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#### Abstract

A finite-type immersion or smooth map is a nice tool to classify submanifolds of Euclidean space, which comes from the eigenvalue problem of immersion. The notion of generalized 1-type is a natural generalization of 1-type in the usual sense and pointwise 1-type. We classify ruled surfaces with a generalized 1-type Gauss map as part of a plane, a circular cylinder, a cylinder over a base curve of an infinite type, a helicoid, a right cone and a conical surface of G-type.
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## 1. Introduction

Nash's embedding theorem enables us to study Riemannian manifolds extensively by regarding a Riemannian manifold as a submanifold of Euclidean space with sufficiently high codimension. By means of such a setting, we can have rich geometric information from the intrinsic and extrinsic properties of submanifolds of Euclidean space. Inspired by the degree of algebraic varieties, B.-Y. Chen introduced the notion of order and type of submanifolds of Euclidean space. Furthermore, he developed the theory of finite-type submanifolds and estimated the total mean curvature of compact submanifolds of Euclidean space in the late 1970s ([1]).

In particular, the notion of finite-type immersion is a direct generalization of the eigenvalue problem relative to the immersion of a Riemannian manifold into a Euclidean space: Let $x: M \rightarrow \mathbb{E}^{m}$ be an isometric immersion of a submanifold $M$ into the Euclidean $m$-space $\mathbb{E}^{m}$ and $\Delta$ the Laplace operator of $M$ in $\mathbb{E}^{m}$. The submanifold $M$ is said to be of finite-type if $x$ has a spectral decomposition by $x=x_{0}+x_{1}+\ldots+x_{k}$, where $x_{0}$ is a constant vector and $x_{i}$ are the vector fields satisfying $\Delta x_{i}=\lambda_{i} x_{i}$ for some $\lambda_{i} \in \mathbb{R}(i=1,2, \ldots, k)$. If the eigenvalues $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots, \lambda_{k}$ are different, it is called $k$-type. Since this notion was introduced, many works have been made in this area (see [1,2]). This notion of finite-type immersion was naturally extended to that of pseudo-Riemannian manifolds in pseudo-Euclidean space and it was also applied to smooth maps, particularly the Gauss map defined on submanifolds of Euclidean space or pseudo-Euclidean space ([1,3-6]).

Regarding the Gauss map of finite-type, B.-Y. Chen and P. Piccini ([7]) studied compact surfaces with 1-type Gauss map, that is, $\Delta G=\lambda(G+\mathbb{C})$, where $\mathbb{C}$ is a constant vector and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. Since then, many works regarding finite-type Gauss map have been established ([1,3,4,8-15]).

However, some surfaces have an interesting property concerning the Gauss map: The helicoid in $\mathbb{E}^{3}$ parameterized by

$$
x(u, v)=(u \cos v, u \sin v, a v), \quad a \neq 0
$$

has the Gauss map and its Laplacian respectively given by

$$
G=\frac{1}{\sqrt{a^{2}+u^{2}}}(a \sin v,-a \cos v, u)
$$

and

$$
\Delta G=\frac{2 a^{2}}{\left(a^{2}+u^{2}\right)^{2}} G
$$

The right (or circular) cone $C_{a}$ with parametrization

$$
x(u, v)=(u \cos v, u \sin v, a u), \quad a \geq 0
$$

has the Gauss map

$$
G=\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+a^{2}}}(a \cos v, a \sin v,-1)
$$

which satisfies

$$
\Delta G=\frac{1}{u^{2}}\left(G+\left(0,0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+a^{2}}}\right)\right)
$$

(Reference [8,10]). The Gauss maps above are similar to be of 1-type, but not to be of the 1-type Gauss map in the usual sense. Based upon such cases, B.-Y. Chen and the present authors defined the notion of pointwise 1-type Gauss map ([8]).

Definition 1. A submanifold $M$ in $\mathbb{E}^{m}$ is said to have pointwise 1-type Gauss map if the Gauss map $G$ of $M$ satisfies

$$
\Delta G=f(G+\mathbb{C})
$$

for some non-zero smooth function $f$ and a constant vector $\mathbb{C}$. In particular, if $\mathbb{C}$ is zero, then the Gauss map is said to be of pointwise 1-type of the first kind. Otherwise, it is said to be of pointwise 1-type of the second kind.

Let $p$ be a point of $\mathbb{E}^{3}$ and $\beta=\beta(s)$ a unit speed curve such that $p$ does not lie on $\beta$. A surface parameterized by

$$
x(s, t)=p+t \beta(s)
$$

is called a conical surface. A typical conical surface is a right cone and a plane.
Let us consider a following example of a conical surface.
Example 1 ([15]). Let $M$ be a surface in $\mathbb{E}^{3}$ parameterized by

$$
x(s, t)=\left(s \cos ^{2} t, s \sin t \cos t, s \sin t\right) .
$$

Then, the Gauss map $G$ can be obtained by

$$
G=\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\cos ^{2} t}}\left(-\sin ^{3} t,\left(2-\cos ^{2} t\right) \cos t,-\cos ^{2} t\right)
$$

After a considerably long computation, its Laplacian turns out to be

$$
\Delta G=f G+g \mathbb{C}
$$

for some non-zero smooth functions $f, g$ and a constant vector $\mathbb{C}$. The surface $M$ is a kind of conical surfaces generated by a spherical curve $\beta(t)=\left(\cos ^{2} t, \sin t \cos t, \sin t\right)$ on the unit sphere $\mathbb{S}^{2}(1)$ centered at the origin.

