

Article

Three Convergence Results for Iterates of Nonlinear Mappings in Metric Spaces with Graphs

Alexander J. Zaslavski

Department of Mathematics, The Technion—Israel Institute of Technology, Haifa 32000, Israel;
ajzasl@technion.ac.il

Abstract: In 2007, in our joint work with D. Butnariu and S. Reich, we proved that if for a self-mapping of a complete metric that is uniformly continuous on bounded sets all its iterates converge uniformly on bounded sets, then this convergence is stable under the presence of small errors. In the present paper, we obtain an extension of this result for self-mappings of a metric space with a graph.

Keywords: graph; fixed point; iterate; metric space

MSC: 47H09; 47H10; 54E50

1. Introduction

During the last sixty years, many results have been obtained in the fixed-point theory of nonlinear operators in complete metric spaces [1–15]. The first result in this area of research is Banach’s celebrated theorem [16], which shows the existence of a unique fixed point of a strict contraction. This area of research includes the analysis of the asymptotic behavior of (inexact) iterates of a nonexpansive operator and their convergence to its fixed points. This research is also devoted to feasibility, common fixed points, iterative methods and variational inequalities with numerous applications in engineering and the medical and natural sciences [17–24].

In our joint paper with D. Butnariu and S. Reich [5], we proved that if for a self-mapping of a complete metric that is uniformly continuous on bounded sets all its iterates converge uniformly on bounded sets, then this convergence is stable under the presence of a small errors. In our present work, we obtain an extension of this result for self-mappings of a metric space with a graph. We also obtain a convergence result for a contractive-type mapping in a metric space with a graph.

It should be mentioned that nonexpansive mappings in metric spaces with graphs have recently been studied in [10,25–34].

2. The First and the Second Main Results

Assume that (X, ρ) is a metric space. For every point $u \in X$ and each nonempty set $D \subset X$, set

$$\rho(u, D) := \inf\{\rho(u, v) : v \in D\}.$$

For every point $u \in X$ and each number $r > 0$, put

$$B(u, r) := \{v \in X : \rho(u, v) \leq r\}.$$

For every operator $S : X \rightarrow X$, set $S^0(u) = u$ for all $u \in X$, $S^1 = S$ and $S^{i+1} = S \circ S^i$ for every nonnegative integer i . We denote the set of all fixed points of S by $F(S)$.

Assume that G is a graph such that $V(G) \subset X$ is the set of all its vertices and the set $E(G) \subset X \times X$ is the set of all its edges. We also assume that

$$(x, x) \in E(G), \quad x \in X.$$



Citation: Zaslavski, A.J. Three Convergence Results for Iterates of Nonlinear Mappings in Metric Spaces with Graphs. *Symmetry* **2023**, *15*, 1756. <https://doi.org/10.3390/sym15091756>

Academic Editor: Wei-Shih Du

Received: 22 August 2023

Revised: 7 September 2023

Accepted: 11 September 2023

Published: 13 September 2023



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

The graph G is identified with the pair $(V(G), E(G))$.

Fix $\theta \in X$.

Assume that $A : X \rightarrow X$ is a mapping and that the following assumptions hold:

(A1) There exists a unique point $x_A \in X$ satisfying $A(x_A) = x_A$.

(A2) $A^n(x) \rightarrow x_A$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ uniformly over all bounded subsets of X .

(A3) A is bounded on bounded subsets of X .

(A4) For each $\epsilon, M > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for each $x, y \in B(\theta, M)$ satisfying

$$(x, y) \in E(G) \text{ and } \rho(x, y) \leq \delta$$

the relations

$$(A(x), A(y)) \in E(G) \text{ and } \rho(A(x), A(y)) \leq \epsilon$$

are valid.

The next result is proved in Section 3.

