SludgeTec extended dataset framework - 0 - CONTEXT DATA

Total data items 50

DATASET 0.1 - CONTEXT DATA - W	ASET 0.1 - CONTEXT DATA - WWTP Boundary				
Category	ID	Data item	Item description		
	0.01	(indicator)			
GEOGRAPHY	A0.001	Lat	Location of the WWTP - Latitude		
A	A0.002	Long	Location of the WWTP - Long		
	A0.003	Мар	Cartography at the adequate scale to understand the location of the plant in relation to near-by human settlements, water resources and other relevant features.		
	A0.004	Elevation above sea level			
	A0.005	Is the plant inside or outside the city ?			
	A0.006	Land uses in 1 km radius			
	A0.007	Distance to nearest house			

DATASET 0.2 - CONTEXT DATA - Municipal Boundary

Category	ID	Data item	Item description
	0.02	(indicator)	
GEOGRAPHY A	A0.001	Spatial definition (maps)	Cartography at the adequate scale to understand the location of the plant in relation to near-by human settlements, water resources and other relevant features.
	A0.002	Surface	Total surface of municipality
	A0.003	Weather type	
	A0.004	Dry season months	During which months is it the dry season?
	A0.005	Rainy season months	During which months is it the rainy season?
	A0.006	Average yearly precipitation	
	A0.007	Average precipitation during dry season	
	A0.008	Average precipitation during rainy season	
	A0.009	Average highest temperature	
	A0.010	Average lowest temperature	
POPULATION B	B0.001	Total population	Total population in the municipality. When possible and relevant, include floating population and their temporal behaviour (e.g. tourism, yearly massive events, etc.)
	B0.002	Percentage rural population	How urban / rural is this municipality?
	B0.003	Unemployment rate	
	B0.004	Percentage of people living on or below the poverty line	Specify poverty line threshold in the notes section
	B0.005	Percentage of people with a different mother tongue than the country's	
	B0.000	official	
	B0.006	Percentage indigenous population	Main land and in the matrix Re. downloads the back dealers of data landships a super read frames.
LAND USE AND ECONOMY C	C0.001	Predominant Land uses	Major land uses in the municipality, describe to the best degree of detail possible, e.g. crop type and farming methods.
	C0.002	GDP percentage of different economic activities	

DATASET 0.3 - CONTEXT DATA - Subcatchment Boundary

Category	ID	Data item	Item description
	0.02	(indicator)	
GEOGRAPHY A	A0.001	Spatial definition (maps)	Cartography at the adequate scale to understand the location of the plant in relation to near-by human settlements, water resources and other relevant features.
	A0.002	Total subcatchment surface	
	A0.003	Altitude at main source	Altitude above sea level at the source or spring of the main river course
	A0.004	Altitude at discharge point	Altitude above sea level at river mouth
	A0.005	Length of main river course	
	A0.006	Discharges to	Waterbody or place water body discharges to
	A0.007	Main soil types	
	A0.008	Main substrate type	
	A0.009	Conservation status, general ecological status	Overal ecological status of the area (conserved, endagered, etc).
POPULATION B	B0.001	Total population	Total population in the subcatchment area. When possible and relevant, include floating population an their temporal behaviour (e.g. tourism, yearly massive events, etc.)
	B0.002	Percentage rural population	
LAND USE AND ECONOMY C	C0.001	Predominant Land uses upstream from WWTP	
	00.002	Predominant Land uses downstream from WWTP	

DATASET 0.4 - CONTEXT DATA - Watershed Boundary

Category	ID	Data item	Item description
	0.04	(indicator)	
GEOGRAPHY A	A0.001	Spatial definition (maps)	Cartography at the adequate scale to understand the location of the plant in relation to near-by human settlements, water resources and other relevant features.
	A0.002	Total watershed surface	Total catchment area in watershed
	A0.003	Endo/exorheic	Open or closed basin
	A0.004	Discharges to	If exorheic, body of water and/or basin where the watershed discharges into
	A0.005	Yearly discharge regime	
	A0.006	Main soil types	
	A0.007	Main substrate type	
	A0.008	Level of exploitation of water resources	
	A0.009	Conservation status, general ecological status	Overal ecological status of the area (conserved, endagered, etc).
POPULATION B	B0.001	Total population	
	B0.002	Percentage rural population	How urban / rural is this watershed?
LAND USE AND ECONOMY C	B0.003	Predominant Land uses	

Total data items 38 SET I.01 - TECHNICAL-ENVIRON			
data items 21		LINE - WWIF Scale	
Category	ID	Data item	Item description
CENERAL A	I.01	T 1 1 1	Technical procedure with which the plan treats waste water. Note any relevant particularities. If needed, include
GENERAL A	A0.001	Technology used	diagram of the process in an annex.
	A0.002	Construction year	Year of construction. When construction lasted more than one year, state ending year.
	A0.003 A0.004	Surface occupied Land reserves	Surface occupied by the plant. Amount of land that the plant has already acquired to grow or expand
	A0.004	Number of people served	Anount of land that the plant has already acquired to grow of explant
INPUTS B	B0.001	Design inflow	Flow capacity that the plant was originally designed for.
Inflow B0	B0.002	Volume waste water input	Total volume of water entering the plant in the reporting year
	B0.003	Average inflow (AF)	Average flow (in a year) of wastewater into WWTP.
	B0.004	Average inflow (AFr) rainy season	Average flow (during the rainy season) of wastewatercoming into WWTP. At best choose a reporting year that describe average seasonal conditions, i.e. not extraordinarily dry or wet years. Specify the duration of the seas (in between what months?).
	B0.005	Average plant capacity utilization	Percent of design capacity being used, on average, during the reporting year
	B0.006	Volumetric Efficiency	Total treated water / total wastewater incoming (100)
	B0.007	Average inflow (AFd) dry season	Average flow (during the dry season) of wastewatercoming into WITP. The best data will describe average sea conditions, i.e. not extraordinarily dry or wet years. Specify the duration of the season (between what months).
	B0.008	Peak inflow	Maximum flow of wastewater coming into WWTP at peak times. Specify hour of day and duration of peak period.
	B0.009	Rain Proportion of AF during rainy season	Proportion of rain water entering the WWTP during the rainy season. If calculations are performed, include in a annex. Identify the proportions of different types of waste water entering the plant (agricultural runoff, industry, dome:
	B0.010	Wastewater fractions	etc.)
Inflow quality parameters	B1.001	Temperature	
B1	B1.002	BOD COD	
Inflow Nutrients	B1.003 B1.004	Total Nitrogen	
iniow nutrients	B1.005	Ammonium	
	B1.006	Nitrates	
	B1.007	Nitrites	
	B1.008	Total Phosporus	
Salts inflow	B1.009	К	
	B1.010	Ca	
	B1.011	Mg	
	B1.012 B1.013	Na SAR	
	B1.013 B1.014	Electric conductivity	
	B1.015	Faecal coliforms	
Pathogens inflow	B1.016	E.Coli	
	B1.017	Helminths	
	B1.018	Dissolved organic Carbon (DOC)	
	B1.019	Organic Matter	
	B1.020 B1.021	Organic acids	Total Suspended Solids
	B1.021 B1.022	TSS Turbidity	Total Suspended Solids
	B1.022 B1.023	pH	
, metalloids and trace elements in inflow	B1.024	AI	
	B1.025	As	
	B1.026	Cd	
	B1.027	Co	
	B1.028	Gr	
	B1.029 B1.030	Cu Fe	
	B1.030 B1.031	Mn	
	B1.032	Ni	
	B1.033	Ti	
	B1.034	Zn	
	B1.035	Hg	
	B1.036	Pb	
	B1.037	Se	
	B1.038 B1.039	B Mo	
Others	B1.039 B1.040	Residual chlorine	
011013	B1.041	Grease and oils	
	B1.042	Floating matter	
	B1.043	Color	
	B1.044	Microplastics	
Organic pollutants in inflow	B1.045	AOX	
	B1.046	B(a)P	
	B1.047	PCB	
	B1.048 B1.049	PCDD PCDF	
	B1.049 B1.050	PCDF	

	B1.052	Possible main compounds in AF	This is a backup data item, used to register data on inflow quality that is vague or qualitative, in case no quantiative data is available for physico-chemical parameters. For instance, if it is known that a textile industry is connected to the sewage system, but no precise wastewater quality information is available, this data item can register the likely existance of compounds in the waste water (in textile dye industries e.g.: benzynes, copper, lead, amines), giving thus a general guidance as to what can be expected in terms of treatment needs. Raw materials are all inputs necessary for the plant to function (e.g. machine ois, fuel, chemicals for the floculation
Other inputs B2	B2.001	Raw materials used	phase or other stages of the process, etc.), as well as office supplies. Should be given in tonnes per year when possible. When data available is in other units, make sure to note so in the units column. This data can be used for
	B2.002	Raw materials sources	materual flow analysis. Useful for footprinting
	B2.003	Total energy consumed	Energy consumed in the reporting year, all energy carriers together and all energy uses considered.
	B2.004	Energy/m3 treated water	
Energy matrix and uses	B2.005	Energy matrix	Total J consumed per type of energy source (carrier) e.g. natural gas, solar, electricity, etc.
Energy uses OUTPUTS C	B2.006 C0.001	Energy uses per activity Total volume Treated Water produced	Specify consumption of different activities (e.g. pumping, lighting) in J. Add as many categories as identifiable. Total Outflow of waste water from the plant, in yearly total average.
0011013 C			Average outflow of treated water out of WWTP. The best data will describe average season conditions, i.e. not
	00.002	Average yearly outflow	extraordinarily dry or wet years.
WW Outflow CO	0.003	Average outflow (AF) rainy season	Average outflow (during the rainy season) of wastewater out of WWTP. Specify the duration of the season in the context dataset
Quality parameters - outflow C1	0.004	Average outflow (AF) dry season	
	CO.005	Discharge point	Where does the WWTP discharge to?
	00.006	Bypass discharge point	
	C1.001	Temperature	
	C1.002	BOD	
	C1.003	COD	
	C1.004	Total Nitrogen	
	C1.005	Ammonium	
Nutrients in outflow	C1.006	Nitrates	
	C1.007	Nitrites	
Salts in outflow	C1.008 C1.009	Total Phosphorus K	
Saits III Outilow	C1.009	Ca	
	C1.011	Mg	
	C1.012	Na	
	C1.013	SAR	
	C1.014	Electric conductivity	Can be used when measuremnts for salinity/SAR (Na, Ca Mg) are not available, as general indicator for salinity.
Pathogens in outlfow	C1.015	Faecal coliforms	
	C1.016	E.Coli	
	C1.017	Helminths	
Organics in outflow	C1.018	Dissolved organic Carbon (DOC)	
	C1.019	Organic Matter	
	C1.020	Organic acids	
	C1.021	Sedimentable solids	
	C1.022 C1.023	TSS Turkiditur	
	C1.023	Turbidity pH	
Metals, metalloids and trace elements in		·	
outflow	C1.025	Al	
	C1.026 C1.027	As Cd	
	C1.027	Cyanide (CN)	
	C1.029	Co	
	C1.030	Cr	
	C1.031	Cu	
	C1.032	Fe	
	C1.033	Mn	
	C1.034	Ni	
	C1.035	Ti 7-	
	C1.036	Zn	
	C1.037 C1.038	Hg Pb	
	C1.038 C1.039	Se	
	C1.040	B	
	C1.041	Mo	
Others in outflow	C1.042	Residual chlorine	
	C1.043	Grease and oils	
	C1.044	Floating matter	
	C1.045	Color	Visible microplastics. Microplastics are defined as no grater than 5 mm plastics and/or
Opennie u Historite in 10	C1.046	Microplastics AOX	synthetic fibre strands.
Organic pollutants in outflow	C1.047 C1.048	B(a)P	
	C1.048 C1.049	PCB	
	C1.050	PCDD	
	C1.051	PCDF	
	C1.052	PFC	
	C1.053	dI-PCB	
· · · ·			

