Analysis of Magneto-Piezoelastic Anisotropic Materials
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Abstract: The paper is concerned with the analysis of magneto-piezoelastic anisotropic materials. Analytical modeling of magneto-piezoelastic materials is essential for the design and applications in the smart composite structures incorporating them as actuating and sensing constituents. It is shown that Green’s function method is applicable to time harmonic magneto-elastic-piezoelectricity problems using the boundary integral technique, and the exact analytical solutions are obtained. As an application, a two-dimensional static plane-strain problem is considered to investigate the effect of magnetic field on piezoelectric materials. The closed-form analytical solutions are obtained for a number of boundary conditions for all components of the magneto-piezoelectric field. As a special case, numerical results are presented for two-dimensional static magneto-electroelastic field of a piezoelectric solid subjected to a concentrated line load and an electric charge. The numerical solutions are obtained for three different piezoelectric materials and they demonstrate a substantial dependence of the stress and electric field distribution on the constitutive properties and magnetic flux.
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1. Introduction

The unique properties of magneto-piezoelastic materials render them suitable candidates for a broad range of novel practical applications in the form of components, devices and smart structures and systems; for example their sensitivity to external stimuli (electric and magnetic fields, temperature, etc.) can be exploited for frequency tunable devices such as resonators, phase shifters, delay lines and filters, as magnetic field sensors, energy harvesting transducers, miniature antennas, etc. (see [1–6]). Other attractive potential applications of some classes of magnetoelectric materials include data storage devices and spintronics [7], biomedical sensors for EEG/MEG and other relevant equipment [8,9].

Three-dimensional models for magnetoelectric composite materials are obtained in [10,11]. Two models are developed; one model uses dynamic force and thermal balance and the time-varying form of Maxwell’s equations to determine closed-form expressions for the effective properties and the second model uses the quasi-static approximation of the aforementioned constitutive equations.

Analysis of electromechanical coupling in soft dielectrics is carried out in [12]. It is shown that the required electric field to produce large deformations in electroactive soft elastomers can be significantly reduced. The finite element models are developed for the magnetoactive elastomers in [13]. In particular, it is demonstrated that the magneto-mechanical coupling of magnetoactive elastomers, when subjected to aligned loading conditions, depends not only on the magnetic susceptibility, but also, strongly, on its derivative with respect to the deformation.

The exact solution for simply supported magneto-electro-elastic laminated plates was obtained in [14]. The three-dimensional discrete-layer model is developed in [15] for the hygro-thermo-piezoelectric laminated plates under the coupled effects of mechanical, electrical, thermal and moisture fields. The hygro-thermo-magneto-electro-mechanical loading of laminated and functionally graded cylinders was investigated in [16]. The analytical solution for hygrothermal stresses in functionally graded piezoelectric material subjected to a constant magnetic field is obtained in [17].

In view of the aforementioned (and many more) practical applications, the main objective of this paper is to develop an accurate mechanical model that can be used to analyze and design magneto-piezoelastic smart structures.

Following this introduction, the basic relations describing magneto-piezoelastic materials are formulated in Section 2, and two magneto-elasto-piezoelectric states are considered in Section 3. The first state represents the solution of the magneto-elasto-piezoelectric problems with finite domains and general loading conditions. The second state represents the fundamental solution in the case of an infinite magneto-elasto-piezoelectric medium subjected to an impulsive point source and an impulsive point charge. The two-dimensional magneto-piezoelastic problem is analyzed in Section 4, and the solutions for problems with loads applied to the boundary are obtained in Section 5. The case of concentrated electric charge applied to magneto-piezoelastic solid with free boundary is solved in Section 6. Obtained results are discussed in Section 7, and finally Section 8 concludes the paper.

2. Basic Equations

Combined action of piezoelectricity, continuum mechanics and magnetism is open for discussion, although the mathematical development for possible applications is feasible for many engineering
problems. As far as the mechanical modeling aspect is concerned, the further mathematically rigorous analysis is definitely required. The object of this study is to develop a rigorous mechanical model to describe the behavior and interrelations of physical phenomenon combining all these three fields.

In the direct piezoelectric effect, the application of an external mechanical loading induces an electrical response in the material. In the converse effect, an applied electrical field makes the material strained. The applied electromagnetic field induces currents in a solid, which in turn give rise to Lorentz body force $J \times B$, where $J$ is induced current, and $B$ is magnetic flux. Lorentz force enters the magneto-piezoelectric equation of motion as an external body force.

Electromagnetic and elastic fields in a piezoelectric medium are fully described by the equations of motion of a continuous medium

$$\sigma_{ij,j} + F_i = \rho \ddot{u}_i \quad (1)$$

combined with Maxwell’s equations

$$\text{curl} \ E = - \frac{\partial B}{\partial t}, \quad \text{div} \ D = q \quad (2)$$

$$\text{curl} \ H = J + \frac{\partial D}{\partial t}, \quad \text{div} \ B = 0 \quad (3)$$

and the constitutive equations

$$B = \mu_e H \quad D = \varepsilon E \quad (4)$$

$$J = \gamma (E + \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} \times B) \quad (5)$$

where $q, \mu_e, \varepsilon$ and $\gamma$ are electric charge density, magnetic permeability, permittivity and conductivity, respectively.

The stresses $\sigma_{ij}$, electric displacement $D = \{D_i\}$ and magnetic flux $B$ are related to the strains $\varepsilon_{ij}$ and the electric and magnetic fields $E = \{E_i\}, H = \{H_i\}$ through the constitutive equations.

