Possible Physical Mechanisms in the Galaxy to Cause Homochiral Biomaterials for Life
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Abstract: The origin of homochirality in life remains a mystery that some believe is essential for life, and which may result from chiral symmetry breaking interactions with galactic organic material.
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1. Introduction

For more than a century, there has been evidence for the chiral nature of life forms on Earth. Pasteur was among the first to point this out (1848–1880), and the universal nature of chiral symmetry breaking in DNA and RNA is now very well established for all life forms. Figure 1 and 2 show how the homochirality is manifest at the molecular level. We note that amino acids are L and DNA is largely D handed.

With the discovery of parity violation within charged current reactions in 1956, and of the weak neutral currents (WNCs) in 1973, two universal symmetry-breaking processes (WNC and β-decay) were uncovered that could have determined the handedness of DNA and RNA. The main problem is the extremely small symmetry-breaking effects (\(\Delta E/k_B T \sim 10^{-17}\)). However there are plausible non-linear mechanisms that could have amplified this small, symmetry-breaking phase transition up to the full symmetry-breaking level observed in life forms [1–11].
Figure 1. Examples of biomolecules that are isomers; some of which exist in nature and some that do not.

Figure 2. Various components of symmetry breaking in molecules.

(a) Spatial only

(b) Electron spin alignment
   (spin–orbit interaction in biological materials)
   Possible tests for (a) or (b) or both:
   1. CPL destructive
   2. Spin–orbit asymmetry of $e^+$ beam interactions

For many years, there have been several issues associated with the homochiral structure of biomolecules, as first observed by Pasteur in 1848:

(a) Is a homochiral structure necessary for life as we know it?
(b) Did homochirality precede the formation of life (homochiral prebiotic medium hypothesis)?
(c) Is there any reasonable physical mechanism that could have produced the large chiral symmetry breaking in the prebiotic medium or in the observed homochiral structure?
(d) Is the homochiral structure an accident that occurred in biological systems, which was later amplified?
(e) Can the homochirality be used as a signature for existing, or previous, living systems in the solar system or other parts of our galaxy?
(f) Are there any experiments that can be carried out now to clarify the origin of homochirality?
(g) Work on the RNA world and the homochirality of biomolecules is promising. See, for example, Reference [39–41].

Table 1. Trends in life origins.

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>DNA: Self replication would not work with heterochiral systems (50% L and 50% D).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Errors in DNA Replication: Without a pure chiral structure, the error rate in replication would be unacceptable for long-lived systems (higher animal forms, trees, etc.).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>In a prebiotic medium, homochirality must have been either (a) or (b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(a) Established in a very short time on Earth (≤ 100 Million years)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(b) Existed in Interstellar Medium (ISM) organic materials near the solar system</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Recently, there has been increasing interest in the chiral nature or handedness of biomolecules. In fact, there are some who claim that the complex biomolecular structure of life must have arisen from a “chiral pure” medium [12,13]. This may be a precondition for the emergence of self-replicating biomolecular systems. Table 1 lists some of these ideas, which have been put forward largely by W. Bonner and V. Goldanskii [12,13]. We also point out a recent review in Reference [14]; see also Reference [15] for more recent details. Life on Earth is likely to have originated between 3.8 and 3.5 billion years ago. This estimate of time, along with the previous concept of the prebiotic medium, leaves a small window of 300 million years or less for life to have emerged from that prebiotic medium. Indeed, some speculate that the time could be less than 10 million years. Recently, some evidence has been obtained for an excess of L amino acids in the Murchison meteorite [37]. This and other observations may point to an extraterrestrial origin of homochirality [37].

We now turn to the experiments that study possible asymmetry processes. Over a period of 20 years, many experiments have been carried out (see Reference [12] for a nearly complete list). However, it appears that nearly every positive effect that was observed has turned out to be incorrect. In Table 2, we list some experimental results that are not yet refuted or are in direct conflict with previous null effects. The observation that circularly polarized light destroys L and D isomers selectively (entry 1 in Table 2) is now well established.

The other experiment to which we will refer is that of entry 2 (also in Table 2), the study of Cherenkov light in L or D material with chiral e^- (β-decay) [20]. The authors claim an effect of perhaps $10^{-2}$ magnitude. This is, in our belief, far too large an effect, but a future study of Cherenkov radiation from L or D materials with polarized e^- beams could be promising.

In Figure 3, we show the current limits or observations of asymmetry. The $e^+$ measurement of Gridley et al. [18] is a very nice experiment. See also references [16] and [17]. Our conclusion is that no present experiment has reached the level of sensitivity needed to observe an effect. Therefore, it is premature to count out the weak force as a determining factor in the origin of homochirality in life.
Figure 3. Most recently published calculations of the expected asymmetry for the scattering of e* from chiral molecules. We also include some recent measurements of the limits on such an asymmetry.

