Fracture Energy and Fracture Morphology after Three-Point Bending Test of Welded Joints Made of Cast Steel Designed for Use in Power Sector, with and without the Addition of Rare Earth Metals
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Abstract: The paper reports the three-point bending test results of welded joints. The joints were made of chromium-molybdenum cast steel designed for the use at elevated temperatures. TIG (tungsten inert gas) welding technique was used. The fracture energy for particular joint zones and the stretch zone width (SZW) under the notch bottom were determined in a qualitative fracture toughness assessment. Fracture surface morphology was analyzed. The stretch zone measurement indicated a qualitative relationship between its width and the values of fracture energy. The results confirmed the influence of the modification on the character of fracture and the portions of brittle and ductile fracture in particular areas of welded joints.
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1. Introduction

G15CrMo5-5 cast steel is designed for use at elevated temperatures (up to 500 °C) and under high pressure in steam turbine valve chambers, valve bodies, or sealing rings of high-pressure vessels often exposed to abrasive wear [1,2]. Steel castings are typically welded to build up larger parts. Considering the operating conditions of cast steel products, adequate welding technologies for joint fabrication and repair—especially in power plants—need to be used [3]. The properties of the joints must match those of the parent material (cast steel).

Growing severity of the conditions under which cast steels operate has entailed considerable developments in joining and welding [3–6], including the invention of new technologies, e.g., friction stir welding [7–10] and the design of improved, modified welding materials [11]. One such modification that has proved very successful is adding rare earth metals (REMs) to steel/cast steel for lowering oxygen and sulphur contents [12,13] and changing the microstructure and morphology of non-metallic inclusions [13–17]. Adding rare earth metals to steel improves its properties [18–27]. Most commonly, Ce is used alone or in combination with other elements. The production of steel and cast steel containing REMs is difficult and successful modification depends on a number of factors, including adequate deoxidation of the molten metal, the REMs’ amount, type and the time the addition is made. Nevertheless, rare earth metals bring a number of benefits in steelmaking by influencing the steel microstructure (grain refinement, non-metallic inclusion modification) and by increasing the strength and corrosion properties and hardness of the steel [25–33].

2. Materials and Methods

The welded joints were made of G17CrMo5-5 cast steel (Table 1). Cast steel melting was done under industrial conditions in an induction furnace with a capacity of 2000 kg. Two types of cast steel
heats were made—non-modified and modified—with REMs in the form of mischmetal added into the molten metal (1.02 kg mischmetal/t). The mischmetal chemical composition was as follows: 49.8% Ce, 21.8% La, 17.1% Nd, 5.5% Pr, and 5.35% of the other REMs.

**Table 1.** Chemical composition (% mass) of G17CrMo5-5 cast steel according to PN-EN-10213-2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>C</th>
<th>Si</th>
<th>Mn</th>
<th>Cr</th>
<th>Mo</th>
<th>Ni</th>
<th>Al</th>
<th>S</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.041</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>0.022</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The samples (200 × 30 × 25 mm³) were taken from the test ingots subjected to a full heat treatment, i.e., normalizing (940 °C/1 h/air) and tempering (710 °C/2 h/air), which provided the ferrite + bainite microstructure (Figure 1) [31]. Evaluated qualitatively, after the heat treatment these changes involved a significant reduction in the ferritic matrix grain size and the reduction of precipitation processes in the modified cast steel during tempering. The transmission electron microscopy showed changes in dislocation density in the steel with the addition of REMs [32]. The samples were etched with the HNO₃ solution in C₂H₅OH and examined in a light microscope (LM). The introduction of mischmetal to cast steel increased cast steel Charpy impact toughness (with a V notch), keeping plastic properties unchanged (Table 2) [31]. The favorable effect of the modifications on the material under lower service temperatures was already confirmed and the cast steel was subjected to lower temperature toughness tests to ASTM E 1820-17 [34] on the three-point bend specimens at temperatures ranging from +20 °C to −60 °C for unmodified cast steel and to −80 °C for the cast steel with the rare earth metal addition. The $K_{JC}$ fracture toughness values (Figure 2) and the brittle fracture transition temperature $T_Q$, which was −51.2 °C for the modified and 1.1 °C for the non-modified cast steel [35], were determined. The region of the ingot from which the test samples were taken, as well as the nature of the cast material, might be responsible for the scatter in the results.

The critical values of fracture toughness, $K_{JC}$, were determined on single edge notched bend (SENB) specimens, precracked (initial crack length ($a_0$)) by fatigue. The dimensions of the specimens were $B = 12$ mm and $W = 24$ mm (Figure 3). The support span was $S = 4W$ with a notch relative length of $a/W = 0.5$ ($a/W$—normalized crack size; $a$—crack length). The MTS 250 kN testing system allowed the automatic recording of crack opening displacement measurement ($\delta_M$), applied load ($P$) and the displacement at the load application point ($\Delta U$). The crack extension was measured with a crack tip opening displacement (CTOD) extensometer and the displacement at the point of force application was also measured.

