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#### Abstract

In this paper, we propose to present a novel technique for designing cryptographically strong substitution-boxes using cubic polynomial mapping. The proposed cubic polynomial mapping is proficient to map the input sequence to a strong $8 \times 8$ S-box meeting the requirements of a bijective function. The use of cubic polynomial maintains the simplicity of S-box construction method and found consistent when compared with other existing S-box techniques used to construct S-boxes. An example proposed S-box is obtained which is analytically evaluated using standard performance criteria including nonlinearity, bijection, bit independence, strict avalanche effect, linear approximation probability, and differential uniformity. The performance results are equated with some recently scrutinized S-boxes to ascertain its cryptographic forte. The critical analyses endorse that the proposed S-box construction technique is considerably innovative and effective to generate cryptographic strong substitution-boxes.
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## 1. Introduction

Recent technological innovations and their fruitful usage in real life have resulted in an immense growth in the volume of data being communicated. The sensitive nature of data demands for techniques to be developed and measures to protect from misuse. Before transmission, a user's data must be transformed in such a form that is meaningless to an attacker. Symmetric block ciphers are among the most widely used techniques to fulfill this purpose due to the easy implementation and being the providers of much needed cryptographic strength [1,2]. One popular type of block cipher uses substitution and permutation operations. This type of block cipher transforms an input block of data (plaintext) into a meaningless output block (ciphertext) by using a symmetric key and different number of rounds. Generally, each round performs substitution and permutation processes on the input block of data. A substitution process replaces an input block with another output block using substitution box (S-box) [3]. Advanced Encryption Standard (AES), as an example, is most commonly used symmetric block cipher.

An S-box is a decisive component of recent block ciphers and generates a scrambled ciphertext from the given plaintext. An S-box, being the only nonlinear constituent of modern block ciphers, offers a complex relationship between the plaintext and the ciphertext. This relation is called confusion [4]. Whatever security a block cipher provides is reliant on the confusion in the ciphertext created by an S-box. As a result, many researchers are designing novel S-boxes and evaluating the strength of their respective S-boxes against some typical benchmarks such as bijective-ness, strict avalanche criterion (SAC), nonlinearity, bit independence criterion (BIC), linear and differential probabilities, etc. In [5-7], a number of properties have been suggested to be existent in an S-box to be able to resist various cryptanalytic attacks. An S-box possessing most of these properties provides more security.

## 2. Related Work

In literature, a number of techniques and tools are adopted for synthesis of cryptographically potent Substitution-boxes. L. R. Dragomir et al. [8] projected a technique to build repositories of vigorous and resistant S-boxes which can assist while customizing the block cryptosystems. An S-box having high nonlinearity provides more resistance against linear cryptanalysis [9]. AES employs highly nonlinear S-box in its various rounds for the encryption and decryption processes. Authors in [10-13] proposed different enrichments to the security presented by AES by optimizing the AES S-box in different aspects. A block cipher having a static S-box employs the unchanged S-box in each round. A static S-box allows the invaders to inspect S-box features, discover its flaws, and eventually get a chance of cryptanalysis of the muddled ciphertext produced by the block cipher [5,14,15]. Due to the limitations of static S-boxes, a large number of researchers have explored the ideas for S-box design such as randomness, dynamicity, and key-dependency. Mostly, a key-dependent and dynamic S-box improves the strength of the respective block cipher. For instance, Marcin Niemiec et al. [16] and K. Kazlauskas et al. [17] used key-dependent S-boxes and proposed methods to produce enormous quantity of strong S-Boxes. Authors [18-21] proposed key-dependent dynamic S-boxes and analyses show that proposed S-boxes are cryptographically very strong. C. Easttom [22] indicated various inefficiencies like added processing time, etc. and present in key-dependent S-boxes. Authors [23] proposed enhancements in AES by introducing key-dependent S-box.