Inspired by such an example, we would like to generalize the notion of pointwise 1-type Gauss map as follows:

Definition 2 ([15]). The Gauss map $G$ of a submanifold $M$ in $\mathbb{E}^{m}$ is of generalized 1-type if the Gauss map $G$ of $M$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta G=f G+g \mathbb{C} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some non-zero smooth functions $f, g$ and a constant vector $\mathbb{C}$.
Especially, we define a conical surface of G-type.
Definition 3. A conical surface with generalized 1-type Gauss map is called a conical surface of G-type.
Remark 1 ([15]). A conical surface of $G$-type is constructed by the functions $f, g$ and the constant vector $\mathbb{C}$ by solving the differential equations generated by Equation (1).

In [15], the authors classified flat surfaces with a generalized 1-type Gauss map in $\mathbb{E}^{3}$. In fact, flat surfaces are ruled surfaces which are locally cones, cylinders or tangent developable surfaces. In the present paper, without such an assumption of flatness, we prove that non-cylindrical ruled surfaces with a generalized 1-type Gauss map are flat and thus we completely classify ruled surfaces with generalized 1-type Gauss map in $\mathbb{E}^{3}$.

## 2. Preliminaries

Let $M$ be a surface of $\mathbb{E}^{3}$. The map $G: M \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{2}(1) \subset \mathbb{E}^{3}$ which maps each point $p$ of $M$ to a point $G_{p}$ of $\mathbb{S}^{2}(1)$ by identifying the unit normal vector $N_{p}$ to $M$ at the point with $G_{p}$ is called the Gauss map of the surface $M$, where $\mathbb{S}^{2}(1)$ is the unit sphere in $\mathbb{E}^{3}$ centered at the origin.

For the matrix $\tilde{g}=\left(\tilde{g}_{i j}\right)$ consisting of the components of the metric on $M$, we denote by $\tilde{g}^{-1}=\left(\tilde{g}^{i j}\right)$ (resp. $\mathcal{G}$ ) the inverse matrix (resp. the determinant) of the matrix $\left(\tilde{g}_{i j}\right)$. Then the Laplacian $\Delta$ on $M$ is in turn given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta=-\frac{1}{\sqrt{\mathcal{G}}} \sum_{i, j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}}\left(\sqrt{\mathcal{G}} \tilde{g}^{i j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{j}}\right) . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\alpha=\alpha(s)$ be a regular curve in $\mathbb{E}^{3}$ defined on an open interval $I$ and $\beta=\beta(s)$ a transversal vector field to $\alpha^{\prime}(s)$ along $\alpha$. Then a ruled surface $M$ can be parameterized by

$$
x(s, t)=\alpha(s)+t \beta(s), \quad s \in I, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}
$$

satisfying $\left\langle\alpha^{\prime}, \beta\right\rangle=0$ and $\langle\beta, \beta\rangle=1$, where ' denotes $d / d$. The curve $\alpha$ is called the base curve and $\beta$ the director vector field or ruling. It is said to be cylindrical if $\beta$ is constant, or, non-cylindrical otherwise.

Throughout this paper, we assume that all the functions and vector fields are smooth and surfaces under consideration are connected unless otherwise stated.

## 3. Cylindrical Ruled Surfaces in $\mathbb{E}^{3}$ with Generalized 1-Type Gauss Map

In this section, we study the cylindrical ruled surfaces with the generalized 1-type Gauss map in $\mathbb{E}^{3}$.

Let $M$ be a cylindrical ruled surface in $\mathbb{E}^{3}$. We can parameterize $M$ with a plane curve $\alpha=\alpha(s)$ and a constant vector $\beta$ as

$$
x(s, t)=\alpha(s)+t \beta
$$

Here the plane curve $\alpha$ is assumed to be defined by $\alpha(s)=\left(\alpha_{1}(s), \alpha_{2}(s), 0\right)$ with the arc length $s$ and $\beta$ a constant unit vector, namely $\beta=(0,0,1)$. In the sequel, the Gauss map $G$ of $M$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
G=\alpha^{\prime} \times \beta=\left(\alpha_{2}^{\prime},-\alpha_{1}^{\prime}, 0\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the Laplacian $\Delta G$ of the Gauss map $G$ using Equation (2) is obtained by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta G=\left(-\alpha_{2}^{\prime \prime \prime}, \alpha_{1}^{\prime \prime \prime}, 0\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ' stands for $d / d s$.
From now on, ' denotes the differentiation with respect to the parameter $s$ relative to the base curve.