01 1 00	C2.001	Percentage of wastewater output being recycled or reused	
Sludge C3	C3.001	Total Sludge produced yearly	Total amount of sludge produced in the reporting year
Sludge Quality parameters	C3.002	AI	
etals, mewtalloids and trace elements	C3.003	As	
in sludge			
	C3.004	Cd	
	C3.005	Co	
	C3.006 C3.007	Cr Cu	
	C3.007	Fe	
	C3.008	Mn	
	C3.010	Ni	
	C3.011	Ti	
	C3.012	Zn	
	C3.013	Hg	
	C3.014	Pb	
	C3.015	Se	
	C3.016	В	
	C3.017	Мо	
Nutrients in sludge	C3.018	Total Nitrogen	
	C3.019	Ammonium	
	C3.020	Nitrates	
	C3.021	Nitrites	
	C3.022	Phosphorus	
	C3.023	Electric conductivity	
	C3.024	ĸ	
	C3.025	Ca	
Salts in slduge	C3.026	Mg	
	C3.027	Na SAR	
	C3.028 C3.029	SAR Moisture content	
	C3.029 C3.030	Calorific value	
Pathogens in sludge	C3.030	Helminths	
r allogens in sludge	C3.032	Total coliforms	
	C3.033	E.Coli	
	C3.034	Salmonella	
Organics	C3.035	Organic Matter	
5	C3.036	Organic acids	
	C3.037	pH	
	C3.038	Microplastics	
	~ ~ ~ ~	AOX	Visible microplastics. Microplastics are defined as no grater than 5 mm plastic pieces and
	C3.039		synthetic fibre strands.
Organic pollutants	C3.040	B(a)P	
	C3.041	PCB	
	C3.042	PCDD	
	C3.043	PCDF	
	C3.044	PFC	
	C3.045	dI-PCB	
	C4.001	Scope of sludge management	% of sludge that is managed, including treatment in different ways, such as use in
sludge use C4			
sludge use C4			agriculture, thermal disposal, landfills, etc. As proposed by Popovic & Kraslawski (2018)
sludge use C4	C4.002	Current use/management of sludge	What is done with sludge once it is dried at the plant?
sludge use C4		Which sludge management improvement options have been identified in	What is done with sludge once it is dried at the plant?
sludge use C4	C4.002 C4.003	Which sludge management improvement options have been identified in the past and by whom?	What is done with sludge once it is dried at the plant? n
	C4.002 C4.003 C4.004	Which sludge management improvement options have been identified in the past and by whom? Potential sludge users	What is done with sludge once it is dried at the plant? n Who?
Emissions C5	C4.002 C4.003 C4.004 C5.001	Which sludge management improvement options have been identified in the past and by whom? Potential sludge users Total Biogas production	What is done with sludge once it is dried at the plant? n Who? How much biogas was produced in the reporting year?
	C4.002 C4.003 C4.004 C5.001 C5.002	Which sludge management improvement options have been identified in the past and by whom? Potential sludge users Total Biogas production Current use/management of biogas	What is done with sludge once it is dried at the plant? Who? How much biogas was produced in the reporting year? How is biogas currently managed?
Emissions C5	C4.002 C4.003 C4.004 C5.001	Which sludge management improvement options have been identified in the past and by whom? Potential sludge users Total Biogas production Current use/management of biogas Which improved biogas management options been identified and by	What is done with sludge once it is dried at the plant? n Who? How much biogas was produced in the reporting year?
Emissions C5	C4.002 C4.003 C4.004 C5.001 C5.002 C5.003	Which sludge management improvement options have been identified in the past and by whom? Potential sludge users Total Biogas production Current use/management of biogas Which improved biogas management options been identified and by whom?	What is done with sludge once it is dried at the plant? Who? How much biogas was produced in the reporting year? How is biogas currently managed? Yes/No. What options have been proposed in the past?
Emissions C5	C4.002 C4.003 C4.004 C5.001 C5.002	Which sludge management improvement options have been identified in the past and by whom? Potential sludge users Total Biogas production Current use/management of biogas Which improved biogas management options been identified and by	What is done with sludge once it is dried at the plant? Who? How much biogas was produced in the reporting year? How is biogas currently managed? Yes/No. What options have been proposed in the past? Who?
Emissions C5	C4.002 C4.003 C4.004 C5.001 C5.002 C5.003 C5.004	Which sludge management improvement options have been identified in the past and by whom? Potential sludge users Total Biogas production Current use/management of biogas Which improved biogas management options been identified and by whom? Potential biogas users?	What is done with sludge once it is dried at the plant? Who? How much biogas was produced in the reporting year? How is biogas currently managed? Yes/No. What options have been proposed in the past? Who? Can be divided into GHG emissions linked to plant operation and maintenance, and
Emissions C5	C4.002 C4.003 C4.004 C5.001 C5.002 C5.003	Which sludge management improvement options have been identified in the past and by whom? Potential sludge users Total Biogas production Current use/management of biogas Which improved biogas management options been identified and by whom?	What is done with sludge once it is dried at the plant? Who? How much biogas was produced in the reporting year? How is biogas currently managed? Yes/No. What options have been proposed in the past? Who? Can be divided into GHG emissions linked to plant operation and maintenance, and
Emissions C5	C4.002 C4.003 C4.004 C5.001 C5.002 C5.003 C5.004	Which sludge management improvement options have been identified in the past and by whom? Potential sludge users Total Biogas production Current use/management of biogas Which improved biogas management options been identified and by whom? Potential biogas users?	What is done with sludge once it is dried at the plant? M Who? How much biogas was produced in the reporting year? How is biogas currently managed? Yes/No. What options have been proposed in the past? Who? Can be divided into GHG emissions linked to plant operation and maintenance, and emissions produced by the wastewater itself. Specify and disclose method for calculation
Emissions C5	C4.002 C4.003 C4.004 C5.001 C5.002 C5.003 C5.004	Which sludge management improvement options have been identified in the past and by whom? Potential sludge users Total Biogas production Current use/management of biogas Which improved biogas management options been identified and by whom? Potential biogas users?	What is done with sludge once it is dried at the plant? Mo? How much biogas was produced in the reporting year? How is biogas currently managed? Yes/No. What options have been proposed in the past? Who? Can be divided into GHG emissions linked to plant operation and maintenance, and emissions produced by the wastewater itself. Specify and disclose method for calculation performed in an annex. The online tool ECAM (wacclim.org/ecam) is an option for
Emissions C5 Biogas	C4.002 C4.003 C4.004 C5.001 C5.002 C5.003 C5.004	Which sludge management improvement options have been identified in the past and by whom? Potential sludge users Total Biogas production Current use/management of biogas Which improved biogas management options been identified and by whom? Potential biogas users? GHG emissions	What is done with sludge once it is dried at the plant? Mho? How much biogas was produced in the reporting year? How is biogas currently managed? Yes/No. What options have been proposed in the past? Who? Can be divided into GHG emissions linked to plant operation and maintenance, and emissions produced by the wastewater itself. Specify and disclose method for calculation: performed in an annex. The online tool ECAM (wacclim.org/ecam) is an option for estimation.
Emissions C5 Biogas	C4.002 C4.003 C4.004 C5.001 C5.002 C5.003 C5.004 C5.005 C5.006	 Which sludge management improvement options have been identified in the past and by whom? Potential sludge users Total Biogas production Current use/management of biogas Which improved biogas management options been identified and by whom? Potential biogas users? GHG emissions Are there complaints regarding odours? 	What is done with sludge once it is dried at the plant? Mo? How much biogas was produced in the reporting year? How is biogas currently managed? Yes/No. What options have been proposed in the past? Who? Can be divided into GHG emissions linked to plant operation and maintenance, and emissions produced by the wastewater itself. Specify and disclose method for calculation: performed in an annex. The online tool ECAM (wacclim.org/ecam) is an option for estimation. E.g. neighbours
Emissions C5 Biogas	C4.002 C4.003 C4.004 C5.001 C5.002 C5.003 C5.004 C5.005 C5.006 C5.006 C5.007	 Which sludge management improvement options have been identified in the past and by whom? Potential sludge users Total Biogas production Current use/management of biogas Which improved biogas management options been identified and by whom? Potential biogas users? GHG emissions Are there complaints regarding odours? Stength of odour in the treated waste water 	What is done with sludge once it is dried at the plant? Mo? How much biogas was produced in the reporting year? How is biogas currently managed? Yes/No. What options have been proposed in the past? Who? Can be divided into GHG emissions linked to plant operation and maintenance, and emissions produced by the wastewater itself. Specify and disclose method for calculations performed in an annex. The online tool ECAM (wacclim.org/ecam) is an option for estimation. E.g. neighbours high, medium, low Solid waste types produced by operation at the plant.
Emissions C5 Biogas	C4.002 C4.003 C4.004 C5.001 C5.002 C5.003 C5.004 C5.005 C5.006 C5.006 C5.007	 Which sludge management improvement options have been identified in the past and by whom? Potential sludge users Total Biogas production Current use/management of biogas Which improved biogas management options been identified and by whom? Potential biogas users? GHG emissions Are there complaints regarding odours? Stength of odour in the treated waste water 	What is done with sludge once it is dried at the plant? M Who? How much biogas was produced in the reporting year? How is biogas currently managed? Yes/No. What options have been proposed in the past? Who? Can be divided into GHG emissions linked to plant operation and maintenance, and emissions produced by the wastewater itself. Specify and disclose method for calculations performed in an annex. The online tool ECAM (wacclim.org/ecam) is an option for estimation. E.g. neighbours high, medium, low Solid waste types produced by operation at the plant. Is there a waste management programme in place that takes into consideration reuse and recycing of solid waste, and/or plans to reduce waste or eliminate it, e.g. by changing