The avalanche effect is one of the many needed landscapes of today's block ciphers [24]. This feature of block ciphers necessitates that single bit modification in the plaintext or key should create significant variations in the resulting ciphertext. Small value of avalanche effect indicates a weaker block cipher, and hence the ciphertext produced by such cipher may be a victim of a cryptanalytic effort. Various simple methods proposed in [25] can be used efficiently to calculate SAC and investigate a given S-Box for completeness and cryptographic strength. Authors [24,26] analyzed AES and other S-boxes, evaluated their avalanche effect, and concluded that AES S-boxes have the maximum avalanche effect. Dynamic S-boxes proposed by $[27,28]$ demonstrate respectable avalanche effect as compared to the standard AES S-boxes. Further analysis of the proposed and AES S-boxes reveals that AES and new ciphers are independent of each other and AES has more efficiency.

Chaos is a prevalent spectacle with features of sensitivity, randomness, spread spectrum, periodicity, etc. These chaos topographies make chaotic systems as a choice for the development of modern ciphers and many researchers have used chaos in the design of S-boxes. Authors [29-39] suggested S-boxes based on chaotic map and analyzed these along with the other existing S-box design methods. Analyses disclosed that the proposed S-boxes are strong against different attacks and hence suggest their usage in modern block ciphers. Advanced form of chaos called hyperchaotic is solider than the chaos against cryptanalysis efforts due to its dynamic complexities. Using its strength, authors [40-43] designed a number of S-boxes based on hyperchaotic concepts while each S-box is very effective bearing the features like SAC, BIC, etc. [44] is another recently designed S-box using chaos and line equilibrium suitable for medical devices, etc.

Many other researchers have designed S-Boxes using several techniques and concepts like graph isomorphism [45], coset diagrams [46], linear fractional transformation [47-50], etc. Ciphers based on S-box are highly dependent on the security features of the used S-box. A tool is needed to critically analyze an S-box and check its security against some standard criteria. The authors of [51] have developed a program to evaluate the cryptographic performance of any S-box.

In this paper, an efficient technique to construct S-boxes has been suggested. The proposed technique is a pioneering one and diverse from the methods offered in the literature as we have recommended a cubic polynomial mapping and explored it for the design of robust S-Boxes. After the construction of an S-Box, its recital analysis has been carried out to evaluate its cryptographic strength. A comparison with other lately designed S-Boxes inspires about its strength. The analytical results stimulate the usage of the proposed S-Box in modern block ciphers.

The organization of the rest of the paper is as follows. Section 2 offers the design of the proposed S-Box. Performance analysis of the proposed S-Box against cryptographic landscapes is conferred in Section 3 and a comparison is made with some recently designed S-boxes. Section 4 completes the research paper with conclusions.

## 3. Proposed Substitution-Box Design

Most of the symmetric block ciphers use one or more S-boxes for substitution purpose to bring in the sufficient confusion. An S-box provides the confusion facility between the plaintext and the ciphertext through a nonlinear mapping. The researchers have comprehensively explored such nonlinear mappings to construct S-boxes having different cryptographic strength. However, the process of S-Box construction using these techniques is very complex and inefficient.