Suppose that the Gauss map $G$ of $M$ is of generalized 1-type, i.e., $G$ satisfies Equation (1). We now consider two cases either $f=g$ or $f \neq g$.

Case 1. $f=g$.
In this case, the Gauss map $G$ is of pointwise 1-type described in Definition 1. According to Classification Theorem in [10,11], we have that the ruled surface $M$ is part of a plane, a circular cylinder or a cylinder over a base curve of an infinite-type satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sin ^{-1}\left(\frac{c^{2} f^{-\frac{1}{3}}-1}{\sqrt{c_{1}^{2}+c_{2}^{2}}}\right)-\sqrt{c_{1}^{2}+c_{2}^{2}-\left(c^{2} f^{-\frac{1}{3}}-1\right)^{2}}= \pm c^{3}(s+k) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbb{C}=\left(c_{1}, c_{2}, 0\right)$, and $c(\neq 0)$ and $k$ are constants.
Case 2. $f \neq g$.
By a direct computation using Equations (3) and (4), we see that the third component $c_{3}$ of the constant vector $\mathbb{C}$ is zero. We put $\mathbb{C}=\left(c_{1}, c_{2}, 0\right)$. Then, we have the following system of ordinary differential equations

$$
\begin{align*}
-\alpha_{2}^{\prime \prime \prime} & =f \alpha_{2}^{\prime}+g c_{1} \\
\alpha_{1}^{\prime \prime \prime} & =-f \alpha_{1}^{\prime}+g c_{2} \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\alpha$ is of unit speed, that is, $\left(\alpha_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\left(\alpha_{2}^{\prime}\right)^{2}=1$, we may put

$$
\alpha_{1}^{\prime}(s)=\cos \theta(s) \quad \text { and } \quad \alpha_{2}^{\prime}(s)=\sin \theta(s)
$$

for a smooth function $\theta=\theta(s)$ of $s$. One can write Equation (6) as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\theta^{\prime}\right)^{2} \sin \theta-\theta^{\prime \prime} \cos \theta=f \sin \theta+g c_{1} \\
& \left(\theta^{\prime}\right)^{2} \cos \theta+\theta^{\prime \prime} \sin \theta=f \cos \theta-g c_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

which give

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(\theta^{\prime}\right)^{2}=f+g\left(c_{1} \sin \theta-c_{2} \cos \theta\right)  \tag{7}\\
\quad-\theta^{\prime \prime}=g\left(c_{1} \cos \theta+c_{2} \sin \theta\right) \tag{8}
\end{gather*}
$$

Taking the derivative of Equation (7), we have

$$
2 \theta^{\prime} \theta^{\prime \prime}=f^{\prime}+g^{\prime}\left(c_{1} \sin \theta-c_{2} \cos \theta\right)+g\left(c_{1} \cos \theta+c_{2} \sin \theta\right) \theta^{\prime}
$$

With the help of Equations (7) and (8) it implies that

$$
\frac{3}{2}\left(\theta^{\prime 2}\right)^{\prime}=f^{\prime}+\frac{g^{\prime}}{g}\left(\left(\theta^{\prime}\right)^{2}-f\right)
$$

Solving the above differential equation, we get

$$
\theta^{\prime}(s)^{2}=k g^{\frac{2}{3}}(s)+\frac{2}{3} g^{\frac{2}{3}}(s) \int g^{-\frac{2}{3}}(s) f(s)\left(\frac{f^{\prime}}{f}-\frac{g^{\prime}}{g}\right) d s, \quad k(\neq 0) \in \mathbb{R}
$$

If we put

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta^{\prime}(s)= \pm \sqrt{p(s)} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p(s)=\left|k g^{\frac{2}{3}}(s)+\frac{2}{3} g^{\frac{2}{3}}(s) \int g^{-\frac{2}{3}}(s) f(s)\left(\frac{f^{\prime}}{f}-\frac{g^{\prime}}{g}\right) d s\right|$ for some non-zero constant $k$, we get a base curve $\alpha$ of $M$ as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha(s)=\left(\int \cos \theta(s) d s, \int \sin \theta(s) d s, 0\right) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\theta(s)= \pm \int \sqrt{p(s)} d s$. In fact, $\theta^{\prime}$ is the signed curvature of the curve $\alpha$ which is precisely determined by the given functions $f, g$ and the constant vector $\mathbb{C}$.

Note that if $f$ and $g$ are constant, the Gauss map $G$ is of 1-type in the usual sense. In this case, the signed curvature of $\alpha$ is non-zero constant and thus $M$ is part of a circular cylinder.

Suppose that one of the functions $f$ and $g$ is not constant. Since a plane curve in $\mathbb{E}^{3}$ is of finite-type if and only if it is part of a straight line or a circle, the base curve $\alpha$ defined by Equation (10) is of an infinite-type ([2]). Thus, by putting together Cases 1 and 2, we have a classification theorem as follows:

Theorem 1. Let $M$ be a cylindrical ruled surface in $\mathbb{E}^{3}$ with the generalized 1-type Gauss map. Then it is an open part of a plane, a circular cylinder or a cylinder over a base curve of an infinite-type satisfying Equations (5), (9) and (10).

