Impacts of Environmental Variables on a Phytoplankton Community: A Case Study of the Tributaries of a Subtropical River, Southern China
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Abstract: The phytoplankton community in the river is closely related to the location of the river and the impact of human activities. To summarize the patterns of phytoplankton community changes in rivers and to analyze the reasons for these patterns and differences, we sampled the three tributaries of the Dongjiang River at different latitudes in the dry and rainy season for three years. The results showed that the three rivers were mesotrophic, lightly eutrophic and moderately eutrophic respectively. From the south to the north, the water temperature and nutrition showed an increasing trend. In two different seasons, the differences in the water temperature and dissolved oxygen were clear. In the dry season, results of the multidimensional scaling (MDS) analysis indicated that the phytoplankton community structures in the Li River and Qiuxiang River were similar. Regardless of the number of species, the cell abundance or the dominance index, Bacillariophyta were found to be dominant. Chlorophyta was dominant in the Danshui River. In the rainy season, Bacillariophyta, Bacillariophyta-Chlorophyta and Chlorophyta-Cyanophyta became the dominant types in the Li River, Qiuxiang River and Danshui River, respectively. These different patterns in phytoplankton community variation were affected by both the water quality and temperature.
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1. Introduction

Phytoplankton, as the main primary producers of the aquatic environment and the foundation of the food web, play an important role in nutrient cycling and the energy conversion process [1]. Studying the succession of a phytoplankton community has important theoretical and practical significance. Numerous research studies in different rivers have showed that temperature, light and nutrients are important factors impacting the succession of the phytoplankton community [2–4]. In natural water bodies, these factors often simultaneously determine the succession of the phytoplankton community. Sommer with her colleagues summed up the famous PEG (Plankton Ecology Group) model for the seasonal variation of phytoplankton biomass in a temperate mesotrophic lake [5]. Since then, this model has been applied to many comparative studies of different types of lakes and rivers [6,7]. However, at different geographical positions and nutrient levels, the degree of influence of each factor varies [8]. Different types of rivers may have different phytoplankton community successions [9,10].
The Dongjiang River is a subtropical river located in southeastern China, and its basin has experienced rapid development of the economy and population in the past 25 years [11]. More importantly, the Dongjiang River is responsible for supplying drinking water to the Pearl River Delta region and Hong Kong. In recent years, the degradation of the water quality of the Dongjiang River caused widespread concern [12–15]. Nitrogen and phosphorus concentrations were found at high levels in the many tributaries, giving rise to the possibility of a harmful algae bloom [16]. Thus, many scholars have performed many studies on the water quality and its influencing factors in the Dongjiang River [17,18]. Some research has discussed the aquatic ecosystem of the Dongjiang River from a biological viewpoint, assessing macroinvertebrates and phytoplankton [19–21]. The above research shows that a river has different hydrological conditions, pollution pressures and nutritional status in different seasons, and this difference also exists in every tributary. However, phytoplankton research has used limited sampling locations and could not assess each type of river or demonstrate the contrast between the seasons. Therefore, this study chose three tributaries of Dongjiang River, the Li River, the Qiuxiang River and the Danshui River, as research subjects. These three tributaries are located at different latitudes and have different nutritional status. A comparison of the phytoplankton community structures in different tributaries and their influencing factors can help us better understand the different river ecosystem types. In addition, analyzing the seasonal differences in the phytoplankton community can aid in understanding the mechanism of variation.

The aims of our research were (1) to verify differences in the trophic states and phytoplankton community structures in three tributaries of the Dongjiang River; (2) to examine how the phytoplankton community variation is influenced by environmental factors; and (3) to summarize the seasonal variation in the phytoplankton communities of the three rivers.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Area

