

Article

Some Subordination Results Defined by Using the Symmetric q -Differential Operator for Multivalent Functions

Saima Noor ^{1,*}, Sa'ud Al-Sa'di ²  and Saqib Hussain ^{3,*} 

¹ Department of Basic Sciences, King Faisal University, Hofuf 31982, Al Ahsa, Saudi Arabia

² Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, The Hashemite University, P.O. Box 330127, Zarqa 13133, Jordan

³ Department of Mathematics, COMSATS University Islamabad, Abbottabad Campus, Abbottabad 22060, Pakistan

* Correspondence: snoor@kfu.edu.sa (S.N.); saqibhussain@cuiatd.edu.pk (S.H.)

Abstract: In this article, we use the concept of symmetric q -calculus and convolution in order to define a symmetric q -differential operator for multivalent functions. This operator is an extension of the classical Ruscheweyh differential operator. By using the technique of differential subordination, we derive several interesting applications of the newly defined operator for multivalent functions.

Keywords: analytic functions; symmetric q -calculus; symmetric q -differential operator; multivalent functions; convolution; subordination

MSC: 30C45; 30C50



Citation: Noor, S.; Al-Sa'di, S.; Hussain, S. Some Subordination Results Defined by Using the Symmetric q -Differential Operator for Multivalent Functions. *Axioms* **2023**, *12*, 313. <https://doi.org/10.3390/axioms12030313>

Academic Editors: Sevtap Sümer Eker and Juan J. Nieto

Received: 7 February 2023

Revised: 10 March 2023

Accepted: 15 March 2023

Published: 22 March 2023



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

1. Introduction

The study of q -calculus has motivated scholars due to its wide range of applications in different areas of mathematics and physics. Jackson [1,2] was the first to consider the q -calculus theory in order to define the q -derivative (∂_q) and the q -integral operator. Meanwhile, in [3], Ismail et al. used ∂_q and defined q -starlike functions in the field of Geometric function theory and investigated some interesting applications. Later on, Srivastava [4], used the q -calculus in the context of univalent functions theory and he developed many important results. The q -analogue of Ruscheweyh differential operator was introduced by Kanas and Raducanu [5] while in [6], Srivastava et al. introduced the q -Noor integral operator and studied some of its applications for bi-univalent functions. In particular, Srivastava [7,8] pointed out many applications and mathematical explanations of q -derivatives in Geometric function theory. In recent years, many researchers have defined a number of q -differential and integral operators and have published many important results associated with q -starlike and the Janowski functions (for details, see [9–14]).

Let $q \in (0, 1)$ and $[l]_q = \frac{1-q^l}{1-q}$ be the q -number for $l \in \mathbb{N}$ and $[l]_q! = \prod_{k=1}^l [k]_q$ be the factorial and $[0]_q! = 1$.

The q -Gamma function is defined as:

$$\Gamma_q(t+1) = [t]_q \Gamma_q(t), \text{ and } \Gamma_q(1) = 1.$$

Jackson [1] defined the q -difference operator for analytic functions in the following form:

$$\partial_q \xi(v) = \frac{\xi(qv) - \xi(v)}{v(q-1)}, \quad q \in (0, 1).$$

Additionally, we have

$$\partial_q v^l = [l]_q v^{l-1}, \quad \partial_q \left\{ \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} a_l v^l \right\} = \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} [l]_q a_l v^{l-1}.$$

It can be observed that

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow 1^-} \partial_q \zeta(v) = \zeta'(v).$$

The symmetric q -calculus has been found to be very useful in different areas, such as fractional calculus and quantum mechanics. The applications of quantum mechanics are discussed in q -symmetric variational calculus in [15] while in [16], Lavagno discussed the symmetric q -calculus in the field of basic-deformed quantum mechanics. More recently, Kanas et al. [17] investigated some new applications of the symmetric q -derivative related to the conic domain and studied a new subclass of analytic functions in the open unit disk U . Khan et al. [18] investigated the new version of generalized symmetric conic domains using the basic concepts of symmetric q -calculus and studied a new subclass of q -symmetric starlike functions. Recently, a number of authors used the q -symmetric operator and studied some new subclasses of analytic functions, (see [19–21]). Here, we present the basic concepts of symmetric q -calculus, which will be useful for our subsequent work.

The symmetric q -number $[\tilde{l}]_q$ can be defined as:

$$[\tilde{l}]_q = \frac{q^{-l} - q^l}{q^{-1} - q}, \tag{1}$$

and the symmetric q -number shift factorial is given by

$$[\tilde{l}]_q! = [\tilde{l}]_q [\tilde{l}-1]_q [\tilde{l}-2]_q \dots [2]_q [1]_q, \quad l \geq 1.$$

It can be noted that

$$[\tilde{0}]_q = 0, \quad [\tilde{0}]_q! = 1.$$

It is worth mentioning that the symmetric q -number cannot reduce to q -number.