Consider a homogeneous magneto-piezoelectric anisotropic solid $\Omega$ with boundary $\Gamma$ subjected to a uniform magnetic field $H$. The equations of motion are given by

$$\text{div} \ \sigma + (J \times B) + f = \rho \ddot{u} \quad (6)$$

where $\sigma = \{\sigma_{ij}\}, u = \{u_i\}, \rho, f = \{f_i\}, i = 1,2,3$, are stresses, elastic displacements, mass density and body force per unit volume, respectively.

The strains $\varepsilon = \{\varepsilon_{ij}\}$ and electric field $E = \{E_i\}$ are related to elastic displacements and electric potential $\phi$ through the equations

$$\varepsilon_{ij} = \frac{1}{2}(u_{i,j} + u_{j,i}), \quad E_i = -\phi_j \quad (7)$$

The constitutive relations for linear piezoelectricity are, see, e.g., [18]:

$$\sigma_{ij} = c_{ijkl} e_{kl} - e_{ili} E_k \quad D_i = e_{ijkl} e_{kl} + \varepsilon_{ik} E_k \quad (8)$$

where $c_{ijkl}, e_{ijkl},$ and $\varepsilon_{ij}$ are the elastic, piezoelectric and dielectric material constants, respectively, satisfying the following symmetry relations:
The combination of Equations (6)–(9) results in a system of four partial differential equations coupling the displacement components and electric potential; namely

\[
\begin{align*}
\sigma_{ijkl} u_{k,j} + e_{ij} \varphi_{,j} + (J \times B)_j + f_i &= \rho \bar{u}_i \\
e_{ik} u_{k,i} - e_{ik} \varphi_{,i} &= q
\end{align*}
\]  
(10)

The admissible boundary conditions are

\[
\begin{align*}
u_i &= \bar{u}_i \quad \text{or} \quad \sigma_{ij} \eta_j = \bar{\tau}_i \quad \varphi = \bar{\varphi} \quad \text{or} \quad D_i \eta_i = -\bar{q}
\end{align*}
\]  
(12)

where \( \bar{u}_i, \bar{\tau}_i, \bar{\varphi}, \) and \( \bar{q} \) denote the specified values.

3. Representation Formulae

Consider two magneto-elasto-piezoelectric states, namely \((u, \sigma, \varphi, D)\) and \((u', \sigma', \varphi', D')\). The first state represents the solution of magneto-elasto-piezoelectric problems with finite domains and general loading conditions. The second state represents the fundamental solution of the case of an infinite magneto-elasto-piezoelectric medium subjected to an impulsive point source and an impulsive point charge. Each state is assumed to satisfy the following governing equations:

**First State**

\[
\begin{align*}
\sigma_{ij} + (J \times B)_j + f_i &= \rho \bar{u}_i \\
D_i &= q
\end{align*}
\]  
(13)

\[
\begin{align*}
\sigma_{ij} = c_{ijkl} u_{k,j} + e_{ij} \varphi_{,j} \\
D_i &= e_{ik} u_{k,i} - e_{ik} \varphi_{,i}
\end{align*}
\]  
(14)

**Second State:**

\[
\begin{align*}
\sigma'_{ij} + (J' \times B')_j + f'_i &= \rho \bar{u}'_i, \\
D'_i &= q'
\end{align*}
\]  
(15)

Let a function \( \Pi_{12} \) be the work done, given by the equation

\[
\Pi_{12} = \int F_i \cdot u_i \, dv + \int f_i \cdot u_i \, dA - \int \varphi \cdot \varphi \, dv + \int D_i \cdot \varphi \, dA
\]  
(16)

where the first two terms represent magneto-mechanical work, and the last two terms represent electric work. Here \( D_n = D \cdot n \).

Similarly, let a second function \( \Pi_{21} \) be the work done, given by the equation

\[
\Pi_{21} = \int F_i \cdot u_i \, dv + \int f_i \cdot u_i \, dA - \int \varphi' \cdot \varphi' \, dv + \int D_i \cdot \varphi' \, dA
\]  
(17)

It can be shown that \( \Pi_{12} = \Pi_{21} \), see [19,20], where

\[
F_i = (J \times B)_i + f_i, \quad F'_i = (J' \times B')_i + f'_i
\]  
(18)

Representation of magneto-elasto-piezoelectricity is now based on two independent loading conditions for the second state, where a unit force and a unit charge are applied at a point \( \xi \) of the magneto-elasto-piezoelectric medium, known as the “source point.”

**Case I:** Let the body force and electric charge density for the second state be given by
where \( e \) is a unit vector along the \( x \)-axis, specifying the direction of the unit force, and
\[
\delta(x) = \delta(x_1)\delta(x_2)\delta(x_3).
\]
Also \( u'(x,t) = 0, \quad \phi(x,t) = 0, \quad \text{if} \quad t < 0
\]

We introduce the following notation for the applied loading given by Equation (19):
\[
u_i(x,t) = U_g(x,\xi,t), \quad \phi_{ij}(x,t) = U_{ig}(x,\xi,t)
\]
\[
t_i(x,t) = T_{ji}(\xi,x,t), \quad D_n(x,t) = T_{jn}(\xi,x,t)
\]
where \( U_g \) and \( U_{ig} \) are Green’s functions representing the displacement (in the \( i \)-direction) and the electric potential, respectively, at the field point \( x \) due to a unit force applied at \( \xi \) in the \( j \)-direction. \( T_{ji} \) and \( T_{jn} \) (derivatives of Green’s functions) represent the traction on the boundary (in the \( i \)-direction) and the normal component of the electric displacement, respectively, at \( x \) when the unit force is applied at \( \xi \).