## 4. Classification Theorem

In this section, we examine non-cylindrical ruled surfaces with generalized 1-type Gauss map in $\mathbb{E}^{3}$ and obtain a classification theorem.

Let $M$ be a non-cylindrical ruled surface in $\mathbb{E}^{3}$ parameterized by a base curve $\alpha$ and a director vector field $\beta$. Up to a rigid motion, its parametrization is given by

$$
x(s, t)=\alpha(s)+t \beta(s)
$$

such that $\left\langle\alpha^{\prime}, \beta\right\rangle=0,\langle\beta, \beta\rangle=1$ and $\left\langle\beta^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime}\right\rangle=1$. Then, we have an orthonormal frame $\left\{\beta, \beta^{\prime}, \beta \times \beta^{\prime}\right\}$ along $\alpha$. With the frame $\left\{x_{s}, x_{t}\right\}$, we define the smooth functions $q, u, Q$ and $R$ as follows:

$$
q=\left\langle x_{s}, x_{s}\right\rangle, \quad u=\left\langle\alpha^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime}\right\rangle, \quad Q=\left\langle\alpha^{\prime}, \beta \times \beta^{\prime}\right\rangle, \quad R=\left\langle\beta^{\prime \prime}, \beta \times \beta^{\prime}\right\rangle .
$$

With such functions above, we can express the vector fields $\alpha^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime \prime}, \alpha^{\prime} \times \beta, \beta \times \beta^{\prime \prime}$ in the following:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha^{\prime}=u \beta^{\prime}+Q \beta \times \beta^{\prime} \\
& \beta^{\prime \prime}=-\beta+R \beta \times \beta^{\prime} \\
& \alpha^{\prime} \times \beta=Q \beta^{\prime}-u \beta \times \beta^{\prime}  \tag{11}\\
& \beta \times \beta^{\prime \prime}=-R \beta^{\prime}
\end{align*}
$$

from which, the smooth function $q$ and the Gauss map $G$ are represented respectively as

$$
q=t^{2}+2 u t+u^{2}+Q^{2}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
G=\frac{x_{s} \times x_{t}}{\left\|x_{s} \times x_{t}\right\|}=q^{-1 / 2}\left(Q \beta^{\prime}-(u+t) \beta \times \beta^{\prime}\right) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, by straightforward computation, the mean curvature $H$ and the Gaussian curvature $K$ of $M$ are respectively represented as:

$$
\begin{align*}
& H=\frac{1}{2} q^{-3 / 2}\left(-R t^{2}-\left(2 u R+Q^{\prime}\right) t+u^{\prime} Q-Q^{2} R-u^{2} R-u Q^{\prime}\right) \\
& K=-\frac{Q^{2}}{q^{2}} \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

Remark 2. If $R=0$, then the director vector field $\beta$ is a plane curve.
By the Gauss and Weingarten formulas, the following equation is easily obtained:

$$
\Delta G=2 \nabla H+\left(\operatorname{tr} A^{2}\right) G
$$

where $\nabla H$ is the gradient of $H$ and $A$ denotes the shape operator of $M$. From Equation (13), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 \nabla H & =2 e_{1}(H) e_{1}+2 e_{2}(H) e_{2} \\
& =q^{-3} B_{1} e_{1}+q^{-5 / 2} A_{1} e_{2} \\
& =q^{-7 / 2}\left(q A_{1} \beta+(u+t) B_{1} \beta^{\prime}+Q B_{1} \beta \times \beta^{\prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $e_{1}=\frac{x_{s}}{\left\|x_{s}\right\|^{\prime}}, \quad e_{2}=\frac{x_{t}}{\left\|x_{t}\right\|^{\prime}}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{1}= & R t^{3}+\left(3 u R+2 Q^{\prime}\right) t^{2}+\left(Q^{2} R-3 u^{\prime} Q+3 u^{2} R+4 u Q^{\prime}\right) t \\
& +\left(u Q^{2} R-3 u u^{\prime} Q+u^{3} R+2 u^{2} Q^{\prime}-Q^{2} Q^{\prime}\right) \\
B_{1}= & 3\left(u^{\prime} t+u u^{\prime}+Q Q^{\prime}\right)\left\{R t^{2}+\left(2 u R+Q^{\prime}\right) t-u^{\prime} Q+Q^{2} R+u^{2} R+u Q^{\prime}\right\} \\
& +\left(t^{2}+2 u t+u^{2}+Q^{2}\right)\left\{-R^{\prime} t^{2}-\left(2 u^{\prime} R+2 u R^{\prime}+Q^{\prime \prime}\right) t\right. \\
& \left.+u^{\prime \prime} Q-2 Q Q^{\prime} R-Q^{2} R^{\prime}-2 u u^{\prime} R-u^{2} R^{\prime}-u Q^{\prime \prime}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We also have

$$
\operatorname{tr} A^{2}=q^{-3} D_{1}
$$

where

$$
D_{1}=\left\{-R t^{2}-\left(2 u R+Q^{\prime}\right) t-u\left(u R+Q^{\prime}\right)+Q\left(u^{\prime}-Q R\right)\right\}^{2}+2 Q^{2}\left(t^{2}+2 u t+u^{2}+Q^{2}\right)
$$

