

Article

Is Preptin a New Bone Metabolism Parameter in Hemodialysis Patients?

Małgorzata Kałużna ¹, Krzysztof Pawlaczyk ^{2,*}, Krzysztof Schwermer ², Krzysztof Hoppe ²,
Aisha Yusuf Ibrahim ², Magdalena Czlapka-Matyasik ³, Elżbieta Wrotkowska ¹, Katarzyna Ziemnicka ¹,
Andrzej Oko ² and Marek Ruchała ¹

¹ Department of Endocrinology, Metabolism and Internal Diseases, Poznan University of Medical Sciences, 60-355 Poznań, Poland; mkaluzna@ump.edu.pl (M.K.); wrotkowska.elzbieta@spsk2.pl (E.W.); kaziem@ump.edu.pl (K.Z.); mruchala@ump.edu.pl (M.R.)

² Department of Nephrology, Transplantology and Internal Diseases, Poznan University of Medical Sciences, 60-355 Poznań, Poland; kschwermer@ump.edu.pl (K.S.); khoppe@ump.edu.pl (K.H.); aishayusufibrahim@gmail.com (A.Y.I.); aoko@ump.edu.pl (A.O.)

³ Department of Human Nutrition and Dietetics, Poznan University of Life Sciences, 60-624 Poznań, Poland; magdalena.matyasik@up.poznan.pl

* Correspondence: kpawlac@ump.edu.pl; Tel.: +48-61-869-1610

Abstract: Background: Preptin is a bone-anabolic pancreatic peptide hormone. Its role in bone metabolism has been studied in rats and in patients with diabetes, but its levels and significance in bone metabolism in hemodialyzed (HD) patients is unknown. Methods: The relationships between preptin and anthropometric and biochemical parameters related to bone metabolism were studied in 73 patients on chronic hemodialysis (48 males, 25 females; mean age of 57 years; HD vintage of 69.7 months). Of these subjects, 36 patients had diabetes or impaired glucose tolerance (DM/IGT), and 37 patients had normal glucose tolerance (NGT). Dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry of the femoral neck and lumbar spine were also performed. Results: No differences were observed in preptin levels between DM/IGT and NGT HD patients. Preptin was positively correlated with HD vintage ($r = 0.312, p = 0.007$). Negative correlations between preptin and bone mineral density (BMD), T-score, and Z-score in the lumbar spine (L2-L4) were observed ($r = -0.319, p = 0.009$; $r = -0.341, p = 0.005$; $r = -0.375, p = 0.002$). Preptin was positively correlated with parathormone (PTH) levels ($r = 0.379, p < 0.001$) and osteocalcin levels ($r = 0.262, p = 0.027$). Conclusions: The results indicate that preptin may reflect on bone and mineral metabolism disturbances seen in HD patients. The significant correlation of preptin with PTH and osteocalcin suggests that preptin may be important in indirect measurement of bone turnover in HD patients.

Keywords: preptin; hemodialysis (HD); end-stage renal disease (ESRD); osteocalcin; dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DEXA); chronic kidney disease (CKD); hyperparathyroidism; bone marker



Citation: Kałużna, M.; Pawlaczyk, K.; Schwermer, K.; Hoppe, K.; Yusuf Ibrahim, A.; Czlapka-Matyasik, M.; Wrotkowska, E.; Ziemnicka, K.; Oko, A.; Ruchała, M. Is Preptin a New Bone Metabolism Parameter in Hemodialysis Patients? *Life* **2021**, *11*, 341. <https://doi.org/10.3390/life11040341>

Academic Editors: Emilio Nardi and Giuseppe Mule

Received: 10 February 2021

Accepted: 4 April 2021

Published: 12 April 2021

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2021 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

1. Introduction

End-stage renal disease (ESRD) patients present with specific bone and mineral metabolism disturbances. Chronic kidney disease-associated mineral and bone disorder accounts for increased morbidity and mortality in those patients. Biochemical markers known thus far do not effectively predict the complex bone changes that are observed in ESRD patients. Diabetes mellitus (DM) itself, the most common cause of ESRD, alters bone metabolism and remodeling [1,2]. Decreased bone mass and lower mineral qualities are usually a combined complication of both DM and ESRD [1,3,4].

Preptin is a pancreatic hormone identified in 2001 by Buchanan et al., which is derived from proIGF-II and co-secreted with insulin. Comprised of 34 amino acids, this bone-anabolic peptide is considered to be an amplifier of glucose-dependent insulin secretion [5]. Plasma preptin levels were found to be higher in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus

(T2DM) [6]. Previous studies showed that preptin could alleviate insulin resistance, as its secretion is associated with increased release of insulin by pancreatic β -cells [3–5].