Emissions C5 Biogas GHG Emissions Solid Waste	C4.002 C4.003 C4.004 C5.001 C5.002 C5.003 C5.004 C5.005 C5.006 C5.007 C6.001 C6.002	 Which sludge management improvement options have been identified in the past and by whom? Potential sludge users Total Biogas production Current use/management of biogas Which improved biogas management options been identified and by whom? Potential biogas users? GHG emissions Are there complaints regarding odours? Stength of odour in the treated waste water Solid Waste produced Solid waste sustainable management plan 	What is done with sludge once it is dried at the plant? Mo Who? How much biogas was produced in the reporting year? How is biogas currently managed? Yes/No. What options have been proposed in the past? Who? Can be divided into GHG emissions linked to plant operation and maintenance, and emissions produced by the wastewater itself. Specify and disclose method for calculations performed in an annex. The online tool ECAM (wacclim.org/ecam) is an option for estimation. E.g. neighbours high, medium, low Solid waste types produced by operation at the plant. Is there a waste management programme in place that takes into consideration reuse and
Emissions C5 Biogas	C4.002 C4.003 C4.004 C5.001 C5.002 C5.003 C5.004 C5.005 C5.006 C5.007 C5.007 C6.001 C6.002 D0.001	 Which sludge management improvement options have been identified in the past and by whom? Potential sludge users Total Biogas production Current use/management of biogas Which improved biogas management options been identified and by whom? Potential biogas users? GHG emissions Are there complaints regarding odours? Stength of odour in the treated waste water Solid Waste produced Solid waste sustainable management plan Number of operators 	What is done with sludge once it is dried at the plant? M Who? How much biogas was produced in the reporting year? How is biogas currently managed? Yes/No. What options have been proposed in the past? Who? Can be divided into GHG emissions linked to plant operation and maintenance, and emissions produced by the wastewater itself. Specify and disclose method for calculations performed in an annex. The online tool ECAM (wacclim.org/ecam) is an option for estimation. E.g. neighbours high, medium, low Solid waste types produced by operation at the plant. Is there a waste management programme in place that takes into consideration reuse and recycing of solid waste, and/or plans to reduce waste or eliminate it, e.g. by changing inputs ?
Emissions C5 Biogas GHG Emissions Solid Waste MANAGEMENT D2	C4.002 C4.003 C4.004 C5.001 C5.002 C5.003 C5.004 C5.005 C5.006 C5.007 C6.001 C6.002 D0.001 D0.002	Which sludge management improvement options have been identified in the past and by whom? Potential sludge users Total Biogas production Current use/management of biogas Which improved biogas management options been identified and by whom? Potential biogas users? GHG emissions Are there complaints regarding odours? Stength of odour in the treated waste water Solid Waste produced Solid waste sustainable management plan Number of operators Shift length	What is done with sludge once it is dried at the plant? Monoport Who? How much biogas was produced in the reporting year? How is biogas currently managed? Yes/No. What options have been proposed in the past? Who? Can be divided into GHG emissions linked to plant operation and maintenance, and emissions produced by the wastewater itself. Specify and disclose method for calculation: performed in an annex. The online tool ECAM (wacclim.org/ecam) is an option for estimation. E.g. neighbours high, medium, low Solid waste types produced by operation at the plant. Is there a waste management programme in place that takes into consideration reuse an- recycing of solid waste, and/or plans to reduce waste or eliminate it, e.g. by changing inputs ? How many hours do the operators work in a normal shift?
Emissions C5 Biogas GHG Emissions Solid Waste	C4.002 C4.003 C4.004 C5.001 C5.002 C5.003 C5.004 C5.005 C5.006 C5.007 C5.007 C6.001 C6.002 D0.001	 Which sludge management improvement options have been identified in the past and by whom? Potential sludge users Total Biogas production Current use/management of biogas Which improved biogas management options been identified and by whom? Potential biogas users? GHG emissions Are there complaints regarding odours? Stength of odour in the treated waste water Solid Waste produced Solid waste sustainable management plan Number of operators 	What is done with sludge once it is dried at the plant? Mho? How much biogas was produced in the reporting year? How is biogas currently managed? Yes/No. What options have been proposed in the past? Who? Can be divided into GHG emissions linked to plant operation and maintenance, and emissions produced by the wastewater itself. Specify and disclose method for calculation: performed in an annex. The online tool ECAM (wacclim.org/ecam) is an option for estimation. E.g. neighbours high, medium, low Solid waste types produced by operation at the plant. Is there a waste management programme in place that takes into consideration reuse and recycing of solid waste, and/or plans to reduce waste or eliminate it, e.g. by changing inputs ? How many hours do the operators work in a normal shift? Number of employees per 1,000 inhabitants served by the plant.
Emissions C5 Biogas GHG Emissions Solid Waste MANAGEMENT D2	C4.002 C4.003 C4.004 C5.001 C5.002 C5.003 C5.004 C5.005 C5.006 C5.007 C6.001 C6.002 D0.001 D0.002	Which sludge management improvement options have been identified in the past and by whom? Potential sludge users Total Biogas production Current use/management of biogas Which improved biogas management options been identified and by whom? Potential biogas users? GHG emissions Are there complaints regarding odours? Stength of odour in the treated waste water Solid Waste produced Solid waste sustainable management plan Number of operators Shift length	 What is done with sludge once it is dried at the plant? Who? How much biogas was produced in the reporting year? How is biogas currently managed? Yes/No. What options have been proposed in the past? Who? Can be divided into GHG emissions linked to plant operation and maintenance, and emissions produced by the wastewater itself. Specify and disclose method for calculations performed in an annex. The online tool ECAM (wacclim.org/ecam) is an option for estimation. E.g. neighbours high, medium, low Solid waste types produced by operation at the plant. Is there a waste management programme in place that takes into consideration reuse and recycing of solid waste, and/or plans to reduce waste or eliminate it, e.g. by changing inputs ? How many hours do the operators work in a normal shift? Number of employees per 1,000 inhabitants served by the plant. Does a clear, up to date operations manual exist on site, and available to all people
Emissions C5 Biogas GHG Emissions Solid Waste MANAGEMENT D2 Staff D0	C4.002 C4.003 C4.004 C5.001 C5.002 C5.003 C5.004 C5.005 C5.006 C5.007 C6.001 C6.002 D0.001 D0.002 D0.003 D1.001	 Which sludge management improvement options have been identified in the past and by whom? Potential sludge users Total Biogas production Current use/management of biogas Which improved biogas management options been identified and by whom? Potential biogas users? GHG emissions Are there complaints regarding odours? Stength of odour in the treated waste water Solid Waste produced Solid waste sustainable management plan Number of operators Shift length Employee/inhabitant ratio Existance Operation manual 	 What is done with sludge once it is dried at the plant? Who? How much biogas was produced in the reporting year? How is biogas currently managed? Yes/No. What options have been proposed in the past? Who? Can be divided into GHG emissions linked to plant operation and maintenance, and emissions produced by the wastewater itself. Specify and disclose method for calculations performed in an annex. The online tool ECAM (wacclim.org/ecam) is an option for estimation. E.g. neighbours high, medium, low Solid waste types produced by operation at the plant. Is there a waste management programme in place that takes into consideration reuse and recycing of solid waste, and/or plans to reduce waste or eliminate it, e.g. by changing inputs ? How many hours do the operators work in a normal shift? Number of employees per 1,000 inhabitants served by the plant.
Emissions C5 Biogas GHG Emissions Solid Waste MANAGEMENT D2 Staff D0 Management D1	C4.002 C4.003 C4.004 C5.001 C5.002 C5.003 C5.004 C5.005 C5.006 C5.007 C6.001 C6.002 D0.001 D0.002 D0.003 D1.001 D1.002	Which sludge management improvement options have been identified in the past and by whom? Potential sludge users Total Biogas production Current use/management of biogas Which improved biogas management options been identified and by whom? Potential biogas users? GHG emissions Are there complaints regarding odours? Stength of odour in the treated waste water Solid waste produced Solid waste sustainable management plan Number of operators Shift length Employee/inhabitant ratio Existance Operation manual Regularity of maintenance	 What is done with sludge once it is dried at the plant? Who? How much biogas was produced in the reporting year? How is biogas currently managed? Yes/No. What options have been proposed in the past? Who? Can be divided into GHG emissions linked to plant operation and maintenance, and emissions produced by the wastewater itself. Specify and disclose method for calculations performed in an annex. The online tool ECAM (wacclim.org/ecam) is an option for estimation. E.g. neighbours high, medium, low Solid waste types produced by operation at the plant. Is there a waste management programme in place that takes into consideration reuse and recycing of solid waste, and/or plans to reduce waste or eliminate it, e.g. by changing inputs ? How many hours do the operators work in a normal shift? Number of employees per 1,000 inhabitants served by the plant. Does a clear, up to date operations manual exist on site, and available to all people operating the plant?
Emissions C5 Biogas GHG Emissions Solid Waste MANAGEMENT D2 Staff D0	C4.002 C4.003 C4.004 C5.001 C5.002 C5.003 C5.004 C5.005 C5.006 C5.007 C6.001 C6.002 D0.001 D0.002 D0.003 D1.001	 Which sludge management improvement options have been identified in the past and by whom? Potential sludge users Total Biogas production Current use/management of biogas Which improved biogas management options been identified and by whom? Potential biogas users? GHG emissions Are there complaints regarding odours? Stength of odour in the treated waste water Solid Waste produced Solid waste sustainable management plan Number of operators Shift length Employee/inhabitant ratio Existance Operation manual 	What is done with sludge once it is dried at the plant? Mo? How much biogas was produced in the reporting year? How is biogas currently managed? Yes/No. What options have been proposed in the past? Who? Can be divided into GHG emissions linked to plant operation and maintenance, and emissions produced by the wastewater itself. Specify and disclose method for calculations performed in an annex. The online tool ECAM (wacclim.org/ecam) is an option for estimation. E.g. neighbours high, medium, low Solid waste types produced by operation at the plant. Is there a waste management programme in place that takes into consideration reuse and recycing of solid waste, and/or plans to reduce waste or eliminate it, e.g. by changing inputs ? How many hours do the operators work in a normal shift? Number of employees per 1,000 inhabitants served by the plant. Does a clear, up to date operations manual exist on site, and available to all people