Thus we obtain the Laplacian $\Delta G$ of the Gauss map $G$ of $M$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta G=q^{-7 / 2}\left[q A_{1} \beta+\left((u+t) B_{1}+D_{1} Q\right) \beta^{\prime}+\left(Q B_{1}-D_{1}(u+t)\right) \beta \times \beta^{\prime}\right] \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose that $M$ has generalized 1-type Gauss map G. Then, with the help of Equations (1), (12) and (14), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& q^{-7 / 2}\left[q A_{1} \beta+\left\{(u+t) B_{1}+D_{1} Q\right\} \beta^{\prime}+\left\{Q B_{1}-D_{1}(u+t)\right\} \beta \times \beta^{\prime}\right]  \tag{15}\\
& =f q^{-1 / 2}\left\{Q \beta^{\prime}-(u+t) \beta \times \beta^{\prime}\right\}+g \mathbb{C} .
\end{align*}
$$

By taking the inner product to Equation (15) with $\beta, \beta^{\prime}$ and $\beta \times \beta^{\prime}$ respectively, we get the following:

$$
\begin{gather*}
q^{-5 / 2} A_{1}=g\langle\mathbb{C}, \beta\rangle,  \tag{16}\\
q^{-7 / 2}\left\{(u+t) B_{1}+D_{1} Q\right\}=f q^{-1 / 2} Q+g\left\langle\mathbb{C}, \beta^{\prime}\right\rangle,  \tag{17}\\
q^{-7 / 2}\left\{Q B_{1}-(u+t) D_{1}\right\}=-f q^{-1 / 2}(u+t)+g\left\langle\mathbb{C}, \beta \times \beta^{\prime}\right\rangle . \tag{18}
\end{gather*}
$$

Combining Equations (16), (17) and (18), we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
q A_{1} \omega_{2}-\left\{(u+t) B_{1}+D_{1} Q\right\} \omega_{1}+f q^{3} Q \omega_{1}=0  \tag{19}\\
q A_{1} \omega_{3}-\left\{Q B_{1}-(u+t) D_{1}\right\} \omega_{1}-f q^{3}(u+t) \omega_{1}=0  \tag{20}\\
\left\{(u+t) B_{1}+D_{1} Q\right\} \omega_{3}-\left\{Q B_{1}-(u+t) D_{1}\right\} \omega_{2}-f q^{3}\left\{Q \omega_{3}+(u+t) \omega_{2}\right\}=0 \tag{21}
\end{gather*}
$$

where we have put $\omega_{1}=\langle\mathbb{C}, \beta\rangle, \omega_{2}=\left\langle\mathbb{C}, \beta^{\prime}\right\rangle$ and $\omega_{3}=\left\langle\mathbb{C}, \beta \times \beta^{\prime}\right\rangle$.
On the other hand, differentiating a constant vector $\mathbb{C}=\omega_{1} \beta+\omega_{2} \beta^{\prime}+\omega_{3} \beta \times \beta^{\prime}$ with respect to the parameter $s$ and using Equation (11), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \omega_{1}^{\prime}-\omega_{2}=0 \\
& \omega_{3}^{\prime}+\omega_{2} R=0  \tag{22}\\
& \omega_{1}+\omega_{2}^{\prime}-\omega_{3} R=0
\end{align*}
$$

Combining Equations (19) and (20), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{1}\left\{\omega_{2}(u+t)+\omega_{3} Q\right\}-B_{1} \omega_{1}=0 \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

First of all, we consider the case of $R=0$.
Theorem 2. Let $M$ be a non-cylindrical ruled surface in $\mathbb{E}^{3}$ with generalized 1-type Gauss map. If $R=0$, then $M$ is part of a plane or a helicoid.

Proof. If the constant vector $\mathbb{C}$ is zero in the definition given by Equation (1), then the Gauss map $G$ is of nothing but pointwise 1-type Gauss map of the first kind. By Characterization Theorem, $M$ is part of a helicoid ([10]).

We now assume that the constant vector $\mathbb{C}$ is non-zero. In this case, we will show $Q=0$ on $M$ and thus $M$ is part of a plane due to Equation (13).

Suppose that the open subset $U=\{s \in \operatorname{dom}(\alpha) \mid Q(s) \neq 0\}$ of $\mathbb{R}$ is not empty. Then, on a component $U_{C}$ of $U$, we have from Equation (22) that $\omega_{3}$ is a constant and $\omega_{1}^{\prime \prime}=-\omega_{1}$. Since the left hand side of Equation (23) is a polynomial in $t$ with functions of $s$ as the coefficients, the leading coefficient consisting of functions of $s$ must vanish and $\omega_{1}^{2} Q^{\prime}$ is a constant on $U_{C}$ with the help of Equation (22).