Case II: Let the body force and electric charge density for the second state be given by
\[
F' = 0, \quad q'(x,t) = -\delta(x-\xi)\delta(t)
\]

Introduce
\[
u'_i(x,t) = U'_{ij}(x,\xi,t), \quad \phi'(x,t) = U_{ij}(x,\xi,t)
\]
\[
t'_i(x,t) = T'_{ij}(\xi,x,t), \quad D'_n(x,t) = T_{jn}(\xi,x,t)
\]
where the variables have the same meaning as described previously with the exception a negative unit charge is applied at the source point.

As \( \Pi_{12} = \Pi_{21} \) for the Case I:

\[
\int F_i \cdot u_i' \, dv + \int t_i \cdot u_i' \, dA - \int q \cdot \phi' \, dv + \int D_n \cdot \phi' \, dA = \int F'_i \cdot u_i' \, dv + \int t_i' \cdot u_i' \, dA - \int q' \cdot \phi' \, dv + \int D_n' \cdot \phi' \, dA
\]

or

\[
\int U_g(x,\xi,t) \cdot F_i(t,x) \, dv + \int U_{ij}(x,\xi,t) \cdot t_i(t,x) \, dA - \int U_{ij}(x,\xi,t) \cdot q(t,x) \, dv + \int U_{ij}(x,\xi,t) \cdot D_n \, dA = \int \delta(x-\xi)\delta(t) \cdot e_i \cdot u_i \, dv + \int T_{ji}(\xi,x,t) \cdot u_i(t,x) \, dA + \int T_{jn}(\xi,x,t) \cdot q(t,x) \, dA
\]

Integrating with respect to \( t \) yields

\[
u_j(\xi,t) = \int_t^\infty T_{ji}(\xi,\xi,t-\tau)u_i(x,\tau) + U_{ij}(x,\xi,t-\tau)D_n(x,\tau) \, d\tau dA - \int_t^\infty T_{ji}(\xi,\xi,t-\tau)u_i(x,\tau) + U_{ij}(x,\xi,t-\tau)\phi(x,\tau) \, d\tau dA + \int_{\tau=0}^t \int_{\tau=0}^\infty U_{ij}(x,\xi,t-\tau)F_i(x,\tau) + U_{ij}(x,\xi,t-\tau)q(x,\tau) \, d\tau dv
\]
Similarly, for Case II:

$$\int_B F_i \cdot u_i \, dv + \int_I t_i \cdot u_i \, dA - \int_B q \cdot \phi \, dv + \int_I D_n \cdot \phi \, dA =$$

$$\int_B F_i \cdot u_i \, dv + \int_I t_i \cdot u_i \, dA - \int_B q \cdot \phi \, dv + \int_I D_n \cdot \phi \, dA \tag{29}$$

$$\int_B F_i \cdot U_{ia}(x, \xi, t) \, dv + \int_I t_i \cdot U_{ia}(x, \xi, t) \, dA - \int_B q \cdot U_{ia}(x, \xi, t) \, dv +$$

$$\int_I D_n \cdot U_{ia}(x, \xi, t) \, dA = \int_I T_{ia}(\xi, x, t) \cdot u_i \, dA + \int_B \delta(x - \xi)\delta(t) \cdot \phi(t) \, dA + \int_I T_{ia}(\xi, x, t) \cdot \phi(x, t) \, dA \tag{30}$$

Integrating with respect to \( t \) yields

$$\varphi(\xi, t) = \int_0^t \int_B [U_{ia}(x, \xi, t - \tau) t_i(x, \tau) + U_{ia}(\xi, x, t - \tau) \cdot D_i(\xi, \tau)] \, dtdA -$$

$$\int_0^t \int_I [T_{ia}(\xi, x, t - \tau) u_i(x, \tau) + T_{ia}(\xi, x, t - \tau) \phi(x, \tau)] \, dtdA +$$

$$\int_0^t \int_B [U_{ia}(x, \xi, t - \tau) F_i(x, \tau) - U_{ia}(x, \xi, t - \tau) q(x, \tau)] \, dtdA \tag{31}$$

4. Two-Dimensional Magneto-Piezoelastic Problem

The constitutive relations for the plane-strain case when \( \varepsilon_{yy}, \varepsilon_{xy}, \varepsilon_{yx} = 0 \) is

$$\sigma_{xx} = c_{11}\varepsilon_{xx} + c_{12}\varepsilon_{xy} - e_{31}E_z, \quad \sigma_{zz} = c_{13}\varepsilon_{xx} + c_{33}\varepsilon_{zz} - e_{33}E_z$$

$$\sigma_{xz} = 2c_{33}\varepsilon_{xz} - e_{15}E_x, \quad D_x = 2e_{15}\varepsilon_{xz} + e_{11}E_x \tag{32}$$

$$D_z = e_{31}\varepsilon_{zx} + e_{33}\varepsilon_{zz} + e_{33}E_z$$

The constitutive equations for the plane-stress case when \( \sigma_{yy}, \sigma_{xy}, \sigma_{yx} = 0 \) are obtained from the above equations by replacing \( c_{11}, c_{13}, c_{33}, e_{11}, e_{33} \) and \( e_{33} \) by \( (c_{11} - c_{12}^2 / c_{11}) \), \( (c_{13} - c_{12}c_{13} / c_{11}) \), \( (c_{33} - c_{13}^2 / c_{11}) \), \( (e_{31} - c_{12}e_{31} / c_{11}) \), \( (e_{33} - c_{13}e_{33} / c_{11}) \), \( (e_{33} + e_{33}^2 / c_{11}) \), respectively. Here, \( c_{11}, c_{13}, c_{33}, c_{44} \) are elastic modulii; \( e_{31}, e_{33} \) and \( e_{15} \) are piezoelectric constants; \( e_{11}, e_{33} \) are dielectric permittivities.