The Dongjiang River (22°21′–25°12′ N, 113°04′–115°50′ E) is one of the main tributaries of the Pearl River in southeastern China (Figure 1). It originates from the Xunwu country in Jiangxi Province and flows into the Pearl River at Humen Town in Guangdong Province. The Dongjiang River basin has a subtropical monsoon climate, with an annual average temperature of 21 °C and a mean annual precipitation of approximately 1800 mm [22]. Although there are several large reservoirs in the basin, the hydrology and water availability of the basin still demonstrate strong seasonal variations [23]. The Li River, Qiuxiang River and Danshui River are three tributaries of the Dongjiang River and are respectively located upstream, midstream and downstream of the Dongjiang River [24]. The Li River originates from Liyuan Town and is approximately 100 km long. The basin of this river is 1677 km². This river flows through Heping Country of Heyuan City, and agriculture and mining are the pillar industries of this county. The lower levels of nutrients in the water may be due to the mountainous and barren land on both sides of this river [17]. The Qiuxiang River originates from Liudun Hill, and its length is approximately 144 km. The basin of this river is 1669 km² and all in Zijin Country. Agriculture and aquaculture are the pillar industries of this country. Furthermore, industrial parks are also sporadically distributed in this basin. Therefore, the point source pollution caused by industrial wastewater is gradually increasing. The Danshui River originates from Wutong Mountain in Shenzhen, and the length is approximately 95 km. The basin of this river is 1308 km². This river flows through Shenzhen City and Huizhou City, and both cities are based on the manufacturing and processing of electronic products and clothing. The water pollution is serious due to the greater population density and the large amount of sewage [25].
Figure 1. Map of the Dongjiang River Basin and its three tributaries, showing the location of the sampling sites.

2.2. Phytoplankton Sampling and Laboratory Procedures

A total of 15 sites were sampled along the main stem of the three tributaries. Five sites were sampled for each river during stable flow conditions (Figure 1). To avoid phytoplankton community differences in the upstream and downstream of a tributary of, we selected sampling sites in the middle reaches of the river. More importantly, we did not sample around the outfall. In the pre-test, we also removed the abnormal sites with large fluctuations in environmental factors. The distance between each two sites was approximately 10 km. The sampling sites located in the middle of the stream or at least 5 m from the riverbank. We worked in the field once during the dry season (February) and once during the rainy season (July) in 2012, 2014 and 2015. Climatic conditions for the sampling year were generally consistent with the long-term averages for the region. Water and phytoplankton samples in total were collected 0.5 m below the water surface using a 2.5-L organic glass hydrophore. Phytoplankton samples were preserved in Lugol’s iodine solution and stored in 1 L polyethylene bottles and transported to the laboratory. In the laboratory, phytoplankton samples were left undisturbed for more than 24 h to mature, and were concentrated to approximately 30 mL. The concentrated phytoplankton samples were counted in a S-R Plankton Counting Chamber plankton counting chamber (0.1 mL) using a Nikon ECLIPSE 90i (Nikon Corporation, Tokyo, Japan) optical digital upright microscope at an eyepiece magnification of 10× and an objective magnification of 40×. The cell numbers of different
phytoplankton species were counted in 100 random fields. Phytoplankton species were identified according to Freshwater Algae in China and the Atlas of Common Freshwater Algae in China [26,27].

2.3. Environmental Factors

The value of transparency (SD, m) was determined with the use of a white Secchi disc 30 cm in diameter. The water temperature (TEM, °C), electrical conductivity (EC, µs·cm⁻¹), dissolved oxygen (DO, mg·L⁻¹), and pH were measured using a portable probe (YSI6600, YSI Incorporated Company, Yellow Spring, OH, USA) at the sites. For trophic parameter, water samples were transported to the laboratory at 4 °C and analyzed according to the standard methods issued by the Chinese State Environmental Protection [28]. The permanganate index (CODₘₙ, mg·L⁻¹) was analyzed by the permanganate titration. The total nitrogen (TN, mg·L⁻¹) and nitrate nitrogen (NO₃-N, mg·L⁻¹) were determined by spectrophotometry. The ammonia nitrogen (NH₃-N, mg·L⁻¹) was determined by the Nessler’s reagent spectrophotometry. The total phosphorus (TP, mg·L⁻¹) was analyzed using the ascorbic acid method. The chlorophyll-a (Chl-a, µg·L⁻¹) was determined by acetone extraction spectrophotometry. The values of the nine parameters that are presented in this paper are the means of triplicate analyses.

2.4. Data Analysis

The dominant taxa of phytoplankton were determined based on the Berger-Parker dominance index formula, as shown in Equation (1):

\[ d = \frac{n_i}{N} \]

where \( n_i \) is the number of individuals of genus \( i \) within a given area in one season, and \( N \) is the total number of individuals of all taxa within the given area in one season. Then, the taxon with a dominance index over 0.02 were determined for the dominant taxon [29].