Bone metabolism is systemically regulated by the endocrine system. Recently identified preptin is responsible for the regulation of various human metabolic processes, including bone metabolism. Preptin is believed to be osteogenic *in vitro* and *in vivo* [7–9]. Studies conducted on animals and human diabetic patients showed that preptin is a bone anabolic hormone [9]. It is involved in bone anabolism in a hyperinsulinemic state [9]. Preptin induces phosphorylation of p42/p44 MAP kinase in osteoblasts and reduces osteoblasts apoptosis, a dose-dependent effect [9]. In mice, preptin enhanced bone area and mineralizing surface [9]. Bosetti et al. observed a modest effect of preptin on human osteoblast activity and differentiation [10]. Serum preptin concentrations were correlated with bone density after adjusting for age and BMI in humans [11]. Furthermore, preptin levels are decreased in osteoporosis and osteopenia in elderly men [11]. To sum up, preptin seems to have stimulating effect on osteoblasts, regulating their proliferation, differentiation, and survival. However, it remains unknown if blood concentrations of preptin are altered in patients with renal failure and on hemodialysis (HD).

The aim of this study is to determine whether preptin could serve as a new bone metabolic parameter in diabetic and non-diabetic hemodialysis patients. Additionally, we aimed to evaluate the relationship between this peptide, body composition, and overhydration in HD patients.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Patients and Methods

The study group consisted of 73 patients treated with maintenance hemodialysis (48 males and 25 females) with an average age of 57 ± 14.5 years and a mean duration of dialysis treatment of 69.7 ± 67.5 months. Of the participants, 36 patients had diabetes or impaired glucose tolerance (DM/IGT), and 37 patients had normal glucose tolerance (NGT). DM/IGT and NGT patients were matched for sex and age. Serum preptin and its relationship to other markers of bone and mineral metabolism were studied in all patients.

All blood samples were collected shortly before the midweek HD session and immediately centrifuged, separated, and frozen at -80 °C. Serum preptin (ng/L) was measured with enzyme immunoassay kits (Sunred Biological Technology Company, Shanghai, China). The sensitivity of the assay was 5.125 ng/L, with the intra-assay coefficient variation of <10%.

Serum glucose (mg/dL) was measured by the hexokinase method. Insulin concentration (ng/mL) was assessed with the DRG Insulin Elisa Kit (analytical sensitivity of 1.76 uIU/mL). Insulin sensitivity was determined by an insulin resistance index (HOMA-IR). HOMA-IR was calculated with the formula: fasting insulin (μ U/L) \times fasting glucose (mg/dL)/405 [12]. Patients with previously diagnosed DM or HOMA-IR > 3 were included into the DM/IGT group. Parathyroid hormone (PTH, pg/mL) was measured with the ElectroChemiLuminescence Immunoassay (ECLIA, Roche Diagnostics International).

Bone mineral density (BMD) was evaluated by dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DEXA) of the femoral neck and lumbar spine (Lunar Prodigy Primo, GE Healthcare). Body composition was assessed by bioimpedance spectroscopy (Body Composition Monitor, Fresenius GmbH, Bad Homburg, Germany). All measurements were conducted prior to the midweek HD session.

2.2. Statistical Analysis

Quantitative variables were expressed as medians and interquartile ranges (IQRs). The Shapiro–Wilk test was used to determine whether the data followed a normal distribution. The Mann–Whitney test was performed to identify significant differences between groups. The associations between preptin and other variables were analyzed using Spearman’s correlation coefficient. Statistical analysis was carried out using the StatSoft, Inc. STATISTICA 12; *p*-values < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

2.3. Ethical Standards

All conducted procedures were in accordance with the ethical standards of the responsible committee on human experimentation (institutional and national) and with the Helsinki Declaration of 1975, as revised in 2000. Informed consent has been obtained from all subjects. The study was approved by the Board of Bioethics of Poznan University of Medical Science (587/14; 857/14).

3. Results

3.1. Patient Characteristics

The clinical characteristics of the subjects are shown in Table 1. In comparison to normal lab values, marked elevations in serum creatinine, osteocalcin, PTH, and phosphates were observed in HD patients (Table 1). No significant difference was observed between the age and HD vintage in DM/IGT and NGT patients ($p > 0.05$). The preptin levels showed high variability among studied patients, 1110.6 ng/L \pm 1747 (median: 550 ng/L).

Table 1. Baseline characteristic of HD patients.

| Parameter | Median (IQR) | Normal Range |
|---------------------|-----------------|--------------------------------------|
| Creatinine (mg/dL) | 8.35 (3.84) | females: 0.50–0.90; males: 0.70–1.20 |
| Phosphorus (mg/dL) | 5.29 (2.34) | 2.70–4.50 |
| ALP (U/L) | 92.00 (56) | 35.00–105.00 |
| PTH (pg/mL) | 227.20 (254.70) | 15.00–65.00 |
| Osteocalcin (ng/mL) | 212.00 (161.00) | 24.00–70.00 |
| Preptin (ng/L) | 550.00 (917.00) | Not available |

ALP—alkaline phosphatase; PTH—parathyroid hormone.

3.2. NGT and DM-IGT Patients

BMD, T-scores, and Z-scores among NGT and DM/IGT patients were compared. The L2–L4, femoral neck, Ward's triangle, and total hip BMD, T-score, and Z-score did not statistically differ between these two groups. Furthermore, comparison between NGT and DM/IGT patient groups on HD (Table 2) yielded no notable differences in preptin levels—595 \pm 788 ng/L (median: 907.41 ng/L) vs. 512 \pm 1030.5 ng/L (median: 1319.44 ng/L), $p = 0.982$. No correlation was found between preptin, glucose, insulin, or HOMA-IR ($p > 0.05$).