The equations of motion are

$$\frac{\partial \sigma_{xx}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \sigma_{zz}}{\partial z} + f_x + (J \times B)_x = \rho \frac{\partial^2 u_x}{\partial t^2}$$

$$\frac{\partial \sigma_{xz}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \sigma_{zz}}{\partial z} + f_z + (J \times B)_z = \rho \frac{\partial^2 u_z}{\partial t^2} \tag{33}$$

$$\frac{\partial D_x}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial D_z}{\partial z} = q$$

Also

$$E_z = -\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x} \quad E_z = -\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial z} \quad \varepsilon_{xx} = \frac{\partial u_x}{\partial x} \quad \varepsilon_{zz} = \frac{\partial u_z}{\partial z} \quad \varepsilon_{xz} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial u_x}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial u_z}{\partial x} \right) \tag{34}$$

Assume \( H = \{H_x, H_y, H_z\} \), and substitute Equation (34) into the Equation (33), then
\[
c_1 \frac{\partial^2 u_x}{\partial x^2} + c_{44} \frac{\partial^2 u_x}{\partial z^2} + (c_{13} + c_{44}) \frac{\partial^2 u_z}{\partial x \partial z} + (e_{31} + e_{15}) \frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial x \partial z} + f_x = \rho \frac{\partial^2 u_x}{\partial t^2}
\]
\[
c_{44} \frac{\partial^2 u_z}{\partial x^2} + c_{33} \frac{\partial^2 u_z}{\partial z^2} + (c_{13} + c_{44}) \frac{\partial^2 u_x}{\partial x \partial z} + e_{15} \frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial x^2} + e_{33} \frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial z^2} + \mu_e H_i^2 \nabla^2 u_z + f_z = \rho \frac{\partial^2 u_z}{\partial t^2}
\]

(35)

Assume the general solution of the homogeneous equation with

\[ f_x = f_z = q = 0, \quad \frac{\partial^2 u_x}{\partial t^2} = \frac{\partial^2 u_z}{\partial t^2} = 0 \]

\[
u_x = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} i \xi \left( A_1 e^{-\xi |\xi|} - A_2 e^{\xi |\xi|} \right) e^{-i\xi \varphi} d\xi
\]

\[
u_z = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \xi |\xi| \left( B_1 e^{-\xi |\xi|} + B_2 e^{\xi |\xi|} \right) e^{-i\xi \varphi} d\xi
\]

\[ \varphi = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \xi |\xi| \left( C_1 e^{-\xi |\xi|} + C_2 e^{\xi |\xi|} \right) e^{-i\xi \varphi} d\xi
\]

The substitution of Equation (36) into Equation (35) yields

\[ [P](A_1 B_1 C_1)^T = \{0\} \quad [P](A_2 B_2 C_2)^T = \{0\}
\]

(37)

where

\[
[P] = \begin{pmatrix}
c_{13} - c_{44}@^{2} & -(c_{13} + c_{44})@ & -(e_{31} + e_{15})@ \\
-(c_{13} + c_{44})@ & (c_{33}@^{2} - c_{44}@^{2}) + \mu_e H_i^2 (@^{2} - 1) & e_{33}@^{2} - e_{15} \\
-(e_{31} + e_{15})@ & e_{33}@^{2} - e_{15} & e_{11} - e_{33}@^{2}
\end{pmatrix}
\]

(38)

and \( \alpha \) is the root of the characteristic equation

\[ \text{det}[P] = 0, \quad \text{or} \quad \alpha^6 + d_1 \alpha^4 + d_2 \alpha^2 + d_3 = 0
\]

(39)

where \( d_1, d_2 \) and \( d_3 \) are real constants which depend on the magneto-piezoelectric properties of a material. Since \( d_1, d_2 \) and \( d_3 \) are real, the bi-quartic Equation (39) has three pairs of roots \((\pm \alpha_1, \pm \alpha_2, \pm \alpha_3)\), where \( \alpha_1 \) is a positive real number and \( \alpha_2, \alpha_3 \) are either positive real numbers or a pair of complex conjugates with positive real parts \((\alpha_2 = \overline{\alpha}_2, \text{ where } \overline{\alpha}_2 \text{ denotes the complex conjugate of } \alpha_2)\).

Let us take the Fourier transform of the Equation (35) with respect to \( x \), then

\[ (c_{44} \frac{d^2}{dz^2} - c_{13} \xi^2) \tilde{u}_x + (c_{13} + c_{44}) \xi \frac{d^2 \tilde{u}_x}{dz^2} + (e_{31} + e_{15}) \frac{d^2 \tilde{\varphi}}{dz^2} = 0
\]

\[ (c_{13} + c_{44}) \xi \frac{d^2 \tilde{u}_x}{dz^2} + ((c_{33} \frac{d^2}{dz^2} - c_{44} \xi^2) + \mu_e H_i^2 (\frac{d^2}{dz^2} - \xi^2)) \tilde{u}_x + (e_{33} \frac{d^2}{dz^2} - e_{15} \xi^2) \tilde{\varphi} = 0
\]