	D2.003	Accessible Sampling and processing equipment	Does the plant have its own equipment or easy and hassel-free access to sampling and analysis to monitor wastewater, treated water and by-products quality?
Compliance and certification D3	D3.001	Discharge standards compliance	Percent of time that the plant's outflow complies with applicable regulations. State which
	D3.002	Analysis frequency compliance	regulations are being considered Ratio of the number of effluent samplings per month aond number of effluent sampling per month required by law of wastewater treatment policy (as proposed by Popovic & Kraslawski (2018)
	D3.003	Certification	Does the plant have some quality certification (ISO, or other national/international standards)
RISK E1	E0.001	Has a health risk assessment related to waste water been performed at	stantaus
	E0.002	the site?	
Health E0	E0.002 E0.003	Are health risks being managed? Do the operators have the necessary health and safety equipment?	
	E1.001	Has a natural hazard risk assessment been performed at the facility?	
	E1.002	Are natural hazard risks being managed ?	
	E1.003	Has an environmental impact study relating wastewater with ecosystem health been performed at the site?	
Other hazards E1	E1.004	What efforts are being made to reduce or manage environmental impacts?	
	E1.005	Presence or risk of groundwater pollution	
	E1.006	Presence or risk of surface water pollution	
DATASET I.02 - TECHNICAL-ENVIRONM	ental baseline - Mu	NICIPAL Scale	
data items	31		
Category	ID	Data item	Item description
GENERAL A	1.02	Total number of connections to concrete suctors	
GENERAL A	A0.001 A0.002	Total number of connections to sewerage system Total population connected to sewage system	
INPUTS B	B0.001	Total Estimated Municipal Water Demand	Calculation of the theoretical water demand, according to the total population x an average endowment. Specify
INFUIS D			what endowment what used to estimate (WHO, local regulation, etc).
Water supply and demand BO	B.002 B.003	Total Municipal Water Supply Supply per source	Volume "produced" by the municipal water facility, i.e. volume pumped into the water system. Where does the municipality get its water from? State proportions if data is available
mad supply and demand bo	B.004	Estimated yearly water availability per capita	Water balance calculations
	B.005	Water consumption per sector	When possible, identify water demand proportions by different user groups within the municipality. Modify or add
OUTPUTS C	00.001	Total Waste Water Production (TWW)	other user groups if necessary. Total Waste water produced in the municipality in the reporting year
	0.002	Wastewater types	The answer to this data item is a list identifying different types of waste water. (domestic, agricultural run off,
			industrial, etc). Identify the different proportions that each consumer type contributes to the total waste water produced (TWWF) in
	Q.003	Wastewater composition (fractions)	the municipality: domestic, rain, urban runoff, agricultural runoff, etc.
Wastewater production CO	C1.001	Proportion of wastewater safely treated	WHO proposes this indicator and a methodology to measure it. It indicator 6.3.1 of the SDG 6. http://www.who.int/water_sanitation_health/monitoring/coverage/stepbystep-631-20161021.pdf
	C1.002	Proportion of TWW going to treatment at studied plant	
Wastewater treatment C1	C1.003	Proportion of TWW going to treatment at other plant(s)	
	C1.004	Name, location and process of other plants within the municipality Proportion of TWW going to unsafe or inefficient disposal within the	
	C1.005	municipality	
	C1.006	Proportion of TWW going to untreated release within the municipality	
	C1.006 C1.007	Proportion of TWW being exported to other regions (municipalities,	
	C1.007	Proportion of TWW being exported to other regions (municipalities, microwatersheds or watersheds)	
MANAGEMENT D		Proportion of TWW being exported to other regions (municipalities,	
MANAGEMENT D	C1.007 C1.008	Proportion of TWW being exported to other regions (municipalities, microwatersheds or watersheds) Proportion of population using open defecation	Number of employees per 1,000 inhabitants served by the plant.
Staff DO	C1.007 C1.008 D0.001 D0.002 D1.001	Proportion of TWW being exported to other regions (municipalities, microwatersheds or watersheds) Proportion of population using open defecation Number of employees in the wastewater management sector Employee/inhabitant ratio Regularity of maintenance	
Staff DO Management D1	C1.007 C1.008 D0.001 D0.002 D1.001 D2.001	Proportion of TWW being exported to other regions (municipalities, microwatersheds or watersheds) Proportion of population using open defecation Number of employees in the wastewater management sector Employee/inhabitant ratio Regularity of maintenance Capacity sufficiency	Number of employees per 1,000 inhabitants served by the plant. Does the personnel involved in wastewater management have the knowledge and skills they need to have? What skills or knowledge are needed by plant operators and other personnel directly in contact with the facility?
Staff DO Management D1 Capacities D2	C1.007 C1.008 D0.001 D0.002 D1.001 D2.001 D2.002	Proportion of TWW being exported to other regions (municipalities, microwatersheds or watersheds) Proportion of population using open defecation Number of employees in the wastewater management sector Employee/inhabitant ratio Regularity of maintenance Capacity sufficiency Capacity needs identified	Does the personnel involved in wastewater management have the knowledge and skills they need to have?
Staff DO Management D1	C1.007 C1.008 D0.001 D0.002 D1.001 D2.001 D2.002 D2.003	Proportion of TWW being exported to other regions (municipalities, microwatersheds or watersheds) Proportion of population using open defecation Number of employees in the wastewater management sector Employee/inhabitant ratio Regularity of maintenance Capacity sufficiency Capacity needs identified Accessible Sampling and processing equipment	Does the personnel involved in wastewater management have the knowledge and skills they need to have? What skills or knowledge are needed by plant operators and other personnel directly in contact with the facility? Make a precise list relating stakeholders with capacity needs. Does the municipality have its own equipment or easy and hassel-free access to sampling and analysis to monitor wastewater, treated water and by-products quality?
Staff DO Management D1 Capacities D2 Compliance and certification D3	C1.007 C1.008 D0.001 D0.002 D1.001 D2.001 D2.002 D2.003 D3.001	Proportion of TWW being exported to other regions (municipalities, microwatersheds or watersheds) Proportion of population using open defecation Number of employees in the wastewater management sector Employee/inhabitant ratio Regularity of maintenance Capacity sufficiency Capacity needs identified	Does the personnel involved in wastewater management have the knowledge and skills they need to have? What skills or knowledge are needed by plant operators and other personnel directly in contact with the facility? Make a precise list relating stakeholders with capacity needs. Does the municipality have its own equipment or easy and hassel-free access to sampling and analysis to monitor
Staff DO Management D1 Capacities D2	C1.007 C1.008 D0.001 D0.002 D1.001 D2.001 D2.002 D2.003	Proportion of TWW being exported to other regions (municipalities, microwatersheds or watersheds) Proportion of population using open defecation Number of employees in the wastewater management sector Employee/inhabitant ratio Regularity of maintenance Capacity sufficiency Capacity needs identified Accessible Sampling and processing equipment Certification Has a health risk assessment related to wastewater been performed at the municipal scale	Does the personnel involved in wastewater management have the knowledge and skills they need to have? What skills or knowledge are needed by plant operators and other personnel directly in contact with the facility? Make a precise list relating stakeholders with capacity needs. Does the municipality have its own equipment or easy and hassel-free access to sampling and analysis to monitor wastewater, treated water and by-products quality?
Staff DO Management D1 Capacities D2 Compliance and certification D3	C1.007 C1.008 D0.001 D0.002 D1.001 D2.001 D2.002 D2.003 D3.001	Proportion of TWW being exported to other regions (municipalities, microwatersheds or watersheds) Proportion of population using open defecation Number of employees in the wastewater management sector Employee/inhabitant ratio Regularity of maintenance Capacity sufficiency Capacity needs identified Accessible Sampling and processing equipment Certification Has a health risk assessment related to wastewater been performed at	Does the personnel involved in wastewater management have the knowledge and skills they need to have? What skills or knowledge are needed by plant operators and other personnel directly in contact with the facility? Make a precise list relating stakeholders with capacity needs. Does the municipality have its own equipment or easy and hassel-free access to sampling and analysis to monitor wastewater, treated water and by-products quality?
Staff DO Management D1 Capacities D2 Compliance and certification D3	C1.007 C1.008 D0.001 D0.002 D1.001 D2.001 D2.003 D3.001 E0.001 E0.002	Proportion of TWW being exported to other regions (municipalities, microwatersheds or watersheds) Proportion of population using open defecation Number of employees in the wastewater management sector Employee/inhabitant ratio Regularity of maintenance Capacity sufficiency Capacity needs identified Accessible Sampling and processing equipment Certification Has a health risk assessment related to wastewater been performed at the municipal scale Are health risks related to the wastewater system being managed at the municipal scale? Has a natural disasters risk assessment been performed for the	Does the personnel involved in wastewater management have the knowledge and skills they need to have? What skills or knowledge are needed by plant operators and other personnel directly in contact with the facility? Make a precise list relating stakeholders with capacity needs. Does the municipality have its own equipment or easy and hassel-free access to sampling and analysis to monitor wastewater, treated water and by-products quality?
Staff DO Management D1 Capacities D2 Compliance and certification D3 RISK E	C1.007 C1.008 D0.001 D0.002 D1.001 D2.001 D2.003 D3.001 E0.001	Proportion of TWW being exported to other regions (municipalities, microwatersheds or watersheds) Proportion of population using open defecation Number of employees in the wastewater management sector Employee/inhabitant ratio Regularity of maintenance Capacity sufficiency Capacity needs identified Accessible Sampling and processing equipment Certification Has a health risk assessment related to wastewater been performed at the municipal scale Are health risks related to the wastewater system being managed at the municipal scale? Has a natural disasters risk assessment been performed for the wasteater management system at the municipal scale?	Does the personnel involved in wastewater management have the knowledge and skills they need to have? What skills or knowledge are needed by plant operators and other personnel directly in contact with the facility? Make a precise list relating stakeholders with capacity needs. Does the municipality have its own equipment or easy and hassel-free access to sampling and analysis to monitor wastewater, treated water and by-products quality?
Staff DO Management D1 Capacities D2 Compliance and certification D3 RISK E	C1.007 C1.008 D0.001 D0.002 D1.001 D2.001 D2.003 D3.001 E0.001 E0.002	Proportion of TWW being exported to other regions (municipalities, microwatersheds or watersheds) Proportion of population using open defecation Number of employees in the wastewater management sector Employee/inhabitant ratio Regularity of maintenance Capacity sufficiency Capacity needs identified Accessible Sampling and processing equipment Certification Has a health risk assessment related to wastewater been performed at the municipal scale Are health risks related to the wastewater system being managed at the municipal scale? Has a natural disasters risk assessment been performed for the wasteater management system at the municipal scale? Are natural hazard risks to the municipal wastewater management	Does the personnel involved in wastewater management have the knowledge and skills they need to have? What skills or knowledge are needed by plant operators and other personnel directly in contact with the facility? Make a precise list relating stakeholders with capacity needs. Does the municipality have its own equipment or easy and hassel-free access to sampling and analysis to monitor wastewater, treated water and by-products quality?
Staff D0 Management D1 Capacities D2 Compliance and certification D3 RISK E Health E0 Natural hazards E1	C1.007 C1.008 D0.001 D0.002 D1.001 D2.001 D2.002 D2.003 D3.001 E0.001 E0.002 E1.001 E1.002	Proportion of TWW being exported to other regions (municipalities, microwatersheds or watersheds) Proportion of population using open defecation Number of employees in the wastewater management sector Employee/inhabitant ratio Regularity of maintenance Capacity sufficiency Capacity needs identified Accessible Sampling and processing equipment Certification Has a health risk assessment related to wastewater been performed at the municipal scale Are health risks related to the wastewater system being managed at the municipal scale? Has a natural disasters risk assessment been performed for the wasteater management system at the municipal scale?	Does the personnel involved in wastewater management have the knowledge and skills they need to have? What skills or knowledge are needed by plant operators and other personnel directly in contact with the facility? Make a precise list relating stakeholders with capacity needs. Does the municipality have its own equipment or easy and hassel-free access to sampling and analysis to monitor wastewater, treated water and by-products quality?
Staff DO Management D1 Capacities D2 Compliance and certification D3 RISK E Health EO	C1.007 C1.008 D0.001 D0.002 D1.001 D2.001 D2.002 D2.003 D3.001 E0.001 E0.002 E1.001 E1.002 E2.001	Proportion of TWW being exported to other regions (municipalities, microwatersheds or watersheds) Proportion of population using open defecation Number of employees in the wastewater management sector Employee/inhabitant ratio Regularity of maintenance Capacity sufficiency Capacity needs identified Accessible Sampling and processing equipment Certification Has a health risk assessment related to wastewater been performed at the municipal scale Are health risks related to the wastewater system being managed at the municipal scale? Has a natural disasters risk assessment been performed for the wastewater management system at the municipal scale? Has an environmental risks to the municipal wastewater management system being managed? Has an environmental impact study relating wastewater with ecosystem health been performed at the municipal scale	Does the personnel involved in wastewater management have the knowledge and skills they need to have? What skills or knowledge are needed by plant operators and other personnel directly in contact with the facility? Make a precise list relating stakeholders with capacity needs. Does the municipality have its own equipment or easy and hassel-free access to sampling and analysis to monitor wastewater, treated water and by-products quality?
Staff D0 Management D1 Capacities D2 Compliance and certification D3 RISK E Health E0 Natural hazards E1 Environmental Impact E2	C1.007 C1.008 D0.001 D0.002 D1.001 D2.001 D2.003 D3.001 E0.001 E0.002 E1.001 E1.002 E2.001 E2.001	Proportion of TWW being exported to other regions (municipalities, microwatersheds or watersheds) Proportion of population using open defecation Number of employees in the wastewater management sector Employee/inhabitant ratio Regularity of maintenance Capacity sufficiency Capacity sufficiency Capacity needs identified Accessible Sampling and processing equipment Certification Has a health risk assessment related to wastewater been performed at the municipal scale Are health risks related to the wastewater system being managed at the municipal scale? Has a natural disasters risk assessment been performed for the wastewater management system at the municipal scale? Has an environmental risks to the municipal wastewater management system being managed? Has an environmental impact study relating wastewater with ecosystem health been performed at the municipal scale	Does the personnel involved in wastewater management have the knowledge and skills they need to have? What skills or knowledge are needed by plant operators and other personnel directly in contact with the facility? Make a precise list relating stakeholders with capacity needs. Does the municipality have its own equipment or easy and hassel-free access to sampling and analysis to monitor wastewater, treated water and by-products quality?
Staff DO Management D1 Capacities D2 Compliance and certification D3 RISK E Health EO Natural hazards E1 Environmental Impact E2 DATASET LO3 - TECHNICAL-ENVIRONM	C1.007 C1.008 D0.001 D0.002 D1.001 D2.001 D2.003 D3.001 E0.001 E0.002 E1.001 E1.002 E2.001 E2.001	Proportion of TWW being exported to other regions (municipalities, microwatersheds or watersheds) Proportion of population using open defecation Number of employees in the wastewater management sector Employee/inhabitant ratio Regularity of maintenance Capacity sufficiency Capacity sufficiency Capacity needs identified Accessible Sampling and processing equipment Certification Has a health risk assessment related to wastewater been performed at the municipal scale Are health risks related to the wastewater system being managed at the municipal scale? Has a natural disasters risk assessment been performed for the wastewater management system at the municipal scale? Has an environmental risks to the municipal wastewater management system being managed? Has an environmental impact study relating wastewater with ecosystem health been performed at the municipal scale	Does the personnel involved in wastewater management have the knowledge and skills they need to have? What skills or knowledge are needed by plant operators and other personnel directly in contact with the facility? Make a precise list relating stakeholders with capacity needs. Does the municipality have its own equipment or easy and hassel-free access to sampling and analysis to monitor wastewater, treated water and by-products quality?

