly described the ballet “in twelve movements for twelve dancers” as a choreographic response to Stravinsky’s “dodecaphonic score” ([Reynolds 1977](#), p. 182).<sup>47</sup> *Agon* as a choreographer’s “total collaboration with the composer” has stood across time as a “ground-shifting masterpiece” that “occupies a central position in Balanchine’s oeuvre” ([Dunning 2007](#)).<sup>48</sup> The musicologist Robynn Stilwell analyzed the cellular compositional structure of the “compact, ostensibly non-narrative work lasting approximately twenty three minutes”; *Agon*’s ensemble dances, trios, solos, and a central duet, she argues, represent a unique melding of the serial score and “Balanchinian neoclassical technique modified by modern dance influences” ([Stilwell 1994](#), p. 2). Following Stravinsky’s music, the ballet also hints at certain historical codes: European social dances of the 17th century (*sarabande*, *galliard*) are framed through the idea of “contest” (*agon*) in a title word of Greek origin.<sup>49</sup> These references are not all overt. As we already saw, spectators such as Denby suggested that *Agon*’s playfulness motivates the viewers’ delight in surprises and interpretations of the “free-association kind,” including abstraction.

Writers often emphasized that *Agon*’s stripped-down monochrome design aided Balanchine’s choreo-musical visualization.<sup>50</sup> Balanchine’s key conceptual collaborator Lincoln Kirstein described it thus:

Costumes are black-and-white practice uniforms, near nudity. ‘Production’ consists of execution alone, determined by the austere complexity of music in the serial method, patterns of cellular structure involving a continuum of variations on a twelve-tone set. [ . . . ] The choreography projects a steel skeleton clad in tightly knit action, lacing a membrane of movement over a transparent net of contrapuntal design, which in shifting concentration on spare dancers gives an impression of intense drama. (Kirstein, cited in [Reynolds 1977](#), p. 185)

Although Franko and Harris detailed Kirstein’s complicated relationship with, and eventual rejection of abstraction,<sup>51</sup> here we may observe how the writer developed an abstractive tone, even without introducing aesthetic labels. Even with the “drama,” Kirstein’s imagery of cellular, tightly knit steel

<sup>47</sup> As explicated in wonderful detail by Stephanie Jordan, this compositional method “involves use of the twelve notes of the chromatic scale as a fixed template governing the melodic and harmonic content of the piece, but as well as playing it in its original form, it can be inverted or played backwards as retrograde, the inversion can be played backwards and the retrograde can be inverted” ([Jordan 2007](#), p. 198).

<sup>48</sup> See ([Reynolds 1977](#), pp. 182–86; [Craft 1998](#); [Dunning 2007](#)).

<sup>49</sup> Balanchine said, “It’s a competition. [ . . . ] Let’s make a series of dances. And he [Stravinsky] told me that he has idea of doing old type of French court dancing” (Balanchine in [Brockway 2004](#)). For an analysis of critical readings of “*Agon*-ness” as an effect, see ([Leyva 2018](#)).

<sup>50</sup> There are other possible references that could be found in the *Agon* duet. Joseph explained that the creation of *Agon* coincided with a difficult personal event—disabling illness of Balanchine’s wife, ballerina Tanaquil Le Clercq ([Joseph 2002](#)). How this may have impacted the duet’s movement, where the male dancer, as Mitchell said, manipulates the woman as a “doll” (Mitchell in [Reynolds 2018](#)) would require a separate analysis.

<sup>51</sup> [Franko \(1998\)](#). Abstraction has many Faces . . .



skeletal action-structure with cellular membranes may remind us of Balanchinian abstract spectatorial “after-images,” as well as of the discourse of the “so-called abstraction.”

Other influential writers sometimes deemed the work as abstract, and many equally resorted to the actual phrase “so-called abstraction.”<sup>52</sup> David Michael Levin’s text “Balanchine’s Formalism” (Levin [1973] 1976) observed a more particular abstractive elimination: the choreographic process “requires not only that he [Balanchine] suppress the theatricality of stage and costume, but also that **he purge** [emphasis added] the classical dance syntax of its theatrical allusiveness.” The “structure and content” thereby “become identical to the degree that each submits to the process of abstraction” (Levin [1973] 1976, p. 224).<sup>53</sup> Writers’ statements complemented the artists’ own vivid abstract images: for Stravinsky, the “subject was figures and the relation of figures” and for Balanchine, the subject was “dancing, just numbers,” as Reynolds reveals:

‘Construction,’ incidentally, would seem to be the appropriate word for this ballet: said Stravinsky, ‘The mechanics (of contrapuntal music, the predominant texture of *Agon*) must be adjusted like that of a modern car’; while Balanchine described the ‘IBM ballet’ as ‘more tight and precise than usual, as if it were controlled by an electronic brain’. (Reynolds 1977, p. 182)

From the sample of influential writings above, we see that statements by the artists and writers enhanced each other, promoting the elusive “so-called abstraction” discourse. This is to say, abstraction did not need to be always named for it to radiate through a dreamy collection of imagery of mathematical permutations, generalized organic forms, modernist machinery, and artificial intelligence.

Such statements strongly affiliate *Agon* with Franko’s axis of dance abstraction as “the reduction of art to the essence of its formal means,” which in turn promotes a “depersonalized (‘universal’) embodiment of subjectivity” (Franko 1995, pp. x–xi). Thus, through the so-called abstraction discourse, Balanchine’s works upheld what Gillian Lipton called the “rhetoric of detachment from the mundane” (Lipton 2020, p. 81). Yet, as performance and dance scholar Arabella Stanger warns us, it is important to pay attention to those very dances that are considered as “eminently formal” because they may reveal “social situations” that surround them. As she writes, the “spatial ideas concerning harmonic, liberatory, democratic, authentic, individuated, or anarchic forms of social relation can come to conceal” the inequality and violent dispossession on which they are systemically grounded (Stanger, forthcoming).

It is important, then, to take a closer note of the ways in which Balanchine’s depersonalizing imagery also projected content and ideological meanings onto the bodies of dancers. A closer look into archival videos and photographs shows how *Agon*’s minimalist design, in tandem with novel movement combinations, highlighted dancers’ bodies, and promoted visual effects. These are particularly striking when dancers move together, whether as a full group (in opening and closing sections), or in tighter ludic partnerships. The female dancers’ black bodices and male dancers’ white tops contrasted with the alternating tones of black tights for the men next to the women’s light tights. In movement, which included some classically-poised virtuosic interplays, the contrasting costume tones projected an additional layer in response to Stravinsky’s score; the checkered visuals could be understood as embodied analogues of transcoded black and white piano keys in a musician’s interplay.<sup>54</sup> In one sense, the tiled patterns may again remind us of Osborne’s mode of semantic abstraction where pared-down visual information didactically draws the spectator’s attention to essential considerations of the artist (musical interpretation).

<sup>52</sup> Joseph wrote: “*Agon* is justly hailed as a masterpiece of abstraction, of stark, powerful contrasts producing a cohesive, wondrous musical-balletic unity” (Joseph 2002, p. 276). In their book about choreographic processes, Bloom and Chaplin wrote: “The more a dance is abstracted, the more it is characterised by pure movement, by qualities, timing, line, and shape—at the expense of plot, emotional overtones, or representational images. Balanchine’s *Agon* is one of the finest examples of such abstract dance (Bloom and Chaplin 1982, p. 126). For “so-called abstract” and “abstract” *Agon*, see, for instance: Susan Sontag’s discussion in (Aloff 2018; Bales 2013, p. 180).