The river eutrophication levels were determined based on the four types of water quality measurements, which can be reference Wang et al. [30] and Liu et al. [31]. Nutrient content (TN and TP), water clarity (SD), organic matter content (COD) and chl \( a \) concentration were used to calculated the comprehensive trophic level index (TLI(\( \Sigma \))), as shown in Equation (2):

\[ TLI(\Sigma) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} w_j \times TLI(j) \]

where TLI(\( \Sigma \)) is the comprehensive trophic level index, TLI(\( j \)) is the trophic level index of \( j \), and \( w_j \) is the correlated weighted score for the TLI(\( j \)).

\[ w_j = \frac{R_{ij}^2}{\sum_{j=1}^{m} R_{ij}^2} \]

where \( m \) is the number of parameters, and \( R_{ij} \) is the correlation coefficient.

\[ TLI(TN) = 10 \times (5.453 + 1.694 \ln TN) \]

\[ TLI(TP) = 10 \times (9.436 + 1.624 \ln TN) \]

\[ TLI(SD) = 10 \times (5.118 - 1.94 \ln TN) \]

\[ TLI(COD_{Mn}) = 10 \times (0.109 + 2.661 \ln TN) \]

\[ TLI(Chl-a) = 10 \times (2.5 + 1.086 \ln Chl-a) \]

The water nutrition conditions of the water systems were scored using whole integers on a scale of 0–100, with mesotrophic conditions at 30 < TLI(\( \Sigma \)) ≤ 50, lightly mesotrophic conditions at 50 < TLI(\( \Sigma \)) ≤ 60, moderately eutrophic conditions at 60 < TLI(\( \Sigma \)) ≤ 70. The difference of the trophic level index
among the three tributaries were found by the ANOVA test. Because the water quality parameters were not normally distributed when assessed by the Kolmogorov–Smirnov test [32], nonparametric Kruskal–Wallis tests were adopted to test the differences of environmental factors between dry and rainy season [33]. These analyses were carried out by SPSS 20.0 (IBM Company, Armonk, NY, USA).

To identify the spatial differences in the phytoplankton assemblages, multidimensional scaling (MDS) was performed with log($x + 1$) check transformed abundance data. We used the Bray–Curtis similarity coefficient as the distance measure and computed the data. The significances of the degree of separation among the MDS groups were tested by applying one-way similarities analysis (ANOSIM) based on permutation procedures using the Bray–Curtis distance measure. The test results show an overall $R$ statistic and significance level, and provide a pairwise comparison between different groups. The number of permutations was set to 999. MDS and ANOSIM analyses were conducted using PRIMER 5.0 (PRIMER-E Ltd, Roborough, Plymouth, UK).

To assess the relationships between environmental factors and the phytoplankton cell abundance, canonical correspondence analysis (CCA), a constrained ordination, was used. Prior to the analysis, the phytoplankton taxon that occurred at less than three sites and the relative abundance was less than 1% in a site were removed from the analysis to reduce the influence of rare taxa. Environmental variables were transformed with log($x + 1$) (except pH). For more details on the test, see Braak and Smilauer [34]. This analysis was conducted using the CANOCO 5.0 (Microcomputer Power Company, Ithaca, NY, USA).

3. Results

3.1. Variations in Water Quality and Environmental Factors

The environmental factors of three tributaries in the dry and rainy season are shown in Table 1. The water temperature in the dry season was clearly lower than that in the rainy season. Conversely, the DO in the dry season was higher than in the rainy season. In the Danshui River, the EC and TN in the dry season were higher than in the rainy season.