(40)
\[ (e_{31} + e_{15})i\xi \frac{d\bar{u}_x}{dz} + (e_{33}) \frac{d^2\bar{u}_x}{dz^2} = e_{15}\xi^2 \bar{u}_z + (-e_{33}) \frac{d^2\bar{u}_z}{dz^2} + e_{11}\xi^2 \bar{\varphi} = 0 \]

The general solutions for Fourier transforms of displacements and elastic and electric potential are

\[
\begin{align*}
\bar{u}_x(\xi, z) &= i\xi \sum_{j=1}^{3} \beta_j \left( G_j e^{-a_j^j|\xi|} - H_j e^{a_j^j|\xi|} \right) \\
\bar{u}_z(\xi, z) &= \xi \sum_{j=1}^{3} \eta_j \left( G_j e^{-a_j^j|\xi|} + H_j e^{a_j^j|\xi|} \right) \\
\bar{\varphi}(\xi, z) &= \xi \sum_{j=1}^{3} \delta_j \left( G_j e^{-a_j^j|\xi|} + H_j e^{a_j^j|\xi|} \right)
\end{align*}
\]

where

\[
\begin{align*}
\beta_j &= (c_{11} + c_{44})(e_{33}a_j^2 - e_{15})a_j - \{(c_{33}a_j^2 - c_{44}) + \mu, H_1^2(a_j^2 - 1)\}(e_{31} + e_{15})a_j \\
\eta_j &= (c_{11} - c_{44}a_j^2)(e_{33}a_j^2 - e_{15}) - (c_{33} + c_{44})(e_{31} + e_{15})a_j^2 \\
\delta_j &= -(c_{44}a_j^2 - c_{11})\{(c_{44} - c_{33}a_j^2) - \mu, H_1^2(a_j^2 - 1)\} + (c_{33} + c_{44})^2 a_j^2
\end{align*}
\]

and \( G_j(\xi) \) and \( H_j(\xi) \) (\( j = 1, 2, 3 \)) are obtained from the appropriate boundary and continuity conditions.

The stresses and electric potential in transform space are shown below

\[
\begin{align*}
\bar{\sigma}_{zz} &= \sum_{j=1}^{3} \xi^2 d_{2j} \left( G_j e^{-a_j^j|\xi|} - H_j e^{a_j^j|\xi|} \right),
\bar{\sigma}_{xx} &= \sum_{j=1}^{3} \xi^2 d_{1j} \left( G_j e^{-a_j^j|\xi|} - H_j e^{a_j^j|\xi|} \right) \\
\bar{s}_{zz} &= \sum_{j=1}^{3} i\xi |\xi| d_{3j} \left( G_j e^{-a_j^j|\xi|} + H_j e^{a_j^j|\xi|} \right) \\
\bar{D}_x &= \sum_{j=1}^{3} \xi |\xi| d_{4j} \left( G_j e^{-a_j^j|\xi|} + H_j e^{a_j^j|\xi|} \right),
\bar{D}_z &= \sum_{j=1}^{3} \xi^2 d_{5j} \left( G_j e^{-a_j^j|\xi|} - H_j e^{a_j^j|\xi|} \right)
\end{align*}
\]

where

\[
\begin{align*}
d_{1j} &= c_{1j}\beta_j - c_{3j}\alpha_j \eta_j - e_{3j}\alpha_j\delta_j \\
d_{2j} &= c_{1j}\beta_j - c_{3j}\alpha_j \eta_j - e_{3j}\alpha_j\delta_j \\
d_{3j} &= -c_{4j}\alpha_j \beta_j - c_{4j} \eta_j - e_{15} \delta_j \\
d_{4j} &= -e_{15} \alpha_j \beta_j - e_{15} \eta_j + e_{11} \delta_j \\
d_{5j} &= e_{3j}\beta_j - e_{3j}\alpha_j \eta_j + e_{3j}\alpha_j\delta_j
\end{align*}
\]

The solutions for plane-stress problems are obtained by using the appropriate material parameters as explained above.

5. Solutions for Loads Applied to the Boundary

Assume a magneto-piezoelectric medium subjected to vertical line load and electric charge at the surface, see Figure 1.
Figure 1. Concentrated line load applicable to magneto-piezoelastic medium with free boundary.

The consideration of regularity conditions of field variables as \( z \to \infty \) implies that \( H_j = 0 \). In the first case of a vertical load of magnitude \( P_0 \) per unit length applied to the surface, the boundary conditions are

\[
\sigma_z(x,0) = 0 \quad \sigma_z(x,0) = -P_0 \delta(x) \quad D_z(x,0) = 0
\]

(47)

\[
\overline{\sigma}_{zz}(x,0) = -P_0 \quad \overline{\sigma}_{zz}(x,0) = 0 \quad \overline{D}_z(x,0) = 0
\]

(48)

\[
\xi^2 d_{21} G_1 + \xi^2 d_{23} G_2 + \xi^2 d_{23} G_3 = -P_0
\]

(49)

\[
d_{31} G_1 + d_{32} G_2 + d_{33} G_3 = 0 \quad d_{31} G_1 + d_{32} G_2 + d_{33} G_3 = 0
\]

(50)

Solving

\[
G_1 = \frac{a_1}{\xi^2}, \quad G_2 = \frac{a_2}{\xi^2}, \quad G_3 = \frac{a_3}{\xi^2}
\]

(51)

Here

\[
a_1 = \frac{(d_{15} d_{52} - d_{33} d_{52}) P_0}{\Delta}, \quad a_2 = \frac{(d_{31} d_{51} - d_{33} d_{51}) P_0}{\Delta}
\]