<sup>53</sup> See (Levin [1973] 1976, pp. 123–45).

<sup>54</sup> See Macaulay’s (2007) review, 50 Years Ago.

Particularly striking entanglements of black and white tones could be seen in the images from the *pas de deux* (Figure 3), an important section that we know Balanchine choreographed first. The famous photographs by Martha Swope show the ballerina in a deep *arabesque penchée* over her kneeling partner's shoulder. Their leotard tops, in converse tones, are very close to one another, with the reversing contrast of dressed legs, more and less extending in opposite directions. Whilst the tessellated effects may have prompted "after-images" of an achromatic tone-blocked "construction," as Reynolds said, this interplay equally highlighted the closeness of bodies and skin (as Kirstein suggested, the effect was "near nudity"). Thus, the haptic interplay is part of the duet's expressive content.



**Figure 3.** *Agon* duet in original casting with Diana Adams and Arthur Mitchell, 1963. Photo © Martha Swope and New York Public Library, used with permission.

This is particularly important given that the original casting was interracial: a white ballerina, Diana Adams, danced with a Black dancer, Arthur Mitchell. Before I take this analysis further, I want to remark on a problem that my line of inquiry presents. It is potentially dehumanizing to the dancers to equate their skin tones with non-human visual aesthetic elements, such as costumes and scenery. Yet, I follow Mitchell, who collaborated with Balanchine in the creation of *Agon*, and his discussion of the interracial casting in these very terms: he notes that the choreographer intended to extend the structural play of the black and white aesthetic to the dancers' bodies:

There was a definite use of the skin tones in terms of Diana being so pale and me being so dark, so that even the placing of the hands or the arms provided a color structure integrated into the choreographic one. (Mitchell in [Mason 1991](#), p. 395)

Mitchell repeated this idea several times across the years.<sup>55</sup> Speaking purely in visual terms, the effect described by Mitchell may be noticed in many other archival documents (Figures 3 and 5, but not

<sup>55</sup> See also [Mitchell's \(2001\)](#) interview with *Pointe*, and his verbal and visual demonstration in the interview for the Visionary Project, ([Mitchell 2010a](#)).

as much in Figure 4, for example), so that we may observe how dancing in the inter-racial casting promoted intricate contrasts of skin tones framed by the dancers' monochromatic attire.<sup>56</sup>

Balanchine never publicly discussed the casting or its aesthetic effects. Many critics described the duet in formal terms, but, importantly, did not address the visibility of the contrasts of skin that Mitchell described. Kirstein, for example, promoted the duo's "impersonalization" of movement shapes, "of arms and legs into geometrical arrows" (Kirstein 1970, p. 242). Levin emphasized the disembodied "purely pictorial structural line," with optical effects that accentuate weightlessness and immateriality: "it is as if their [duet's] substance has magically evaporated!" (Levin [1973] 1976, pp. 232–33). Such descriptive choices by dance writers cited above, because of their emphasis on the geometrical, "purely pictorial," and "impersonalized" structures of the "evaporated" body substance, recall the gestures of abstraction. Those are similar to what Gotman (2018, p. 14) observes: the writerly abstractive pivots shift the meaning of the dancing event whilst describing it. One of the more probing interlocutors at the time was Denby, who noted the potential significance of the interracial encounter, but ultimately did not inquire into it further:

Turning *pas de deux* conventions upside down, the boy with a bold grace supports the girl and pivots her on pointe, lying on his back on the floor. At one moment classic movements turned inside out become intimate gestures. At another a pose forced way beyond its classic ending **reveals a novel harmony** [emphasis added] ... The fact that Miss Adams is white and Mr. Mitchell Negro is neither stressed nor hidden; it adds to the interest". (Denby and Cornfield [1959] 1998, p. 268)

Denby's gendered language, in particular his reference to the dancers as a "girl" and a "boy," also deserves further consideration.<sup>57</sup> Here, though, I will focus on the racialized aspects of the visual aesthetic.

*Agon*, we should recall, was created at a moment of heightened attention to the interrelationships of Black and white bodies in the United States. Reynolds notes that "In 1957, the struggle for civil rights was very much in the news, and Balanchine (and Mitchell of course) were well aware of this" (Reynolds 2018). Melissa Hayden, one of the original *Agon* soloists, noted that "The first time you saw Diana Adams and Arthur Mitchell doing the *pas de deux* it was really awesome to see a black hand touch a white skin. That's where we were coming from in the fifties" (Hayden in Mason 1991, p. 359). From his experience, Mitchell observed that Balanchine's "mind was always involved with" the socio-political events, "but he was never overt about it" (George Balanchine Foundation 2002a).<sup>58</sup> Whilst the choreographer never liked to discuss what his works may have expressed ideologically, we can still further inquire into the significance of racial representation as the duet's integral aspect. Brenda Dixon Gottschild suggests that "it has become a tradition in revivals of this ballet that the role Mitchell originated is danced by a black male partnering a white female" (Dixon Gottschild 1996, p. 200). Yet, a closer look into *Agon*'s casting history does not quite confirm this hope—if the blackness of Mitchell's role was considered crucial, it was not as long lived as the ballet itself. Mitchell exclusively danced the role until he left the company (still appearing a bit later, in the early 1970s in the NYCB production).<sup>59</sup>

<sup>56</sup> In Swope's rehearsal images from 1957 the absence of black and white clothing also deemphasizes skin tones: <https://digitalcollections.nypl.org/items/d3975920-4e90-0133-7a93-00505686d14e>.

<sup>57</sup> The key texts on the subject include the feminist analysis of Balanchine's dynamic but complicated portrayal of women in (Banes 1998, pp. 168–215).

<sup>58</sup> Balanchine has made history with his promotion of diversity a decade before, when the NYCB in the mid-1940s became the first ballet company to promote to the status of a leading dancer an indigenous American prima ballerina, Maria Tallchief (Tallchief and Kaplan 1997).

<sup>59</sup> In 1968 Mitchell "galvanized by the assassination of Dr. Martin Luther King Jr. ... began teaching at Harlem School of the Arts and, with Karel Shook, formed what [in 1971] became the Dance Theatre of Harlem" (DTH) (Garafola 2018). Nevertheless, Mitchell continued appearing in *Agon* with NYCB in the early 1970s—see Swope's images of Mitchell performing in 1972, with Kay Mazzo, and Allegra Kent, <https://digitalcollections.nypl.org/items/dad098a0-50bd-0133-3a6b-00505686d14e>.

From around 1970 onward, Mitchell's role in the company was reprised by several white dancers: first Frank Ohman (Figure 4), then Peter Martins, Jean-Pierre Bonnefoux, and Bart Cook.<sup>60</sup> The whiteness of the role persisted at least until the early 1980s, when Mel Tomlinson's casting restored the interracial encounter which thus reemerged, and disappeared again from time to time, throughout *Agon*'s later NYCB history.<sup>61</sup> Some writers at the time may have commented on an all-white cast, but many did not; Levin, for example, wrote about the ballet in the mid-1970s, and made no remark upon the casting of this role. Nevertheless, we have to observe how even the inattention to the racial dimensions of casting added further gestures of abstraction to the ballet. Here, for instance, is how the influential dance reviewer for *The New York Times*, Clive Barnes, wrote about the new cast in 1970:

This performance had some casting new to me. I have never previously seen Frank Ohman in the Pas de Deux (Arthur Mitchell's usual part) where he partnered, efficiently yet characterlessly, Allegra Kent. [ . . . ] Yes, I have seen the company dance *Agon* better—I really do miss the subtle force of Arthur Mitchell—but it stands up magnificently". (Barnes 1970)



**Figure 4.** *Agon* duet with Kay Mazzo and Frank Ohman, 1969. Photo © Martha Swope and New York Public Library, used with permission.