We present a very simple and efficient nonlinear mapping to construct strong S-boxes. We call this nonlinear mapping as Cubic Polynomial Mapping (CPM). The proposed cubic polynomial mapping is a function having the following general form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{t})=\left[\mathrm{A} * \mathrm{t}^{3}+\mathrm{B}\right]\left(\bmod \left(2^{\mathrm{n}}+1\right)\right) \quad \mathrm{t} \in \mathrm{~N} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, $N=\left\{0,1, \ldots \ldots, 2^{n}-1\right\}$, mod operation gives the remainder, and both $A$ and $B \in N-\{0\}$ to construct an S-box of size $\mathrm{n} \times \mathrm{n}$. A cubic polynomial mapping demonstrates a nonlinear behavior and is an inspiration for byte substitution. To ornate the erection of the proposed S-Box by Equation (1), let us have an explicit type of cubic polynomial function as specified in Equation (2). For $\mathrm{n}=8$, we have N $=\left\{0,1, \ldots \ldots, 2^{\text {n }}-1\right\}=\left\{0,1, \ldots \ldots, 2^{8}-1\right\}=\{0,1, \ldots \ldots, 255\}$. One can choose any values for A and $B(A, B \in N-\{0\})$ to be used in Equation (1). For the sake of an example here, we have chosen $A=69$, and $B=100$. CPM function $C(t)$ specified in Equation (2) spawns values $N-\{31\}$ when $t \in$ $N-\{135\}$. When $t=135, C(t)$ calculates to $256 \notin N$. To preserve the function $C(t)$ as bijective one, we explicitly describe the value of $\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{t})$ for $\mathrm{t}=135$ as habituated in Equation (2). A CPM function $\mathrm{C}: \mathrm{N} \rightarrow \mathrm{N}$ to generate $8 \times 8$-box is given as:

$$
C(t)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
{\left[69 * t^{3}+100\right](\bmod 257)} & t \in N-\{135\}  \tag{2}\\
31 & t=135
\end{array}\right\} .
$$

This particular cubic polynomial of Equation (2) produces values of our proposed $8 \times 8$ S-box which are arranged in $16 \times 16$ matrix as presented in Table 1 .

Table 1. Proposed S-Box.

| 100 | 169 | 138 | 164 | 147 | 244 | 98 | 123 | 219 | 29 | 224 | 190 | 84 | 63 | 27 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 24 | 114 | 46 | 234 | 64 | 207 | 49 | 4 | 229 | 110 | 61 | 239 | 30 | 105 | 107 |
| 6 | 217 | 212 | 148 | 182 | 214 | 144 | 129 | 69 | 121 | 185 | 161 | 206 | 220 | 103 |
| 104 | 22 | 180 | 221 | 45 | 66 | 184 | 42 | 54 | 120 | 140 | 14 | 156 | 209 | 73 |
| 119 | 101 | 8 | 254 | 225 | 78 | 227 | 58 | 242 | 165 | 241 | 113 | 195 | 130 | 75 |
| 109 | 255 | 11 | 48 | 9 | 51 | 74 | 235 | 177 | 57 | 32 | 2 | 124 | 41 | 167 |
| 132 | 28 | 247 | 175 | 226 | 43 | 40 | 117 | 174 | 111 | 85 | 253 | 1 | 0 | 150 |
| 246 | 249 | 3 | 179 | 163 | 112 | 183 | 19 | 34 | 128 | 201 | 153 | 141 | 65 | 82 |
| 252 | 205 | 108 | 118 | 135 | 59 | 47 | 31 | 72 | 166 | 181 | 17 | 88 | 37 | 21 |
| 208 | 211 | 106 | 50 | 200 | 199 | 204 | 115 | 89 | 26 | 83 | 160 | 157 | 231 | 25 |
| 172 | 68 | 55 | 33 | 159 | 76 | 198 | 168 | 143 | 23 | 222 | 126 | 149 | 191 | 152 |
| 189 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 202 | 91 | 13 | 125 | 70 | 5 | 87 | 216 | 35 | 215 | 142 | 230 | 122 | 232 | 203 |
| 99 | 81 | 38 | 127 | 248 | 44 | 186 | 60 | 80 | 146 | 158 | 16 | 134 | 155 | 236 |
| 178 | 96 | 188 | 97 | 237 | 251 | 39 | 15 | 79 | 131 | 71 | 56 | 243 | 18 | 52 |
| 240 | 194 | 7 | 93 | 95 | 170 | 218 | 139 | 90 | 228 | 196 | 151 | 250 | 136 | 223 |
| 86 | 176 | 67 | 173 | 137 | 116 | 10 | 233 | 171 | 238 | 77 | 102 | 213 | 53 | 36 |
| 84 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## 4. Performance Results

In this section, we investigate our novel technique and proposed S-box given in Table 1 for broadly established standard S-box performance benchmarks to measure its cryptographic strength.