Next, from the coefficient of $t^{2}$ in Equation (23), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
3 \omega_{2} u^{\prime} Q-2 \omega_{3} Q Q^{\prime}+3 \omega_{1} u^{\prime} Q^{\prime}+\omega_{1} u^{\prime \prime} Q=0 \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similar to the above, from the coefficient of the linear term in $t$ of Equation (23) with the help of Equation (24), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{2} Q Q^{\prime}+\omega_{3} u^{\prime} Q-\omega_{1}\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\omega_{1}\left(Q^{\prime}\right)^{2}=0 \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

In addition, the constant term in Equation (23) relative to $t$ is automatically zero. If we make use of Equation (24), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q\left[\omega _ { 1 } \left\{3 u\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{2}+3 u^{\prime} Q Q^{\prime}-3 u\left(Q^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right.\right. & \left.-u^{\prime \prime} Q^{2}\right\}-3 \omega_{2} u Q Q^{\prime} \\
& \left.-\omega_{3}\left(3 u u^{\prime} Q+Q^{2} Q^{\prime}\right)\right]=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, on $U_{C}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\omega_{1}\left\{3 u\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{2}+3 u^{\prime} Q Q^{\prime}-3 u\left(Q^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right. & \left.-u^{\prime \prime} Q^{2}\right\}-3 \omega_{2} u Q Q^{\prime} \\
& -\omega_{3}\left(3 u u^{\prime} Q+Q^{2} Q^{\prime}\right)=0 . \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

Using Equations (24) and (25), Equation (26) can be reduced to

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \omega_{1} u^{\prime} Q^{\prime}+\omega_{2} u^{\prime} Q-\omega_{3} Q Q^{\prime}=0 \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose that there is a point $s_{0} \in U_{C}$ such that $u^{\prime}\left(s_{0}\right) \neq 0$. Then, $u^{\prime}(s) \neq 0$ everywhere on an open interval I containing $s_{0}$. So, Equation (25) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{3} Q=\frac{1}{u^{\prime}}\left\{\omega_{1}\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{2}-\omega_{1}\left(Q^{\prime}\right)^{2}-\omega_{2} Q Q^{\prime}\right\} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Putting Equation (28) into (27), $\left(u^{\prime 2}+Q^{\prime 2}\right)\left(\omega_{2} Q+\omega_{1} Q^{\prime}\right)=0$, which implies $\omega_{2} Q+\omega_{1} Q^{\prime}=0$. Since $\omega_{2}=\omega_{1}^{\prime}$, we see that $\omega_{1} Q$ is constant on $I$.

If $\omega_{1}=0$ on some subinterval $J$ in $I, \omega_{2}=0$ on $J$. Equation (25) gives $\omega_{3}=0$ on $J$. Since $\mathbb{C}$ is a constant vector, $\mathbb{C}$ is zero vector, which is a contradiction. Thus, without loss of generality we may assume that $\omega_{1} \neq 0$ everywhere on $I$ and it is of the form $\omega_{1}=k_{1} \cos \left(s+s_{1}\right)$ for some non-zero constant $k_{1}$ and $s_{1} \in \mathbb{R}$. Since $\omega_{1}^{2} Q^{\prime}$ is constant and $\omega_{1} Q$ is constant on $I, \omega_{1}$ must be zero on $I$, which contradicts $\omega_{1}=k_{1} \cos \left(s+s_{1}\right)$ for some non-zero constant $k_{1}$. Therefore, the open interval $I$ is empty and thus $u^{\prime}=0$ on $U_{C}$. If we take into account Equations (25) and (27), we get $Q^{\prime}\left(\omega_{2} Q+\omega_{1} Q^{\prime}\right)=0$ and $\omega_{3} Q^{\prime}=0$, respectively.

Suppose that $Q^{\prime}\left(s_{2}\right) \neq 0$ at some point $s_{2} \in U_{\mathrm{C}}$. Then $\omega_{3}=0$ and $\omega_{1} Q$ is a constant on an open interval $J_{1}$ containing $s_{2}$. Similar to the above argument, since $\omega_{1}^{2} Q^{\prime}$ and $\omega_{1} Q$ are constant on $J_{1}$, it follows that $\omega_{1}=0$. By Equation (22), $\omega_{2}$ is zero. Hence the constant vector $\mathbb{C}$ is zero, a contradiction. Thus $J_{1}$ is empty. Therefore, $Q$ is constant on $U_{C}$. By continuity, $Q$ is either a non-zero constant or zero on $M$. Because of Equation (13), $M$ is minimal and it is an open part of a helicoid, which means that the Gauss map is of pointwise 1-type of the first kind. Therefore, the open subset $U$ is empty. Consequently, $Q$ is zero on $M$. Hence, $M$ is an open part of a plane.