Kamel and Yosr [22] defined the symmetric q -derivative operator for the analytic function, which can be written as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\partial}_q h(v) &= \frac{1}{v} \left(\frac{\zeta(qv) - \zeta(q^{-1}v)}{q - q^{-1}} \right), \quad v \in U, \\ &= 1 + \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} [\tilde{l}]_q a_l v^{l-1}, \quad (v \neq 0, q \neq 1), \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

and

$$\tilde{\partial}_q v^l = [\tilde{l}]_q v^{l-1}, \quad \tilde{\partial}_q \left\{ \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} a_l v^l \right\} = \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} [\tilde{l}]_q a_l v^{l-1}.$$

It can be observed that

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow 1^-} \tilde{\partial}_q \zeta(v) = \zeta'(v).$$

Let \mathcal{P} represents the set of all functions p , which satisfies the conditions $p(0) = 1$ and $\Re(p(v)) > 0$. Let ζ and ρ be analytic in U . If there exists a Schwarz function u , such that $\zeta(v) = \rho(u(v))$, then we will say that ζ is subordinate to ρ (written as $(\zeta(v) \prec \rho(v))$ for $v \in U$).

Assume that \mathcal{A}_ψ denote the class of multivalent functions of the form:

$$\zeta(v) = v^\psi + \sum_{l=2}^{\infty} a_{l+\psi-1} v^{l+\psi-1}, \quad (\psi \in \mathbb{N}).$$

The convolution of two functions $\xi_i(v) \in \mathcal{A}_\psi$, for $i = 1, 2$, is defined as:

$$(\xi_1 * \xi_2)(v) = v^\psi + \sum_{l=2}^{\infty} a_{l+\psi-1,1} a_{l+\psi-1,2} v^{l+\psi-1} = (\xi_2 * \xi_1)(v),$$

where

$$\xi_i(v) = v^\psi + \sum_{l=2}^{\infty} a_{l+\psi-1,i} v^{l+\psi-1} \quad (\psi \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Janowski [23] defined the function $h(\Omega_1, \Omega_2; v) = \frac{1+\Omega_1 v}{1+\Omega_2 v}$, $-1 \leq \Omega_2 < \Omega_1 \leq 1$, ($v \in \mathcal{U}$). The image of the unit disc under the mapping $h(\Omega_1, \Omega_2; v)$ is the disk symmetrical with respect to the real axis, with its center at $\left(\frac{1-\Omega_1\Omega_2}{1-\Omega_2^2}, 0\right)$ for $\Omega_2 \neq \pm 1$, and the end points of the diameter are

$$A\left(\frac{1-\Omega_1}{1-\Omega_2}, 0\right) \text{ and } B\left(\frac{1+\Omega_1}{1+\Omega_2}, 0\right).$$

In our current investigation, we aim to use basic concepts of symmetric q -calculus and the convolution theory to define a new operator for multivalent analytic functions.

Definition 1. Let $\xi \in \mathcal{A}_\psi$. Then, the symmetric q -differential operator $\widetilde{\mathfrak{F}}_q^{\lambda+\psi-1} : \mathcal{A}_\psi \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_\psi$ is defined as:

$$\widetilde{\mathfrak{F}}_q^{\lambda+\psi-1} \xi(v) = Q_{\lambda,q}^\psi(v) * \xi(v), \quad \lambda > -1,$$

where

$$Q_{\lambda,q}^\psi(v) = v^\psi + \sum_{l=2}^{\infty} \frac{[\widetilde{\lambda + \psi}]_{l-\psi,q}}{[l-\psi]_q!} v^{l+\psi-1}.$$

By using the definition of convolution, it can be noted that

$$\widetilde{\mathfrak{F}}_q^{\lambda+\psi-1} \xi(v) = v^\psi + \sum_{l=2}^{\infty} \frac{[\widetilde{\lambda + \psi}]_{l-\psi,q}}{[l-\psi]_q!} a_{l+\psi-1} v^{l+\psi-1}. \tag{3}$$

From (3), the following identity can be easily verified:

$$q^\lambda v \widetilde{\partial}_q \widetilde{\mathfrak{F}}_q^{\lambda+\psi-1} \xi(v) = [\widetilde{\lambda + \psi}]_q - [\widetilde{\lambda}]_q \widetilde{\mathfrak{F}}_q^{\lambda+\psi-1} \xi(v). \tag{4}$$

For $\psi = 1$, and $q \rightarrow 1^-$, Identity (4), implies that

$$v \widetilde{\partial}_q \widetilde{\mathfrak{F}}_q^\lambda \xi(v) = (\lambda + 1) \widetilde{\mathfrak{F}}_q^{\lambda+1} \xi(v) - (\lambda) \widetilde{\mathfrak{F}}_q^\lambda \xi(v),$$

which is the well-known relation studied by Ruscheweyh in [24].

Remark 1. If $\psi = 1$ and $q \rightarrow 1^-$, the q -differential operator $\widetilde{\mathfrak{F}}_q^{\lambda+\psi-1} \xi(v)$ reduces to the Ruscheweyh differential operator introduced by Ruscheweyh in [24].