![Figure 1. Microstructure of G17CrMo5-5: (a) non-modified cast steel; (b) cast steel with REMs, LM, etched with HNO₃ solution in C₂H₅OH.](image-url)
Table 2. Mechanical properties of G17CrMo5-5 cast steel.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>G17CrMo5-5</th>
<th>Yield Strength, MPa</th>
<th>Tensile Strength, MPa</th>
<th>Elongation, %</th>
<th>Necking, %</th>
<th>Impact Strength, J/cm²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Without REM addition</td>
<td>507.4</td>
<td>661</td>
<td>20.08</td>
<td>63.6</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With REM addition</td>
<td>551.4</td>
<td>685.2</td>
<td>19.92</td>
<td>62.4</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2. G17CrMo5-5 fracture toughness diagram.

The authors used the potential change method to record signal potential, load values, and specimen deflection. This enabled calculating the \( J \) integral, the crack opening length, and then \( J_{IC} \) ratio used for calculation of the \( K_{JC} \) stress intensity factor. The amount of energy released from the specimen was used to calculate the \( J \) integral from:

\[
J = \frac{\eta A}{b_0 B_N}
\]  

(1)

where:

\( \eta \)—for a three-point bend specimen \( \eta = 2 \),

\( b_0 \)—the initial length of un-cracked segment at the crack opening front \( (b_0 = W - a_0) \),

\( B_N \)—specimen thickness,

\( A \)—area under the load-plastic displacement curve.

The critical value of \( J_{IC} \) integral was determined according to the \( J \)-integral vs. crack extension graph in Figure 4 [31]. The critical value of \( J \) integral is the intersection point of the \( J - \Delta a \) dependence curve (denoted by the black line in Figure 4) and the straight line (denoted by the blue line in Figure 4) led from point 0.2 on the axis inclined to the \( \Delta a \) axis at the angle whose tangent is (yield strength \( (\sigma_y) \) + tensile strength \( (\sigma_{UT}) \)).
where 

\[ J_{IC} = \frac{K_{IC} E}{(1 - \nu^2)} \]  

(2)

where \( J_{IC} \) is the \( J \)-integral critical value, \( E \) is Young’s modulus, and \( \nu \) is Poisson’s ratio.

Both the reference temperature in the brittle-to-ductile transition region, \( T_Q \), and the master curves were determined in accordance with the recommendations by Wallin, Gao, Dodds, and Ruggeri et al. [37–41], and the procedures set forth in ASTM E1921-10 [42] and in FITNET [43]. The reference temperature, \( T_Q \), corresponds to the value of fracture toughness equal to \( K_{JC} = 100 \) MPa m\(^{1/2} \). This procedure is applied to ferritic steels with yield strength in the range \( 285 \leq \sigma_y \leq 825 \) MPa. Experimentally measured fracture toughness is obtained either at one or at several temperatures. According to the procedure, fracture toughness is determined on specimens with \( B = 25 \) mm. In the case where the specimen thickness is less than 25 mm or exceeds this value, the fracture toughness should be corrected using the formula [43]:

\[ K_{mat} = K_{min} + (K_{JC} - K_{min}) \left( \frac{B}{25} \right)^{0.25} \]  

(3)

where \( K_{min} \) is a minimum value of fracture toughness, which is assumed to be \( K_{min} = 20 \) MPa m\(^{1/2} \) [42,43]. In the case when the fracture toughness is measured at several different temperatures, the brittle-to-ductile transition temperature, \( T_Q \), can be calculated from the equation:

\[ \sum_{i=1}^{n} \delta_i \exp(0.091(T_i - T_Q)) \cdot (11 + 77 \exp(0.091(T_i - T_Q))) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (K_{JCi} - 20)^4 \exp(0.091(T_i - T_Q)) \cdot (11 + 77 \exp(0.019(T_i - T_Q)))^{3/2} \]  

(4)

where \( K_{JCi} \) is the \( i \)-th value of fracture toughness determined at the temperature \( T_i \); \( n \) is a number of tested specimens; \( \delta_i \) equals 1, then \( K_{JCi} < K_{cenz} \), or 0, when the inequality is in the opposite direction.