Theorem 1. Assume that K is a nonempty bounded subset of X and that $\epsilon > 0$. Then, there exist $\delta > 0$ and a natural number N such that for each integer $n \geq N$ and each sequence $\{x_i\}_{i=0}^n \subset X$, which satisfies

$$x_0 \in K$$

and

$$\rho(A(x_i), x_{i+1}) \leq \delta \text{ and } (A(x_i), x_{i+1}) \in E(G)$$

for each integer $i \in \{0, \dots, n - 1\}$, the inequalities

$$\rho(x_i, x_A) \leq \epsilon, \quad i = N, \dots, n$$

and

$$\rho(x_i, A^i(x_0)) \leq \epsilon, \quad i = 0, \dots, 2N$$

hold.

Since Theorem 1 holds for any positive ϵ , it easily implies the following result.

Corollary 1. Assume that $\{x_i\}_{i=0}^\infty$ is a bounded sequence such that

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \rho(A(x_i), x_{i+1}) = 0$$

and that $(A(x_i), x_{i+1}) \in E(G)$ for all integers $i \geq 0$. Then, $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} x_i = x_A$.

The next result is also proved in Section 3.

Theorem 2. Assume that $\epsilon > 0$. Then, there exist $\delta > 0$ such that for each sequence $\{x_i\}_{i=0}^\infty$, which satisfies

$$\rho(x_0, x_A) \leq \delta$$

and

$$\rho(A(x_i), x_{i+1}) \leq \delta \text{ and } (A(x_i), x_{i+1}) \in E(G)$$

for each integer $i \geq 0$, the inequality

$$\rho(x_i, x_A) \leq \epsilon$$

holds for each integer $i \geq 0$.

It should be mentioned that our results are obtained for a large class of operators. They cover the case when $E(G) = X \times X$, which was considered in [5], the class of nonexpansive mappings $A : X \rightarrow X$ on a metric space X with graphs satisfying

$$\rho(A(x), A(y)) \leq \rho(x, y)$$

for each $(x, y) \in E(G)$. It also contains the class of monotone nonexpansive mappings [35,36] and the class of uniformly locally nonexpansive mappings [37].

3. Proofs of Theorems 1 and 2

3.1. Proof of Theorem 1

We may assume without loss of generality that

$$\epsilon < 1/4, B(x_A, 8) \subset K. \tag{1}$$

Assumption (A2) implies that there exists an integer $N \geq 8$ for which

$$\rho(A^n(x), x_A) \leq \epsilon/4 \text{ for each integer } n \geq N \text{ and each } x \in K. \tag{2}$$

Assumption (A3) implies that $A^m(K)$ is bounded for all integers $m \geq 1$. Thus, there is $S > 0$ for which

$$A^i(K) \subset B(x_A, S), i = 0, \dots, 2N. \tag{3}$$

By induction and (A4), there exists $\{\gamma_i\}_{i=0}^{2N} \subset (0, \infty)$ such that

$$\gamma_{2N} = \epsilon(16N)^{-1} \tag{4}$$

and that for each $i = 0, \dots, 2N - 1$,

$$\gamma_i \leq \gamma_{i+1}(4N)^{-1} \tag{5}$$

and

$$(A(x), A(y)) \in E(G) \text{ and } \rho(A(x), A(y)) \leq (4N)^{-1}\gamma_{i+1} \tag{6}$$

for all $x, y \in B(x_A, S + 4)$ satisfying $\rho(x, y) \leq \gamma_i, (x, y) \in E(G)$.