Ballets inevitably change in various ways, including casting, particularly in long-lived productions such as *Agon*. Barnes's ambiguous commentary may have been led by that custom. Yet, here is how Mitchell later reflected on the shift toward mono-racial re-castings of the duet:

Mitchell thinks that Balanchine was consciously making a political statement in the *pas de deux* by pairing him with Diana Adams. 'Mr. Balanchine was politically aware of what was

<sup>60</sup> This dating is ambiguous. Although the premiere of the role was reviewed in 1970, Ohman's photograph in the NYPL is catalogued as 1969.

<sup>61</sup> From 1990s onward, BIPOC dancers in *Agon* duet in NYCB included Albert Evans, Jock Soto, Amar Ramasar. But the role was also danced by white performers—for instance, see Tyler Angle with Maria Kowroski in (Witchel 2018).

going on racially in America . . . I think one of the major things that's missing now is the use of the skin tones as part of the choreography. My being black and Diana being very pale meant the color of the skin tones was incorporated into the choreography'. (Mitchell 2001)

Over time, Mitchell maintained his point about the play upon contrasting "skin tones" as the integral choreographic aspect of this section of the work. This is, then, a moment to remember that semantic abstraction invites questions about acts of selection: what is kept as essential, and what is subtracted in artistic interventions? As Osborne said, in semantic abstraction the "[i]rrelevant detail is eliminated with the object of throwing into prominence a message which it is the purpose of the work to communicate" (Osborne 1976a, p. 246).

We already have seen how Balanchine, following his strategy of elimination, visually stripped the ballet *Apollo* gradually to arrive at its indispensable elements. As with *Apollo*, in wondering what was essential—or not essential—to *Agon*, we can follow its visual history to observe which elements have persisted. In this case, the contrasting black-and-white costuming never changed. We may therefore conclude that the retention of contrasting tones of black and white is an essential aspect of this ballet. This is quite unlike *Apollo*, in which the men's black tights (Figure 1) were replaced to achieve an all-white idea (Figure 2). Following Mitchell's point that the skin contrasts were originally used as an additional and integral visual-choreographic device, we come to ask: if the contrasts of black and white are important in *Agon*, how is it that the extended effect of dancers' skin tones did not remain across all the versions?

If the skin interplay was later viewed as a redundant aspect of *Agon*, we already face an uncomfortable question about the discursive content projected onto the dancers' bodies. Certainly, the question—whether the blackness of the male role was, as Copeland said, one of the "extraneous" elements—may seem simplistic or unfair. It may be that this was a pragmatic decision. Even if a Black dancer would have been preferable for Balanchine's vision, were there suited dancers available to embody the role at that time in NYCB after Mitchell left?<sup>62</sup> Yet, *Agon* was performed by Mitchell's Dance Theatre of Harlem (DTH) from 1971, and it is plausible that guest appearances of Black dancers with the NYCB were possible.<sup>63</sup> There may have been other reasons for the color-blind casting too. Perhaps Balanchine hoped to promote Mitchell's body as unmarked in *Agon*.<sup>64</sup> Even then, at least we have to ask: were those issues brought to the surface by the Black dancer's casting with the white ballerina, including the issue of African-American civil rights, no longer relevant in later decades? If social change had made the casting of a Black dancer in that role irrelevant, then how is it that other roles in the NYCB productions of *Agon* have retained their whiteness?<sup>65</sup>

## 5. The Issue of Bodily Integrity in Ballet

In asking such questions, it is not my intention to reduce Mitchell's contribution to *Agon* to his skin color. It is possible that this is exactly what those (white) dance critics in the 1970s were trying to avoid by skirting the issue of race. We know that Balanchine deeply valued the creativity and intelligence that Mitchell brought to his performances.<sup>66</sup> For his part, Mitchell routinely praised Balanchine and

<sup>62</sup> Mitchell spoke about Balanchine's difficulties in finding Black female ballerinas, which was a desire he discussed with Mitchell at the time. See Mitchell's (2010b) interview for the Visionary Project.

<sup>63</sup> This dating is according to The George Balanchine Foundation's "Catalogue" of Balanchine's works and international stagings (see George Balanchine Foundation 2002a).

<sup>64</sup> With more space it would be interesting to juxtapose Mitchell's casting in *Agon* with his other prominent character roles around the same time—Puck in *Midsummer Night's Dream* (1962), or *Bugaku* (for instance, partnering Mimi Paul in the duet in 1963: <https://digitalcollections.nypl.org/items/5f132df0-7501-0133-5ff7-00505686d14e>).

<sup>65</sup> Here I speak specifically about Balanchine's NYCB. In Mitchell's DTH *Agon* was danced by all-Black casts. For instance, see the duet danced by Tai Jimenez and Donald Williams (George Balanchine Foundation 2002b). Nevertheless, many international productions often included all-white duets too—e.g., Royal Ballet's Darcey Bussell with Christopher Saunders (1991): Getty Images <https://www.gettyimages.co.uk/detail/news-photo/darcy-bussell-and-christopher-saunders-in-the-royal-ballet-news-photo/541777652>.

<sup>66</sup> See (George Balanchine Foundation 2002b).



Kirstein for uplifting his position in the company.<sup>67</sup> However, we also know that he was the Black performer who “broke the color wall” in the ballet world (Kourlas 2018).<sup>68</sup> Thus, not to observe the major effect of racial representation, and to minimize the relevance of critical silence around the casting changes is problematic. Although *Agon* in general, and Mitchell’s casting in particular, were a great hit with the liberal New York audience at the time,<sup>69</sup> we also know that this approach was not made easy for Balanchine:

‘Can you imagine the audacity to take an African-American and Diana Adams, the essence and purity of Caucasian dance, and to put them together on the stage?’ Mr. Mitchell said. ‘Everybody was against him. He knew what he was going against, and he said, ‘You know my dear, this has got to be perfect’. (Mitchell cited in Kourlas 2018)

If we consider Mitchell’s words, then Balanchine’s pressure (“this has got to be perfect”) during *Agon*’s creation may reveal the choreographer’s awareness of ways in which Black performance was “inevitably burdened by political circumstances,” as DeFrantz (1996) notes.

As we have seen, some key New York critics did not focus on identity politics in their descriptions of *Agon*; instead, they employed a humanistic, yet detached tone that promoted the equalizing connotations of the duet. However, critical responses outside the NYCB audience base exposed a racialized discourse, exoticization, even fully racist reactions.<sup>70</sup> Claire Croft’s analysis of the company’s 1962 Soviet Union tour reveals that Mitchell’s neo-classically precise performance in the duet (which, if it explicitly promoted any heritage, this was French 17th-century court dance) was interpreted by the local writers as Balanchine’s shifting of movement style towards Africanist influences (Croft 2015, pp. 75–83). Although Dixon Gottschild has famously critiqued Balanchine’s appropriation of Africanist movement in various ballets,<sup>71</sup> the Soviet reviewer’s point made here was specifically about Mitchell’s performance. Thus, no matter how balletically “perfect,” responsive, and talented a classicist, to a segment of the white audience, Mitchell’s body was marked by blackness and thus “carr[ied] only the information of its surface” (DeFrantz 1996).