Table 2. Baseline and densitometry results in diabetic and non-diabetic HD patients.

| Parameter | DM/IGT | NGT | <i>p</i> -Value |
|--------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Age | 60.50 (25.00) | 55.00 (19.00) | 0.608 |
| BMI (kg/m ²) | 27.10 (4.90) | 23.30 (5.50) | 0.007 |
| HD vintage (months) | 45.61 (57.88) | 50.63 (65.60) | 0.466 |
| Creatinine (mg/dL) | 7.835 (4.44) | 8.38 (3.50) | 0.180 |
| Phosphorus (mg/dL) | 5.17 (2.40) | 5.97 (2.15) | 0.097 |
| ALP (U/L) | 91.p00 (49.00) | 92.00 (73.00) | 0.991 |
| PTH (pg/mL) | 225.40 (285.95) | 233.00 (186.10) | 0.635 |
| Osteocalcin (ng/mL) | 191.00 (182.00) | 223.50 (127.00) | 0.03 |
| Glucose (mg/dL) | 94.00 (24.00) | 81.00 (17.00) | 0.000003 |
| Insulin (μ U/mL) | 18.95 (12.60) | 9.00 (6.00) | <0.000001 |
| HOMA-IR | 4.42 (2.965) | 1.82 (1.25) | <0.000001 |
| Preptin (ng/L) | 512 (1030.50) | 595.00 (788.00) | 0.982 |

Table 2. Cont.

| Parameter | DM/IGT | NGT | p-Value |
|---------------------------------------|--------------|--------------|---------|
| BMD neck (g/cm ²) | 0.79 (0.126) | 0.84 (0.180) | 0.704 |
| T-score neck | −1.8 (1.0) | −1.70 (1.4) | 0.623 |
| Z-score neck | −0.75 (1.10) | −0.72(0.9) | 0.876 |
| Ward's BMD (g/cm ²) | 0.65 (0.214) | 0.68 (0.170) | 0.789 |
| Ward's triangle T-score | −2.1 (1.6) | −2.0 (1.2) | 0.818 |
| Ward's triangle Z-score | −0.65 (1.70) | −0.7 (1.1) | 0.562 |
| Total hip BMD (g/cm ²) | 0.83 (0.199) | 0.84 (0.171) | 0.818 |
| Total hip T-score | −1.55 (1.70) | −1.7 (1.1) | 0.818 |
| Total hip Z-score | −0.8 (1.6) | −1.0 (1.0) | 0.545 |
| BMD L2–L4 (g/cm ²) | 1.14 (0.293) | 1.13 (0.258) | 0.616 |
| T-score L2–L4 | −0.8 (2.3) | −0.95 (2.05) | 0.438 |
| Z-score L2–L4 | −0.1 (2.5) | −0.55 (2.20) | 0.485 |

ALP—alkaline phosphatase; BMD—bone mineral density; DM/IGT—patients with diabetes/impaired glucose tolerance; HOMA-IR—insulin resistance index; IQR—interquartile range; L2–L4—second, third, and fourth lumbar vertebrae; NGT—normal glucose tolerance patients. Data are expressed as the median (interquartile range).

3.3. Association Between Preptin and Anthropometric or HD Parameters

A positive correlation was found between HD vintage and preptin levels in the entire cohort ($r = 0.312$, $p = 0.007$) and in DM/IGT patients ($r = 0.342$, $p = 0.041$). There was no correlation between preptin and anthropometric measurements (body mass, height, BMI, waist circumference), age, and body composition measurements assessed by BCM, Fresenius (including lean body mass, fat body mass, overhydration, and extracellular or intracellular water), and parameters of glucose metabolism ($p > 0.05$) (Table 3).

Table 3. Correlation analysis between preptin levels and anthropometric or laboratory parameters in all studied HD patients, as well as in NGT and DM/IGT subgroups.

| Parameter | Preptin (ng/L) | | |
|--------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| | All Patients | NGT | DM/IGT |
| Age | $r = -0.199$, $p = 0.089$ | $r = -0.173$, $p = 0.304$ | $r = -0.214$, $p = 0.209$ |
| Weight (kg) | $r = 0.028$, $p = 0.811$ | $r = -0.023$, $p = 0.888$ | $r = 0.060$, $p = 0.726$ |
| BMI (kg/m ²) | $r = 0.037$, $p = 0.754$ | $r = -0.026$, $p = 0.879$ | $r = 0.113$, $p = 0.509$ |
| HD vintage (months) | $r = 0.312$, $p = 0.007$ | $r = 0.278$, $p = 0.096$ | $r = 0.342$, $p = 0.041$ |
| Lean body mass (LBM) (g) | $r = -0.020$, $p = 0.856$ | $r = 0.026$, $p = 0.891$ | $r = -0.260$, $p = 0.189$ |
| Fat body mass (FBM) (g) | $r = 0.066$, $p = 0.550$ | $r = 0.111$, $p = 0.556$ | $r = 0.077$, $p = 0.700$ |
| OH (l) | $r = -0.160$, $p = 0.141$ | $r = -0.123$, $p = 0.510$ | $r = -0.146$, $p = 0.475$ |
| ECW (l) | $r = -0.073$, $p = 0.501$ | $r = -0.107$, $p = 0.567$ | $r = -0.265$, $p = 0.181$ |
| ICW (l) | $r = 0.020$, $p = 0.853$ | $r = 0.169$, $p = 0.365$ | $r = -0.248$, $p = 0.212$ |
| ECW/ICW | $r = 0.142$, $p = 0.191$ | $r = -0.116$, $p = 0.536$ | $r = -0.088$, $p = 0.662$ |
| Creatinine (mg/dL) | $r = 0.081$, $p = 0.496$ | $r = -0.090$, $p = 0.595$ | $r = 0.236$, $p = 0.167$ |
| Phosphorus (mg/dL) | $r = -0.166$, $p = 0.159$ | $r = -0.291$, $p = 0.080$ | $r = -0.113$, $p = 0.511$ |