Now, we assume that the function $R$ is not vanishing everywhere.
If $f=g$, the Gauss map $G$ of $M$ is of pointwise 1-type. Thus, $M$ is characterized as an open part of a right cone including the case that $M$ is a plane or a helicoid depending upon whether the constant vector $\mathbb{C}$ is non-zero or zero ([9]).

From now on, we may assume the constant vector $\mathbb{C}$ is non-zero and $f \neq g$ unless otherwise stated. Similarly as before, Equation (23) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{2} R+\omega_{1} R^{\prime}=0 \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\omega_{1}^{\prime}=\omega_{2}$ in Equation (22), we see that $\omega_{1} R$ is constant. In addition, the coefficient of the term involving $t^{3}$ in Equation (23) must be zero.

With the help of Equation (29), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \omega_{2} Q^{\prime}+\omega_{3} Q R-\omega_{1} u^{\prime} R+\omega_{1} Q^{\prime \prime}=0 \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we examine the coefficient of the term involving $t^{2}$ in Equation (23), using Equations (29) and (30) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{1} Q^{2} R^{\prime}-3 \omega_{2} u^{\prime} Q+2 \omega_{3} Q Q^{\prime}-\omega_{1} Q Q^{\prime} R-3 \omega_{1} u^{\prime} Q^{\prime}-\omega_{1} u^{\prime \prime} Q=0 \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, from the coefficient of the linear term in $t$ in Equation (23) with the help of Equations (29)-(31), we also get

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q\left\{\omega_{2} Q Q^{\prime}+\omega_{3} u^{\prime} Q-\omega_{1}\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\omega_{1}\left(Q^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right\}=0 \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose that the function $Q$ is not zero, i.e., the open subset $V=\{s \in \operatorname{dom}(\alpha) \mid Q(s) \neq 0\}$ of $\operatorname{dom}(\alpha)$ is not empty. Equation (32) gives that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{2} Q Q^{\prime}+\omega_{3} u^{\prime} Q-\omega_{1}\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\omega_{1}\left(Q^{\prime}\right)^{2}=0 \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, considering the constant term relative to $t$ in Equation (23) and using Equations (29)-(31), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q\left[\omega _ { 1 } \left\{3 u\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{2}+3 u^{\prime} Q Q^{\prime}\right.\right. & \left.-Q^{2} Q^{\prime} R-3 u\left(Q^{\prime}\right)^{2}-u^{\prime \prime} Q^{2}+Q^{3} R^{\prime}\right\} \\
& \left.-3 \omega_{2} u Q Q^{\prime}-\omega_{3}\left(3 u u^{\prime} Q+Q^{2} Q^{\prime}\right)\right]=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, on the open subset $V$ in $\mathbb{R}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\omega_{1}\left\{3 u\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{2}+3 u^{\prime} Q Q^{\prime}\right. & \left.-Q^{2} Q^{\prime} R-3 u\left(Q^{\prime}\right)^{2}-u^{\prime \prime} Q^{2}+Q^{3} R^{\prime}\right\} \\
& -3 \omega_{2} u Q Q^{\prime}-\omega_{3}\left(3 u u^{\prime} Q+Q^{2} Q^{\prime}\right)=0 \tag{34}
\end{align*}
$$

Applying Equations (31) and (33) to Equation (34), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \omega_{1} u^{\prime} Q^{\prime}+\omega_{2} u^{\prime} Q-\omega_{3} Q Q^{\prime}=0 \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, since $\omega_{3} R=\omega_{1}+\omega_{2}^{\prime}$ in Equation (22), Equation (30) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\omega_{1} Q\right)^{\prime \prime}+\omega_{1} Q-\omega_{1} u^{\prime} R=0 \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose that the function $u$ is not constant, i.e., the open subset $V_{1}=\left\{s \in V \mid u^{\prime}(s) \neq 0\right\}$ is not empty. Then Equation (33) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{3} Q=\frac{1}{u^{\prime}}\left\{\omega_{1}\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{2}-\omega_{1}\left(Q^{\prime}\right)^{2}-\omega_{2} Q Q^{\prime}\right\} \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Putting Equation (37) into (35), $\left(u^{\prime 2}+Q^{\prime 2}\right)\left(\omega_{2} Q+\omega_{1} Q^{\prime}\right)=0$ and thus $\omega_{2} Q+\omega_{1} Q^{\prime}=0$. Therefore, $\omega_{1} Q$ is constant on a component $\mathcal{C}$ of $V_{1}$. From Equation (36), we get $\omega_{1} Q=\omega_{1} u^{\prime} R$.