(52)

\[
a_3 = \frac{(d_{31} d_{52} - d_{32} d_{51}) P_0}{\Delta}
\]

(53)

\[
\Delta = d_{31} (d_{52} d_{23} - d_{53} d_{22}) - d_{32} (d_{52} d_{23} - d_{53} d_{21}) + d_{33} (d_{52} d_{32} - d_{53} d_{21})
\]

(54)

\[
\overline{u}_z(\xi, z) = i \xi \left( \beta_1 G_1 e^{-\alpha_1 |\xi|^2} + \beta_2 G_2 e^{-\alpha_2 |\xi|^2} + \beta_3 G_3 e^{-\alpha_3 |\xi|^2} \right)
\]

(55)

\[
\overline{u}_z(\xi, z) = \xi \left( \eta_1 G_1 e^{-\alpha_1 |\xi|^2} + \eta_2 G_2 e^{-\alpha_2 |\xi|^2} + \eta_3 G_3 e^{-\alpha_3 |\xi|^2} \right)
\]

(56)

\[
\overline{\varphi}(\xi, z) = \xi \left( \delta_1 G_1 e^{-\alpha_1 |\xi|^2} + \delta_2 G_2 e^{-\alpha_2 |\xi|^2} + \delta_3 G_3 e^{-\alpha_3 |\xi|^2} \right)
\]

(57)

\[
u_s(x, z) = \text{Real part of} \quad \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{ \xi \left( \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{array} \right) e^{-\alpha_1 |\xi|^2} + \frac{\beta_2 d_2}{\xi^2} e^{-\alpha_2 |\xi|^2} + \frac{\beta_3 d_3}{\xi^2} e^{-\alpha_3 |\xi|^2} } e^{i \xi \delta} d \xi
\]

(58)

where
$$e^{ix} = \cos(\xi x) + i \sin(\xi x) \quad u_3(x, z) = -3 \sum_{j=1}^{3} \beta_j \alpha_j S_j(x, z)$$

$$\alpha_2 = k - il, \quad \alpha_3 = k + il; \quad (k, l > 0)$$

$$u_3(x, z) = -3 \sum_{j=1}^{3} \beta_j \alpha_j S_j(x, z)$$

Here

$$S_3(x, z) = \frac{1}{\pi} \arctan \left( \frac{x}{\alpha_i z} \right)$$

$$N = 2 \frac{1}{\pi} \arctan \left( \frac{2kx}{(l^2 - k^2 - k^2 - z^2)} \right)$$

$$T = \frac{1}{4} \ln \left( \frac{k^2 z^2 + (lz + x)^2}{(k^2 z^2 + (lz - x)^2)} \right)$$

$$\bar{u}_z(\xi, z) = \xi \left( \eta_1 \frac{a_1}{\xi_2} e^{-a_1 \parallel z \parallel^2} + \eta_2 \frac{a_2}{\xi_2} e^{-a_2 \parallel z \parallel^2} + \eta_3 \frac{a_3}{\xi_2} e^{-a_3 \parallel z \parallel^2} \right)$$

where

$$u_3(x, z) = \sum_{j=1}^{3} \eta_j \alpha_j R_j(x, z)$$

$$R_3(x, z) = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \ln(x^2 + \alpha_i^2 z^2)$$

$$R_4 = \frac{L - iM}{\pi}, \quad R_3 = \bar{R}_2$$

$$L = \frac{1}{2} \ln \left( x^2 + 2(k^2 - l^2)x^2 + (k^2 + l^2)^2 z^4 \right)$$

$$M = \frac{1}{2} \arctan \left( \frac{2kx^2}{(k^2 z^2 - l^2 z^2 + x^2)} \right)$$

Note that if $\alpha_3 = \bar{\alpha}_2$, where $\bar{\alpha}_2$ is the complex conjugate of $\alpha_2$, then $S_3$ and $R_3$ are to be found with slight modifications.

$$\bar{\varphi}(x, z) = \bar{\xi} \left( \delta_1 G_1 e^{-a_1 \parallel z \parallel^2} + \delta_2 G_2 e^{-a_2 \parallel z \parallel^2} + \delta_3 G_3 e^{-a_3 \parallel z \parallel^2} \right)$$

$$\varphi(x, z) = \sum_{j=1}^{3} \delta_j \alpha_j R_j(x, z)$$

Now

$$\bar{\sigma}_3(x, z) = \xi^2 \left( d_{11} G_1 e^{-a_1 \parallel z \parallel^2} + d_{12} G_2 e^{-a_2 \parallel z \parallel^2} + d_{13} G_3 e^{-a_3 \parallel z \parallel^2} \right)$$

$$\sigma_3(x, z) = \sum_{j=1}^{3} d_{ij} \alpha_j R_j(x, z)$$
Here
\[ R_1^*(x, z) = \frac{\alpha_1 z}{\pi(x^2 + \alpha_1^2 z^2)} \quad R_j^*(x, z) = \frac{L - iM}{\pi}, \quad R_j^* = \tilde{R}_j^* \] (73)

\[ L' = \frac{kz(k^2 z^2 + l^2 z^2 + x^2)}{(k^2 z^2 + (lz + x)^2)(k^2 z^2 + (lz - x)^2)} \] (74)

\[ M^* = \frac{iz(k^2 z^2 + l^2 z^2 - x^2)}{(k^2 z^2 + (lz + x)^2)(k^2 z^2 + (lz - x)^2)} \] (75)

\[ \bar{\sigma}_{zz}(\xi, z) = \xi^2 \left( d_{21} G_1 e^{-a_1|\xi|z} + d_{22} G_2 e^{-a_2|\xi|z} + d_{23} G_3 e^{-a_3|\xi|z} \right) \] (76)

\[ \sigma_{zz}(x, z) = \sum_{j=1}^{3} d_{jz} a_j S_j^*(x, z) \] (77)

where \( R_1^*(x, z) \), etc. are defined above

\[ \bar{\sigma}_{zz}(\xi, z) = i\xi \left| \xi \right| \left( d_{31} G_1 e^{-a_1|\xi|z} + d_{32} G_2 e^{-a_2|\xi|z} + d_{33} G_3 e^{-a_3|\xi|z} \right) \] (78)

\[ \sigma_{zz}(x, z) = -\sum_{j=1}^{3} d_{jz} a_j S_j^*(x, z) \] (79)