Table 3. Cont.

| Parameter | Preptin (ng/L) | | |
|-----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| | All Patients | NGT | DM/IGT |
| ALP (U/L) | $r = 0.162, p = 0.172$ | $r = 0.069, p = 0.685$ | $r = 0.257, p = 0.130$ |
| PTH (pg/mL) | $r = 0.379, p < 0.001$ | $r = 0.361, p = 0.028$ | $r = 0.428, p = 0.009$ |
| Osteocalcin (ng/mL) | $r = 0.262, p = 0.027$ | $r = 0.163, p = 0.343$ | $r = 0.347, p = 0.027$ |
| Glucose (mg/dL) | $r = -0.042, p = 0.726$ | $r = 0.036, p = 0.834$ | $r = -0.076, p = 0.655$ |
| Insulin (μ U/mL) | $r = -0.024, p = 0.840$ | $r = -0.160, p = 0.346$ | $r = 0.097, p = 0.570$ |
| HOMA-IR | $r = -0.042, p = 0.727$ | $r = -0.127, p = 0.454$ | $r = 0.029, p = 0.864$ |
| C-peptide | $r = 0.179, p = 0.130$ | $r = 0.085, p = 0.615$ | $r = 0.265, p = 0.118$ |

ALP—alkaline phosphatase; BMI—body mass index; ECW—extracellular water; HOMA-IR—insulin resistance index; ICW—intracellular water; OH—overhydration; PTH—parathyroid hormone.

3.4. Association Between Preptin and DEXA Results

Negative correlations between preptin and BMD, T-score, and Z-score in the lumbar spine (L2–L4) were observed in the entire cohort ($r = -0.319, p = 0.010$; $r = -0.327, p = 0.008$; $r = -0.362, p = 0.003$, respectively) and in DM/IGT patients ($r = -0.423, p = 0.014$; $r = -0.411, p = 0.018$; $r = -0.453, p = 0.008$, respectively). Furthermore, inverse correlations were found between preptin and Z-score in the femoral neck, Ward's triangle Z-score, and total hip Z-score in the whole sample ($r = -0.241, p = 0.049$; $r = -0.297, p = 0.015$; $r = -0.259, p = 0.034$, respectively) and in the DM/IGT group ($r = -0.505, p = 0.002$; $r = -0.388, p = 0.023$; $r = -0.506, p = 0.002$, respectively) (Table 4).

Table 4. Correlation analysis between preptin levels and densitometry results in all studied HD patients.

| Parameter | Preptin (ng/L) | | |
|---|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| | Entire Cohort | NGT | DM/IGT |
| L2–L4 BMD (g/cm^2) | $r = -0.319, p = 0.010$ | $r = -0.201, p = 0.268$ | $r = -0.423, p = 0.014$ |
| L2–L4 T-score | $r = -0.327, p = 0.008$ | $r = -0.234, p = 0.197$ | $r = -0.411, p = 0.018$ |
| L2–L4 Z-score | $r = -0.362, p = 0.003$ | $r = -0.284, p = 0.115$ | $r = -0.453, p = 0.008$ |
| Femoral neck BMD (g/cm^2) | $r = -0.106, p = 0.395$ | $r = 0.073, p = 0.685$ | $r = -0.224, p = 0.202$ |
| Femoral neck T-score | $r = -0.109, p = 0.378$ | $r = 0.151, p = 0.402$ | $r = -0.310, p = 0.074$ |
| Femoral neck Z-score | $r = -0.241, p = 0.049$ | $r = 0.093, p = 0.606$ | $r = -0.499, p = 0.003$ |
| Ward's BMD (g/cm^2) | $r = -0.126, p = 0.311$ | $r = 0.026, p = 0.885$ | $r = -0.229, p = 0.193$ |
| Ward's triangle T-score | $r = -0.123, p = 0.319$ | $r = 0.112, p = 0.536$ | $r = -0.304, p = 0.080$ |
| Ward's triangle Z-score | $r = -0.297, p = 0.015$ | $r = -0.001, p = 0.996$ | $r = -0.505, p = 0.002$ |
| Total hip BMD (g/cm^2) | $r = -0.188, p = 0.128$ | $r = 0.027, p = 0.883$ | $r = -0.331, p = 0.056$ |
| Total hip T-score | $r = -0.199, p = 0.107$ | $r = 0.022, p = 0.904$ | $r = -0.388, p = 0.023$ |
| Total hip Z-score | $r = -0.259, p = 0.034$ | $r = 0.062, p = 0.729$ | $r = -0.506, p = 0.002$ |

BMD—bone mineral density.