If $\omega_{1}=0$ on an open interval $\tilde{I} \subset \mathcal{C}$, the constant vector $\mathbb{C}$ is zero on $M$, a contradiction. Thus, $\omega_{1} \neq 0$ and so $Q=u^{\prime} R$ on $\mathcal{C}$. The fact that $\omega_{1} Q$ and $\omega_{1} R$ are constant on $\mathcal{C}$ implies that $u^{\prime}$ is a non-zero constant on $\mathcal{C}$. Then, Equations (31) and (35) are simplified as follows:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\omega_{1} Q^{2} R^{\prime}+2 \omega_{3} Q Q^{\prime}-\omega_{1} Q Q^{\prime} R=0  \tag{38}\\
\omega_{1} u^{\prime} Q^{\prime}-\omega_{3} Q Q^{\prime}=0 \tag{39}
\end{gather*}
$$

Putting $Q=u^{\prime} R$ into Equation (38), $\omega_{3} Q^{\prime}=0$ is derived. Thus, Equation (39) implies that $\omega_{1} Q^{\prime}=0$ and so $Q^{\prime}=0$ on $\mathcal{C}$. Hence, $Q$ and $R$ are both non-zero constants on $\mathcal{C}$.

On the other hand, without difficulty, we can show that the torsion of the director vector field $\beta=\beta(s)$ viewed as a curve is zero and so $\beta$ is part of a plane curve which is a small circle on the unit sphere centered at the origin with the normal curvature -1 and the geodesic curvature $R$ on $\mathcal{C}$. Up to a rigid motion, we may put

$$
\beta(s)=\frac{1}{p}(\cos p s, \sin p s, R)
$$

on $\mathcal{C}$, where we have put $p=\sqrt{1+R^{2}}$. Then, $u=\left\langle\alpha^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime}\right\rangle=-\alpha_{1}^{\prime} \sin p s+\alpha_{2}^{\prime} \cos p s$, where $\alpha^{\prime}(s)=$ $\left(\alpha_{1}^{\prime}(s), \alpha_{2}^{\prime}(s), \alpha_{3}^{\prime}(s)\right)$. Therefore, on $\mathcal{C}$, we get

$$
u^{\prime}=-\left(\alpha_{1}^{\prime \prime}+\alpha_{2}^{\prime} p\right) \sin p s+\left(\alpha_{2}^{\prime \prime}-\alpha_{1}^{\prime} p\right) \cos p s
$$

from which, we see that $u^{\prime}=0$ on $\mathcal{C} \subset V_{1}$, a contradiction. Hence, $V_{1}$ is empty and so $u^{\prime}=0$ on $V$. Then, Equations (30), (33) and (35) can be respectively reduced to

$$
\begin{gather*}
2 \omega_{2} Q^{\prime}+\omega_{3} Q R+\omega_{1} Q^{\prime \prime}=0  \tag{40}\\
\omega_{2} Q Q^{\prime}+\omega_{1}\left(Q^{\prime}\right)^{2}=0  \tag{41}\\
\omega_{3} Q Q^{\prime}=0 \tag{42}
\end{gather*}
$$

Suppose that $Q^{\prime}\left(\tilde{s}_{0}\right) \neq 0$ at a point $\tilde{s}_{0}$ in $V$. From Equations (41) and (42), $\omega_{3}=0$ and $\omega_{1} Q$ is a constant on an open interval $\tilde{J} \subset V$ containing $\tilde{s}_{0}$. Hence, $\omega_{2}^{\prime} Q=0$ is derived from Equation (40). Therefore, $\omega_{2}{ }^{\prime}=0$ on $\tilde{J}$. The third equation of (22) yields $\omega_{1}=0$. It follows that $\omega_{2}=0$. Since $\mathbb{C}$ is a constant vector, $\mathbb{C}$ is zero on $M$, a contradiction. So, $Q^{\prime}=0$ on $V$. Thus, $Q$ is non-zero constant on each component of $V$. If we consider Equations (30) and (31), we have

$$
\omega_{3} R=0 \quad \text { and } \quad \omega_{1} R^{\prime}=0
$$

Since $R \neq 0, \omega_{3}=0$ on each component of $V$. By Equation (29), $\omega_{2} R=0$, which yields that $\mathbb{C}$ is zero on $M$. It is a contradiction. Hence, the open subset $V$ of $\mathbb{R}$ is empty and the function $Q$ is vanishing on $M$. Thus, $M$ is flat due to Equation (13). Since the ruled surface $M$ is non-cylindrical, $M$ is one of an open part of a tangent developable surface or a conical surface. One of the authors proved that tangential developable surfaces do not have a generalized 1-type Gauss map and a conical surface of $G$-type can be constructed by the given functions $f, g$ and the constant vector $\mathbb{C}$ ([15]).

Consequently, we have
Theorem 3. Let $M$ be a non-cylindrical ruled surface in $\mathbb{E}^{3}$ with generalized 1-type Gauss map. Then, $M$ is an open part of a plane, a helicoid, a right cone or a conical surface of G-type.

Summing up our results, we obtain the following classification theorem.
Theorem 4. (Classification) Let $M$ be a ruled surface in $\mathbb{E}^{3}$ with a generalized 1-type Gauss map. Then, $M$ is an open part of a plane, a circular cylinder, a cylinder over a base curve of an infinite-type satisfying Equations (5), (9) and (10), a helicoid, a right cone or a conical surface of G-type.
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