Across the US, too, the pairing of a Black dancer with a white ballerina was not always viewed in formalist terms, seemingly free of racialized associations. As Lipton found, for certain US viewers “the entangled partnering between a black man and a white woman” was a “metaphor for the simmering racial and sexual tensions of the time” (Lipton 2020, p. 80). We know that in duets in particular, as dance scholars Preston-Dunlop and Sanchez-Colberg (2002, p. 77) explained, the “[p]roxemic behaviour, articulated in the psychology and sociology of interpersonal signs” promotes “spatial orientation of people to each other [which] carries meaning within a culture”. We have to remember, then, that *Agon* arrived on the tails of a long US history of, as Saidiya Hartman explains, white fantasies and anxieties about the “proximity and intimacy of black and white bodies.” After the abolition of slavery, many white Americans in both the North and South feared an “amalgamation of the races,” that is, “[e]quality was blamed for the increased likelihood of miscegenation” (Hartman 1997, p. 184). This fear certainly was not resolved by the time of *Agon*’s premiere, which took place ten years prior to the Supreme Court’s “*Loving v. Virginia*” decision that legalized interracial marriage throughout the US.<sup>72</sup> It is possible, then, that the *pas de deux*, as a semantically abstracted event, promoted an

<sup>67</sup> See (Reynolds 2018; George Balanchine Foundation 2002b); and Mitchell’s (2010b) recorded interview with the Visionary Project.

<sup>68</sup> Garafola (2018). Arthur Mitchell: Harlem’s Ballet Trailblazer [Exhibition] . . .

<sup>69</sup> The history of Harlem Renaissance, and “the proximity of the races” (Hartman 1997, p. 172) in public spaces was not as historically tangled as in some parts of USA. As DeFrantz explained, particularly in NYC, “[b]lended by the liberal optimism of the New York dance community of the post-war era, dancers explored ways to self-consciously align power and the black male body onstage” (DeFrantz 1996, pp. 107–20).

<sup>70</sup> See ballet excuses for racist overtones in James Kennedy’s conversation with Mitchell (1976).

<sup>71</sup> As she summarized later, “Balanchine have used significant markers of African American dance in creating” dances including *Agon* (1957) (Gotschilg 2003, p. 5).

<sup>72</sup> The legacy persisted through the mid-20th century—“It must be remembered that it was not until 1967 that the Supreme Court found anti miscegenation laws unconstitutional” (Hartman 1997, p. 190). US federal laws were revamped at various times,

undisclosed, and potentially provocative, discursive ideological narrative: a general story of a man and a woman that strongly animated certain white Americans' "fixation on imagined sexual trespasses" (Hartman 1997, p. 185).<sup>73</sup> The polysemic meaning in *Agon*, thus, also may represent a move toward normalization of the close proximity of black and white skin (Figure 5), as the above-cited quotation from Hayden suggested (see Section 4 in this text). Casting a Black dancer in a "noble" principal role<sup>74</sup> was progressive in 1950s ballet, or as Dixon Gottschild (1996, p. 64) suggested, "near revolutionary."<sup>75</sup>



**Figure 5.** *Agon* duet by NYCB dancers Suzanne Farrell and Arthur Mitchell (1965). Photo © Martha Swope and New York Public Library, used with the permission.

These are ideological meanings and "stories" that *Agon* initially plausibly promoted through semantic abstraction, with Balanchine's visually pared-down staging highlighting the particular materiality and reality of the dancing bodies. In light of that, Balanchine's casting of Mitchell initially promoted what Stimson called a moment of collectivization, yet one that is in fact "withdrawn from the social field and attached to a putative theatrical element" (Stimson 2008, p. 75). This is because *Agon*, with its original casting, could be seen as an extension of Balanchine's and Kirstein's vision of institutional equality articulated many years earlier. Writing in 1934 for Edna Ocko's leftist publication, Kirstein described "the destruction of the proscenium arch" where the use of Black dancers "in conjunction with white dancers" would lead to "the replacement of an audience of snobs by a wide popular support"—this was "all part of Balanchine's articulate program" of ballet modernity (Kirstein in Garafola 2002, p. 58). Thus, even if we consider Mitchell's role as tokenistic, since he was the only Black dancer in the production, we can recognize seeds of the vision of equity in ballet in *Agon*.

In Balanchine's original casting, haptic elements, such as the dancers' close proximity and the emphasis on their touch, played an important aesthetic and socio-cultural role—arguably, they were part of *Agon*'s "drama," tensions, risks, and anxieties that writers such as Kirstein hinted at when discussing the impersonalization and witticism of choreographic cellular structures (cited in Section 4 of

---

and thus around the time of *Agon* premiere, historical documents show that thirteen states "repealed anti-miscegenation laws between 1948–1967": <https://sharetn.gov.tnsosfiles.com/tsla/exhibits/blackhistory/pdfs/Miscegenation%20laws.pdf>. New York's distinctive history includes the fact that this was one of nine states that never integrated anti-miscegenation laws (<https://sharetn.gov.tnsosfiles.com/tsla/exhibits/blackhistory/pdfs/Miscegenation%20laws.pdf>).

<sup>73</sup> Mitchell (2010b) describes racist outbursts in the audience, partly due to the perceived sexualized connotations of his performances with white ballerinas in the Visionary Project "Arthur Mitchell: Performance with ..."

<sup>74</sup> At that time, Mitchell was a young company member, still in the *corps de ballet*.

<sup>75</sup> "In the racially segregated world of pre-1970s United States, this pairing—specifically, the arch taboo of black male and white female—was a near revolutionary move, especially in the all-white, elite world of the ballet stage" (Dixon Gottschild 1996, p. 65).

this article). Then the abstractive discourse of “just numbers” and IBM machinery also might have been a veil under which to smuggle the subversive vision. In this respect, *Agon* held a potential of “discrepant abstraction”—a dissenting mode that has “disruptive agency” and power to decenter institutional imbalances, as the art historian Kobena Mercer articulates (Mercer 2006, p. 17). If the interracial casting was retained consistently, as a truly essential aspect of the ballet, then *Agon*’s abstraction would plausibly illuminate an “inherently multi-directional” and far reaching mode, as defined by Mercer: “[w]hen viewed in the round,” he writes, abstraction holds a “defining quality” of “openness” that can amplify “the dynamics of inter-cultural exchange” (Mercer 2006, pp. 7, 9). Balanchine’s ballet also would have materialized DeFrantz’s observation about ballet’s unique power to reverse stereotypes about Black performers: “Ballet locates its aesthetic power in the refinement of gesture away from everyday bodies and politics,” and it therefore has significance for the normalization of “the black male body to the degree that the idiom unmarked the lingering minstrel persona” (DeFrantz 1996, p. 11).