### 4.1. Bijectiveness

For two sets X and Y , a function $\mathrm{f}: \mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$ is bijective if and only if it is one-to-one and onto simultaneously. One-to-one mapping requires that each element of set $X$ is matching with just one element of set $Y$. Onto mapping requires that each element of set $Y$ has distinct pre-image in set $X$. CPM function $\mathrm{C}: \mathrm{N} \rightarrow \mathrm{N}$ is bijective as it produces distinct output values for distinct input values having image $(C)=N$ and pre-image $(C)=N$, where $N=\{0,1, \ldots, 254,255\}$.

### 4.2. Strict Avalanche Criterion (SAC)

The SAC criterion [52,53] is an imperative feature for any cryptographic S-box which states that if a single bit is changed in the input, this change should modify half of the output bits. An S-box having a value of SAC closer to 0.5 has decent uncertainty. Dependency matrix providing the SAC values of proposed S-box is given in Table 2. It is evident from Table 2 that the average SAC value of the S-Box is equal to 0.5 . This SAC value is an indication that the proposed S-box gratifies SAC property in a respectable manner.

Table 2. Dependency matrix for strict avalanche criterion (SAC) values.

| 0.500 | 0.469 | 0.500 | 0.516 | 0.547 | 0.453 | 0.563 | 0.469 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0.531 | 0.578 | 0.453 | 0.500 | 0.453 | 0.484 | 0.531 | 0.531 |
| 0.531 | 0.484 | 0.547 | 0.531 | 0.594 | 0.469 | 0.516 | 0.484 |
| 0.469 | 0.531 | 0.500 | 0.516 | 0.453 | 0.547 | 0.531 | 0.516 |
| 0.438 | 0.531 | 0.406 | 0.500 | 0.500 | 0.453 | 0.547 | 0.484 |
| 0.563 | 0.500 | 0.453 | 0.500 | 0.531 | 0.453 | 0.468 | 0.547 |
| 0.563 | 0.516 | 0.531 | 0.547 | 0.469 | 0.422 | 0.531 | 0.531 |
| 0.547 | 0.563 | 0.438 | 0.578 | 0.516 | 0.516 | 0.516 | 0.500 |

### 4.3. Nonlinearity

If an S-box is designed in such a way that it has linear mapping between the plaintext and the ciphertext, it becomes easy to launch a linear cryptanalysis attack on the ciphertext to get the original plaintext. To resist this attack, an S-box must be designed with high nonlinear mapping between its input and output. Equation (3) is used to calculate the nonlinearity of an n-bit Boolean function $b(k)$ as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{NL}(\mathrm{~b})=\frac{1}{2}\left[2^{\mathrm{n}}-\left(\max _{\mathrm{h} \in\{0,1\}^{\mathrm{n}}}\left|\mathrm{WS}_{\mathrm{b}}(\mathrm{~h})\right|\right)\right], \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, $\mathrm{WS}_{\mathrm{b}}(\mathrm{h})=$ Walsh spectrum of function b , and it is calculated as:

$$
\mathrm{WS}_{\mathrm{b}}(\mathrm{~h})=\sum_{\mathrm{k} \in\{0,1\}^{\mathrm{n}}}(-1)^{\mathrm{b}(\mathrm{k}) \oplus \mathrm{k} . \mathrm{h}}
$$

where, $h \in\{0,1\}^{\mathrm{n}}$ and $\mathrm{k} . \mathrm{h}$ denotes the dot product of k and h , calculated as:

$$
\mathrm{k} . \mathrm{h}=\left(\mathrm{k}_{1} \oplus \mathrm{~h}_{1}\right)+\ldots+\left(\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{n}} \oplus \mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)
$$

The nonlinearity values of our S-box are $106,104,106,108,108,106,108$, and 108 with minimum of 104, maximum of 108, and average of 106.8. The nonlinearities of all eight constituent Boolean functions are also provided in Table 3.