Set

$$\delta = \gamma_0/2. \tag{7}$$

Lemma 1. Assume that $\{z_i\}_{i=0}^{2N} \subset X$ satisfies

$$z_0 \in K \tag{8}$$

and for each $i = 0, \dots, 2N - 1$,

$$\rho(z_{i+1}, A(z_i)) \leq \delta, (A(z_i), z_{i+1}) \in E(G). \tag{9}$$

Then,

$$\rho(z_i, A^i(z_0)) \leq \epsilon, i = 0, \dots, 2N$$

and

$$\rho(z_i, x_A) \leq \epsilon, i = N, \dots, 2N.$$

Proof. In view of (7) and (9),

$$\rho(z_1, A(z_0)) \leq \gamma_0, (A(z_0), z_1) \in E(G). \tag{10}$$

Equations (3) and (10) imply that

$$A(z_0) \in B(x_A, S), z_1 \in B(x_A, S + 1). \tag{11}$$

It follows from (6), (7), (9) and (11) that

$$\rho(A^2(z_0), A(z_1)) \leq \gamma_1(4N)^{-1}, (A^2(z_0), A(z_1)) \in E(G).$$

By (9),

$$(A(z_1), z_2) \in E(G), \rho(A(z_1), z_2) \leq \gamma_0 \leq \gamma_1(4N)^{-1}.$$

Assume that $k \in \{1, \dots, 2N - 1\}$ and that for each $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$

$$(A^{k-i+1}(z_{i-1}), A^{k-i}(z_i)) \in E(G) \tag{12}$$

and

$$\rho(A^{k-i+1}(z_{i-1}), A^{k-i}(z_i)) \leq \gamma_{k-1}. \tag{13}$$

(By (9), Equations (12) and (13) hold for $k = 1$.) By (3), (4), (8) and (13),

$$\rho(A^k(z_0), x_A) \leq S \tag{14}$$

and for each $p \in \{1, \dots, k\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(A^k(z_0), A^{k-p}(z_p)) &\leq \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \rho(A^{k-i}(z_i), A^{k-i-1}(z_{i+1})) \\ &\leq p\gamma_{k-1} \leq 2N\gamma_{2N} \leq \epsilon/8. \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

It follows from (14) and (15) that for each $p \in \{1, \dots, k\}$,

$$\rho(x_A, A^{k-p}(z_p)) \leq S + 1, \tag{16}$$

$$\rho(A^k(z_0), z_k) \leq \epsilon/8. \tag{17}$$

By (6), (13) and (16), for each $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$,

$$(A^{k-i+2}(z_{i-1}), A^{k-i+1}(z_i)) \in E(G),$$

and

$$\rho(A^{k-i+2}(z_{i-1}), A^{k-i+1}(z_i)) \leq \gamma_k.$$

In view of (9),

$$(A(z_k), z_{k+1}) \in E(G), \quad \rho(A(z_k), z_{k+1}) \leq \gamma_k.$$

Thus, the assumption made for k also holds for $k + 1$. Therefore, we showed by induction that our assumption holds for $k = 1, \dots, 2N$ and that for all $k = 1, \dots, 2N$,

$$\rho(A^k(z_0), z_k) \leq \epsilon/8. \tag{18}$$

By (2), (8) and (18), for each $i \in \{N, \dots, 2N\}$,

$$\rho(z_i, x_A) \leq \rho(z_i, A^i(z_0)) + \rho(A^i(z_0), x_A) \leq \epsilon/8 + \epsilon/4.$$

Lemma 1 is proved. \square

Let us complete the proof of Theorem 1. Assume that $n \geq N$ is an integer and that the sequence $\{x_i\}_{i=0}^n \subset X$ satisfies

$$x_0 \in K \tag{19}$$

and for every $i \in \{0, \dots, n - 1\}$,

$$\rho(x_{i+1}, A(x_i)) \leq \delta, \quad (A(x_i), x_{i+1}) \in E(G). \tag{20}$$

In $n \leq 2N$, then the assertion of Theorem 1 follows from Lemma 1. Therefore, we may assume without loss of generality that

$$n > 2N.$$

Lemma 1 implies that

$$\rho(x_j, x_A) \leq \epsilon, \quad j = N, \dots, 2N. \tag{21}$$

We prove that

$$\rho(x_j, x_A) \leq \epsilon, \quad j = N, \dots, n.$$

Assume the contrary. Then, there exists an integer $q \in (2N, n]$ such that

$$\rho(x_q, x_A) > \epsilon. \tag{22}$$

By (21) and (22), we may assume without loss of generality that

$$\rho(x_j, x_A) \leq \epsilon, \quad j \in \{2N, \dots, q - 1\}. \tag{23}$$

Define

$$z_j = x_{j+q-N}, \quad j = 0, \dots, N, \quad z_{j+1} = A(z_j), \quad j = N, \dots, 2N - 1. \tag{24}$$