3.5. Association Between Preptin and Bone and Mineral Metabolism Parameters

Preptin was correlated with PTH ($r = 0.379, p < 0.001$) and osteocalcin levels ($r = 0.262, p = 0.027$) (Table 3). Patients were divided into two groups based on the PTH levels: >200 pg/mL (42 patients) and <200 pg/mL (31 patients). Patients with PTH lev-

els > 200 pg/mL were considered to suffer from clinically significant secondary hyperparathyroidism [13–15]. When divided into two groups, the median concentrations of preptin were markedly elevated in patients with PTH > 200 pg/mL—1439.5 ng/L \pm 2186.75 (median: 695.5) respectively—as compared to the patients without secondary hyperparathyroidism—665 ng/L \pm 658 (median: 452 ng/L), respectively ($p < 0.05$). Patients with PTH levels > 200 pg/mL had higher levels of osteocalcin and alkaline phosphatase (Table 5).

Table 5. Comparison of patients divided on the basis of PTH level.

| Parameter | Patients with PTH > 200 pg/mL (n = 42) | Patients with PTH < 200 pg/mL (n = 31) | p-Value |
|--|--|--|-------------|
| Preptin (ng/L) | 695.5 (1184) | 452 (579) | $p = 0.009$ |
| Creatinine (mg/dL) | 8.42 (4.32) | 8.06 (3.32) | $p = 0.502$ |
| Calcium (mg/dL) | 9.1 (0.7) | 9.1 (0.8) | $p = 0.727$ |
| Phosphorus (mg/dL) | 5.26 (2.34) | 5.6 (2.58) | $p = 0.509$ |
| Osteocalcin (ng/mL) | 230 (96) | 137 (178) | $p = 0.001$ |
| ALP (U/L) | 103 (64) | 75 (32) | $p = 0.004$ |
| L2–L4 BMD (g/cm ²) | 1.11 (0.27) | 1.21 (0.39) | $p = 0.423$ |
| L2–L4 T-score | −1.0 (1.9) | −0.3 (3.0) | $p = 0.317$ |
| L2–L4 Z-score | −0.7 (2.1) | 0.1 (3.7) | $p = 0.286$ |
| Total hip BMD (g/cm ²) | 0.82 (0.15) | 0.89 (0.38) | $p = 0.271$ |
| Total hip T-score | −1.8 (1.1) | −1.1 (2.2) | $p = 0.083$ |
| Total hip Z-score | −1.2 (0.95) | −0.6 (1.4) | $p = 0.021$ |
| Neck BMD (g/cm ²) | 0.78 (0.16) | 0.84 (0.32) | $p = 0.260$ |
| Neck T-score | −2.0 (1.2) | −1.6 (2.1) | $p = 0.106$ |
| Neck Z-score | −0.85 (1.25) | −0.5 (0.9) | $p = 0.013$ |
| Ward’s triangle BMD (g/cm ²) | 0.65 (0.16) | 0.69 (0.26) | $p = 0.255$ |
| Ward’s triangle T-score | −2.15 (1.35) | −1.9 (1.6) | $p = 0.101$ |
| Ward’s triangle Z-score | −0.85 (1.25) | −0.3 (1.7) | $p = 0.034$ |

ALP—alkaline phosphatase; BMD—bone mineral density.

There were no statistically significant correlations between preptin and other parameters, including serum alkaline phosphatase, calcium, and phosphates levels in the two patient subgroups.

4. Discussion

Pancreatic β -cell peptide insulin and preptin are proven to be important regulators of bone metabolism [9,10]. This duet of bone-active hormones stimulates anabolic osteoblast activity. Hypersecretion of preptin and insulin stimulates bone formation and inhibits bone resorption [9]. The concentration, function, and importance of preptin in chronic kidney disease–bone mineral disease (CKD-BMD) remains unknown so far. Our study is the first to present preptin levels in HD patients.

High preptin levels were described in newly diagnosed patients with type 2 diabetes (T2DM) [6]. Preptin was independently associated with HOMA-IR in obese and overweight subjects [16]. Elevated preptin levels were also associated with type 1 diabetes (T1DM) and hypertension in T1DM [17]. Furthermore, young women with impaired glucose tolerance had higher preptin concentrations than women with normal glucose tolerance in the study of Bu et al. [18]. Wang et al. observed a correlation between serum preptin concentrations and a higher risk of T2DM and diabetic nephropathy [19]. When comparing preptin

concentrations in NGT and DM/IGT patients, no significant differences were observed in the current study. No correlation was observed between preptin and insulin, HOMA-IR, and C-peptide. The lack of a difference between NGT and DM/IGT HD subject groups in our analysis might be the result of renal insufficiency and/or the hemodialysis treatment itself. Insulin and HOMA-IR levels are increased in the initial phase of type 2 diabetes mellitus, and, in patients with long-term diabetes, these indicators could be normal. For this reason, our observations of a lack of correlations between insulin and HOMA-IR and preptin appears to be possible. Subsequent studies on diabetic ESRD patients with subdivision based on the severity and duration of diabetes are needed. Although our data are insufficient in terms of assessing the dialysability of preptin during HD due to no post-HD sampling, a positive correlation between HD vintage and preptin levels seems to suggest that the compound might be accumulated throughout the treatment.