Table 3. Nonlinearities of constituent Boolean functions of proposed S-box.

| Boolean Function | $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{4}}$ | $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{5}}$ | $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{6}}$ | $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{7}}$ | $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{8}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nonlinearity | 106 | 104 | 106 | 108 | 108 | 106 | 108 | 108 |

In Table 4, we make a comparison of proposed S-box and other recent S-boxes with respect to nonlinearity metric. It can be seen that proposed S-box has the right competence to insipid the linearity and thus the linear cryptanalysis is an uphill task for the attacker.

Table 4. Different S-boxes and the respective nonlinearity values.

| S-box Method | Minimum | Maximum | Average |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $[17]$ | 98 | 108 | 102.5 |
| $[28]$ | 96 | 110 | 104.3 |
| $[30]$ | 102 | 108 | 105.3 |
| $[38]$ | 102 | 108 | 105.3 |
| $[43]$ | 102 | 108 | 104.5 |
| $[44]$ | 104 | 110 | 106 |
| $[48]$ | 98 | 108 | 104 |
| $[54]$ | 98 | 108 | 104 |
| $[55]$ | 102 | 106 | 104 |
| $[56]$ | 102 | 108 | 105.3 |
| $[57]$ | 100 | 110 | 105.5 |
| $[58]$ | 104 | 106 | 105.3 |
| $[59]$ | 100 | 108 | 105.7 |
| $[60]$ | 100 | 108 | 104.8 |
| $[61]$ | 94 | 104 | 99.5 |
| $[63]$ | 96 | 108 | 103.5 |
| $[64]$ | 100 | 106 | 103.3 |
| P65] | 84 | 106 | 100 |
|  | 100 | 108 | 104.5 |

### 4.4. Bit Independence Criterion (BIC)

According to this criterion [52,53], the inversion of an input bit $p$ modifies output bits $q$ and $r$ without any dependence on each other. An S-box that makes the output bits independent of each other strengthens the security. If an S-box fulfills BIC property, all the constituent Boolean functions of that S-Box own high nonlinearity and also meet SAC very well. Tables 5 and 6 exhibit the nonlinearity and SAC values for constituent Boolean functions of the proposed S-Box.

Table 5. Bit independence criterion (BIC) results for nonlinearity.

| Boolean Function | $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{4}}$ | $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{5}}$ | $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{6}}$ | $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{7}}$ | $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{8}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{b}_{1}$ | - | 104 | 106 | 106 | 104 | 104 | 102 | 102 |
| $\mathrm{~b}_{2}$ | 104 | - | 104 | 102 | 108 | 104 | 104 | 100 |
| $\mathrm{~b}_{3}$ | 106 | 104 | - | 104 | 102 | 104 | 108 | 106 |
| $\mathrm{~b}_{4}$ | 106 | 102 | 104 | - | 106 | 106 | 100 | 102 |
| $\mathrm{~b}_{5}$ | 104 | 108 | 102 | 106 | - | 108 | 106 | 100 |
| $\mathrm{~b}_{6}$ | 104 | 104 | 104 | 106 | 108 | - | 98 | 106 |
| $\mathrm{~b}_{7}$ | 102 | 104 | 108 | 100 | 106 | 98 | - | 104 |
| $\mathrm{~b}_{8}$ | 102 | 100 | 106 | 102 | 100 | 106 | 104 | - |

Table 6. BIC results for SAC.