2. Lemmas

To prove our main results, we need the following Lemmas:

Lemma 1 ([11]). If an analytic function $\varphi(v) \in \mathcal{P}(\beta)$ and

$$\varphi(v) = 1 + c_1 v + c_2 v^2 + \dots, \tag{5}$$

then

$$\Re(\varphi(v)) > \beta, \quad (0 \leq \beta < 1).$$

Lemma 2 ([25]). If $\varphi_i \in \mathcal{P}(\beta_i)$ be given by (5), $(0 \leq \beta_i < 1, i = 1, 2)$. Then,

$$\varphi_1 * \varphi_2 \in \mathcal{P}(\beta_3), \quad \text{where } \beta_3 = 1 - (1 - \beta_1)(1 - \beta_2).$$

Lemma 3 ([26]). If the function φ of the form (5) is in the class $\mathcal{P}(\beta)$, then

$$\Re(\varphi(v)) > 2\beta - 1 + \frac{2(1 - \beta)}{1 + |v|}, \quad (0 \leq \beta < 1).$$

Lemma 4 ([27]). The function

$$(1 - v)^\gamma = e^{\gamma \log(1-v)}, \gamma \neq 0,$$

is univalent in \mathcal{U} if and only if γ is either in closed disk

$$|\gamma - 1| \leq 1 \text{ or } |\gamma + 1| \leq 1.$$

We can prove Lemmas 5 and 6 using the similar method of Lemmas proved in [11].

Lemma 5. Let $h(v)$ be analytic and convex univalent in \mathcal{U} with $h(0) = 1$. Additionally, let $\rho(v) = 1 + b_1v + b_2v^2 + \dots$ be analytic in \mathcal{U} . If

$$\rho(v) + \frac{v \tilde{\partial}_q \rho(v)}{c} \prec h(v), \quad (v \in \mathcal{U}, c \neq 0), \Re(c) \geq 0, \tag{6}$$

then

$$\rho(v) \prec \frac{c}{v^c} \int_0^v t^{c-1} h(t) dt.$$

Proof. Suppose that h is analytic and convex univalent in \mathcal{U} and ρ is analytic in \mathcal{U} . Letting $q \rightarrow 1^-$, in (6)

$$\rho(v) + \frac{v \rho'(v)}{c} \prec h(v), \quad (v \in \mathcal{U}, c \neq 0).$$

Then, from Lemma in [28], we obtain

$$\rho(v) \prec \frac{c}{v^c} \int_0^v t^{c-1} h(t) dt.$$

□

Lemma 6. Let $q(v)$ be univalent in \mathcal{U} and let $\theta(w)$ and $\varphi(w)$ be analytic in domain D containing $u(\mathcal{U})$ with $\varphi(w) \neq 0$ when $w \in u(\mathcal{U})$. Set

$$Q(v) = v \tilde{\partial}_q(u(v)) \varphi(u(v)), \quad h(v) = \theta(u(v) + Q(v)) \tag{7}$$

and suppose that

(i) $Q(v)$ is starlike univalent in \mathfrak{S} .

(ii) $\Re\left(\frac{v \tilde{\partial}_q(h(v))}{Q(v)}\right) = \Re\left(\frac{\tilde{\partial}_q \theta(u(v))}{\varphi(u(v))}\right) + \left(\frac{v \tilde{\partial}_q Q(v)}{Q(v)}\right) > 0.$

If $p(v)$ is analytic in \mathcal{U} , with $p(v) = u(0)$, $p(\mathcal{U}) \subset D$, and

$$\theta(p(v)) + v\tilde{\partial}_q(p(v))\varphi(p(v)) \prec \theta(u(v)) + v\tilde{\partial}_q(u(v))\varphi(u(v)) = h(v), \tag{8}$$

then $p(v) \prec u(v)$ and $u(v)$ is the best dominant.

Proof. We can prove Lemma 6 using a method similar to the one shown in Lemma 5. \square

3. Main Results

Theorem 1. Let $\lambda > 0$, $\alpha > 0$, and $-1 \leq \Omega_2 < \Omega_1 \leq 1$. If $\zeta \in \mathcal{A}_\psi$ satisfies

$$(1 - \alpha) \frac{\widetilde{\mathfrak{F}}_q^{\lambda+\psi-1} \zeta(v)}{v^\psi} + \alpha \frac{\widetilde{\mathfrak{F}}_q^{\lambda+\psi} \zeta(v)}{v^\psi} \prec h(\Omega_1, \Omega_2, v),$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} & \Re \left(\frac{\widetilde{\mathfrak{F}}_q^{\lambda+\psi-1} \zeta(v)}{v^\psi} \right)^{\frac{1}{l}} \\ & > \left(l(\psi) \int_0^1 u^{([\lambda+\psi]_q / \alpha[\psi]_q q^\lambda)^{-1}} \left(\frac{1 - \Omega_1 u}{1 - \Omega_2 u} \right) du \right)^{\frac{1}{l}}, \quad l \geq 1, \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

where

$$l(\psi) = \frac{[\lambda + \psi]_q}{\alpha[\psi]_q q^\lambda} \text{ and } w(\lambda) = [\lambda + \psi]_q.$$