Here
\[ S_1^*(x, z) = \frac{x}{\pi(x^2 + \alpha_1^2 z^2)} \quad S_j^*(x, z) = \frac{N^* - iT^*}{\pi} \] (80)

\[ N^* = \frac{x(k^2 z^2 - l^2 z^2 + x^2)}{(k^2 z^2 + (lz + x)^2)(k^2 z^2 + (lz - x)^2)} \] (81)

\[ T^* = \frac{2lkz^2x}{(k^2 z^2 + (lz + x)^2)(k^2 z^2 + (lz - x)^2)} \] (82)

\[ \bar{D}_z(\xi, z) = i\xi \left| \xi \right| \left( d_{41} G_1 e^{-a_1|\xi|z} + d_{42} G_2 e^{-a_2|\xi|z} + d_{43} G_3 e^{-a_3|\xi|z} \right) \] (83)

\[ D_z(x, z) = -\sum_{j=1}^{3} d_{jz} a_j S_j^*(x, z) \] (84)

\[ \bar{D}_z(\xi, z) = \xi^2 \left( d_{51} G_1 e^{-a_1|\xi|z} + d_{52} G_2 e^{-a_2|\xi|z} + d_{53} G_3 e^{-a_3|\xi|z} \right) \] (85)

\[ D_z(x, z) = \sum_{j=1}^{3} d_{jz} a_j R_j^*(x, z) \] (86)
6. Concentrated Electric Charge Applied with the Free Boundary

Assume the following boundary conditions, see Figure 2:

![Figure 2. Concentrated electric charge applicable to magneto-piezoelectric medium with free boundary.](image)

\[ \sigma_{zz}(x, 0) = 0 \quad \sigma_{zz}(x, 0) = 0 \quad D_z(x, 0) = Q_0 \delta(x) \]  

Using these boundary conditions Equation (89) in the transformed space, we get

\[ \xi^2 (G_1 d_{23} + G_2 d_{22} + G_3 d_{21}) = 0 \]  
\[ \xi^2 (G_1 d_{33} + G_2 d_{32} + G_3 d_{31}) = 0 \]  
\[ \xi^2 (G_1 d_{53} + G_2 d_{52} + G_3 d_{51}) = Q_0. \]  

Solving these equations results in the following solutions:

\[ G_1 = \frac{b_1}{\xi^2}, \quad G_2 = \frac{b_2}{\xi^2}, \quad G_3 = \frac{b_3}{\xi^2} \]  

where

\[ b_1 = -\frac{(d_{33}d_{22} - d_{32}d_{23})}{\Delta} Q_0, \quad b_2 = -\frac{(d_{31}d_{23} - d_{33}d_{21})}{\Delta} Q_0 \]  
\[ b_3 = -\frac{(d_{52}d_{21} - d_{51}d_{22})}{\Delta} Q_0 \]  

and \( \Delta \) is given by Equation (54).

The complete solution is given by the following expressions:

\[ u_x(x, z) = -\sum_{j=1}^{3} b_j S_j(x, z), \quad u_z(x, z) = \sum_{j=1}^{3} \eta_j b_j R_j(x, z) \]
\[ \varphi(x, z) = \sum_{j=1}^{3} \delta_{j} b_{j}(x, z), \quad \sigma_{\alpha}(x, z) = \sum_{j=1}^{3} d_{j} b_{j} R_{j}(x, z) \]  

(97)

\[ \sigma_{zz}(x, z) = \sum_{j=1}^{3} d_{j} b_{j} R_{j}^{*}(x, z), \quad \sigma_{\alpha\alpha}(x, z) = -\sum_{j=1}^{3} d_{j} b_{j} S_{j}^{*}(x, z) \]  

(98)

\[ D_{x}(x, z) = -\sum_{j=1}^{3} d_{j} b_{j} S_{j}(x, z), \quad D_{z}(x, z) = \sum_{j=1}^{3} d_{j} b_{j} R_{j}(x, z) \]  

(99)

\[ E_{x}(x, z) = -\sum_{j=1}^{3} \delta_{j} b_{j} S_{j}^{*}(x, z), \quad E_{z}(x, z) = -\sum_{j=1}^{3} \alpha \delta_{j} b_{j} R_{j}^{*}(x, z) \]  

(100)