We show that $\{z_i\}_{i=0}^{2N}$ satisfies the assumptions of Lemma 1. By (20) and (24), we need only to show that $z_0 \in K$. In view of (21), (23) and (24),

$$z_0 = x_{q-N}, \quad \rho(z_0, x_A) \leq \epsilon$$

and

$$z_0 \in K.$$

Lemma 1 and (24) imply that

$$\rho(x_A, x_q) = \rho(x_A, z_N) \leq \epsilon.$$

This contradicts (22). The contradiction we have reached completes the proof of Theorem 1.

3.2. Proof of Theorem 2

Proof. We may assume that $\epsilon < 1$. Set

$$K = B(x_A, 4).$$

Theorem 1 and the continuity of A at x_A imply that there exist

$$\delta \in (0, \epsilon/2)$$

and a natural number N such that the following property holds:

(a) For each integer $n \geq N$ and each sequence $\{x_i\}_{i=0}^n \subset X$ that satisfies

$$x_0 \in K$$

and

$$\rho(A(x_i), x_{i+1}) \leq \delta \text{ and } (A(x_i), x_{i+1}) \in E(G)$$

for each integer $i \in \{0, \dots, n - 1\}$, the inequalities

$$\rho(x_i, x_A) \leq \epsilon/8, \quad i = N, \dots, n, \tag{25}$$

$$\rho(x_i, A^i(x_0)) \leq \epsilon/8, \quad i = 0, \dots, 2N \tag{26}$$

and

$$\rho(A^i(x_0), x_A) \leq \epsilon/8, \quad i = 0, \dots, 2N \tag{27}$$

hold.

Assume that an integer $n \geq N$ and that a sequence $\{x_i\}_{i=0}^n \subset X$ satisfy

$$\rho(x_0, x_A) \leq \delta$$

and for each integer $i \in \{0, \dots, n - 1\}$,

$$\rho(A(x_i), x_{i+1}) \leq \delta \text{ and } (A(x_i), x_{i+1}) \in E(G).$$

Then, by property (a), Equations (25)–(27) hold. By (26) and (27), for each $i \in \{0, \dots, N\}$,

$$\rho(x_i, x_A) \leq \rho(x_i, A^i(x_0)) + \rho(A^i(x_0), x_A) < \epsilon.$$

Theorem 2 is proved. \square

4. The Third Main Result

Assume that (X, ρ) is a complete metric space and G is a graph such that $V(G) \subset X$ is the set of all its vertices and the set $E(G) \subset X \times X$ is the set of all its edges. We also assume that the space X is bounded:

$$D := \sup\{\rho(x, y) : x, y \in X\} < \infty.$$

Assume that Q is a natural number Q such that the following assumption holds:

(A5) For each $x, y \in X$ there exist $x_0, \dots, x_q \in X$ such that $q \leq Q$,

$$x_0 = x, \quad x_q = y,$$

$$(x_i, x_{i+1}) \in E(G), \quad i = 0, \dots, q - 1.$$

Assume that $A : X \rightarrow X$ and that $\phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is a decreasing function such that

$$\phi(t) < 1 \text{ for all } t > 0 \tag{28}$$

and that the following assumption holds:

(A6) For all $x, y \in X$, if $(x, y) \in E(G)$, then $(A(x), A(y)) \in E(G)$ and

$$\rho(A(x), A(y)) \leq \phi(\rho(x, y))\rho(x, y).$$

We prove the following result.