Table 1. Environmental factors in the three tributaries in the dry and rainy season.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Environmental Factors</th>
<th>Li River</th>
<th>Qiuxiang River</th>
<th>Danshui River</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dry Season</td>
<td>Rainy Season</td>
<td>Dry Season</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>7.11 ± 0.14 $^a$</td>
<td>7.20 ± 0.33 $^a$</td>
<td>7.26 ± 0.25 $^a$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEM ($^o$C)</td>
<td>15.27 ± 2.58 $^b$</td>
<td>29.70 ± 1.82 $^a$</td>
<td>18.08 ± 1.38 $^b$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DO (mg L$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>8.32 ± 0.98 $^a$</td>
<td>6.51 ± 0.31 $^b$</td>
<td>7.49 ± 0.99 $^a$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC (µg cm$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>93.12 ± 21.08 $^a$</td>
<td>83.27 ± 16.03 $^a$</td>
<td>81.65 ± 20.50 $^a$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CODMn (mg L$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>2.13 ± 0.91 $^a$</td>
<td>2.22 ± 0.72 $^a$</td>
<td>3.45 ± 0.36 $^a$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP (mg L$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>0.08 ± 0.04 $^a$</td>
<td>0.12 ± 0.02 $^a$</td>
<td>0.11 ± 0.06 $^a$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TN (mg L$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>3.01 ± 1.13 $^a$</td>
<td>1.28 ± 0.88 $^a$</td>
<td>1.24 ± 0.67 $^a$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH$_3$-N (mg L$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>0.65 ± 0.47 $^a$</td>
<td>0.75 ± 0.66 $^a$</td>
<td>0.38 ± 0.19 $^a$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO$_2$-N (mg L$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>1.95 ± 0.41 $^a$</td>
<td>0.64 ± 0.16 $^a$</td>
<td>0.70 ± 0.39 $^a$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD (m)</td>
<td>0.37 ± 0.26 $^a$</td>
<td>0.31 ± 0.25 $^a$</td>
<td>0.30 ± 0.22 $^a$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: $^a$ and $^b$ represent the variation between seasons.

3.2. Difference of Trophic State Index

To better see the nutrition level of the three tributaries, we calculated the trophic level index (TLI) for these three respectively. As shown in Table 2, the difference of the TLI among the three tributaries was obvious. According to the evaluation standard of the TLI index, the trophic state of Li River was mesotrophic (30 < TLI ≤ 50). Qiuxiang River and Danshui River were lightly eutrophic (50 < TLI ≤ 60) and moderately eutrophic (60 < TLI ≤ 70).
Table 2. The trophic level index and trophic level of the three tributaries.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Li River</th>
<th>Qixiang River</th>
<th>Danshui River</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dry Season (TLI)</td>
<td>44.99 ± 3.75 c</td>
<td>53.83 ± 1.61 b</td>
<td>66.72 ± 2.43 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rainy Season (TLI)</td>
<td>46.68 ± 2.30 c</td>
<td>53.73 ± 1.87 b</td>
<td>64.54 ± 1.98 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trophic Level</td>
<td>Mesotrophic</td>
<td>Lightly eutrophic</td>
<td>Moderately eutrophic</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: a, b, and c represent the variation among tributaries.

3.3. Variations in the Phytoplankton Communities

3.3.1. Number of Taxa

A total of 178 taxa (including the varieties and forms) of phytoplankton belonging to seven phyla and 74 genera were identified in the three tributaries of the Dongjiang River. These were represented mainly by Bacillariophyta (73 taxa) and Chlorophyta (72). Other phyla included Cyanophyta (15), Euglenophyta (10), Cryptophyta (4), Chrysophyta (1) and Pyrrophyta (1). In the dry season, a total of 32 taxa belonging to six phyla and 18 genera were identified in Li River, and 78.1% of taxa belong to the Bacillariophyta. A total of 65 taxa belonging to six phyla and 31 genera were identified in Qixiang River, and a total of 62 taxon belonging to five phyla and 28 genera were identified in Danshui River. Most taxa belong to Bacillariophyta and Chlorophyta in these two rivers. In the rainy season, a total of 76 taxa belonging to six phyla and 49 genera were identified in Li River. A total of 93 taxa belonging to six phyla and 53 genera were identified in Qixiang River, and a total of 94 taxa belonging to six phyla and 56 genera were identified in Danshui River. Most taxa belong to Bacillariophyta and Chlorophyta in these three rivers.

3.3.2. Cell Abundance

As shown in Figure 2, generally, the cell abundance of the phytoplankton in Li River was the lowest and in Danshui River was the highest. In different seasons, the phytoplankton cell abundances in dry season were higher than those in the rainy season. In the Li River, Bacillariophyta was the dominant phylum in both the dry and the rainy season, and Chlorophyta also was a common phylum in the rainy season. In the Qixiang River, Bacillariophyta was the dominant phylum in the dry season, followed by Cryptophyta, Chlorophyta, Cyanophyta and Euglenophyta. However, in the same river, Bacillariophyta and Chlorophyta were the dominant phylum in the rainy season, followed by Cyanophyta. In the Danshui River, Chlorophyta was the dominant phyla in both the dry and the rainy season. Bacillariophyta also accounted for a certain proportion in the dry season, and Cyanophyta accounted for a higher percentage in the rainy season. In addition, Chrysophyta appeared only in the Li River and the Qixiang River in the dry season.