Proof. Let

$$\rho(v) = \frac{\widetilde{\mathfrak{F}}_q^{\lambda+\psi-1} \zeta(v)}{v^\psi}. \tag{10}$$

For $\zeta \in \mathcal{A}_\psi$, and by taking the logarithmic differentiation of (10), we get

$$\frac{v\tilde{\partial}_q(\rho(v))}{\rho(v)} = \frac{v\widetilde{\mathfrak{F}}_q^{\lambda+\psi-1} \zeta(v)}{\widetilde{\mathfrak{F}}_q^{\lambda+\psi-1} \zeta(v)} - [\psi]_q.$$

Using the identity (4), we get

$$\frac{v\tilde{\partial}_q(\rho(v))}{\rho(v)} = \frac{w(\lambda)}{q^\lambda} \frac{\widetilde{\mathfrak{F}}_q^{\lambda+\psi} \zeta(v)}{\widetilde{\mathfrak{F}}_q^{\lambda+\psi-1} \zeta(v)} - \frac{[\lambda]_q}{q^\lambda} - [\psi]_q.$$

Let $w(\lambda) = [\lambda]_q + [\psi]_q q^\lambda$. Then,

$$\frac{q^\lambda}{w(\lambda)} v\tilde{\partial}_q \rho(v) + \rho(v) = \frac{\widetilde{\mathfrak{F}}_q^{\lambda+\psi} \zeta(v)}{v^\psi}. \tag{11}$$

From (4), (10) and (11), we have

$$\rho(v) + \frac{1}{l(\psi)} v\tilde{\partial}_q \rho(v) \prec h(\Omega_1, \Omega_2, v). \tag{12}$$

Now, by applying the Lemma 5, we have

$$\rho(v) < l(\psi)v^{-w(\lambda)/\alpha[\widetilde{\psi}]_q q^\lambda} \int_0^1 u^{(w(\lambda)/\alpha[\widetilde{\psi}]_q q^\lambda)^{-1}} \left(\frac{1 + \Omega_1 t}{1 + \Omega_2 t} \right) dt.$$

Using the definition of subordination, we get

$$\left(\frac{\widetilde{\mathfrak{F}}_q^{\lambda+\psi-1} \zeta(v)}{v^\psi} \right) = l(\psi) \int_0^1 u^{(w(\lambda)/\alpha[\widetilde{\psi}]_q q^\lambda)^{-1}} \left(\frac{1 + \Omega_1 u w(v)}{1 + \Omega_2 u w(v)} \right) du. \tag{13}$$

In view of $-1 \leq \Omega_2 < \Omega_1 \leq 1$ and $\lambda > 0$, it follows from (13) that

$$\Re \left(\frac{\widetilde{\mathfrak{F}}_q^{\lambda+\psi-1} \zeta(v)}{v^\psi} \right) > l(\psi) \int_0^1 u^{(w(\lambda)/\alpha[\widetilde{\psi}]_q q^\lambda)^{-1}} \left(\frac{1 - \Omega_1 u}{1 - \Omega_2 u} \right) du. \tag{14}$$

Since

$$\Re(w^{\frac{1}{l}}) \geq (\Re w)^{1/l} \text{ for } \Re w > 0 \text{ and } l \geq 1.$$

Therefore, the inequality (9) is proved.

To show the sharpness of (9), we define $\zeta \in \mathcal{A}_\psi$ as:

$$\frac{\widetilde{\mathfrak{F}}_q^{\lambda+\psi-1} \zeta(v)}{v^\psi} = l(\psi) \int_0^1 u^{(w(\lambda)/\alpha[\widetilde{\psi}]_q q^\lambda)^{-1}} \left(\frac{1 + \Omega_1 u v}{1 + \Omega_2 u v} \right) du.$$

For this function, we find that

$$(1 - \alpha) \frac{\widetilde{\mathfrak{F}}_q^{\lambda+\psi-1} \zeta(v)}{v^\psi} + \alpha \frac{\widetilde{\mathfrak{F}}_q^{\lambda+\psi} \zeta(v)}{v^\psi} = \frac{1 + \Omega_1 v}{1 + \Omega_2 v}.$$

So,

$$\frac{\widetilde{\mathfrak{F}}_q^{\lambda+\psi-1} \zeta(v)}{v^\psi} \rightarrow l(\psi) \int_0^1 u^{(w(\lambda)/\alpha[\widetilde{\psi}]_q q^\lambda)^{-1}} \left(\frac{1 - \Omega_1 u}{1 - \Omega_2 u} \right) du, \text{ as } v \rightarrow -1.$$