Theorem 3. *There exists $x_A \in X$ such that $A^n(x) \rightarrow x_A$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ uniformly for $x \in X$. Moreover, if A is continuous at x_A , then $A(x_A) = x_A$.*

Proof. Let $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$. In order to prove our theorem, it is sufficient to show that there exists a natural number p such that for each $x, y \in X$,

$$\rho(A^p(x), A^p(y)) \leq \epsilon.$$

Choose an integer

$$p > 1 + \epsilon^{-1}Q^2D(1 - \phi(\epsilon Q^{-1})). \tag{29}$$

Let $x, y \in X$. By (A5), there exist an integer $q \leq Q$ and $x_0, \dots, x_q \in X$ such that

$$x_0 = x, x_q = y, \tag{30}$$

$$(x_i, x_{i+1}) \in E(G), i = 0, \dots, q - 1. \tag{31}$$

It order to complete the proof, it is sufficient to show that there exists $j \in \{0, \dots, p\}$ such that

$$\rho(A^j(x_i), A^j(x_{i+1})) \leq \epsilon/Q, i = 0, \dots, Q - 1.$$

Assume the contrary. Then, for each $j \in \{0, \dots, p\}$,

$$\max\{\rho(A^j(x_i), A^j(x_{i+1})) : i = 0, \dots, q - 1\} > \epsilon/Q.$$

Let $j \in \{0, \dots, p\}$. In view of the equation above, there exists

$$i_j \in \{0, \dots, q - 1\}$$

such that

$$\rho(A^j(x_{i_j}), A^j(x_{i_j+1})) > \epsilon/Q. \tag{32}$$

Assumption (A6) and (31) imply that

$$\rho(A^{j+1}(x_i), A^{j+1}(x_{i+1})) \leq \rho(A^j(x_i), A^j(x_{i+1})), i = 0, \dots, q - 1. \tag{33}$$

Assumption (A6) and (31), (32) imply that

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(A^{j+1}(x_{i_j}), A^{j+1}(x_{i_j+1})) &\leq \phi(\rho(A^j(x_{i_j}), A^j(x_{i_j+1})))\rho(A^j(x_{i_j}), A^j(x_{i_j+1})) \\ &\leq \phi(\epsilon/Q)\rho(A^j(x_{i_j}), A^j(x_{i_j+1})) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\rho(A^j(x_{i_j}), A^j(x_{i_j+1})) - \rho(A^{j+1}(x_{i_j}), A^{j+1}(x_{i_j+1})) \\ &\geq (1 - \phi(\epsilon/Q))\rho(A^j(x_{i_j}), A^j(x_{i_j+1})) \geq (1 - \phi(\epsilon/Q))\epsilon/Q. \end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

By (33) and (34),

$$\sum_{i=0}^{q-1} \rho(A^j(x_i), A^j(x_{i+1})) - \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} \rho(A^{j+1}(x_i), A^{j+1}(x_{i+1})) \geq (1 - \phi(\epsilon/Q))\epsilon/Q. \tag{35}$$

In view of (35),

$$\begin{aligned} QD &\geq \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} \rho(x_i, x_{i+1}) \\ &\geq \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} \rho(x_i, x_{i+1}) - \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} \rho(A^p(x_i), A^p(x_{i+1})) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{q-1} \rho(A^j(x_i), A^j(x_{i+1})) \right) - \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} \rho(A^{j+1}(x_i), A^{j+1}(x_{i+1})) \\
&\geq p\epsilon Q^{-1}(1 - \phi(\epsilon/Q))
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$p \leq \epsilon^{-1} Q^2 D (1 - \phi(\epsilon/Q)).$$

This contradicts (29). The contradiction we have reached completes the proof of Theorem 3. \square

5. Conclusions

In this paper, we study the behaviour of inexact iterates of a self-mapping A of a metric space with a graph. Assuming that A is bounded on bounded sets and that it uniformly converges on bounded sets to a unique fixed point, we show that this convergence is stable under the presence of computational errors. A prototype of our results for self-mappings of a metric space without graphs was obtained in our joint paper with D. Butnariu and S. Reich [5]. It should be mentioned that our results are obtained for a large class of operators. They cover the case when $E(G) = X \times X$, which was considered in [5], the class of nonexpansive mappings $A : X \rightarrow X$ on a metric space X with graphs satisfying

$$\rho(A(x), A(y)) \leq \rho(x, y)$$

for each $(x, y) \in E(G)$. This also contains the class of monotone nonexpansive mappings [35,36] and the class of uniformly locally nonexpansive mappings [37].