3.3.3. Dominant Taxa

As shown in Table 3, Bacillariophyta were dominant in the Li River in both the dry and the rainy season. *Melosira varians*, *Nitzschia* sp., and *Navicula* sp. always accounted for a larger percentage. However, in the rainy season, *Scenedesmus quadricanda* (Chlorophyta) and *Dolichospermum* sp. (Cyanophyta) appeared to be the dominant taxa of this river. In the Qixiang River, the obvious seasonal differences in the dominant taxa were mainly seen in the Cryptophyta. In the dry season, *Cryptomonas ovata* became the dominant taxon. In addition, Bacillariophyta, Chlorophyta and Cyanophyta were widespread, and *Nitzschia* sp., *Navicula* sp., and *Scenedesmus quadricanda* were the dominant taxa in this river in both the dry and the rainy season. In comparison, the dominance indexes of these Chlorophyta and Cyanophyta taxa were greater in the rainy season than in the dry. In the Danshui River, Cyanophyta and Chlorophyta always accounted for a larger percentage. Especially in the rainy season, all the dominant taxa were Cyanophyta and Chlorophyta.
3.3. Variations in the Phytoplankton Communities

3.3.1. Number of Taxa

A total of 178 taxa (including the varieties and forms) of phytoplankton belonging to seven phyla were identified in the three tributaries of the Dongjiang River. These were represented by 93 taxa belonging to six phyla and 56 genera in Danshui River. Most taxa belong to Bacillariophyta and Chlorophyta in these two rivers. In the rainy season, a total of 94 taxa belonging to six phyla and 28 genera were identified in Qiuxiang River, and a total of 62 taxon belonging to five phyla and 28 genera were identified in the Bacillariophyta. A total of 65 taxa belonging to six phyla and 31 genera were identified in Li River, and 78.1% of taxa belong to Cyanophyta (10), Cryptophyta (4), Chrysophyta (1) and Pyrrophyta (1). In the dry season, a total of 76 taxa belonging to six phyla and 49 genera were identified in Li River. A total of 32 taxa belonging to six phyla and 18 genera were identified in the Qiuxiang River in the dry season. Bacillariophyta and Chlorophyta in these three rivers.

3.3.2. Cell Abundance

As shown in Figure 2, generally, the cell abundance of the phytoplankton in Li River was the highest and in Danshui River was the lowest. In different seasons, the phytoplankton cell abundances were confirmed by one-way ANOSIM analyses, which showed that, for the phytoplankton cell abundance, the data for the three tributaries were significantly different in rainy season (p < 0.01 for all comparisons) (Table 4). In dry season, the observed differences between the Li River and the Qiuxiang River were rather special, but those from the Li River and the Qiuxiang River were similar (Figure 3a). On the other hand, in the rainy season, it could be clearly seen that all phytoplankton of three tributaries were not similar (Figure 3b). The stress values of the two MDS plots were the same (0.2), which is considered to adequately represent similarity or dissimilarity between the samples in MDS plots. These differences were confirmed by one-way ANOSIM analyses, which showed that, for the phytoplankton cell abundance, the data for the three tributaries were significantly different in rainy season (p < 0.01 for all comparisons) (Table 4).

Figure 2. Cell abundance and phyla percentage of the phytoplankton community in three tributaries in the dry and rainy season. The cell abundance shown in the figure is the average of five samples. (a) lower cell abundance; (b) higher cell abundance.