This completes the proof. \square

Corollary 1. Let $q \rightarrow 1^-$, $\lambda > 0$, $\alpha > 0$, and $-1 \leq \Omega_2 < \Omega_1 \leq 1$. If $\zeta \in \mathcal{A}_\psi$ satisfies

$$(1 - \alpha) \frac{\widetilde{\mathfrak{F}}_q^{\lambda+\psi-1} \zeta(v)}{v^\psi} + \alpha \frac{\widetilde{\mathfrak{F}}_q^{\lambda+\psi} \zeta(v)}{v^\psi} < h(\Omega_1, \Omega_2, v),$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} & \Re \left(\frac{\widetilde{\mathfrak{F}}_q^{\lambda+\psi-1} \zeta(v)}{v^\psi} \right)^{\frac{1}{l}} \\ & > \left(\frac{(\lambda + \psi)}{\alpha \psi} \int_0^1 u^{((\lambda+\psi)/\alpha)-1} \left(\frac{1 - \Omega_1 u}{1 - \Omega_2 u} \right) du \right)^{\frac{1}{l}}, \quad l \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 2. Let $\psi = 1, q \rightarrow 1^-, \lambda > 0, \alpha > 0$, and $-1 \leq \Omega_2 < \Omega_1 \leq 1$. If $\xi \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies

$$(1 - \alpha) \frac{\mathfrak{F}^\lambda \xi(v)}{v} + \alpha \frac{\mathfrak{F}^{\lambda+1} \xi(v)}{v} \prec h(\Omega_1, \Omega_2, v),$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} & \Re \left(\frac{\mathfrak{F}^\lambda \xi(v)}{v} \right)^{\frac{1}{l}} \\ & > \left(\frac{(\lambda + 1)}{\alpha} \int_0^1 u^{((\lambda+1)/\alpha)-1} \left(\frac{1 - \Omega_1 u}{1 - \Omega_2 u} \right) du \right)^{\frac{1}{l}}, \quad l \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 3. Let $\Omega_1 = 1 - 2\alpha, \Omega_2 = -1, \alpha, \lambda > 1, l \geq 1$ and $0 \leq \beta < 1$. If $\xi \in \mathcal{A}(\psi)$ satisfies

$$(1 - \alpha) \frac{\widetilde{\mathfrak{F}}_q^{\lambda+\psi-1} \xi(v)}{v^\psi} + \alpha \frac{\widetilde{\mathfrak{F}}_q^{\lambda+\psi} \xi(v)}{v^\psi} \prec h(1 - 2\alpha, -1, v),$$

then,

$$\begin{aligned} & \Re \left(\frac{\widetilde{\mathfrak{F}}_q^{\lambda+\psi-1} \xi(v)}{v^\psi} \right)^{\frac{1}{l}} \\ & > \left(\left(\frac{2(1 - \beta) \mathfrak{w}(\lambda)}{\alpha [\widetilde{\psi}]_q q^\lambda} \int_0^1 \frac{u^{(w(\lambda)/\alpha [\widetilde{\psi}]_q q^\lambda)-1}}{1 + u} du \right) + (2\beta - 1) u^{\frac{w(\lambda)}{\alpha [\widetilde{\psi}]_q q^\lambda}} \right)^{\frac{1}{l}}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Following the same steps detailed in the proof of Theorem 1 and by considering

$$\rho(v) = \frac{\widetilde{\mathfrak{F}}_q^{\lambda+\psi-1} \xi(v)}{v^\psi},$$

the differential subordination (12) becomes

$$\rho(v) + \frac{1}{l(\psi)} v \widetilde{\partial}_q \rho(v) \prec \frac{1 + (2\beta - 1)v}{1 + v}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & \Re \left(\frac{\widetilde{\mathfrak{F}}_q^{\lambda+\psi-1} \xi(v)}{v^\psi} \right)^{\frac{1}{l}} \\ & > \left(l(\psi) \int_0^1 u^{(w(\lambda)/\alpha [\widetilde{\psi}]_q q^\lambda)-1} \left(\frac{1 + (2\beta - 1)u}{1 + u} \right) du \right)^{\frac{1}{l}} \\ & = \left(l(\psi) \int_0^1 u^{(w(\lambda)/\alpha [\widetilde{\psi}]_q q^\lambda)-1} \times \left((2\beta - 1) + \frac{2(1 - \beta)u}{1 + u} \right) du \right)^{\frac{1}{l}} \\ & = \left(\left(\frac{2(1 - \beta)l(\psi)}{\alpha [\widetilde{\psi}]_q q^\lambda} \int_0^1 \frac{u^{(w(\lambda)/\alpha [\widetilde{\psi}]_q q^\lambda)-1}}{1 + u} du \right) + (2\beta - 1) u^{(w(\lambda)/\alpha [\widetilde{\psi}]_q q^\lambda)-1} \right)^{\frac{1}{l}}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 2. Let $\lambda > 0$ and $0 \leq \mu < 1$ and γ be a complex number with $\gamma \neq 0$ satisfying either

$$|2\gamma(1 - \mu)(w(\lambda) / \alpha q^\lambda [\widetilde{\psi}]_q - 1| \leq 1$$

or

$$|2\gamma(1 - \mu)(w(\lambda) / \alpha q^\lambda [\widetilde{\psi}]_q + 1| \leq 1.$$