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Data Availability Statement: No new data were created or analyzed in this study. Data sharing is not applicable to this article.

Conflicts of Interest: The author declares no conflict of interest.

References

- Aslantas, M.; Sahin, H.; Turkoglu, D. Some Caristi type fixed point theorems. *J. Anal.* **2021**, *29*, 89–103. [CrossRef]
- Baitiche, Z.; Derbazi, C.; Alzabut, J.; Samei, M.E.; Kaabar, M.K.A. Monotone iterative method for Langevin equation in terms of Caputo fractional derivative and nonlinear boundary conditions. *Fractal Fract.* **2021**, *5*, 81. [CrossRef]
- Betiuk-Pilarska, A.; Domínguez Benavides, T. Fixed points for nonexpansive mappings and generalized nonexpansive mappings on Banach lattices. *Pure Appl. Funct. Anal.* **2016**, *1*, 343–359.
- Bruck, R.E.; Kirk, W.A.; Reich, S. Strong and weak convergence theorems for locally nonexpansive mappings in Banach spaces. *Nonlinear Anal.* **1982**, *6*, 151–155. [CrossRef]
- Butnariu, D.; Reich, S.; Zaslavski, A.J. Asymptotic behavior of inexact orbits for a class of operators in complete metric spaces. *J. Appl. Anal.* **2007**, *13*, 1–11. [CrossRef]
- De Blasi, F.S.; Myjak, J. Sur la convergence des approximations successives pour les contractions non linéaires dans un espace de Banach. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris* **1976**, *283*, 185–187.
- Edelstein, M. An extension of Banach's contraction principle. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **1961**, *12*, 7–10.
- Goebel, K.; Kirk, W.A. *Topics in Metric Fixed Point Theory*; Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, UK, 1990.
- Goebel, K.; Reich, S. *Uniform Convexity, Hyperbolic Geometry, and Nonexpansive Mappings*; Marcel Dekker: New York, NY, USA; Basel, Switzerland, 1984.
- Jachymski, J. Extensions of the Dugundji-Granas and Nadler's theorems on the continuity of fixed points. *Pure Appl. Funct. Anal.* **2017**, *2*, 657–666.
- Kirk, W.A. Contraction mappings and extensions. In *Handbook of Metric Fixed Point Theory*; Kluwer: Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 2001; pp. 1–34.
- Kubota, R.; Takahashi, W.; Takeuchi, Y. Extensions of Browder's demiclosedness principle and Reich's lemma and their applications. *Pure Appl. Funct. Anal.* **2016**, *1*, 63–84.
- Ostrowski, A.M. The round-off stability of iterations. *Z. Für Angew. Math. Und Mech.* **1967**, *47*, 77–81. [CrossRef]
- Rakotch, E. A note on contractive mappings. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **1962**, *13*, 459–465. [CrossRef]
- Samei, M.E. Convergence of an iterative scheme for multifunctions on fuzzy metric spaces. *Sahand Commun. Math. Anal.* **2019**, *15*, 91–106. [CrossRef]