Table 3. The dominant phytoplankton taxa in the three tributaries in the dry and rainy season.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Renumber</th>
<th>Dominant Taxa</th>
<th>Li River (Dry)</th>
<th>Li River (Rainy)</th>
<th>Quxiang River (Dry)</th>
<th>Quxiang River (Rainy)</th>
<th>Danshui River (Dry)</th>
<th>Danshui River (Rainy)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BA1</td>
<td><em>Melosira varians</em></td>
<td>0.074</td>
<td>0.072</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BA2</td>
<td><em>Aulacoseira granulata</em></td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BA3</td>
<td><em>Nitzschia spp.</em></td>
<td>0.065</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>0.049</td>
<td>0.101</td>
<td>0.057</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BA4</td>
<td><em>Cylotella sp.</em></td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BA5</td>
<td><em>Gomphonema spp.</em></td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BA6</td>
<td><em>Navicula spp.</em></td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td>0.087</td>
<td>0.114</td>
<td>0.081</td>
<td>0.053</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BA7</td>
<td><em>Synedra ampipelta</em></td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.044</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH1</td>
<td><em>Scenedesmus quadricauda</em></td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>0.088</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>0.076</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH2</td>
<td><em>Scenedesmus dimorphus</em></td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.063</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH3</td>
<td><em>Crucigenia quadrata</em></td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.058</td>
<td>0.064</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CY1</td>
<td><em>Dolichospermum sp.</em></td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.083</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CY2</td>
<td><em>Merismopedia sp.</em></td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.078</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CY3</td>
<td><em>Oscillatoriales sp.</em></td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.060</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.048</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CR1</td>
<td><em>Cryptomonas ovata</em></td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.097</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: “BA”, Bacillariophyta; “CH”, Chrysophyta; “CY”, Cyanophyta; “CR”, Cryptophyta; The numbers shown in the table are dominance index; “—”, not dominant.

3.3.4. Community Structure Similarity

MDS analysis revealed that in the dry season, phytoplankton communities in the Danshui River were rather special, but those from the Li River and the Qiuxiang River were similar (Figure 3a). On the other hand, in the rainy season, it could be clearly seen that all phytoplankton of three tributaries were not similar (Figure 3b). The stress values of the two MDS plots were the same (0.2), which is considered to adequately represent similarity or dissimilarity between the samples in MDS plots. These differences were confirmed by one-way ANOSIM analyses, which showed that, for the phytoplankton cell abundance, the data for the three tributaries were significantly different in rainy season (p < 0.01 for all comparisons) (Table 4). In dry season, the observed differences between the Li River and
the Qiuxiang River were not significant (\( p > 0.01 \)), but the other two comparisons were significantly different (\( p < 0.01 \)).

![Figure 3](image-url)  
**Figure 3.** Multidimensional scaling (MDS) plots using Bray–Curtis distance and showing phytoplankton communities in the (a) dry and (b) rainy season. Points represent samples collected from the different tributaries and those closer together represent assemblages that are more similar.

**Table 4.** Results of the similarities analysis global and pair-wise comparisons among the three tributaries.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Among Tributaries</th>
<th>Dry Season R Statistic</th>
<th>Dry Season ( p )</th>
<th>Rainy Season R Statistic</th>
<th>Rainy Season ( p )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Li River vs. Qiuxiang River</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>0.302</td>
<td>0.263</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Li River vs. Danshui River</td>
<td>0.392</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.749</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qiuxiang River vs. Danshui River</td>
<td>0.208</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.392</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.4. The Relationship between Environmental Factors and the Dominant Phytoplankton Taxa

In this study, CCA was conducted between the 14 dominant phytoplankton taxa (Table 3) and 10 environmental factors. The statistical relationships between cell abundance of phytoplankton taxa and environment in three tributaries are shown in Figure 4 and Table 5. All the canonical axes significantly accounted for 51.2% (\( \text{pseudo-F} = 1.4, p < 0.05 \)) of the phytoplankton cell abundance in the dry season and 46% (\( \text{pseudo-F} = 2.3, p < 0.01 \)) in the rainy season. The first axis significantly explained 23.65% (\( \text{pseudo-F} = 4.0, p < 0.01 \)) of the variation in the dry season and 25.42% (\( \text{pseudo-F} = 9.2, p < 0.01 \)) in the rainy season, which was correlated with DO, SD, TN, NH\(_3\)-N, NO\(_3\)-N and TP. It was indicated that the first axis consistently displayed a gradient of nutrition. The second axis explained 8.35% (\( \text{pseudo-F} = 1.6, p > 0.05 \)) of the variation in the dry season and 9.38% in the rainy season (\( \text{pseudo-F} = 3.9, p < 0.05 \)), which was correlated with COD\(_\text{Mn}\) and pH. It was indicated that the second axis to some extent may characterized the pH and organic pollution.