Category	ID	Data item	Item description
	1.03	(indicator)	
Ecological dynamics a	A0.001	Average Yearly Discharge regime	Water volume discharged by the river or main water course in the sub-catchment into receiving water body
	A0.002	Mean yearly Water resources availability	Water resources available to humans under current infrastructural conditions (wells, dams, etc)
Hydrology A0	A0.003	Mean annual water volume from springs	Proportion of the subcatchment's yearly discharge volume coming from springs
	A0.004	Mean annual water volume from run off	Proportion of the subcatchment's yearly discharge volume coming from rain run-off
	A0.005	Mean annual volume raw waste water	Proportion of the subcatchment's yearly discharge volume coming from raw wastewater
	A0.006	Mean annual wolume from treated waste water	Proportion of the subcatchment's yearly discharge volume coming from treated water

Resources health A1	A1.001	Level of deforestation upstream	Upstream from WWTP
	A1.002	Level of soil erosion upstream	Upstream from WWTP
	A1.003	Identified factors affecting river water quality upstream	Other than the WWTP. From Iterature and/or visual surveillance and interviews on field.
WATER QUALITY B	B0.001	Temperature	Quality of stream/river before contact with discharge from the treatment plant
	B0.002	BOD	
	B0.003	COD	
	B0.004	Total Nitrogen	
	B0.005	Ammonium	
	B0.006	Nitrates	
	B0.007	Total Phosphorus	
	B0.008	К	
	B0.009	Ca	
	B0.010	Mg	
	B0.011	Na	
	B0.012	SAR	
	B0.013	Electric conductivity	
	B0.014	Fecal coliforms	
	B0.015	E.Coli	
	B0.016	Helminths	
	B0.017	Dissolved organic Carbon (DOC)	
	B0.018	Organic Matter	
	B0.019	Organic acids	
	B0.020	TSS	
	B0.021	Turbidity	
	B0.022	pH	
	B0.022 B0.023	Al	
	B0.024	As	
	B0.025	Cd	
	B0.026	Co Cr	
	B0.027	G	
	B0.028	Cu	
	B0.029	Fe	
	B0.030	Mn	
	B0.031	Ni	
	B0.032	Ti	
	B0.033	Zn	
	B0.034	Hg	
	B0.035	Pb	
	B0.036	Se	
	B0.037	В	
	B0.038	Мо	
	B0.039	Residual chlorine	
	B0.040	Microplastics	
	B0.041	AOX	
	B0.042	B(a)P	
	B0.043	PCB	
	B0.044	PCDD	
	B0.045	PCDF	
	B0.046	PFC	
	B0.047	dl-PCB	
WASTEWATER C	0.047		Hanness MARTED and while the and as the second as a
WASTEWATER C		Number of waste water treatment plants	How many WWTP exist within the subcatchment area
	0.002	Total waste water produced	
	0.003	Proportion of wastewater safely treated	
MANAGEMENT D	D0.001	Number of non municipal staff employed in water resources	Number of staff hired by public institutions other than the muncipality , appointed especially for the subcatchm
04-8 D0		management at the subcatchment scale	scale.
Staff D0	D0.002	Employee ratio	Number of employees in wastewater management sector per 10,000 inhabitants in the subcatchment.
Managment D1	D1.001	River water quality monitoring frequency	
Capacities D2	D2.001	Capacity sufficiency	Does the personnel involved in wastewater management at this scale have the knowledge and skills they need
			have? What skills or knowledge are needed by personnel operating the WWMS at this scale? Make a precise list rela
	D2.002	Capacity needs identified	stakeholders with capacity needs.
	D2.003	Accessible Sampling and processing equipment	Does the authority in charge of sub-catchment management have its own equipment or easy and hasselfree
			to sampling and analysis to monitor water quality?
Compliance and certification D3	D3.001	Certification	Has the administration facility received certification (of procedures, quality standards, etc)?
RISK E	E0.001	Has a health risk assessment related to wastewater been performed at the subcatchme	ent
		scale Are health risks related to the wastewater system being managed at the subcatchment	
	E0.002	Are health risks related to the wastewater system being managed at the subcatchment scale?	
	ED 001	Has an environmental impact study relating wastewater with ecosystem health been	
Health E0	E2.001	performed at the municipal scale	
Environmental Impact E2	E2.001	Are efforts being made to reduce or manage environmental impacts of the wastewater	r
-		management system on the subcatchment?	
ASET I.04 - TECHNICAL-ENVIRONM	1	Watershed Scale	
data items	s 68		
Category	ID	Data item	Item description
	1.04	Average Verly Discharge verime	
ECOLOGICAL DVALANICS A	A0.001	Average Yearly Discharge regime	
ECOLOGICAL DYNAMICS A			
Hydrology A0	A0.002	Mean yearly Water resources availability	Water resources available to humans under current infrastructural conditions (wells, dams, etc)
	A1.001	Degree of deforestation	water resources available to numaris under current nir astructural conditions (wells, daths, etc.)
Hydrology A0			water resources available to numans under current nir astructural condutors (web, dams, etc)