Yet, even if unintentionally, Balanchine carried out a different gesture of abstraction. In reassigning Mitchell’s role to white dancers, he stripped *Agon* of such a potential to disrupt and subvert dominant structures. As in Stimson’s gesture of abstraction, in turning away from the “real” world of his inspiring dancers as socially located people, Balanchine shifted his focus inward, towards his compositional medium. Balanchine’s selection of white dancers to replace Mitchell implicitly disclosed that the questions provoked by the blackness of the male key role can be withdrawn, and that space was opened for normative (white) dancing bodies to occupy it. This decision was strongly protected by writers who exercised their own gestures of abstraction, in essence immunizing Balanchine’s ballet from further probing into its racial implications. Here, for example, is how Charles Joseph defended Balanchine’s prerogative to deal only with aesthetic “visual impacts”:

The choreographer’s provocative coupling of Mitchell and Adams, however, should not be misconstrued: Balanchine had no desire to use dance as a public soapbox for defiant political statements. Rather, he had always delighted in creating jarring visual impacts. More than anyone, he realized that such a stark contrast would viscerally intensify the visual polarity that *Agon* often sought. It was just one further aspect of the “contest” that went to *Agon*’s core. Whatever racial implications others would read into the pairing of Mitchell and Adams did not seem to concern him one way or another. (Joseph 2002, p. 258)

The white re-casting was, as Joseph describes it, a non-event that could be carried out freely because references to particular human stories were just a non-essential “frame.”

Joseph’s statement has to make us pause and think about the question asked by the contemporary American choreographer Gutierrez (2018), in his article “Does Abstraction Belong to White People?”: “Who has the right not to explain themselves?” The choreographic choices and withdrawals in *Agon* also position this work in line with Stanger’s argument that the “liberation of ballet from its old-world stability” in “Balanchine’s case depended on both the availability and the absence of Black dancing bodies as instruments for white (self-)invention” (Stanger, forthcoming).<sup>76</sup> Furthermore, Balanchine’s short-term attention to the political implications of his choreography might remind us of the known habit of whiteness, denoted by the choreographer Adrienne Ming as the “white antiracist tourism”: interested allies emerge at a politically charged moment, only to revert “back to normal” when the events settle (Ming 2020). Was Balanchine’s recasting a way of reverting to the habit of casting white dancers—a shift back to “normal,” a phenomenon also observed by Rebecca Chaleff in US postmodern dances? Chaleff suggests that dance abstractions of the Judson Church choreographers depended upon

---

<sup>76</sup> I borrow Stanger’s argument with awareness that she made it in the specific context of the investigation of the plans for the building of NYCB theatrical home at Lincoln Square. Stanger asks whether the absence of racialized bodies seemed necessary to the NYCB’s productions of the time in a material (rather than representational) sense, because of the (racialized) social depopulation in Manhattan’s Upper West Side that underwrote the building of the New York State Theater (Stanger, forthcoming, chp. 2, “Frontier to Skyscraper: Graham, Balanchine, and Land as Acquisition”).

the unmarkedness of white dancers and their “presumptive ontological facticity” of being “unseen, unremarkable, and, above all, ordinary” (Chaleff 2018, p. 72).<sup>77</sup> Black dancers, however, fought the historically tangled legacy of African-American performers’ struggle to shed away, as Hartman demonstrated, the “fungibility” in the representation of their bodies.<sup>78</sup> Similarly, *Agon* then, through artistic acts of Balanchine and those who wrote about him, was positioned within a theatre dance lineage of abstraction that acts as a technology of whiteness, in Gutierrez’s sense, in that it reveals “[t]he ways that power, access, possibility, and the ability to dream into the future were constricted by the happenstance of economic or racial contingencies that defy reduction into shape and color” (Gutierrez 2018).<sup>79</sup>

A new covert ideological message thus emerged and solidified through interlinked gestures of abstraction that cleansed the discourse, moving it away from the controversial issues of racial tensions in the society into a more ‘neutral’ territory of formalist concerns. Here, we may remember Stimson’s analysis of interlaced abstractive gestures, and wonder about further ways in which they also encapsulate power relations, given that they are “attained by a particular relay or switch that defines the terms of participation” (Stimson 2008, p. 70).<sup>80</sup> As Hartman showed, even innocuous and “gentler forms” of entertainment were rooted in unequal terms of participation, disguised through a “veil of enchanted relationships” (Hartman 1997, p. 42). In fact, “a neutral or egalitarian guise” (and we may think here of Balanchine’s close collaboration with Mitchell) all too often involved the embodied viewpoint of the white maker as the only one with the normative properties and an implicitly assumed, unchallenged “bodily integrity” (Hartman 1997, pp. 25–26). The gestures of abstraction also protected a normative reset: the unquestioned body-switch and the restoration of the hegemonic white bodily base was not questioned by the writers as influential spectators.

The reset to the ballet default-setting in Balanchine’s *Agon* thus strongly signals ballet’s capacity to preserve its hegemonies by self-regulating. This is also known as the concept of the “white harmony,” articulated more broadly in contemporary dance context by the performance philosopher Bojana Cvejić. Cvejić characterizes harmony as a process of purification that is carried out under the flag of social cooperation, often unnoticeably integrated within corporeal/somatic and structural and formal organizational aspects of choreography (Cvejić 2018). Such self-regulating harmony “is white, because it white-washes its materials”:

White harmony is over-determined. First of all, it is a gesture of inclusion that remains in denial about violence and exploitation, as if conflict was just a matter of difference. But, second, whiteness or blankness is a result of specifically modernist procedures of harmony such as purification, immunisation, and neutralisation. Taking the stance of not knowing and starting from scratch, enables sometimes the choreographer to white-out nuances and whitewash narrative contexts. (Cvejić 2018)

<sup>77</sup> See also (Foster 1997).

<sup>78</sup> Hartman analyzed various historical practices, including the Minstrel blackface and white empathy which promoted “the fungibility of the commodity” of Black performers making the body as “an abstract and empty vessel vulnerable to the projection of others’ feelings, ideas, desires, and values; and, as property, the dispossessed body of the enslaved is the surrogate for the master’s body since it guarantees his disembodied universality and acts as the sign of his power and dominion” (Hartman 1997, p. 21). That legacy stereotyped Black performers in the spectacles for white spectators and produced difficulty of attaining a full bodily integrity: “The fungibility of the commodity, specifically its abstractness and immateriality, enabled the black body or blackface mask to serve as the vehicle of white self-exploration, renunciation, and enjoyment” (Hartman 1997, pp. 25–26).

<sup>79</sup> This is seen in later ballet writings, outside Balanchine’s opus—for instance, Croce drew upon Mallarmé’s writings on ballerina as an abstract symbol to promote stereotypes about gender-nonconforming drag performers: “It is partly because a ballerina isn’t a woman but an abstraction of one that ballet attracts homosexuals in large numbers . . .” (Croce [1974] 1979).

<sup>80</sup> In his text, Stimson is not suggesting that the relay of which is inherently negative—his point here is made in the context of discussion of “the body politic”, as in Hobbesian *Leviathan*, where the individual lived experience is collectivized, or globalized (see Stimson 2008, p. 70).

I borrow Cvejić's concept, and apply it to *Agon* because we could follow the language of white harmony in writers' images of alike mind-bodies meshing (Reynolds); in Joseph's withdrawal of attention to the issues of race; or in the "novel harmony", to borrow Denby's term (see Section 4 in this article). The accumulated discourse of the vague "so-called abstraction" thus immunized *Agon*, pivoting away from the frictional and tensile representations of the real world.