If $\xi \in \mathcal{A}_\psi$ satisfies the condition

$$\Re \left(\frac{\widetilde{\mathfrak{F}}_q^{\lambda+\psi} \xi(v)}{\widetilde{\mathfrak{F}}_q^{\lambda+\psi-1} \xi(v)} \right) > \mu, \tag{15}$$

then

$$\Re \left(\frac{\widetilde{\mathfrak{F}}_q^{\lambda+\psi-1} \xi(v)}{v^\psi} \right)^\gamma \prec \frac{1}{(1 - v)^{2\gamma(1-\mu)w(\lambda)/q^\lambda[\psi]_q}}. \tag{16}$$

Proof. Let

$$\psi(v) = \left(\frac{\widetilde{\mathfrak{F}}_q^{\lambda+\psi-1} \xi(v)}{v^\psi} \right)^\gamma.$$

Using the (4), (15) and (16), we obtain

$$1 + \frac{1}{l(\psi)} \left(\frac{v \widetilde{\partial}_q(\rho(v))}{\rho(v)} \right) \prec \frac{1 + (1 - 2\mu)v}{1 - v}. \tag{17}$$

Assume that

$$h(v) = \frac{1}{(1 - v)^{2\gamma(1-\mu)w(\lambda)/q^\lambda[\psi]_q}}, \quad \theta(w) = 1, \quad \varphi(w) = \frac{1}{l(\psi)w}.$$

Then, $h(v)$ is univalent and we will show that $h(v)$, $\theta(w)$, and $\varphi(w)$ satisfy the conditions of Lemma 6. Note that the function

$$Q(v) = v \widetilde{\partial}_q(h(v)) \varphi(h(v)) = \frac{2(1 - \mu)v}{1 - v},$$

is univalent starlike in U and

$$h(v) = \theta(h(v) + Q(v)) = \frac{1 + (1 - 2\mu)v}{1 - v}.$$

By using the Lemma 6, we obtain the required result. □

Corollary 4. Let $q \rightarrow 1^-$, $\lambda > 0$ and $0 \leq \mu < 1$. Let γ be a complex number with $\gamma \neq 0$ that satisfies either

$$|2\gamma(1 - \mu)(\lambda + \psi) / \alpha \psi - 1| \leq 1$$

or

$$|2\gamma(1 - \mu)(\lambda + \psi) / \alpha \psi + 1| \leq 1.$$

If $\xi \in \mathcal{A}_\psi$ satisfies the condition

$$\Re\left(\frac{\mathfrak{F}^{\lambda+\psi}\xi(v)}{\mathfrak{F}^{\lambda+\psi-1}\xi(v)}\right) > \mu.$$

Then,

$$\Re\left(\frac{\mathfrak{F}^{\lambda+\psi-1}\xi(v)}{v^\psi}\right)^\gamma < \frac{1}{(1-v)^{2\gamma(1-\mu)(\lambda+\psi)/\psi}}$$

Theorem 3. Let $\lambda > 0, \alpha < 1$, and $-1 \leq \Omega_{2i} < \Omega_{1i} \leq 1$. If each of $\xi_i \in \mathcal{A}_\psi$ satisfy

$$(1-\alpha)\frac{\widetilde{\mathfrak{F}}_q^{\lambda+\psi-1}\xi_i(v)}{v^\psi} + \alpha\frac{\widetilde{\mathfrak{F}}_q^{\lambda+\psi}\xi_i(v)}{v^\psi} < h(\Omega_{1i}, \Omega_{2i}, v),$$

then

$$(1-\alpha)\frac{\widetilde{\mathfrak{F}}_q^{\lambda+\psi-1}\Theta(v)}{v^\psi} + \alpha\frac{\widetilde{\mathfrak{F}}_q^{\lambda+\psi}\Theta(v)}{v^\psi} < h(1-2\gamma, -1, v),$$

where

$$\Theta(v) = \widetilde{\mathfrak{F}}_q^{\lambda+\psi-1}(\xi_1 * \xi_2)(v), \tag{18}$$

and

$$\gamma = \left\{ 1 - l(\psi) \int_0^1 \frac{u^{(w(\lambda)/q^\lambda[\widetilde{\psi}]_q)-1}}{1+u} du \right\} \times \left(1 - \frac{4(\Omega_1 - \Omega_2)(\Omega_1 - \Omega_2)}{(1 - \Omega_2)(1 - \Omega_2)} \right).$$

Proof. We define the function h_i by

$$h_i = (1-\alpha)\frac{\widetilde{\mathfrak{F}}_q^{\lambda+\psi-1}\xi_i(v)}{v^\psi} + \alpha\frac{\widetilde{\mathfrak{F}}_q^{\lambda+\psi}\xi_i(v)}{v^\psi}, \tag{19}$$

where $\xi_i \in \mathcal{A}_\psi, i = 1, 2$. We have $h_i \in P(\beta_i)$, where $\beta_i = \frac{1-\Omega_{1i}}{1-\Omega_{2i}}, (i = 1, 2)$.