WATER QUALITY B	A1.004	Main waterbody eutrophication Temperature	low, medium, high
WATER QUALITY B	B0.001	BOD	
	B0.002	COD	
	B0.003		
	B0.004	Total Nitrogen	
	B0.005	Ammonium	
	B0.006	Nitrates	
	B0.007	Total Phosphorus	
	B0.008	K	
	B0.009	Ca	
	B0.010	Mg	
	B0.011	Na	
	B0.012	SAR	
	B0.013	Electric conductivity	
	B0.014	Faecal coliforms	
	B0.015	E.Coli	
	B0.016	Helminths	
	B0.017	Dissolved organic Carbon (DOC)	
	B0.018	Organic Matter	
	B0.019	Organic acids	
	B0.020	TSS	
	B0.021	Turbidity	
	B0.022	pH	
	B0.023	Al	
	B0.024	As	
	B0.025	Cd	
	B0.026	o	
	B0.027	Cr	
	B0.028	Cu	
	B0.029	Fe	
	B0.030	Mn	
	B0.031	Ni	
	B0.032	Ti	
	B0.033	Zn	
	B0.034	Hg	
	B0.035	Pb	
	B0.036	Se	
	B0.037	В	
	B0.038	Mo	
	B0.039	Residual chlorine	
	B0.040	Microplastics	
	B0.041	AOX	
	B0.042	B(a)P	
	B0.043	PCB	
	B0.044	PCDD	
	B0.045	PCDF	
	B0.046	PFC	
	B0.047	dl-PCB	
WASTE WATER C	00.001	Number of waste water treatment plants	How many WWTP exist within the watershed area
	00.002	Total waste water produced	
	00.003	Proportion of wastewater safely treated	
	3.005	Number of non municipal staff employed in water resources	
MANAGEMENT D	D0.001	management at the watershed scale	Number of staff hired by public institutions other than the muncipality , appointed especially for the watershed
Staff D0	D0.000	Employee ratio	Number of employees per 10 000 inhabitants in the unterched
	D0.002		Number of employees per 10,000 inhabitants in the watershed
Managment D1	D1.001	Uses of main waterbody water Main water body water guality monitoring frequency	What uses do different population groups give to the water in the main water body understudy at this scale?
		Main water body water quality monitoring frequency	
Capacities D2	D2.001	Capacity sufficiency	Does the personnel involved in wastewater management at the watershed scale have the knowledge and skill need to have?
	D2.002	Capacity needs identified	What skills or knowledge are needed by personnel involved in wastewater management? Make a precise list r stakeholders with capacity needs.
	D2.003	Accessible Sampling and processing equipment	Does the authority in charge of monitoring the watershed have its own equipment or easy and hasselfree act sampling and analysis to monitor water quality?
Compliance and certification D3	D3.001	Water qualitiy monitoring frequency compliance	
	D3.002	Certification	Has the watershed authority received certification (of procedures, quality standards, etc)?
DICK E		Has a health risk assessment related to wastewater been performed at the watershed	
RISK E	E0.001	scale Are health risks related to the wastewater system being managed at the watershed	
	E0.002	Are nearth risks related to the wastewater system being managed at the watershed scale?	
Uselle TA	F0.001	Has an environmental impact study relating wastewater with ecosystem health been	
Health E0	E2.001	performed at the munatershedicipal scale	
		Are efforts being made to reduce or manage environmental impacts of the wastewater	
Environmental Impact E2	E2.001	management system on the watershed's resources?	