In the studies of Ozkan et al. and Wang et al., preptin levels were increased in patients with a higher BMI [19,20]. Our DM/IGT HD patients had a higher BMI, but there was no correlation between BMI and preptin concentrations. Other variables, such as the presence of IGT, duration and severity of diabetes, and age at diagnosis of diabetes, which were not accounted for in our study, could also play an important role in the evaluation of preptin levels and warrant further investigations. Our DM/IGT group was quite small. Further research evaluating preptin levels in IGT and all types of diabetes are necessary.

The current study also found preptin levels to be negatively correlated with BMD of the lumbar spine region (L2–L4) and the Z-score of Ward's triangle of the femur neck in ESRD patients. These regions are composed mostly of trabecular bone, so they could be easily affected by general metabolic changes. Our results are inconsistent with literature reports on the anabolic function of preptin in bone. As was mentioned before, preptin has been reported to stimulate the differentiation and proliferation of rat osteoblasts, with no effect on osteoclast activity [9]. Preptin-(1–16), a shorter fragment of preptin, enhances osteoblast proliferation and inhibits osteoblast apoptosis, thus improving the survival rate of primary rat osteoblasts [8,21]. Even shorter fragments of preptin, namely preptin-(1–8), hold the anabolic effect on bone, like the 34 amino acid preptin, and is a potential compound for the development of an oral therapeutic agent in osteoporosis [8]. The effects of preptin on bone formation and pathogenesis of osteoporosis have also been observed in humans [10]. Serum preptin concentrations have been correlated positively with L2–L4, femur neck, and total hip BMD in elderly men in the study of Li et al. [11]. Aahmad et al. observed weak positive correlations between serum preptin levels and femur neck BMD ($r = 0.233, p = 0.035$) and total hip BMD ($r = 0.287, p = 0.031$), but no correlation was captured between preptin and L_{1–4} lumbar spine BMD ($r = 0.136, p = 0.474$) [22]. Our results suggest a potential negative connection between preptin and BMD in HD patients.

The potential negative connection between preptin, PTH, and osteocalcin suggests that preptin might be an important marker in the indirect measurement of bone turnover in HD patients. Blood concentrations of osteocalcin, a bone matrix protein derived from osteoblasts and metabolized in the kidney, are altered in renal failure [23]. Osteocalcin in HD patients is considered as an additional parameter in the diagnosis of severe secondary hyperparathyroidism [23]. A positive correlation between preptin and osteocalcin might support the theory of the potential influence of preptin on bone mineral metabolism in ESRD. In the study of El-Elshrawy et al., serum preptin concentrations were independently associated with osteocalcin in overweight, obese, and normal weight adults, which may underlie the crosstalk between bone and pancreatic β cells [16]. No significant data on the association between preptin and osteocalcin are available in the literature so far.

PTH concentration, a universally used biomarker of bone turnover in clinical practice, correlates with the level of bone turnover in white patients with ESRD [24]. However, PTH lacks specificity and sensitivity in the detailed evaluation of bone turnover [25,26]. There is no evidence in the literature on the relations between preptin and hyperparathyroidism. Preptin concentrations were both related to PTH level and BMD in HD patients in the current study. Possibly, preptin could have a multifactor influence on the bone status in

HD patients, and conversely, various mechanisms leading to renal bone disease can affect preptin levels. Renal bone disease is a function of abnormal bone turnover (determined by bone biopsy), bone mineral density (assessed by DXA/quantitative CT), and bone architecture affected by hyperparathyroidism. However, diagnostics of bone status in ESRD remain challenging. Future studies on the relationship between PTH and preptin concentrations should be carried out.

The results indicate that preptin levels could be useful as an additional parameter in hemodialyzed patients with secondary hyperparathyroidism, and could be taken under consideration as additional parameters in the diagnosis of advanced secondary hyperparathyroidism. Preptin might play a role in the pathogenesis of bone mineral disease in ESRD in humans. The effects of preptin on bone metabolism in humans, especially in the case of coexisting diseases like renal insufficiency, have not been thoroughly investigated, and need further research.

The present study has several limitations that need to be considered. The main limitation is the relatively small sample size. A large spread of the preptin values in the study group indicates the need for further investigations in a larger group of CKD patients and ESRD patients treated with different renal replacement therapy. Secondly, NGT and DM/IGT patients were not BMI matched. The BMI of DM/IGT patients was significantly higher than NGT patients. However, no significant associations between preptin and weight and BMI were observed. The cross-sectional design of the present study limits the ability to infer a causal relationship between BMD and preptin concentrations. Studies with repeatable preptin and DXA-derived BMD assessment are needed. Finally, bone histology, the best clinical tool to assess bone turnover, was not used due to invasiveness, and other noninvasive markers had a limited value for assessing bone metabolism. The relation between preptin and bone-specific alkaline phosphatase (BALP) should be evaluated in future studies on patients with ESRD.