Table 2. Experiments where a chiral effect has been observed (and not refuted).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experiment</th>
<th>Beam/Source</th>
<th>Target</th>
<th>Detection</th>
<th>Comments</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 CPL on L/D/ photo absorption</td>
<td>UV/keV radiation</td>
<td>DC tartaric acid, DL alanine, DL glutamic</td>
<td>Observe destructive difference in one chirality</td>
<td>To be expected from optical activity</td>
<td>Norden [19]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 e− target → Č light</td>
<td>p32 source and Ca137 (no chiral electrons)</td>
<td>R- or S-PBA</td>
<td>Observe different Č light intensity due to chiral electrons</td>
<td>Effect too large to be due to Č radiation from spin effects</td>
<td>Garay et al. [20]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Co60 → γ + (L,D)</td>
<td>Co60 γs</td>
<td>D or L alanine</td>
<td>Observe different amounts of L,D after irradiation</td>
<td>Other experiments did not produce this effect</td>
<td>Akabosh et al. [21]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Introduction of L/D/ chiral particles</td>
<td>Sr90, Y90 β-decay</td>
<td>γ90 D or L alanine</td>
<td>Detects effects by electron spin resonance technique</td>
<td>The electron spin resonance may be sensitive to spin dependence</td>
<td>Conte et al. [22]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Low-energy polarized e− beam</td>
<td>GaAs polarized source 5 eV</td>
<td>Camphor L,D</td>
<td>Observe different electron polarization and beam attenuation in L/D</td>
<td>At such low energy, asymmetry may be too large</td>
<td>Campbell et al. [23]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. Organic Molecules in Space and the Possible Role of Nearby Supernovae and Neutron Stars

One of the main themes of recent attempts to understand life on Earth is the likelihood that most of the early organic material on Earth was brought in by comets and asteroids. Reference [15] gives a nice introduction, from different points of view, to this concept. There are some interesting “large numbers” to consider in this regard:
(1) The estimated amount of dust matter in the galaxy is ~$10^{-4}$ mG, or $\leq 10^7$ solar masses, largely in the form of dust grains. A fraction of that material is in the form of organic materials [26].

(2) It has not been possible to measure the amount of interstellar dust that has accumulated on the Earth (some of this dust would have brought organic material) [27,28].

(3) In a molecular cloud with a density of $10^4$ M/cm$^3$ and a radius of 1 parsec, there could be a complex of organic matter equal to 100 solar masses.

(4) The Earth revolves around the galaxy every ~250 million years, and it likely encounters several dense layers of molecular clouds in this trajectory.

(5) It is likely that large quantities of organic material were deposited in the Earth in the first billion years.

The above information is obtained by observing the infrared scattering of dust in the galaxy and by modeling various UV-driven processes here on Earth [26]. Ultraviolet photo processing plays an important role in the organic chemistry of the dust particles [27]; see also Reference [28]. Figure 4 shows the nature of a dust grain with prebiotic molecules inside [29].

**Figure 4.** The structure of grains, when they initially accrete, is inferred from laboratory simulations, in which mixtures of water, methane, ammonia, and other simple molecules are subjected to UV irradiation at 10 K. (a) Each grain begins as a silicate core that condensed in the atmosphere of a cool giant star. Around this core, a mantle of ice forms. Ultraviolet radiation breaks some of the mantle molecules into radicals or reactive molecular fragments. (b) The radicals can then recombine in new ways. (c) Over a longer period, the continued UV irradiation of the grain can give rise to ever more complex mixtures of molecules and radicals. Data from Reference 29, which was used in the talk of Greenburg M. at the Homochiralty Symp., Santa Monica, CA, USA, 1995.

We discuss here two scenarios where chiral interactions in the ISM could have led to a preponderance of homochiral molecules. These two concepts are outlined in Table 3, with Figure 5 giving a fairly complete description of the hypothesis. The second hypothesis is illustrated in Figure 6, where the possibility of the relative survival of the $e^\pm$ polarization deep within the cloud is also illustrated. Of course, during this time, the effect of the WNC can be driving the system towards a homochiral state.
Figure 5. Possible extraterrestrial origin of terrestrial homochirality.

Table 3. Possible sources of CPL (UV/keV) radiation in dense molecular clouds.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primordial Soup – Molecular Clouds (ISM)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Synchronotron radiation from neutron stars ([12,26])</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPL helicity depends on position (<em>i.e.</em>, above or below star)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In principle, this mechanism works. However, on the 250-Myr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>orbit around the galaxy, this effect is expected to average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>out.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Radiation from weak interaction processes [30]-injection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>into molecular cloud</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Processes: supernova II $\nu_e$ interaction, Al26 from nearby</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>supernovas, etc.;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Because of grain structure, $dE/dx$ will be very different</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>from that of solids, gases, or liquids;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Always gives the same chiral symmetry breaking;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>An act as a chiral impulse along with WNC.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Let us consider the rate of these three effects:

(1) For $\bar{\nu}_e$ absorption and a supernova 1 parsec away (or inside a 1-parsec dense cloud), the number of interactions will be $\sim 10^{-3} / \text{kg}$ of material for 100 M$\odot$ of organic material (which would be $10^{12}$ g of organic matter that is active), therefore the positron from the $\bar{\nu}_e$ interactions would lose energy at a rate of $10^{-19}$ MeV/cm, and thus travel over a parsec. ($\bar{\nu}_e$ is an antielectron neutrino).