Although in this case unnoticed and undiscussed, or unchecked abstraction operated as obfuscation, dance abstraction is not a problem in itself. We know of strong examples where abstraction does not belong to white people (to borrow Gutierrez's title), and rather helps choreography to "creak with polyvocal alternatives" (Mercer 2006, p. 7). The decentering force of dance abstraction has been observed in choreographies by Akram Khan, Bill T. Jones, Reggie Wilson, and Tao Ye, for instance (Mitra 2015; Bill T. Jones in Brody 2008; Wilson 2019; Yapp 2020, pp. 489–504). As we have seen, even whilst he doubted the abstract adjective, Balanchine's gestures of semantic abstraction in *Agon* (as seen in Figures 3 and 5) held a similarly strong potential, as they initially articulated a challenge to ballet's institutional inequalities. The Balanchine dilemma, however, stifled the discussion of abstraction, allowing the abstractive gestures to operate below the surface, on an implicit assumption that the dancer's stripped-down image can be generalized and unpolluted by the historicity of their body. Rather than simply accepting Balanchine's terms and stipulations, critics could have probed into semantic mechanisms that involve the selection and elimination of informational detail—in this case, the elimination of important racial and social questions that resulted from the color-blind casting changes.

As Hartman suggested, only by looking at the less extreme relationships and "disassembling the 'benign' scene can we confront the everyday practice of domination, the nonevent, as it were" (Hartman 1997, p. 43). Along those lines, by thinking in terms of the visual concept of semantic abstraction and its attention to what is taken away and what is retained, are we led to read the stage, or as Gutierrez says, to look at "who is not there" (Gutierrez 2018). Avoiding the vague, euphemistic discourse of "so-called abstraction" we may also avoid the pendular force of balletic re-centering that erases diverse experiences, or refuses to confront the politics of exclusion operative in dance companies and institutions. This is why, upon closer reflection, it seems much more "dangerous" (to borrow Balanchine's word) to brush over abstraction as a dance word. Our avoidances may support a long-term immunity of the discriminatory dance (ballet) institutional apparatus that perpetuates hierarchical modes of embodied representation.

**Funding:** This research was supported by the research allocation of time and seed funding by the Dance Department, University of Roehampton and Centre for Dance Research (CDR).

**Acknowledgments:** I am grateful to my key interlocutors—the editors Juliet Bellow and Elise Archias, and to the reviewers, all of whom offered expertise and generosity. Thank you, Deborah Bull, Violette Verdy and all the dancers who had conversations with me over the past ten years and who helped me to think about Balanchine's works in a greater depth. I am particularly grateful to people who read drafts of this article, Anna Pakes, Anika Vajagić, and to Arabella Stanger, who also allowed me a preview reading of her upcoming monograph. Thank you to Adrian Heathfield, Stephanie Jordan, Adrienne Ming, and Geraldine Morris, for suggestions, sustained support, and inspiring conversations during the research that led to this article.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The author declares no conflict of interest.

## References

- Aloff, Mindy. 2018. On Dance and Dance Writing. In *Dance in America: A Reader's Anthology*. New York: Random House.
- Andrew, Nell. 2020. *Moving Modernism: The Urge to Abstraction in Painting, Dance, Cinema*. New York and Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Balanchine, George. 1949. The Dance Element in Stravinsky's Music. In *Stravinsky in the Theatre*. Edited by Minna Lederman. New York: Pellegrini and Cudahy.
- Balanchine, George. 1992. Marginal Notes on the Dance. In *The Dance Has Many Faces*. Edited by Walter Sorell. Chicago: A Cappella Books. First published 1951.



- Bales, Melanie. 2013. Touchstones of Tradition and Innovation: Pas de Deux by Petipa, Balanchine, and Forsythe. In *Dance on Its Own Terms*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Banes, Sally. 1998. Modern Ballet. In *Dancing Women: Female Bodies on Stage*. London and New York: Routledge.
- Barnes, Clive. 1970. Dance: *La Sonnambula* and *Agon*. *The New York Times*. May 30. Available online: <https://www.nytimes.com/1970/05/30/archives/dance-la-sonnambula-and-agon-2-by-balanchine-given-by-city-ballet.html> (accessed on 12 April 2018).
- Barr, Alfred. 2013. Cubism and Abstract Art [excerpts]. In *Abstraction*. Edited by Maria Lind. London: Whitechapel Gallery, p. 28. First published 1936.
- Bell, Anne. 2001. *Suzanne Farrell: Elusive Muse*. New York: Seahorse Films, First released 1990. [DVD].
- Bellow, Juliet. 2007. Balanchine and the Deconstruction of Classicism. In *The Cambridge Companion to Ballet*. Edited by Marion Kant. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, pp. 237–45.
- Bellow, Juliet, and Nell Andrew. 2015. Inventing Abstraction? Modernist Dance in Europe. In *The Modernist World*. Edited by Stephen Ross and Allana Lindgren. London and New York: Routledge, pp. 329–38.
- Bloom, Lynne Anne, and L. Tarin Chaplin. 1982. Abstraction. In *Intimate Act of Choreography*. Pittsburgh: University of Pittsburgh Press, p. 129.
- Blumenthal, Sally. 1993. *Conversations about Balanchine with Suzanne Farrell and David Daniel—Part 1: The Classroom*. Producer: Sally Blumenthal. New York: The Dance Collection of the New York Public Library for the Performing Arts, [VHS recording of a public lecture].
- Brockway, Merrill. 2004. *Balanchine: Master of the Dance*. Arlington: PBS, [DVD].
- Brody, Jennifer De Vere. 2008. *Punctuation: Art, Politics, and Play*. Durham: Duke University Press.
- Burt, Ramsay. 1998. *Alien Bodies: Representations of Modernity, "Race", and Nation in Early Modern Dance*. London and New York: Routledge, pp. 74–80.
- Chaleff, Rebecca. 2018. Activating Whiteness: Racializing the Ordinary in US American Postmodern Dance. *Dance Research Journal* 50: 71–84. [CrossRef]
- Cohen, Selma Jeanne. 1983. Dance as an Art of Imitation. In *What is Dance? Readings in Theory and Criticism*. Edited by Roger Copeland and Marshall Cohen. Oxford: Oxford University Press, pp. 15–22. First published 1953.
- Copeland, Roger. 1978. Balanchine—Ballet's First Modernist. *The New York Times*, January 15, Section D. 1.
- Craft, Robert. 1998. Stravinsky & Balanchine. *The New York Review of Books*, October 8.
- Croce, Arlene. 1979. The Two Tockaderos. In *Afterimages*. New York: Vintage Books. First published 1974.
- Croce, Arlene. 2003. Sub-Balanchine. In *Writing in the Dark, Dancing in the New Yorker*. New York: Farrar, Straus and Giroux. First published 1980.
- Croft, Clare. 2015. *Dancers as Diplomats: American Choreography in Cultural Exchange*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Cvejić, Bojana. 2018. Aesthetic Obfuscations of Conflict in White Western Dance. Paper presented at DSA 2018 Conference, Contra: Dance & Conflict, Keynote presentation, University of Malta, Valletta, Malta, July 5–8.
- Daly, Ann. 1987. The Balanchine Woman: Of Hummingbirds and Channel Swimmers. *The Drama Review (TDR)* 31: 8–21. [CrossRef]
- Daly, Ann. 2000. Trends in Dance Scholarship: Feminist Theory across the Millennial Divide. *Dance Research Journal* 32: 39–42. [CrossRef]
- DeFrantz, Thomas F. 1996. Simmering Passivity: The Black Male Body in Concert Dance. In *Moving Words: Re-Writing Dance*. Edited by Gay Morris. London: Routledge.
- Denby, Edwin, and Robert Cornfield, eds. 1998. Balanchine and Tchaikovsky: *Ballet Imperial*. In *Edwin Denby: Dance Writings and Poetry*. New Haven and London: Yale University Press, pp. 66–70. First published 1943.
- Denby, Edwin, and Robert Cornfield, eds. 1998. Apollo. In *Edwin Denby: Dance Writings and Poetry*. New Haven and London: Yale University Press, pp. 172–74. First published 1945.
- Denby, Edwin, and Robert Cornfield, eds. 1998. Some Thoughts about Classicism and George Balanchine. In *Edwin Denby: Dance Writings and Poetry*. New Haven and London: Yale University Press, pp. 235–43. First published 1953.
- Denby, Edwin, and Robert Cornfield, eds. 1998. Three Sides of *Agon*. In *Edwin Denby: Dance Writings and Poetry*. New Haven and London: Yale University Press, pp. 264–71. First published 1959.
- Denby, Edwin, and Robert Cornfield, eds. 1998. Balanchine Choreographing. In *Edwin Denby: Dance Writings and Poetry*. New Haven and London: Yale University Press, pp. 277–88. First published 1962.
- Dickerman, Leah, and Mark Franko, eds. 2013. *Abstraction, 1910–25: Eight Statements*. Cambridge: The MIT Press.