By making use of (4) and (19), we obtain

$$\widetilde{\mathfrak{F}}_q^{\lambda+\psi-1}\xi_i(v) = l(\psi)v^{1-(w(\lambda)/q^\lambda[\widetilde{\psi}]_q)} \int_0^1 t^{(w(\lambda)/q^\lambda[\widetilde{\psi}]_q)-1} h_i(t) dt.$$

In the light of (18), we show that

$$\widetilde{\mathfrak{F}}_q^{\lambda+\psi-1}\Theta(v) = l(\psi)v^{1-(w(\lambda)/q^\lambda[\widetilde{\psi}]_q)} \int_0^1 t^{(w(\lambda)/q^\lambda[\widetilde{\psi}]_q)-1} h_0(t) dt,$$

where, for convenience

$$\begin{aligned} h_0 &= (1-\alpha)\frac{\widetilde{\mathfrak{F}}_q^{\lambda+\psi-1}\Theta(v)}{v^\psi} + \alpha\frac{\widetilde{\mathfrak{F}}_q^{\lambda+\psi}\Theta(v)}{v^\psi} \\ &= l(\psi)v^{1-(w(\lambda)/q^\lambda[\widetilde{\psi}]_q)} \int_0^1 t^{(w(\lambda)/q^\lambda[\widetilde{\psi}]_q)-1} (h_1 * h_2)(t) dt. \end{aligned}$$

By using the Lemma 2, we have $(h_1 * h_2) \in \mathcal{P}(\beta_3)$, where

$$\beta_3 = 1 - 2(1 - \beta_1)(1 - \beta_2).$$

With an application of Lemma 3, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Re h_0(v) &= l(\psi) \int_0^1 u^{(w(\lambda)/q^\lambda[\tilde{\psi}]_q)^{-1}} \Re(h_1 * h_2)(uv) du \\
 &\geq l(\psi) \int_0^1 u^{(w(\lambda)/q^\lambda[\tilde{\psi}]_q)^{-1}} \left((2\beta_3 - 1) + \frac{2(1 - \beta_3)}{1 + u|v|} \right) du \\
 &> l(\psi) \int_0^1 u^{(w(\lambda)/q^\lambda[\tilde{\psi}]_q)^{-1}} \left((2\beta_3 - 1) + \frac{2(1 - \beta_3)}{1 + u} \right) du \\
 &= 1 - \frac{4(\Omega_1 - \Omega_2)(\Omega_1 - \Omega_2)}{(1 - \Omega_2)(1 - \Omega_2)} \times \left(1 - \frac{[\lambda + \psi]_q}{\alpha[\tilde{\psi}]_q q^\lambda} \int_0^1 \frac{u^{(w(\lambda)/q^\lambda[\tilde{\psi}]_q)^{-1}}}{1 + u} du \right) \\
 &= \gamma.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, Theorem 3 is proved. \square

4. Conclusions

By taking inspiration from recent studies on q -calculus and convolution operators for univalent functions, we have defined a new convolution operator for multivalent analytic functions. This newly defined operator for multivalent functions is an extension of the classical Ruscheweyh derivative operator. In this paper, we have successfully derived several properties for a class of multivalent analytic functions connected with a new operator by using the subordination theory. We also highlighted some consequences of our main results, which are stated in the form of corollaries.

Author Contributions: These authors contributed equally to this work. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This work was supported by the Deanship of Scientific Research, the Vice Presidency for Graduate Studies and Scientific Research, King Faisal University, Saudi Arabia (Grant No. 2317).

Data Availability Statement: No new data were created or analyzed in this study. Data sharing is not applicable to this article.

Acknowledgments: The authors would like to thank the editor and the anonymous reviewers for their constructive comments and suggestions, which helped us to improve the manuscript. This work was supported by the Deanship of Scientific Research, the Vice Presidency for Graduate Studies and Scientific Research, King Faisal University, Saudi Arabia (Grant no. 2317).

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare that they have no competing interest regarding the publication of this paper.