The relationship between the phytoplankton dominant taxa and environmental factors were apparent in the CCA analysis. Whether in the rainy season or the dry season, Bacillariophyta dominant taxa (Melosira varians, Aulacoseira granulate, Gomphonema sp., Navicula sp. and Synedra amphicephala) were positively correlated with DO and SD. On the other hand, Cyanophyta (Merismopedia sp. and Oscillatoriales sp.) and Chlorophyta (Scenedesmus quadricanda, Scenedesmus dimorphus and Crucigenia quadrata) dominant taxa were positively correlated with nutrients.
and disturbance will all affect community change [37]. Specifically, these three rivers had their own phytoplankton community variation patterns, such that each river requires a separate analysis.

The water in dry season was higher than that in rainy season in each of the tributaries. This value of the water is partly because oxygen in the water at higher temperatures is more easily saturated [36] and partly because a large algae population in the water needs to consume more oxygen in order to breathe and decompose in summer. Another seasonal environmental factor was the water temperature, which is affected by the air temperature. Because the Dongjiang River Basin is in the subtropical region, the spring and winter temperatures are significantly different between the north and south regions. However, in the rainy season, this difference becomes smaller. Thus, the phytoplankton cell abundance proportion of Bacillariophyta showed a decreasing trend, and, conversely, Cyanophyta, Chlorophyta and Cryptophyta showed an increasing trend. Chrysophyta only appeared in the Li River and Qiuxiang River, which indicated more oligotrophic water [35].

From the general situation of the study area and Table 2, we can see that these three rivers had a clear trophic state gradient in both of the two seasons. From the Li River in the north to Danshui River in the south, the trophic level had an upward trend. In this nutrient gradient, the phytoplankton community also had a regular variation. This trend could be summarized by saying that the cell abundance proportion of Bacillariophyta showed a decreasing trend, and, conversely, Cyanophyta, Chlorophyta and Cryptophyta showed an increasing trend. Chrysophyta only appeared in the Li River and Qiuxiang River, which indicated more oligotrophic water [35].

Furthermore, environmental factors also showed differences in different seasons. Subtropical monsoon climates produce seasonal changes in the water quality of the Dongjiang River [22]. The DO value of the water in dry season was higher than that in rainy season in each of the tributaries. This is partly because oxygen in the water at higher temperatures is more easily saturated [36] and partly because a large algae population in the water needs to consume more oxygen in order to breathe and decompose in summer. Another seasonal environmental factor was the water temperature, which is affected by the air temperature. Because the Dongjiang River Basin is in the subtropical region, the spring and winter temperatures are significantly different between the north and south regions. However, in the rainy season, this difference becomes smaller. Thus, the phytoplankton cell abundance had a greater impact on the water temperature in the dry season than in the rainy. The seasonal variation in the phytoplankton community is closely related to the aquatic environment, and the water temperature, nutrients and disturbance will all affect community change [37]. Specifically, these three rivers had their own phytoplankton community variation patterns, such that each river requires a separate analysis.

4. Discussion

4.1. The Spatiotemporal Differences in Environmental Factors and Phytoplankton Community Structure

From the general situation of the study area and Table 2, we can see that these three rivers had a clear trophic state gradient in both of the two seasons. From the Li River in the north to Danshui River in the south, the trophic level had an upward trend. In this nutrient gradient, the phytoplankton community also had a regular variation. This trend could be summarized by saying that the cell abundance proportion of Bacillariophyta showed a decreasing trend, and, conversely, Cyanophyta, Chlorophyta and Cryptophyta showed an increasing trend. Chrysophyta only appeared in the Li River and Qiuxiang River, which indicated more oligotrophic water [35].

Furthermore, environmental factors also showed differences in different seasons. Subtropical monsoon climates produce seasonal changes in the water quality of the Dongjiang River [22]. The DO value of the water in dry season was higher than that in rainy season in each of the tributaries. This is partly because oxygen in the water at higher temperatures is more easily saturated [36] and partly because a large algae population in the water needs to consume more oxygen in order to breathe and decompose in summer. Another seasonal environmental factor was the water temperature, which is affected by the air temperature. Because the Dongjiang River Basin is in the subtropical region, the spring and winter temperatures are significantly different between the north and south regions. However, in the rainy season, this difference becomes smaller. Thus, the phytoplankton cell abundance had a greater impact on the water temperature in the dry season than in the rainy. The seasonal variation in the phytoplankton community is closely related to the aquatic environment, and the water temperature, nutrients and disturbance will all affect community change [37]. Specifically, these three rivers had their own phytoplankton community variation patterns, such that each river requires a separate analysis.
4.2. The Patterns of Variation of the Phytoplankton Communities in Rivers with Different Trophic States