- Dixon Gottschild, Brenda. 1996. Stripping the Emperor: George Balanchine and the Americanization of Ballet. In *Digging the Africanist Presence in American Performance*. Santa Barbara: Westport Greenwood Publishing.
- Gotschild, Brenda Dixon. 2003. *The Black Dancing Body: A Geography from Coon to Cool*. Basingstoke: Palgrave MacMillan.
- Dunning, Jennifer. 2007. Balanchine and Stravinsky, Reunited. *The New York Times*, January 18.
- Foster, Susan Leigh. 1997. Confluences of race, gender, and sexuality in American modern dance, pt.2. Paper presented at Confluences Conference Proceedings, University of Cape Town, Cape Town, South Africa, July 16–19.
- Franko, Mark. 1995. *Dancing Modernism, Performing Politics*. Bloomington: Indiana University Press, pp. x–xi.
- Franko, Mark. 1998. Abstraction has many Faces: The Ballet/Modern Wars of Lincoln Kirstein and John Martin. *Performance Research* 3: 88–101. [CrossRef]
- Fuchs, Elinor. 1996. *The Death of Character*. Bloomington: Indiana University Press.
- Garafola, Lynn. 2002. Writing on the Left: The Remarkable Career of Edna Ocko. *Dance Research Journal* 34: 53–61. [CrossRef]
- Garafola, Lynn. 2005. Dance in the City. In *Legacies of Twentieth century Dance*, Garafola L. Middletown: Wesleyan University Press. First published in 1987.
- Garafola, Lynn. 2018. Exhibition Brochure. *Arthur Mitchell: Harlem's Theater Trailblazer*. Wallach Art Gallery. Available online: <https://exhibitions.library.columbia.edu/exhibits/show/mitchell/the-exhibition/brochure> (accessed on 9 November 2019).
- George Balanchine Foundation. 2002a. 316 *Agon*. Balanchine Catalogue. Edited by Nancy Reynolds. Available online: <http://www.balanchine.org/03/balanchinecataloguenew.html> (accessed on 14 July 2020).
- George Balanchine Foundation. 2002b. Balanchine Video Archives: Arthur Mitchell Coaching the Pas De Deux from *Agon*. Directed by Nancy Reynolds. Produced by Virginia Brooks. Dancers: Tai Jimenez, Donald Williams. Interviewer: Anna Kisselgoff. Available online: [http://www.balanchine.org/03/gbfvideoarchives\\_videos.jsp](http://www.balanchine.org/03/gbfvideoarchives_videos.jsp) (accessed on 14 July 2020).
- Gotman, Kéline. 2018. *Choreomania: Dance and Disorder*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Greskovic, Robert. 2012. Balanchine Lessons Not Learned. *The Wall Street Journal*. Available online: <https://www.wsj.com/articles/SB10001424052702304019404577418232289947076> (accessed on 14 July 2020).
- Gutierrez, Miguel. 2018. Does Abstraction Belong to White People? *Bomb Magazine*. November 7. Available online: <https://bombmagazine.org/articles/miguel-gutierrez-1/> (accessed on 15 March 2019).
- Haidu, Rachel. 2020. Rosas, the Storyless, and Roles. *Arts* 9: 44. [CrossRef]
- Harris, Andrea. 2017. *Making Ballet American: Modernism Before and After Balanchine*. Oxford and New York: Oxford University Press.
- Hartman, Saidiya V. 1997. *Scenes of Subjection: Terror, Slavery, and Self-Making in Nineteenth-Century America*. New York and Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Heppenstall, Rayner. 1936. A Philosophy of Ballet. In *Apology for Dancing*. London: Faber & Faber, p. 129.
- Humphrey, Doris. 1959. *The Art of Making Dances*. New York: Rinehart & Co., p. 171.
- Jordan, Stephanie. 2000. *Moving Music: Dialogues with Music in Twentieth-Century Ballet*. London: Dance Books.
- Jordan, Stephanie. 2002. *Music Dances: Balanchine Choreographs Stravinsky*. [DVD] Director: Virginia Brooks. New York: The George Balanchine Foundation.
- Jordan, Stephanie. 2007. *Stravinsky Dances: Re-Visions across a Century*. London: Dance Books.
- Joseph, Charles. 2002. *Stravinsky and Balanchine: A Journey of Invention*. New Haven: Yale University Press.
- Keilson, Ana Isabel. 2019. The Embodied Conservatism of Rudolf Laban, 1919–1926. *Dance Research Journal* 51: 18–34. [CrossRef]
- Kirkland, Gelsey. 1986. *Dancing on My Grave*. New York: Doubleday, pp. 90, 94–95.
- Kirstein, Lincoln. 1970. *Movement and Metaphor*. New York: Praeger Publishing, p. 158.
- Kourlas, Gia. 2018. Arthur Mitchell, Ballet's 'Grandfather of Diversity'. *The New York Times*. January 5. Available online: <https://www.nytimes.com/2018/01/05/arts/dance/arthur-mitchell-harlem-ballet-lenfest-center.html> (accessed on 29 March 2020).
- Kriegsman, Alan M. 1978. The Meaning of Abstract in the World of Dance. *The Washington Post*, January 8.
- Kunst, Bojana. 2017. Some Thoughts on the Labour of a Dancer. In *Post-Dance*. Edited by Danjel Andersson, Mette Edvardsen and Mårten Spångberg. Stockholm: MDT, pp. 116–34.
- Langer, Susanne K. 1964. Abstraction in Art. *The Journal of Aesthetics and Art Criticism* 22: 389. [CrossRef]