References

1. Jackson, F.H. On q -functions and a certain difference operator. *Trans. R. Soc. Edinburgh*. **1908**, *46*, 253–281. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
2. Jackson, F.H. On q -definite integrals. *Quart. J. Pure Appl. Math.* **1910**, *41*, 193–203.
3. Ismail, M.E.H.; Merkes, E.; Styer, D. A generalization of starlike functions. *Complex Var. Theory Appl.* **1990**, *14*, 77–84. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
4. Srivastava, H.M.; Owa, S. *Univalent Functions, Fractional Calculus and Their Applications*; John Wiley & Sons: New York, NY, USA, 1989.
5. Kanas, S.; Raducanu, D. Some class of analytic functions related to conic domains. *Math. Slovaca* **2014**, *64*, 1183–1196. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
6. Srivastava, H.M.; Khan, S.; Ahmad, Q.Z.; Khan, N.; Hussain, S. The Faber polynomial expansion method and its application to the general coefficient problem for some subclasses of bi-univalent functions associated with a certain q -integral operator. *Stud. Univ. Babe S-Bolyai Math.* **2018**, *63*, 419–436. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
7. Srivastava, H.M. Operators of basic (or q -) calculus and fractional q -calculus and their applications in geometric function theory of complex analysis. *Iran. J. Sci. Technol. Trans. A Sci.* **2020**, *44*, 327–344. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
8. Srivastava, H.M. Some parametric and argument variations of the operators of fractional calculus and related special functions and integral transformations. *J. Nonlinear Convex Anal.* **2021**, *22*, 1501–1520.

9. Aldweby, H.; Darus, M. A subclass of harmonic univalent functions associated with q -analogue of Dziok-Srivastava operator. *ISRN Math. Anal.* **2013**, *2013*, 382312. [[CrossRef](#)]
10. Aldweby, H.; Darus, M. On harmonic meromorphic functions associated with basic hypergeometric functions. *Sci. World J.* **2013**, *2013*, 164287.
11. Aldweby, H.; Darus, M. Some subordination results on q -analogue of Ruscheweyh differential operator. *Abst. Appl. Anal.* **2014**, *2014*, 958563. [[CrossRef](#)]
12. Hussain, S.; Khan, S.; Zaighum, M.A.; Darus, M. Applications of a q -Salagean type operator on multivalent function. *J. Inequal. Appl.* **2018**, *1*, 301–312. [[CrossRef](#)]
13. Mohammed, A.; Darus, M. A generalized operator involving the q -hypergeometric function. *Mat. Vesnik.* **2013**, *65*, 454–465.
14. Mahmood, S.; Sokol, J. New subclass of analytic functions in conical domain associated with Ruscheweyh q -differential operator. *Results Math.* **2017**, *71*, 1345–1357. [[CrossRef](#)]
15. Cruz, A.M.D.; Martins, N. The q -symmetric variational calculus. *Comput. Math. Appl.* **2012**, *64*, 2241–2250. [[CrossRef](#)]
16. Lavagno, A. Basicdeformed quantum mechanics. *Rep. Math. Phys.* **2009**, *64*, 79–88. [[CrossRef](#)]
17. Altinkaya, S.; Kanas, S.; Yalcin, S. Subclass of k uniformly starlike functions defined by symmetric q -derivative operator. *Ukr. Math. J.* **2018**, *70*, 1727–1740.
18. Khan, S.; Hussain, S.; Naeem, M.; Darus, M.; Rasheed, A. A subclass of q -starlike functions defined by using a symmetric q -derivative operator and related with generalized symmetric conic domains. *Mathematics* **2021**, *9*, 917. [[CrossRef](#)]
19. Khan, S.; Khan, N.; Hussain, A.; Araci, S.; Khan, B.; Al-Sulami, H.H. Applications of symmetric conic domains to a subclass of q -starlike functions. *Symmetry* **2022**, *14*, 803. [[CrossRef](#)]
20. Khan, M.F.; Goswami, A.; Khan, S. Certain new subclass of multivalent q -starlike functions associated with q -symmetric calculus. *Fractal Fract.* **2022**, *6*, 367. [[CrossRef](#)]
21. Khan, M.F.; Khan, S.; Khan, N.; Younis, J.; Khan, B. Applications of q -symmetric derivative operator to the subclass of analytic and bi-univalent functions involving the faber polynomial coefficients. *Math. Probl. Eng.* **2022**, *2022*, 4250878. [[CrossRef](#)]
22. Kamel, B.; Yosr, S. On some symmetric q -special functions. *Le Mat.* **2013**, *68*, 107–122.
23. Janowski, W. Some extremal problems for certain families of analytic functions. *Ann. Polon. Math.* **1973**, *28*, 297–326. [[CrossRef](#)]
24. Ruscheweyh, S. New criteria for univalent functions. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **1975**, *49*, 109–115. [[CrossRef](#)]
25. Rao, G.S.; Saravanan, R. Some results concerning best uniform coapproximation. *J. Pure Appl. Math.* **2002**, *3*, 24.
26. Rao, G.S.; Chandrasekaran, K.R. Characterization of elements of best coapproximation in normed linear spaces. *Pure Appl. Math. Sci.* **1987**, *26*, 139–147.
27. Robertson, M.S. Certain classes of starlike functions. *Mich. Math. J.* **1985**, *32*, 135–140. [[CrossRef](#)]
28. Miller, S.S.; Mocanu, P.T. Differential subordinations and univalent functions. *Mich. Math. J.* **1981**, *28*, 157–172. [[CrossRef](#)]

Disclaimer/Publisher’s Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.