7. Results and Discussion

Table 1 presents the material properties of the three piezoelectric materials as well as the values of permeability and magnetic flux.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material property</th>
<th>BaTiO$_3$</th>
<th>PZT-4</th>
<th>PZT-6B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$c_{11}(10^{10} \text{N/m}^2)$</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>16.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$c_{33}(10^{10} \text{N/m}^2)$</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>16.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$c_{12}(10^{10} \text{N/m}^2)$</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>7.78</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$c_{13}(10^{10} \text{N/m}^2)$</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>7.43</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$c_{44}(10^{10} \text{N/m}^2)$</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>2.56</td>
<td>2.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$e_{15}(\text{C/m}^2)$</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$e_{31}(\text{C/m}^2)$</td>
<td>−4.35</td>
<td>−5.2</td>
<td>−0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$e_{33}(\text{C/m}^2)$</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>15.1</td>
<td>7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$e_{11}(10^{-9} \text{F/m})$</td>
<td>9.87</td>
<td>6.45</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$e_{33}(10^{-9} \text{F/m})$</td>
<td>11.15</td>
<td>5.62</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[ \mu_v = 0.0069115 \frac{H}{m} \quad \text{for all materials.} \]

\[ H_i = 10^9 \frac{A}{m} \]

The roots $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3$, corresponding to these three materials are presented in Table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Root</th>
<th>BaTiO$_3$</th>
<th>PZT-4</th>
<th>PZT-6B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\alpha_1$</td>
<td>(0.9596655583, 0)</td>
<td>(1.262398936, 0)</td>
<td>(0.5546941517, 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\alpha_2$</td>
<td>(1.026584747, −0.2190857624)</td>
<td>(1.071962039, 0.165585358)</td>
<td>(1.014328206, 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\alpha_3$</td>
<td>(1.026584747, 0.2190857624)</td>
<td>(1.071962039, −0.165585358)</td>
<td>(2.115922225, 0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figures 3–6 show the variation of $\sigma_{zz}$ and $E_z$ along the vertical axis of a magneto-piezoelectric solid which is subjected to a vertical line load of intensity 1.0 $\text{Nm}^{-1}$ and an electric charge of intensity 1.0 $\text{Cm}^{-1}$ at the top surface, as shown in Figures 1 and 2.
The vertical stress and the vertical electric field along the \( z \)-axis are nearly identical for all the three materials. Both \( \sigma_{zz} \) and \( E_z \) decay rapidly with the vertical distance.

The solution presented due to an electric charge of 1.0 \( Cm^{-1} \) shows substantial difference for PZT-6B, which shows the largest magnitude for \( \sigma_{zz} \) and \( E_z \), followed by PZT-4 and BaTiO\(_3\). These results indicate that relatively large stresses are generated in PZT-6B compared with the other materials. The decay of the vertical stress and electric field with the depth is very rapid, as in the case of a vertical load.

**Figure 3.** Vertical stress in different magneto-piezoelectric solids due to a concentrated vertical line load of intensity 1.0 \( Nm^{-1} \).

**Figure 4.** Electric Field in different magneto-piezoelectric solids due to a vertical line load of intensity 1.0 \( Nm^{-1} \).

**Figure 5.** Vertical stress in different magneto-piezoelectric solids due to a line electric charge of intensity 1.0 \( Cm^{-1} \) applied to the surface.
8. Conclusions

The basic modeling aspects of the magneto-piezoelastic anisotropic materials are developed in the present paper. Assumption of generally anisotropic properties of the materials under study is important from the practical point of view, and it renders the pertinent analysis significantly more complicated than in the simpler case of isotropic materials. Analytical modeling of these materials is essential for the design and application in smart composite structures incorporating them as actuating and sensing constituents.

The exact analytical solution is obtained for the anisotropic magneto-piezoelastic material using Green’s function method, boundary integral technique and Betti’s reciprocal theorem. The closed-form analytical solutions are derived for a number of boundary conditions for all components of the magneto-piezoelectric field. This includes the cases of the magneto-piezoelastic material subjected to vertical line load and electric charge at the surface; and the concentrated electric charge applied to the magneto-piezoelastic material with a free boundary.

As an application, a two-dimensional static plane-strain problem is dealt with to investigate the effect of magnetic field on piezoelectric material.

The analytical derivation is for the time-dependent problems. The numerical results are presented in particular case of static formulation for the two-dimensional magneto-electroelastic field of a piezoelectric solid subjected to a concentrated line load and an electric charge. Three different piezoelectric materials (BaTiO$_3$, PZT-4 and PZT-6B) are analyzed.

The obtained numerical solutions indicate a substantial dependence of the vertical stress and the electric field due to an electric charge on the constitutive properties as well as on the magnetic flux.

The solution due to an electric charge demonstrates substantial difference for PZT-6B, which shows the largest magnitude for $\sigma_z$ and $E_z$, followed by PZT-4 and BaTiO$_3$. These results indicate that relatively large stresses are generated in PZT-6B compared with the other materials. The decay of the vertical stress and electric field with the depth is very rapid, as in the case of a vertical load.
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\[ \sigma = \{\sigma_{ij}\}, \ i, j = 1, 2, 3, \]  
\[ \varepsilon = \{\varepsilon_{ij}\} \]  
\[ \mathbf{u} = \{u_i\} \]  
\[ \rho \]  
\[ \mathbf{f} = \{f_i\} \]  
\[ \mathbf{E} = \{E_i\} \]  
\[ \mathbf{D} = \{D_i\} \]  
\[ \mathbf{H} = \{H_i\} \]  
\[ \mathbf{B} = \{B_i\} \]  
\[ \mathbf{J} = \{J_i\} \]  
\[ \varphi \]  
\[ \varepsilon_{ijkl}, \ i, j, k, l = 1, 2, 3 \]  
\[ \varepsilon_{ijk} \]  
\[ \varepsilon_{ij} \]  
\[ q \]  
\[ \mu_r \]  
\[ \varepsilon \]  
\[ \gamma \]  

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References


© 2015 by the authors; licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).