5. Conclusions

Preptin is being proposed as a new bone metabolic parameter in HD patients. Our results are the first to provide the clinical evidence of the association between preptin and bone metabolism in HD patients. Preptin seems to contribute to the association between β -cells of the pancreas and bones. The results indicate that serum preptin levels could be useful as an additional parameter to assess hemodialyzed patients with secondary hyperparathyroidism. However, this finding needs to be clarified in further studies.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, K.M. and P.K.; Methodology, K.M., C.-M.M., P.K., and Z.K.; Software, K.M. and S.K.; Formal Analysis, K.M., P.K., S.K., W.E., and Z.K.; Investigation, K.M., P.K., S.K., H.K., Y.I.A., and W.E.; Resources, K.M. and S.K.; Data Curation, K.M., S.K., and C.-M.M.; Writing—Original Draft Preparation, K.M. and Y.I.A.; Writing—Review & Editing, P.K., C.-M.M., Z.K., O.A., and R.M.; Visualization, K.M., P.K., S.K., and R.M.; Supervision, P.K., O.A., and R.M.; Project Administration, K.M. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: The study was conducted according to the guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki, and approved by the Institutional Review Board (or Ethics Committee) of Poznan University of Medical Science (587/14; 857/14).

Informed Consent Statement: Informed consent was obtained from all subjects involved in the study.