16. Banach, S. Sur les opérations dans les ensembles abstraits et leur application aux équations intégrales. *Fund. Math.* **1922**, *3*, 133–181. [[CrossRef](#)]
17. Butnariu, D.; Davidi, R.; Herman, G.T.; Kazantsev, I.G. Stable convergence behavior under summable perturbations of a class of projection methods for convex feasibility and optimization problems. *IEEE J. Sel. Top. Signal Process.* **2007**, *1*, 540–547. [[CrossRef](#)]
18. Censor, Y.; Davidi, R.; Herman, G.T. Perturbation resilience and superiorization of iterative algorithms. *Inverse Probl.* **2010**, *26*, 12. [[CrossRef](#)]
19. Censor, Y.; Davidi, R.; Herman, G.T.; Schulte, R.W.; Tetrushvili, L. Projected subgradient minimization versus superiorization. *J. Optim. Theory Appl.* **2014**, *160*, 730–747. [[CrossRef](#)]
20. Censor, Y.; Zaknoon, M. Algorithms and convergence results of projection methods for inconsistent feasibility problems: A review. *Pure Appl. Funct. Anal.* **2018**, *3*, 565–586.
21. Gibali, A. A new split inverse problem and an application to least intensity feasible solutions. *Pure Appl. Funct. Anal.* **2017**, *2*, 243–258.
22. Nikazad, T.; Davidi, R.; Herman, G.T. Accelerated perturbation-resilient block-iterative projection methods with application to image reconstruction. *Inverse Probl.* **2012**, *28*, 19. [[CrossRef](#)]
23. Takahashi, W. The split common fixed point problem and the shrinking projection method for new nonlinear mappings in two Banach spaces. *Pure Appl. Funct. Anal.* **2017**, *2*, 685–699.
24. Takahashi, W. A general iterative method for split common fixed point problems in Hilbert spaces and applications. *Pure Appl. Funct. Anal.* **2018**, *3*, 349–369.
25. Aleomraninejad, S.M.A.; Rezapour, S.; Shahzad, N. Some fixed point results on a metric space with a graph. *Topol. Appl.* **2012**, *159*, 659–663. [[CrossRef](#)]
26. Bojor, F. Fixed point of ϕ -contraction in metric spaces endowed with a graph. *Ann. Univ. Craiova Math. Comput. Sci. Ser.* **2010**, *37*, 85–92.
27. Bojor, F. Fixed point theorems for Reich type contractions on metric spaces with a graph. *Nonlinear Anal.* **2012**, *75*, 3895–3901. [[CrossRef](#)]
28. Jachymski, J. The contraction principle for mappings on a metric space with a graph. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **2008**, *136*, 1359–1373. [[CrossRef](#)]
29. Petrusel, A.; Petrusel, G.; Yao, J.C. Multi-valued graph contraction principle with applications. *Optimization* **2020**, *69*, 1541–1556. [[CrossRef](#)]
30. Petrusel, A.; Petrusel, G.; Yao, J.C. Graph contractions in vector-valued metric spaces and applications. *Optimization* **2021**, *70*, 763–775. [[CrossRef](#)]
31. Reich, S.; Zaslavski, A.J. Convergence of inexact iterates of contractive mappings in metric spaces with graphs. *JP J. Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **2021**, *16*, 67–75. [[CrossRef](#)]
32. Reich, S.; Zaslavski, A.J. Contractive mappings on metric spaces with graphs. *Mathematics* **2021**, *9*, 2774. [[CrossRef](#)]
33. Reich, S.; Zaslavski, A.J. Convergence of inexact iterates of strict contractions in metric spaces with graphs. *J. Appl. Numer. Optim.* **2022**, *4*, 215–220.
34. Samei, M.E. Some fixed point results on intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces with a graph. *Sahand Commun. Math. Anal.* **2019**, *13*, 141–152. [[CrossRef](#)]
35. Nieto, J.J.; Rodríguez-Lopez, R. Contractive mapping theorems in partially ordered sets and applications to ordinary differential equations. *Order* **2006**, *22*, 223–239. [[CrossRef](#)]
36. Ran, A.C.; Reurings, M.C.B. A fixed point theorem in partially ordered sets and some applications to matrix equations. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **2004**, *132*, 1435–1443. [[CrossRef](#)]
37. Reich, S.; Zaslavski, A.J. Three convergence results for inexact iterates of uniformly locally nonexpansive mappings. *Symmetry* **2023**, *15*, 1084. [[CrossRef](#)]

Disclaimer/Publisher’s Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.