Total data items	62		
ASET II.01 - SOCIAL/ECONOMIC B/			
Data item: Category	5 26 ID	Data item	Item description
catego.y	II.01		
ECONOMICAL A	A0.001	Total Running costs	Total costs of yearly operation, including all areas.
Costs A0	A0.002	Cost per m3 of water treated	Cost of producing one cubic meter of treated water
	A0.003	Cost per inhabitant served	
	A0.004	Proportion of costs: energy	
	A0.005	Proportion of costs: raw materials	
	A0.006	Proportion of costs: maintenance and repairs	
	A0.007 A0.008	Proportion of costs: quality testing and lab work	
	A0.008	Proportion of costs: salaries and other labour costs Proportion of costs: training, capacity building	
	A0.010	Proportion of costs: solid waste management	
Income A1	A1.001	Total plant income	Total income of the plant yearly. Specify currency used under 'units'
	A1.002	Real financial availability per inhabitant served	
	A1.003	Budget deficit	
	A1.004	Current financing sources	
	A1.005	Alternative financing sources identified	
	A1.006	Valorisation of by products	Are products of the plant being valorised (sold, recycled, etc)
ASET II.02 - SOCIO ECONOMIC BA	SELINE - Municipal Scale		
Data item:	56		
ECONOMIC A	A0.001	Financial requirements of wastewater sector at the municipal scale	Total budgetary requirements for the wastewater sector in the municipality.
Costs A0	A0.002	Cost per m3 of water treated	For the municipality
	A0.003	Cost per inhabitant served	For the municipality
Income A1	A1.001	Total real budget	Total budget available to the municipality to deal with sanitation and wastewater management issues. A similar
	A1.002	Ratio Investment per inhabitant	indicator is proposed in Quadros et al., 2010. How much does the municiapility invest in the WW management system per inhabitant?
	A1.002	Percentage of municipal budget destined to wastewater management	now much does the municipality invest in the www.management.system.per initiabiliante
	A1.004	Budgetary deficit	
	A1.005	Cost of connection to water system	To users
	A1.006	Cost of connection to sewerage system	To users
Finance management A2	A2.001	Financial plan existing	Does the wastewater sector in the municipality have a financial plan?
	A2.002	Current financing sources	
	A2.003	Alternative financing sources identified	
SOCIAL B	B0.001	Institutional Planning: Existence of an institutional plan for ww	
		management at the municipal scale	
Governance and Management BO	B0.002	Clear governance and management structures	Is the governance and management structure clear to all stakeholders involved? Are responsibilities clear?
	B0.003	Cross sectoral integration	is wastewater management integrated with other sectors at the operative or planning scale? i.e coordination v
	P0.004		waste sector, with water setor, with agriculture. Are policies overlapping (e.g. two different policies regulate one same resource or process, so as to create
	B0.004	Policy concurrence	confusion or double-regulating)
	B0.005	Managerial communication	Is there a direct or uncomplicated communication channel for operators and actors on the ground to inform influece decision makers?
ASET II 03 - SOCIO ECONOMIC BA		Smle	
ASET II.03 - SOCIO ECONOMIC BA	SELINE - Subcatchment S	Scale	
Data item:	SELINE - Subcatchment S		
Data item: SOCIAL B	SELINE - Subcatchment S 7 B0.001	Is there a governance administrative body for the watershed?	_
Data item:	SELINE - Subcatchment S		_
Data item: SOCIAL B	SELINE - Subcatchment S 7 B0.001 B0.002	Is there a governance administrative body for the watershed? Institutional planning: Existance of an integrated water resources	
Data item: SOCIAL B	SELINE - Subcatchment S 7 B0.001	Is there a governance administrative body for the watershed? Institutional planning: Existance of an integrated water resources management plan for the watershed?	
Data item: SOCIAL B	SELINE - Subcatchment S 7 B0.001 B0.002	Is there a governance administrative body for the watershed? Institutional planning: Existance of an integrated water resources management plan for the watershed? Institutional PPlanning: Existence of an institutional plan for wastewater	Is the governance and management structure clear to all stakeholders involved at this scale? Are responsibili
Data item: SOCIAL B	SELINE - Subcatchment S 7 B0.001 B0.002 B0.003 B0.004	Is there a governance administrative body for the watershed? Institutional planning: Existance of an integrated water resources management plan for the watershed? Institutional PPlanning: Existence of an institutional plan for wastewater management at the watershed scale Gear management structures	Is the governance and management structure clear to all stakeholders involved at this scale? Are responsibili clear?
Data item: SOCIAL B	SELINE - Subcatchment S 7 B0.001 B0.002 B0.003	Is there a governance administrative body for the watershed? Institutional planning: Existance of an integrated water resources management plan for the watershed? Institutional PPlanning: Existence of an institutional plan for wastewater management at the watershed scale	Is the governance and management structure clear to all stakeholders involved at this scale? Are responsibilit clear? is wastewater management integrated with other sectors at the operative or planning scale? i.e coordination waste sector, with water setor, with agriculture.
Data item: SOCIAL B	SELINE - Subcatchment S 7 B0.001 B0.002 B0.003 B0.004	Is there a governance administrative body for the watershed? Institutional planning: Existance of an integrated water resources management plan for the watershed? Institutional PPlanning: Existence of an institutional plan for wastewater management at the watershed scale Gear management structures	Is the governance and management structure clear to all stakeholders involved at this scale? Are responsibilit clear? is wastewater management integrated with other sectors at the operative or planning scale? i.e coordination waste sector, with water setor, with agriculture. Are policies overlapping (e.g. two different policies regulate one same resource or process, so as to create
Data item: SOCIAL B	SELINE - Subcatchment \$ 7 B0.001 B0.002 B0.003 B0.004 B0.005 B0.006 B0.006	Is there a governance administrative body for the watershed? Institutional planning: Existance of an integrated water resources management plan for the watershed? Institutional PPlanning: Existence of an institutional plan for wastewater management at the watershed scale Gear management structures Cross sectoral integration Policy concurrence	Is the governance and management structure clear to all stakeholders involved at this scale? Are responsibili clear? is wastewater management integrated with other sectors at the operative or planning scale? i.e coordination waste sector, with water setor, with agriculture. Are policies overlapping (e.g. two different policies regulate one same resource or process, so as to create confusion or double-regulating)
Data item: SOCAL B Governance and Management BO	ELINE - Subcatchment \$ 7 80.001 B0.002 B0.003 B0.004 B0.005 B0.006 B0.007	Is there a governance administrative body for the watershed? Institutional planning: Existance of an integrated water resources management plan for the watershed? Institutional PPlanning: Existence of an institutional plan for wastewater management at the watershed scale Clear management structures Cross sectoral integration Policy concurrence Managerial communication	Is the governance and management structure clear to all stakeholders involved at this scale? Are responsibili clear? is wastewater management integrated with other sectors at the operative or planning scale? i.e coordination waste sector, with water setor, with agriculture. Are policies overlapping (e.g. two different policies regulate one same resource or process, so as to create confusion or double-regulating)
Data item: SOCIAL B	ELINE - Subcatchment \$ 7 80.001 B0.002 B0.003 B0.004 B0.005 B0.006 B0.007	Is there a governance administrative body for the watershed? Institutional planning: Existance of an integrated water resources management plan for the watershed? Institutional PPlanning: Existence of an institutional plan for wastewater management at the watershed scale Clear management structures Cross sectoral integration Policy concurrence Managerial communication	Is the governance and management structure clear to all stakeholders involved at this scale? Are responsibili clear? is wastewater management integrated with other sectors at the operative or planning scale? i.e coordination waste sector, with water setor, with agriculture. Are policies overlapping (e.g. two different policies regulate one same resource or process, so as to create confusion or double-regulating) Is there a direct or uncomplicated communication channel for operators and actors on the ground to inform
Data item: SOCAL B Governance and Management BO	SELINE - Subcatchment S 7 B0.001 B0.002 B0.003 B0.004 B0.005 B0.006 B0.007 C BASELINE - Waters	Is there a governance administrative body for the watershed? Institutional planning: Existance of an integrated water resources management plan for the watershed? Institutional PPlanning: Existence of an institutional plan for wastewater management at the watershed scale Clear management structures Cross sectoral integration Policy concurrence Managerial communication	Is the governance and management structure clear to all stakeholders involved at this scale? Are responsibili clear? is wastewater management integrated with other sectors at the operative or planning scale? i.e. coordination waste sector, with water setor, with agriculture. Are policies overlapping (e.g. two different policies regulate one same resource or process, so as to create confusion or double-regulating) Is there a direct or uncomplicated communication channel for operators and actors on the ground to inform
Data item: SOCAL B Governance and Management BO TASET II.04 - SOCIO ECONOM Data item:	SELINE - Subcatchment S 7 B0.001 B0.002 B0.003 B0.004 B0.005 B0.006 B0.007 C BASELINE - Waters	Is there a governance administrative body for the watershed? Institutional planning: Existance of an integrated water resources management plan for the watershed? Institutional PPlanning: Existence of an institutional plan for wastewater management at the watershed scale Clear management structures Cross sectoral integration Policy concurrence Managerial communication	Is the governance and management structure dear to all stakeholders involved at this scale? Are responsibility dear? is wastewater management integrated with other sectors at the operative or planning scale? i.e coordination waste sector, with water setor, with agriculture. Are policies overlapping (e.g. two different policies regulate one same resource or process, so as to create confusion or double-regulating) Is there a direct or uncomplicated communication channel for operators and actors on the ground to inform influece decision makers?
Data item: SOCAL B Governance and Management BO TASET II.04 - SOCIO ECONOM	SELINE - Subcatchment \$ 7 B0.001 B0.002 B0.003 B0.004 B0.005 B0.005 B0.006 B0.007 C C BASELINE - Waters 12 A0.001	Is there a governance administrative body for the watershed? Institutional planning: Existance of an integrated water resources management plan for the watershed? Institutional PPlanning: Existence of an institutional plan for wastewater management at the watershed scale Clear management structures Cross sectoral integration Policy concurrence Managerial communication Shed Scale Total costs for operating water/wastewater facilities/management system at the watershed scale	Is the governance and management structure dear to all stakeholders involved at this scale? Are responsibilitives? Is wastewater management integrated with other sectors at the operative or planning scale? Le coordination waste sector, with water setor, with agriculture. Are policies overlapping (e.g. two different policies regulate one same resource or process, so as to create confusion or double-regulating) Is there a direct or uncomplicated communication channel for operators and actors on the ground to inform influece decision makers?
Data item: SOCAL B Governance and Management BO TASET II.04 - SOCIO ECONOM Data item:	SELINE - Subcatchment S 7 B0.001 B0.002 B0.003 B0.004 B0.005 B0.006 B0.007 C BASELINE - Waters 12 A0.001 A0.002	Is there a governance administrative body for the watershed? Institutional planning: Existance of an integrated water resources management plan for the watershed? Institutional PPlanning: Existence of an institutional plan for wastewater management at the watershed scale Gear management structures Cross sectoral integration Policy concurrence Managerial communication Shed Scale Total costs for operating water/wastewater facilities/management system at the watershed scale Budget destined to the watershed administration facility	Is the governance and management structure clear to all stakeholders involved at this scale? Are responsibilit clear? is wastewater management integrated with other sectors at the operative or planning scale? Le coordination waste sector, with water setor, with agriculture. Are policies overlapping (e.g. two different policies regulate one same resource or process, so as to create confusion or double-regulating) Is there a direct or uncomplicated communication channel for operators and actors on the ground to inform. influece decision makers?
Data item: SOCAL B Governance and Management BO TASET II.04 - SOCIO ECONOM Data item:	SELINE - Subcatchment S 7 B0.001 B0.002 B0.003 B0.004 B0.005 B0.006 B0.007 C BASELINE - Waters 12 A0.001 A0.002 A0.003	Is there a governance administrative body for the watershed? Institutional planning: Existance of an integrated water resources management plan for the watershed? Institutional PPlanning: Existence of an institutional plan for wastewater management at the watershed scale Clear management structures Cross sectoral integration Policy concurrence Managerial communication shed Scale Total costs for operating water/wastewater facilities/management system at the watershed scale Budget destined to the watershed administration facility Budget deficit?	Is the governance and management structure dear to all stakeholders involved at this scale? Are responsibilit dear? is wastewater management integrated with other sectors at the operative or planning scale? Le coordination waste sector, with water setor, with agriculture. Are policies overlapping (e.g. two different policies regulate one same resource or process, so as to create confusion or double-regulating) Is there a direct or uncomplicated communication channel for operators and actors on the ground to inform influece decision makers?
Data item: SOCAL B Governance and Management BO TASET II.04 - SOCIO ECONOM Data item:	SELINE - Subcatchment S 7 B0.001 B0.002 B0.003 B0.004 B0.005 B0.006 B0.007 C BASELINE - Waters 12 A0.001 A0.002 A0.003 A0.004	Is there a governance administrative body for the watershed? Institutional planning: Existance of an integrated water resources management plan for the watershed? Institutional PPlanning: Existence of an institutional plan for wastewater management at the watershed scale Gear management structures Cross sectoral integration Policy concurrence Managerial communication Shed Scale Total costs for operating water/wastewater facilities/management system at the watershed scale Budget destined to the watershed administration facility Budget deficit? Current financing sources	Is the governance and management structure dear to all stakeholders involved at this scale? Are responsibilitives? Is wastewater management integrated with other sectors at the operative or planning scale? Le coordination waste sector, with water setor, with agriculture. Are policies overlapping (e.g. two different policies regulate one same resource or process, so as to create confusion or double-regulating) Is there a direct or uncomplicated communication channel for operators and actors on the ground to inform influece decision makers?