| Boolean Function | $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{4}}$ | $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{5}}$ | $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{6}}$ | $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{7}}$ | $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{8}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{b}_{1}$ | - | 0.502 | 0.510 | 0.506 | 0.500 | 0.504 | 0.484 | 0.477 |
| $\mathrm{~b}_{2}$ | 0.502 | - | 0.512 | 0.479 | 0.510 | 0.488 | 0.512 | 0.518 |
| $\mathrm{~b}_{3}$ | 0.510 | 0.512 | - | 0.479 | 0.520 | 0.492 | 0.461 | 0.500 |
| $\mathrm{~b}_{4}$ | 0.506 | 0.479 | 0.479 | - | 0.504 | 0.518 | 0.520 | 0.467 |
| $\mathrm{~b}_{5}$ | 0.500 | 0.510 | 0.520 | 0.504 | - | 0.521 | 0.498 | 0.510 |
| $\mathrm{~b}_{6}$ | 0.504 | 0.488 | 0.492 | 0.518 | 0.521 | - | 0.488 | 0.512 |
| $\mathrm{~b}_{7}$ | 0.484 | 0.512 | 0.461 | 0.520 | 0.498 | 0.488 | - | 0.504 |
| $\mathrm{~b}_{8}$ | 0.477 | 0.518 | 0.500 | 0.467 | 0.510 | 0.512 | 0.504 | - |

It is evident from Tables 5 and 6 that average nonlinearity and SAC values for BIC are 103.9 and 0.5, respectively. According to [53], if an S-box exhibit nonlinearity and SAC, it fulfills BIC. The obtained scores of 103.9 and 0.5 for proposed S-box clearly indicate an extremely weak linear association among the output bits and thus fully validate BIC of our S-box.

### 4.5. Linear Probability

The cryptologist of modern block ciphers tries to create ample confusion and diffusion of bits to secure the data against cryptanalytic efforts. Strong S-boxes help in achieving these requirements through nonlinear mapping between input and output. An S-box having low linear probability (LP) indicates higher nonlinear mapping and provides resistance against the linear cryptanalysis. Mathematically, Equation (4) is used to calculate the linear probability of an S-box:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{LP}=\max _{\alpha_{\mathrm{z}}, \boldsymbol{\beta}_{\mathbf{z}} \neq \mathbf{0}}\left|\frac{\#\left\{\mathrm{z} \in \mathrm{~N} \mid \mathrm{z} \cdot \alpha_{\mathrm{z}}=\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{z}) \cdot \beta_{\mathrm{z}}\right\}}{2^{\mathrm{n}}}-\frac{1}{2}\right| . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, $\alpha_{z}$ and $\beta_{z}$ are the corresponding input and output masks and $N=\{0,1, \ldots, 255\}$. Maximum value of LP of our S-box is only 0.140 , and thus provides good resistance against linear cryptanalysis.

### 4.6. Differential Probability

Differential cryptanalysis is considered as a useful tool to grasp the original plaintext. During this effort, variances in the plaintext and the ciphertext are found. The coupling of these variances assists the attackers to attain some part of the key. A low value of differential probability helps in resisting this attack. Differential probability (DP) is calculated as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{DP}=\max _{\Delta_{\mathrm{z}} \neq 0, \Delta_{\mathrm{y}}}\left[\frac{\#\{\mathrm{z} \in \mathrm{~N} \mid \mathrm{S}(\mathrm{z}) \oplus \mathrm{S}(\mathrm{z} \oplus \Delta \mathrm{z})=\Delta \mathrm{y}}{2^{\mathrm{n}}}\right] \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, $\Delta \mathrm{z}$ and $\Delta \mathrm{y}$ are corresponding input and output differentials. An S-box with smaller differentials is sturdier to deter differential cryptanalysis. Table 7 shows that the proposed S-box has value of differential probability as 0.054 . This small value indicates that the proposed S-Box provides respectable resistance to differential cryptanalytic efforts.