The censored value \( K_{cenz} \) is calculated from the formula:

\[ K_{cenz} = (E b_0 \sigma_y /30)^{1/2} \]  

(5)

where \( b_0 \) is the un-cracked ligament width of the specimen.
If the value of the brittle-to-ductile transition temperature, $T_Q$, is known, the data set by the relationship $K_{\text{mat}} = f(T)$, called a “master curve”, is obtained [42,43]:

$$K_{\text{mat}} = 30 + 70 \exp(0.019(T - T_Q))$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

**Test Joints Welding**

Samples made of G17CrMo5-5 cast steel were used to make flat test butt joints measuring $200 \times 84 \times 12$ mm$^3$. TIG welding technique was used. Welding consumables included LNT/LNM-19 solid welding wire [44] and argon as shielding gas [45]. The chemical composition of the welding wire was as follows: 0.10% C, 0.5% Si, 1.0% Mn, 1.2% Cr, 0.5% Mo. Double-sided multilayer TIG welding was performed. Table 3 summarizes the basic technological parameters of welded joints fabrication. Test joints were welded in the flat position (PA). The heat treatment procedures accompanying the welding are shown in Figure 5.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Run No.</th>
<th>Welding Method [46]</th>
<th>Filler Wire Diameter, mm</th>
<th>Current, A</th>
<th>Arc Voltage, V</th>
<th>Welding Speed, cm/min</th>
<th>Shielding Gas Flow, L/min</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1, 3</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>φ = 2.0</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>25–30</td>
<td>8.0–10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2, 4</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>φ = 2.4</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>20–25</td>
<td>12.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5–10</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>φ = 2.4</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>20–25</td>
<td>12.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pre-heating temperature: 100 °C, inter-pass temperature: 200–230 °C, annealing: 710 °C.

**Figure 5.** Diagram of heat treatment procedures used for welding G17CrMo5-5 cast steel.

### 3. Results

**Three-Point Bending Test**

The test samples were taken from the joints to determine fracture toughness. The samples from the non-modified cast steel were designated as a series and those taken from the REMs modified cast steel as B series. Three samples were provided for each series. The test was performed on three-point bend flat samples according to ASTM E1820-09 [36] in an MTS 250 kN test machine with automatic measurement of the gap ($\delta_M$), force ($P$), and the displacement at the load application point ($\Delta U$). The crack extension was measured with a crack tip opening displacement (CTOD) extensometer. Pre-cracked and notched samples were used. The initial crack length ($a_0$) was derived by subjecting the samples to fatigue bending at constant amplitude. The specimens prepared in this way were subjected to bending using a monotonically increasing load. The procedure was carried out in the same way as that for the brittle transition temperature $T_Q$ (Section 2). The fracture toughness $K_{JC}$ of the fabricated welded joints could not be explicitly determined due to irregular gap front. Thus, not all normative requirements were met. The energy of cracking was determined according to the diagram...
in Figure 6. The fracture energy was calculated by separating the energy from the area under the graph (total energy) by the product $b_0$ and $B$ (where $b_0$—the unprocessed part of the sample, $B$—the effective thickness of the sample). The thicknesses were measured as displacement $U_{ext}$ using an extensometer.

![Figure 6](image)

**Figure 6.** Scheme of fracture energy determination.

The welded joints (series A and B) were fabricated as double-sided multilayer joints with the edge in the shape of the letter “X”. The fatigue crack was initiated in the weld (A1, B1) and in the heat-affected zone (HAZ) (A2, A3, B2, B3). The fracture energy was determined for each joint zone (Table 4, Figures 7 and 8) at the moment of fracture initiation.

![Table 4](image)

**Table 4.** Fracture energy of each joint zone.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Joint No.</th>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Fracture Energy, kJ/mm²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A1</td>
<td>weld</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2</td>
<td>weld + HAZ</td>
<td>135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A3</td>
<td>HAZ</td>
<td>275</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B1</td>
<td>weld</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B2</td>
<td>weld + HAZ + parent material</td>
<td>212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B3</td>
<td>HAZ</td>
<td>433</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Figure 7](image)

**Figure 7.** Force-displacement diagram for welded joint tests for unmodified cast steel (A series).
The stretch zone width (SZW; areas included by the 2 solid lines in Figure 10), a criterion for the qualitative assessment of fracture toughness, was measured under the bottom of the notch (Figure 10) between the fatigue pre crack zone and the crack extension zone. The stretch zone (“threshold”) is formed at the moment of rapid failure of the material between the fatigue zone of the crack propagation through the various areas of the welded joint (weld, HAZ, and parent material).

Macroscopic observations of the resulting fracture surfaces (Figure 9) were analyzed for fracture energy values. In samples A1 and B1, a crack developed in the joint and in both cases a high proportion of brittle fracture was observed. In sample A2, the crack developed in the joint and propagated across the HAZ causing an increase in fracture energy. Similarly, the crack in sample B2 ran across the joint and HAZ and, additionally, in the parent material. In both cases (A2 and B2) the increase in the fracture energy value was significantly higher in the modified cast steel joint. In samples A3 and B3, cracking developed across the HAZ. The highest proportion of ductile fracture was observed for A3 and B3. In both samples, there was an increase in fracture energy, mostly in the modified cast steel.