(2) For the coherent $\nu_x + N \rightarrow \nu_x + N$, and for the carbon in the hydrocarbons, we would have $\sim 10^2$ more or $\sim 10^{14}$ grams of active material. Note that $\nu_x$ stands for all types of neutrinos. This effect could be very important in light of the small energy difference that separates L and D molecules, and the possibility of large coherent effects.

(3) For the Al$^{26}$ over the half-life, there would be $\sim 10^{50}$ decays producing $\sim 10^{50}$ positrons that lose energy at the rate of $10^{19}$ MeV/cm; for MeV positrons, the range would be on the order of a parsec (ignoring possible magnetic-field effects).
2.1. Direct Interaction of the Supernova II Neutrino

Consider the example where 0.001 $M_\odot$ of Al$^{26}$ is produced and assume ($M_\odot$ is the mass of the sun), for simplicity, that the energy of the $e^+$ is 1 MeV and is contained in the gas cloud. Assume that the cloud has a density of $10^4$ atoms/cm$^3$ and that $10^{-3}$ of the atoms are organic. The stopping power for $e^+$ would then be

$$\frac{dE}{dx} \sim \text{MeV g}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-3}$$

and for a density of $\rho = 10^4$ atoms/cm$^3 \sim 10^{-17}$ g/cm$^3$, we find

$$dx \sim \frac{(\text{MeV} / \rho)dE}{\rho} \sim 10^{19} \text{ cm} \sim 3 \text{ parsec}$$

and for an average energy exchange of 10 eV, we have

$$10^5 \text{ collisions / Al}^{26} \text{ decay}$$

For 0.001 $M_\odot$ of Al$^{26}$ and a $10^{-3}$ organic fraction, we obtain a total of $\sim 10^{50}$ collisions of polarized positrons, with organic materials in the cloud, assuming all of the $e^+$ stop in the cloud (we assume that only one of the collisions can result in spin exchange). There will also be the same order of polarized photons from the $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ annihilation. It is estimated in [17] and [24] that the asymmetry due to the weak interaction would be of order $10^{-11}$ to $10^{-6}$, depending on the positron energy {it scales like $\alpha^2 [\alpha / (\nu/c)]^2$}. Thus, it takes N $\sim 10^{22}$ interactions for the asymmetric to become statistically important. In this example there are far more interactions.

More recently we have considered the main effects of a SN II explosion in a hydrocarbon cloud. We estimate that the larger anti-neutrino interaction rate would cause a chirality breaking effect and estimate this effect in Table 4. See Reference [34].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Estimated rate of $V_e$ Interactions in the Dense Presolar Cloud</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$N_e \sim 10^{57}$ from SNII</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Assume SN I parsec from cloud]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Assume: Cloud density $10^4$ protons/cm$^3$ [fraction of organic material] $10^{-3}$ -- Range of $e^+$ 1 parsec; Number of $V$ interactions $\sim 10^{35} =$ Number of $e^+$ for interaction with organics in cloud $> 10^{30}$

We estimate that only $10^{22}$ interactions are necessary to produce asymmetry. From this, it is clear a much larger asymmetry is produced.

It appears that supernova neutrinos could include a chiral symmetry breaking in the ISM that could be transferred to the biomolecules of life. I wish to thank Goldanskii for some very useful conversations while he was a Regents Lecturer at UCLA and I regret his passing; please see Reference [5].
3. Other Calculations of Chiral Symmetry Breaking

The process shown in Figure 6 could come about in similar ways. Here are two examples:

(a) Effects of cosmological neutrinos on the discrimination between the two enantiomers of a chiral molecule [35]. This concept is similar in spirit to that of Cline and the authors reference that work [34]. The basic concept in this work is that cosmological neutrinos and antineutrinos interact with the electrons in organic materials in the galaxy. This results in a split in the energy of the different chiral systems. This energy split is enhanced by the contributions if all the electrons in the molecules, and other mechanisms, up to the point of a larger chiral symmetry breaking.

(b) A relativistic neutron fireball from a supernova explosion is a possible source of chiral influence [36]. This concept is also similar to the one by Cline [34]. However, in this case, it is the decay of neutrons \( n \rightarrow p + e^- + \bar{\nu}_e \) that leads to polarized electrons that destroy organic material differently for L and D enantiomers. This is shown in Figure 3 and explained in the text. This work studies the effect of neutrinos from the supernova fireball with a Lorentz factor of one hundred. The relativistic electron-proton plasma (from the neutron decays) is slowed down by collective effect. There is high chiral efficiency for such electrons. The electron interactions and the photons produced both help destroy one chiral state, leading to the dominance of the other. This idea is very similar to that of Cline [34].

In Reference [38], new arguments are made for the emergence of homochiral materials from the circular polarization found in star forming regions. This concept is similar to that of Bonner [7,12] but with a different origin for the polarized light.

4. Summary of Concepts of the Production of Homochiral Molecules in the Galaxy

The best of all these ideas is to find organic material that is homochiral in prebiotic systems. The Rosetta mission to an asteroid or comet could discover this effect [33]. There is already some evidence from the L excess of meteoritic amino acids [37] in the morchism and other meteorites.
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