

# Supplementary Materials: Different Erionite Species Bind Iron into the Structure: A Potential Explanation for Fibrous Erionite Toxicity

Alessandro Pacella, Carlo Cremisini, Elisa Nardi, Maria Rita Montereali, Ida Pettiti, Matteo Giordani, Michele Mattioli and Paolo Ballirano

**Table S1.** Experimental details and miscellaneous data of the Rietveld refinements. Statistical parameters as defined in Young [76].

Experimental details		
Instrument	Bruker AXS D8 Advance	
Radiation	CuK $\alpha$	
Detector	PSD VÅNTEC-1	
Sample mount	Rotating capillary (60 r/min)	
Incident beam optics	60 mm focusing multilayer (Göbel) X-ray mirror	
Angular range ( $^{\circ}2\theta$ )	5-145	
( $\sin / \lambda$ )max ( $\text{\AA}^{-1}$ )	0.619	
Step size ( $^{\circ}2\theta$ )	0.022	
Counting time (s)	10	
Miscellaneous data	Samples	
	Pristine	Fe (II) loaded
Calcite (wt %)	1.55(9)	-
Quartz (wt %)	0.63(2)	0.556(18)
RBragg (%)	0.23	0.14
RP (%)	1.49	0.97
RWP (%)	2.04	1.40

**Table S2.** Comparison of cell parameters and volume,  $\epsilon_0$  microstrain, and principal ellipsoid radii of pristine and Fe (II) loaded samples. For comparison purposes data of woolly erionite-Na from Durkee, Oregon [61] are reported.

Sample	$a$ (Å)	$c$ (Å)	$c/a$	Volume (Å <sup>3</sup> )	$\epsilon_0$	$r_a$ (nm)	$r_c$ (nm)	$r_c/r_a$
Pristine	13.2560(4)	15.0473(5)	1.1351	2289.90(15)	0.193(5)	18.6(2)	51.1(18)	2.75
500 $\mu$ M FeCl <sub>2</sub>	13.2627(4)	15.0409(5)	1.1341	2291.24(16)	0.168(5)	15.19(18)	51.3(2)	3.38
Woolly erionite-Na	13.2357(5)	15.0652(6)	1.1382	2285.6(2)	0.200(7)	15.5(2)	79(5)	5.10

**Table S3.** Si, Al partition at T1 and T2 sites and calculation of the R ratio following Jones [72].

Sample	<T1-O>	<T2-O>	T1	T2	T	R
Pristine	1.6279	1.6465	Si <sub>20.28</sub> Al <sub>3.72</sub>	Si <sub>8.70</sub> Al <sub>3.30</sub>	Si <sub>28.98</sub> Al <sub>7.02</sub>	0.805
500 $\mu$ M FeCl <sub>2</sub>	1.6255	1.6493	Si <sub>20.64</sub> Al <sub>3.36</sub>	Si <sub>8.49</sub> Al <sub>3.51</sub>	Si <sub>29.13</sub> Al <sub>6.87</sub>	0.809