Figure 9. Overview of three-point bend fracture surfaces.

4. Discussion

The average value of fracture energy for each A and B series was not determined. Each sample was analyzed individually due to different crack propagation through the various areas of the welded joint (weld, HAZ, and parent material).

All fracture surfaces were subjected to fractographic analysis in the scanning electron microscope. The stretch zone width (SZW; areas included by the 2 solid lines in Figure 10), a criterion for the qualitative assessment of fracture toughness, was measured under the bottom of the notch (Figure 10) between the fatigue pre crack zone and the crack extension zone. The stretch zone (“threshold”) is formed at the moment of rapid failure of the material between the fatigue zone of the fracture and the residual fracture. A wider stretch zone indicates higher fracture toughness, as confirmed in this study. Many researchers have reported a correlation between the width of the stretch zone and the fracture toughness.
toughness. The $J$ integral at the moment of crack initiation, $J_i$, based on the measurement of the stretch zone width can be calculated according to several procedures described in the literature [47–54].

Figure 10. Images of fracture surfaces under the notch bottom with visible stretch zones.

For specimens A1, A2, and A3, the width was 40, 49, and 66 μm, respectively whereas for specimens B1, B2, and B3, it was 30, 64, and 120 μm. In the welded joints made of modified cast steel, the stretch zone was wider than that of unmodified cast steel. This applies to cracks in the HAZ and parent material. The differences between samples A1 and B1 result from the crack propagation area, i.e., the weld.

Observations of A1, A2, and A3 fracture surfaces and B1, B2, and B3 specimens indicate brittle fracture character (Figures 8–10), with areas of ductile fracture (Figures 11–13, lower section of the photographs). Depending on the areas in which the crack propagated, a different proportion of ductile fracture to brittle fracture is observed. Large transcristalline cracks are seen mostly in the B1 weld, which explains lower fracture energy in this sample. Ductile fracture areas are visible in both variants. Microscopic images of the A2 and B2 samples show brittle nature of the fracture with numerous steps forming “river basins” and “river patterns”. In the case of sample B2, the fracture surface is much more developed, which indicates fine grained structure. More ductile fracture strips with smaller dimples around precipitates are visible in the brittle fracture area. Grain refinement and the change in inclusions
morphology result also from the presence of mischmetal in the cast steel. Few transcrystalline cracks can be seen in A3 and B3 brittle fracture areas (Figure 13). In the B3 modified steel sample, as in B2, the morphology of the fracture is more developed and the ductile cracking bands are much more common than in the cast steel samples without the REMs addition. Compared with A3, the proportion of ductile fracture is much higher, reaching 50%.

Figure 11. Morphology of A1 and B1 fracture surfaces.
Figure 12. Morphology of A2 and B2 fracture surfaces.
Figure 13. Morphology of A3 and B3 fracture surfaces.
The inclusions in the modified cast steel are characterized by a much larger dispersion (Figure 14) and morphology and size changes (Figures 15 and 16). The inclusions formed during the modification process and were mostly oxysulphides (Figure 17) [32,33]. The REMs addition in the liquid steel caused the inclusions to take on a globular shape and be more evenly distributed in the matrix. No clusters of oxides and sulphides were observed as was the case with unmodified cast steel.

Figure 14. REMs oxysulphides on fracture surfaces of the Charpy-V impact test.

Figure 15. Nonmetallic inclusions in non-modified cast steels: (a) metallographic sample; (b) on the fracture surface of the Charpy-V impact test.

Figure 16. Non-metallic inclusions in modified cast steels: (a) metallographic sample; (b) on the fracture surface of the Charpy-V impact test.
5. Conclusions

1. Modification of G17CrMo5-5 cast steel with mischmetal seems to have an advantageous effect on the fracture energy of the weld joints.

2. The stretch zone measurement indicates a qualitative relationship between its width and the values of fracture energy. The widths in the B-series samples were noticeably larger than those in the A-series samples.

3. The fractographic analysis of the fractures from the weld and HAZ confirmed the influence of modification on their character, in particular in the case of HAZ where a significant development of the fracture surface was observed. For specimens A1, A2, and A3, the stretch zone lengths are 40, 49, and 66 µm, respectively whereas for specimens B1, B2, and B3, they are 30, 64, and 120 µm. The introduction of Ce mixture into the cast steel refined the grain, changed the morphology of non-metallic inclusions, and increased the dispersion of the inclusions.

4. In order to determine the fracture energy for particular areas of the welded joint, it is suggested to prepare half Y-shaped welding edges. Such preparation for research purposes can ensure that the crack propagates only through the homogeneous joint area, i.e., HAZ or weld, and this will allow determining the $K_{JC}$.

5. The results should be considered as a qualitative relationship between particular areas of the A and B series joints.
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