- Levin, David Michael. 1976. Balanchine's Formalism. In *Salmagundi 33/34 (DANCE)*. pp. 216–36. First published 1973.
- Levinson, André. 1991. *André Levinson on Dance: Writings from Paris in the Twenties*. Edited by Joan Acocella and Lynn Garafola. Hanover and London: Wesleyan University Press, pp. 76–83. First published 1927.
- Leyva, Rachael Riggs. 2018. An Analysis of Various Texts in Balanchine's *Agon*: Continuity and Change across Time. *Dance: Current Selected Research* 9: 1–19.
- Lipton, Gillian. 2020. Critical Memory: Arthur Mitchell, Dance Theatre of Harlem, and the Rise of the Invisible Dancers. In *Futures of Dance Studies*. Edited by Susan Manning, Janice Ross and Rebecca Schneider. Madison and London: University of Wisconsin Press, pp. 74–93.
- Macaulay, Alastair. 2007. Modernism Was Given a Name: *Agon*. *The New York Times*. November 25. Available online: <https://www.nytimes.com/2007/11/25/arts/dance/25maca.html?auth=login-google> (accessed on 3 March 2019).
- Manning, Susan. 2004. *Modern Dance, Negro Dance: Race in Motion*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press.
- Mason, Francis. 1984. The Balanchine Style: A Symposium. *Ballet Review* 11: 5–33.
- Mason, Francis. 1991. *Remembering Balanchine*. New York: Doubleday.
- Mercer, Kobena, ed. 2006. *Discrepant Abstraction*. London, Iniva and Cambridge: MIT Press.
- Meyer-Dinkgraeve, Daniel. 2005. *Approaches to Acting in the Twentieth Century*. London and New York: Continuum International Publishing Group, pp. 38–94.
- Ming, Adrienne. 2020. Personal Correspondence with the author. June 24.
- Misler, Nicoletta. 2017. *The Art of Movement*. Turin and London: Allemandi.
- Mitchell, Arthur. 1976. Black Is Beautiful. *Arts Guardian*. August 11. Available online: [https://uploads.guim.co.uk/2018/09/20/Mitchell\\_11\\_April\\_1976.jpg](https://uploads.guim.co.uk/2018/09/20/Mitchell_11_April_1976.jpg) (accessed on 31 March 2020).
- Mitchell, Arthur. 2001. *Agon* at 50. November 29. Available online: <https://www.pointemagazine.com/agon-at-50-2412798987.html> (accessed on 12 March 2020).
- Mitchell, Arthur. 2010a. Arthur Mitchell: Pas de Deux. Available online: [https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=foDSr0\\_ZBe0](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=foDSr0_ZBe0) (accessed on 9 December 2019).
- Mitchell, Arthur. 2010b. Arthur Mitchell: Performance with Balanchine's Company. Available online: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=rRZwCmmQVPw> (accessed on 2 December 2019).
- Mitra, Royona. 2015. *Akram Khan: Dancing New Interculturalism*. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Osborne, Harold. 1976a. Ways of Abstraction. *British Journal of Aesthetics* 16: 243–53. [CrossRef]
- Osborne, Harold. 1976b. Non-iconic Abstraction. *British Journal of Aesthetics* 16: 291–304. [CrossRef]
- Osborne, Harold. 1979. *Abstraction and Artifice in Twentieth-Century Art*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Pouillaude, Frédéric. 2017. *Unworking Dance*. Translated by Anna Pakes. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Preston-Dunlop, Valerie, and Ana Sanchez-Colberg, eds. 2002. *Dance and the Performative: A Choreological Perspective—Laban and Beyond*. London: Verve Publishing.
- Reynolds, Nancy. 1977. *Repertory in Review: 40 Years of the New York City Ballet*. New York: Dial Press.
- Reynolds, Nancy. 2018. Dancing on Balanchine's Stages: Arthur Mitchell in the New York City Ballet. In *Arthur Mitchell: Harlem's Ballet Trailblazer*. Curated by Lynn Garafola. New York: University of Columbia.
- Salazar-Sutil, Nicolas. 2014. Mathematics in Motion: A Comparative Analysis of the Stage Works of Schlemmer and Kandinsky at the Bauhaus. *Dance Research* 32: 23–42. [CrossRef]
- Smith, Ralph A. 1981. A Commentary on Harold Osborne's Book. *Abstraction and Artifice in Twentieth-Century Art. Leonardo* 14: 210–12.
- Souritz, Elizaveta. 2003. Mariinsky Years. Paper presented at George Balanchine and Transformation of American Dance [Symposium], University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, USA, October 31.
- Stanger, Arabella. Forthcoming. *Dancing on Violent Ground: Utopia as Dispossession in Euro-American Theatre Dance*. Evanston: Northwestern University Press.
- Stilwell, Robynn. 1994. Stravinsky and Balanchine: A Musico-Choreographic Analysis of *Agon*. Ph.D. thesis, The University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, USA.
- Stimson, Blake. 2008. Gesture and Abstraction. In *Migrations of Gesture*. Edited by Carrie Noland and Sally Ann Ness. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, pp. 69–83.
- Summers, Claude J., ed. 2004. *The Queer Encyclopaedia of Music, Dance & Musical Theater*. San Francisco: Cleis Press.
- Tallchief, Maria, and Lary Kaplan. 1997. *Maria Tallchief: America's Prima Ballerina*. New York: Henry Holt.
- Taper, Bernard. 1984. *Balanchine: A Biography*. Berkeley: University of California Press, pp. 209, 249.

- Tomic-Vajagic, Tamara. 2012. The Dancer's Contribution: Performing Plotless Choreography in the Leotard Ballets of George Balanchine and William Forsythe. Ph.D. thesis, University of Roehampton, London, UK.
- Tomic-Vajagic, Tamara. 2014. The Dancer at Work: The Aesthetic and Politics of Practice Clothes and Leotards in Ballet Performance. *Scene: Critical Costume* 2: 89–105. [CrossRef]
- Tomic-Vajagic, Tamara. 2016. Hidden Narratives. *Dance Research* 34: 170–201. [CrossRef]
- Villella, Edward, and Robert Drew. 1968. *Man Who Dances*. Santa Monica: Direct Cinema Limited.
- Volkov, Solomon. 1984. *Balanchine's Tchaikovsky: Interviews with George Balanchine*. New York: Simon & Schuster Inc.
- Walczak, Barbara, and Una Kai. 2008. *Balanchine—The Teacher: Fundamentals That Have Shaped the First Generation of New York City Ballet Dancers*. Gainesville: University of Florida Press.
- Wilson, Reggie. 2019. *Post-Dance-Ing*. Conference Discussion. October 23 [webcast] Stockholm, MDT, Cullberg and DOCH. Available online: <https://riksteatern.solidtango.com/watch/3f7t0eg2?fbclid=IwAR38CTc3AchXvN6Vb6AahtttX16Q9Lk-Aq0hX-Pl5WXQaF53RRmOJqtAHE0> (accessed on 24 October 2019).
- Witchel, Leigh. 2018. Happy Birthday Mr.B. *Dancelog*. Available online: <https://www.dancelog.nyc/happy-birthday-mr-b/> (accessed on 23 October 2019).
- Yapp, Hentyle. 2020. Intimating Race: Tao Ye's 4 and Methods for World Dance. In *Futures of Dance Studies*. Edited by Susan Manning, Janice Ross and Rebecca Schneider. Madison and London: University of Wisconsin Press.

**Publisher's Note:** MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



© 2020 by the author. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).