Data Availability Statement: The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Acknowledgments: The authors hereby confirm that neither the manuscript nor any part of it, except for the abstract of less than 300 words, has been published or is being considered for publication elsewhere [27]. This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Wongdee, K.; Charoenphandhu, N. Osteoporosis in diabetes mellitus: Possible cellular and molecular mechanisms. *World J. Diabetes* **2011**, *2*, 41–48. [[CrossRef](#)]
2. Ghaderian, S.B.; Hayati, F.; Shayanpour, S.; Beladi Mousavi, S.S. Diabetes and end-stage renal disease; a review article on new concepts. *J. Renal. Inj. Prev.* **2015**, *4*, 28–33. [[CrossRef](#)]
3. Yavropoulou, M.P.; Vaios, V.; Pikilidou, M.; Chryssogonidis, I.; Sachinidou, M.; Tournis, S.; Makris, K.; Kotsa, K.; Daniilidis, M.; Haritanti, A.; et al. Bone Quality Assessment as Measured by Trabecular Bone Score in Patients with End-Stage Renal Disease on Dialysis. *J. Clin. Densitom* **2016**. [[CrossRef](#)]
4. Miller, P.D. Bone disease in CKD: A focus on osteoporosis diagnosis and management. *Am. J. Kidney Dis.* **2014**, *64*, 290–304. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
5. Buchanan, C.M.; Phillips, A.R.; Cooper, G.J. Preptin derived from proinsulin-like growth factor II (proIGF-II) is secreted from pancreatic islet beta-cells and enhances insulin secretion. *Biochem. J.* **2001**, *360*, 431–439. [[CrossRef](#)]
6. Yang, G.; Li, L.; Chen, W.; Liu, H.; Boden, G.; Li, K. Circulating preptin levels in normal, impaired glucose tolerance, and type 2 diabetic subjects. *Ann. Med.* **2009**, *41*, 52–56. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
7. Correction for Colaianni. The myokine irisin increases cortical bone mass. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2015**, *112*, E5763. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
8. Kowalczyk, R.; Yang, S.H.; Brimble, M.A.; Callon, K.E.; Watson, M.; Park, Y.E.; Cornish, J. Synthesis of truncated analogues of preptin-(1-16), and investigation of their ability to stimulate osteoblast proliferation. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* **2014**, *22*, 3565–3572. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
9. Cornish, J.; Callon, K.E.; Bava, U.; Watson, M.; Xu, X.; Lin, J.M.; Chan, V.A.; Grey, A.B.; Naot, D.; Buchanan, C.M.; et al. Preptin, another peptide product of the pancreatic beta-cell, is osteogenic in vitro and in vivo. *Am. J. Physiol. Endocrinol. Metab.* **2007**, *292*, E117–E122. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
10. Bosetti, M.; Sabbatini, M.; Nicoli, E.; Fusaro, L.; Cannas, M. Effects and differentiation activity of IGF-I, IGF-II, insulin and preptin on human primary bone cells. *Growth Factors* **2013**, *31*, 57–65. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
11. Li, N.; Zheng, Y.B.; Han, J.; Liang, W.; Wang, J.Y.; Zhou, J.R.; Shen, Y.; Zhang, J. Lower circulating preptin levels in male patients with osteoporosis are correlated with bone mineral density and bone formation. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord* **2013**, *14*, 49. [[CrossRef](#)]
12. Matthews, D.R.; Hosker, J.P.; Rudenski, A.S.; Naylor, B.A.; Treacher, D.F.; Turner, R.C. Homeostasis model assessment: Insulin resistance and beta-cell function from fasting plasma glucose and insulin concentrations in man. *Diabetologia* **1985**, *28*, 412–419. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
13. Coco, M.; Rush, H. Increased incidence of hip fractures in dialysis patients with low serum parathyroid hormone. *Am. J. Kidney Dis.* **2000**, *36*, 1115–1121. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
14. Spasovski, G.B.; Bervoets, A.R.; Behets, G.J.; Ivanovski, N.; Sikole, A.; Dams, G.; Couttenye, M.M.; De Broe, M.E.; D’Haese, P.C. Spectrum of renal bone disease in end-stage renal failure patients not yet on dialysis. *Nephrol. Dial. Transpl.* **2003**, *18*, 1159–1166. [[CrossRef](#)]
15. Coen, G.; Ballanti, P.; Balducci, A.; Grandi, F.; Manni, M.; Mantella, D.; Pierantozzi, A.; Ruggeri, M.; Sardella, D.; Sorbo, G.; et al. Renal osteodystrophy: Alpha-Heremans Schmid glycoprotein/fetuin-A, matrix GLA protein serum levels, and bone histomorphometry. *Am. J. Kidney Dis.* **2006**, *48*, 106–113. [[CrossRef](#)]
16. El-Eshrawy, M.; Abdel Aal, I. Relationships between preptin and osteocalcin in obese, overweight, and normal weight adults. *Appl. Physiol. Nutr. Metab.* **2015**, *40*, 218–222. [[CrossRef](#)]
17. Abd El Dayem, S.M.; Battah, A.A.; El Shehaby, A.; Abd Allah, N. Assessment of human cartilage glycoprotein 39 (YKL-40), preptin, and nitric oxide in adolescent patients with type 1 diabetes and its relation to cardiorenal affection. *J. Pediatr. Endocrinol. Metab.* **2015**, *28*, 309–314. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
18. Bu, Z.; Kuok, K.; Meng, J.; Wang, R.; Xu, B.; Zhang, H. The relationship between polycystic ovary syndrome, glucose tolerance status and serum preptin level. *Reprod. Biol. Endocrinol.* **2012**, *10*, 10. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
19. Wang, R.; Xue, A.; Zheng, W.; Wang, L.; Yan, F.; Hu, W.; Lin, J.; He, L. Elevated serum preptin concentrations in patients with diabetic nephropathy. *J. Investig. Med.* **2019**, *67*, 1048–1052. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
20. Ozkan, Y.; Timurkan, E.S.; Aydin, S.; Sahin, I.; Timurkan, M.; Cital, C.; Kalayci, M.; Yilmaz, M.; Aksoy, A.; Catak, Z. Acylated and desacylated ghrelin, preptin, leptin, and nesfatin-1 Peptide changes related to the body mass index. *Int. J. Endocrinol.* **2013**, *2013*, 236085. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
21. Amso, Z.; Kowalczyk, R.; Watson, M.; Park, Y.E.; Callon, K.E.; Musson, D.S.; Cornish, J.; Brimble, M.A. Structure activity relationship study on the peptide hormone preptin, a novel bone-anabolic agent for the treatment of osteoporosis. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2016**, *14*, 9225–9238. [[CrossRef](#)]
22. Nazari Soltan Aahmad, S.; Nourollahi, S.; Kazerouni, F.; Kianmehr, N.; Hajipour, H.; Sanajou, D.; Hosseini, V. Investigation of the relation between bone mass density and serum preptin levels in pre- and postmenopausal women. *J. Bone Miner. Metab.* **2018**, *36*, 710–715. [[CrossRef](#)]
23. Motz, W. Osteocalcin in chronic hemodialysis patients as an additional parameter in the diagnosis of advanced secondary hyperparathyroidism. *Acta Med. Austriaca* **1989**, *16*, 8–12. [[PubMed](#)]

24. Sawaya, B.P.; Butros, R.; Naqvi, S.; Geng, Z.; Mawad, H.; Friedler, R.; Fanti, P.; Monier-Faugere, M.C.; Malluche, H.H. Differences in bone turnover and intact PTH levels between African American and Caucasian patients with end-stage renal disease. *Kidney Int.* **2003**, *64*, 737–742. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
25. Carvalho, C.; Alves, C.M.; Frazao, J.M. The role of bone biopsy for the diagnosis of renal osteodystrophy: A short overview and future perspectives. *J. Nephrol.* **2016**, *29*, 617–626. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
26. Kuczera, P.; Maszczyk, A.; Machura, E.; Kurzak, E.; Adamczak, M.; Wiecek, A. Serum parathyroid hormone concentrations measured by chemiluminescence and electrochemiluminescence methods—Are the results comparable in haemodialysis patients with chronic kidney disease? *Endokrynol. Pol.* **2015**, *66*, 219–223. [[CrossRef](#)]
27. Kałużna, M.; Schwermer, K.; Hoppe, K.; Ibrahim, A.; Człapka-Matyasik, M.; Ziemnicka, K.; Ruchała, M. Are preptin and CTRP1 new bone metabolic markers in hemodialysis patients? *Hemodial. Int.* **2017**, *21*, A10. [[CrossRef](#)]