Data item: SOCAL B Governance and Management BO TASET II.04 - SOCIO ECONOMI Data item: ECONOMIC A	SELINE - Subcatchment \$ 7 80.001 80.002 80.003 80.004 80.005 80.007 C BASELINE - Waters 12 A0.001 A0.002 A0.003 A0.004 A0.005	Is there a governance administrative body for the watershed? Institutional planning: Existance of an integrated water resources management plan for the watershed? Institutional PPlanning: Existence of an institutional plan for wastewater management at the watershed scale Gear management structures Cross sectoral integration Policy concurrence Managerial communication shed Scale Total costs for operating water/wastewater facilities/management system at the watershed scale Budget destined to the watershed administration facility Budget deficit? Current financing sources Alternative financing sources identified	Is the governance and management structure dear to all stakeholders involved at this scale? Are responsibilit dear? is wastewater management integrated with other sectors at the operative or planning scale? Le coordination waste sector, with water setor, with agriculture. Are policies overlapping (e.g. two different policies regulate one same resource or process, so as to create confusion or double-regulating) Is there a direct or uncomplicated communication channel for operators and actors on the ground to inform influece decision makers?
Data item: SOCAL B Governance and Management BO TASET II.04 - SOCIO ECONOMI Data item:	SELINE - Subcatchment S 7 B0.001 B0.002 B0.003 B0.004 B0.005 B0.006 B0.007 C BASELINE - Waters 12 A0.001 A0.002 A0.003 A0.004	Is there a governance administrative body for the watershed? Institutional planning: Existance of an integrated water resources management plan for the watershed? Institutional PPlanning: Existence of an institutional plan for wastewater management at the watershed scale Gear management structures Cross sectoral integration Policy concurrence Managerial communication shed Scale Total costs for operating water/wastewater facilities/management system at the watershed scale Budget destined to the watershed administration facility Budget deficit? Current financing sources Alternative financing sources identified Is there a governance administrative body for the watershed/ecoregion	Is the governance and management structure clear to all stakeholders involved at this scale? Are responsibilit clear? is wastewater management integrated with other sectors at the operative or planning scale? i.e. coordination or waste sector, with water setor, with agriculture. Are policies overlapping (e.g. two different policies regulate one same resource or process, so as to create confusion or double-regulating) Is there a direct or uncomplicated communication channel for operators and actors on the ground to inform influece decision makers?
Data item: SOCAL B Governance and Management BO TASET II.04 - SOCIO ECONOMI Data item: ECONOMIC A	SELINE - Subcatchment \$ 7 80.001 80.002 80.003 80.004 80.005 80.007 C BASELINE - Waters 12 A0.001 A0.002 A0.003 A0.004 A0.005	Is there a governance administrative body for the watershed? Institutional planning: Existance of an integrated water resources management plan for the watershed? Institutional PPlanning: Existence of an institutional plan for wastewater management at the watershed scale Gear management structures Cross sectoral integration Policy concurrence Managerial communication shed Scale Total costs for operating water/wastewater facilities/management system at the watershed scale Budget destined to the watershed administration facility Budget deficit? Current financing sources Alternative financing sources identified Is there a governance administrative body for the watershed/ecoregion Institutional planning: Existance of an integrated water resources	Is the governance and management structure dear to all stakeholders involved at this scale? Are responsibilitives? Is wastewater management integrated with other sectors at the operative or planning scale? Le coordination waste sector, with water setor, with agriculture. Are policies overlapping (e.g. two different policies regulate one same resource or process, so as to create confusion or double-regulating) Is there a direct or uncomplicated communication channel for operators and actors on the ground to inform influece decision makers?
Data item: SOCAL B Governance and Management BO TASET II.04 - SOCIO ECONOMI Data item: ECONOMIC A SOCIAL B	SELINE - Subcatchment \$ 7 80.001 80.002 80.003 80.004 80.005 80.006 80.007 C BASELINE - Waters 12 A0.001 A0.002 A0.003 A0.004 A0.005 80.001 80.002	Is there a governance administrative body for the watershed? Institutional planning: Existance of an integrated water resources management plan for the watershed? Institutional PPlanning: Existence of an institutional plan for wastewater management at the watershed scale Clear management structures Cross sectoral integration Policy concurrence Managerial communication shed Scale Total costs for operating water/wastewater facilities/management system at the watershed scale Budget destined to the watershed administration facility Budget deficit? Current financing sources Alternative financing sources identified Is there a governance administrative body for the watershed/ecoregion Institutional planning: Existance of an integrated water resources management plan for the watershed?	Is the governance and management structure dear to all stakeholders involved at this scale? Are responsibilitives? Is wastewater management integrated with other sectors at the operative or planning scale? Le coordination waste sector, with water setor, with agriculture. Are policies overlapping (e.g. two different policies regulate one same resource or process, so as to create confusion or double-regulating) Is there a direct or uncomplicated communication channel for operators and actors on the ground to inform influece decision makers?
Data item: SOCAL B Governance and Management BO TASET II.04 - SOCIO ECONOMI Data item: ECONOMIC A SOCIAL B	SELINE - Subcatchment \$ 7 B0.001 B0.002 B0.003 B0.004 B0.005 B0.005 B0.006 B0.007 C C BASELINE - Waters 12 A0.001 A0.002 A0.003 A0.004 A0.005 B0.001 B0.001 B0.001	Is there a governance administrative body for the watershed? Institutional planning: Existance of an integrated water resources management plan for the watershed? Institutional PPlanning: Existence of an institutional plan for wastewater management at the watershed scale Clear management structures Cross sectoral integration Policy concurrence Managerial communication Shed Scale Total costs for operating water/wastewater facilities/management system at the watershed scale Budget destined to the watershed administration facility Budget deficit? Current financing sources Alternative financing sources identified Is there a governance administrative body for the watershed/ecoregion Institutional planning: Existance of an integrated water resources management plan for the watershed? Institutional Planning: Existence of an institutional plan for wastewater	Is the governance and management structure dear to all stakeholders involved at this scale? Are responsibilitives? Is wastewater management integrated with other sectors at the operative or planning scale? Le coordination waste sector, with water setor, with agriculture. Are policies overlapping (e.g. two different policies regulate one same resource or process, so as to create confusion or double-regulating) Is there a direct or uncomplicated communication channel for operators and actors on the ground to inform influece decision makers?
Data item: SOCAL B Governance and Management BO TASET II.04 - SOCIO ECONOMI Data item: ECONOMIC A SOCAL B	SELINE - Subcatchment \$ 7 80.001 80.002 80.003 80.004 80.005 80.006 80.007 C BASELINE - Waters 12 A0.001 A0.002 A0.003 A0.004 A0.005 80.001 80.002	Is there a governance administrative body for the watershed? Institutional planning: Existance of an integrated water resources management plan for the watershed? Institutional PPlanning: Existence of an institutional plan for wastewater management at the watershed scale Clear management structures Cross sectoral integration Policy concurrence Managerial communication Shed Scale Total costs for operating water/wastewater facilities/management system at the watershed scale Budget destined to the watershed administration facility Budget deficit? Current financing sources Alternative financing sources identified Is there a governance administrative body for the watershed/ecoregion Institutional planning: Existance of an integrated water resources management plan for the watershed? Institutional Planning: Existence of an institutional plan for wastewater management at the watershed scale	Is the governance and management structure dear to all stakeholders involved at this scale? Are responsibilitives? Is wastewater management integrated with other sectors at the operative or planning scale? is coordination waste sector, with water setor, with agriculture. Are policies overlapping (e.g. two different policies regulate one same resource or process, so as to create confusion or double-regulating) Is there a direct or uncomplicated communication channel for operators and actors on the ground to inform influece decision makers?
Data item: SOCAL B Governance and Management BO TASET II.04 - SOCIO ECONOMI Data item: ECONOMIC A SOCIAL B	SELINE - Subcatchment \$ 7 8 0.001 80.002 80.003 80.004 80.005 80.005 80.007 C BASELINE - Waters 12 40.001 40.002 40.003 40.004 80.001 80.001 80.002 80.001 80.002 80.003 80.003 80.004	Is there a governance administrative body for the watershed? Institutional planning: Existance of an integrated water resources management plan for the watershed? Institutional PPlanning: Existence of an institutional plan for wastewater management at the watershed scale Clear management structures Cross sectoral integration Policy concurrence Managerial communication Shed Scale Total costs for operating water/wastewater facilities/management system at the watershed scale Budget destined to the watershed administration facility Budget deficit? Current financing sources Alternative financing sources identified Is there a governance administrative body for the watershed/ecoregion Institutional planning: Existance of an integrated water resources management plan for the watershed? Institutional Planning: Existence of an institutional plan for wastewater management at the watershed scale Clear management structures	Is the governance and management structure dear to all stakeholders involved at this scale? Are responsibilitives? is wastewater management integrated with other sectors at the operative or planning scale? i.e. coordination waste sector, with water setor, with agriculture. Are policies overlapping (e.g. two different policies regulate one same resource or process, so as to create confusion or double-regulating) Is there a direct or uncomplicated communication channel for operators and actors on the ground to inform influece decision makers? For running the watershed governance/administration facility. If a watershed management facility is existing. See data item B0.001
Data item: SOCAL B Governance and Management BO TASET II.04 - SOCIO ECONOMI Data item: ECONOMIC A SOCIAL B	SELINE - Subcatchment \$ 7 80.001 80.002 80.003 80.004 80.005 80.007 C BASELINE - Waters 12 A0.001 A0.002 A0.003 A0.004 A0.005 80.001 80.002 80.001 80.002 80.003 80.004 80.005	Is there a governance administrative body for the watershed? Institutional planning: Existance of an integrated water resources management plan for the watershed? Institutional PPlanning: Existence of an institutional plan for wastewater management at the watershed scale Gear management structures Cross sectoral integration Policy concurrence Managerial communication shed Scale Total costs for operating water/wastewater facilities/management system at the watershed scale Budget destined to the watershed administration facility Budget deficit? Current financing sources Alternative financing sources identified Is there a governance administrative body for the watershed/ecoregion Institutional planning: Existance of an integrated water resources management plan for the watershed? Institutional Planning: Existence of an institutional plan for wastewater management at the watershed scale Clear management structures Cross sectoral integration	Is the governance and management structure dear to all stakeholders involved at this scale? Are responsibilitives? Is wastewater management integrated with other sectors at the operative or planning scale? Le coordination waste sector, with water setor, with agriculture. Are policies overlapping (e.g. two different policies regulate one same resource or process, so as to create confusion or double-regulating) Is there a direct or uncomplicated communication channel for operators and actors on the ground to inform influece decision makers? For running the watershed governance/administration facility. If a watershed management facility is existing. See data item B0.001 is wastewater management integrated with other sectors at the operative or planning scale? Le coordination waste sector, with water setor, with agriculture.
Data item: SOCAL B Governance and Management BO TASET II.04 - SOCIO ECONOMI Data item: ECONOMIC A SOCIAL B	SELINE - Subcatchment \$ 7 8 0.001 80.002 80.003 80.004 80.005 80.005 80.007 C BASELINE - Waters 12 40.001 40.002 40.003 40.004 80.001 80.001 80.002 80.001 80.002 80.003 80.003 80.004	Is there a governance administrative body for the watershed? Institutional planning: Existance of an integrated water resources management plan for the watershed? Institutional PPlanning: Existence of an institutional plan for wastewater management at the watershed scale Clear management structures Cross sectoral integration Policy concurrence Managerial communication Shed Scale Total costs for operating water/wastewater facilities/management system at the watershed scale Budget destined to the watershed administration facility Budget deficit? Current financing sources Alternative financing sources identified Is there a governance administrative body for the watershed/ecoregion Institutional planning: Existance of an integrated water resources management plan for the watershed? Institutional Planning: Existence of an institutional plan for wastewater management at the watershed scale Clear management structures	Is the governance and management structure dear to all stakeholders involved at this scale? Are responsibilit dear? is wastewater management integrated with other sectors at the operative or planning scale? i.e. coordination to waste sector, with water setor, with agriculture. Are policies overlapping (e.g. two different policies regulate one same resource or process, so as to create confusion or double-regulating) Is there a direct or uncomplicated communication channel for operators and actors on the ground to inform a influece decision makers?

SludgeTec extended dataset framework - IIB - MULTISCALE SOCIAL DATA

SOCIAL ACCEPTANCE A	A0.001	Personal interest in wastewaster management problems
	A0.002	Personal awareness of wastewater management problems
	A0.003	Willingness to be informed about the wastewater management problems
	A0.004	Accessibility to information
	A0.005	Possibilities for providing a recommendation
	A0.006	Recommendations are taken into account?
	A0.007	Willingness to participate in decision-making
	A0.008	Participative decision-making
	A0.009	Personal acceptance of the current wastewater management
	A0.010	Perception of social acceptance of the current wastewater management