Table 7. Performance comparison of different S-boxes.

| S-box <br> Method | Nonlinearity <br> Min. Max. Average |  |  | SAC | BIC-NL | LP | DP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $[17]$ | 98 | 108 | 102.5 | 0.492 | 103.3 | 0.141 | 0.062 |
| $[28]$ | 96 | 110 | 104.3 | 0.497 | 103.4 | 0.133 | 0.047 |
| $[30]$ | 102 | 108 | 105.3 | 0.491 | 103.6 | 0.133 | 0.039 |
| $[38]$ | 102 | 108 | 105.3 | 0.496 | 103.8 | 0.156 | 0.039 |
| $[43]$ | 102 | 108 | 104.5 | 0.498 | 104.6 | 0.125 | 0.047 |
| $[44]$ | 104 | 110 | 106 | 0.520 | 104.2 | 0.132 | 0.039 |
| $[48]$ | 98 | 108 | 104 | 0.505 | 103.4 | 0.133 | 0.250 |
| $[54]$ | 98 | 108 | 104 | 0.507 | 102.9 | 0.086 | 0.047 |
| $[55]$ | 102 | 106 | 104 | 0.498 | 102.9 | 0.148 | 0.039 |
| $[56]$ | 102 | 108 | 105.3 | 0.502 | 103.7 | 0.125 | 0.047 |
| $[57]$ | 100 | 110 | 105.5 | 0.499 | 106 | 0.133 | 0.125 |
| $[58]$ | 104 | 106 | 105.3 | 0.504 | 104.6 | 0.133 | 0.039 |
| $[59]$ | 100 | 108 | 105.7 | 0.498 | 104.3 | 0.109 | 0.047 |
| $[60]$ | 100 | 108 | 104.8 | 0.501 | 105.1 | 0.125 | 0.125 |
| $[61]$ | 94 | 104 | 99.5 | 0.516 | 101.7 | 0.132 | 0.281 |
| $[62]$ | 96 | 108 | 103.5 | 0.494 | 103.6 | 0.152 | 0.039 |
| $[63]$ | 100 | 106 | 103.3 | 0.505 | 103.7 | 0.133 | 0.039 |
| $[64]$ | 84 | 106 | 100 | 0.481 | 101.9 | 0.180 | 0.063 |
| $[65]$ | 100 | 108 | 104.5 | 0.498 | 103.6 | 0.141 | 0.047 |
| Proposed | 104 | 108 | 106.8 | 0.507 | 103.9 | 0.140 | 0.054 |

### 4.7. Performance Comparison

Using cryptographic features, a performance comparison of proposed S-box and other S-boxes is given in Table 7. Our verdicts are given below:

- Our S-box has average value of nonlinearity greater than the other S-boxes in Table 7. As a result, proposed S-box provides good resistance against linear cryptanalysis.
- Table 7 validates that SAC value (0.507) of proposed S-box is very near to ideal value of SAC (0.5). We can say that our S-box is gratifying SAC in a respectable manner.
- It can be observed from Tables 5-7 that the BIC value of the proposed S-box is quite good ensuing gratification of the BIC test.
- Differential probability value of proposed S-box is just 0.054. This small value of DP reveals the cryptographic strength of our S-box.
- Proposed S-Box has LP value equal to 0.140. This small value guarantees that our S-box has the potential to confront the linear cryptanalysis.


## 5. Conclusions

In this paper, using a new nonlinear mapping (cubic polynomial mapping), we have suggested an innovative and simple method to design efficient S-Boxes. Then the proposed S-Box is tested for cryptographic strength using different standard benchmarks. The analysis results are in harmony with the related S-boxes to justify our method. Recital of our S-Box sounds good when we compare it with topical S-boxes. The promising scores of BIC, nonlinearity, SAC, and other criteria of our S-Box reflect its potential candidature for future block ciphers. It is worth declaring that our proposed method is the pioneer one to explore the cubic polynomial mapping for S-Box design. One can expect the emergence of stronger S-boxes for secure transmission of data using cubic polynomial mapping in real life.
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