A phytoplankton community can adapt to changes in environmental factors through succession. Sommer summed up the famous PEG model based on extensive analysis of phytoplankton and physical–chemical factors in a temperate mesotrophic lake [5]. In this model, the seasonal variation of the phytoplankton community is as follows: From winter and spring to summer, the dominant Cryptophyta and Bacillariophyta are replaced by Chlorophyta. When late summer comes, Cyanophyta begin to dominate. In our research, the variation in the phytoplankton community of the Qiujiang River was similar to that predicted by the PEG model due to its mesotrophic type. Compared to the other two rivers, the nutrients of the Qiujiang River are at a medium level. In the dry season, Bacillariophyta was the dominant phytoplankton, with Cryptophyta as the second most common taxa. In addition to Scenedesmus quadricanda, all the dominant taxa were Bacillariophyta and Cryptomonas (Table 3). This is because some Bacillariophyta are cold water taxa [35]. The trophic state also suited the growth of these taxa at this time. When the rainy season comes, the water temperature rises by approximately 12 °C. The warming temperature was suitable for thermophilic Cyanophyta and Chlorophyta growth and reproduction [29]. Therefore, the proportion of Cyanophyta and Chlorophyta abundance were on the rise and much taxa became dominant, such as Scenedesmus dimorphus, Merismopedia sp. and Oscillatoriales sp.

In the dry season, the Li River and the Qiujiang River had similar phytoplankton communities, as shown in the MDS analyses. However, in the rainy season, this condition changed. The reason is that the two rivers have different geographical locations and human activity. The Li River basin has a higher elevation and a relatively northern location, lower water temperature and slower flow velocity. In addition, the village population density is low, so the human activity is relatively lower. These reasons would lead to Bacillariophyta dominance in the dry season. It was worth noting that Chrysophyta algae appeared in this time, which was similar to the Qiujiang River and may indicate cleaner water [38]. In the rainy season, the nutrient level in the Li River remained low. Although the water temperature rose from 12.2 °C to 28.2 °C, Bacillariophyta were still dominant in the community.

The variation of the Danshui River phytoplankton community showed a great difference. A more southern position caused the water temperature to be 20.5 °C in the dry season. In addition, all the nutrient parameters in this river were much higher than those of the others. This was closely connected with the high intensity of human activities [24]. Large amounts of incompletely treated sewage (e.g., municipal wastewater and industrial effluent) are discharged into the rivers, which is a problem in tropical Asian watersheds with dense populations and industries [39]. In these high-nutrient conditions, Chlorophyta begins to occupy the dominant position in the community in the dry season. Among the dominant specie Scenedesmus quadricanda and Crucigenia quadrata were positively correlated with the nutrient levels. These taxa included common small algae taxa with faster metabolisms and the ability to rapidly absorb nutrients, grow and reproduce [40]. In the rainy season, the eutrophication of the water further reduced the proportion of Bacillariophyta in the community, allowing Cyanophyta and Chlorophyta to occupy the major positions. Moreover, Euglenophyta also accounted for a high proportion of the taxa. Most of the Danshui River basin is in the plains, so the water flow velocity is comparatively slow. This relatively stable water body is more suitable for the growth of Cyanobacteria [41] because Cyanobacterial cells have the advantage of being able to adjust to changes in depth using gas vesicles [42]. Another advantage is that Cyanobacteria, especially Oscillatoriales sp. and Merismopedia sp., can worsen the light conditions and adapt to low-light conditions [43]. Previous research has shown that in water experiencing ultra-eutrophication, Euglenophyta could replace Cyanobacterial dominance [44]. Thus, Euglenophyta is an indicator of serious organic pollution [45].

5. Conclusions

The water ecosystem of Dongjiang River has obvious seasonal difference caused by subtropical climate. The different geographical locations of tributaries make the phytoplankton community show various characteristics. Among the three tributaries, in the dry season, the Li River had lower water
temperatures, and the Danshui River had higher temperatures. In terms of nutrients, the Li River and Qixiang River had lower nitrogen levels, while the Danshui River had higher levels. Affected by the condition of climate and nutritional levels, the phytoplankton community variation of the three tributaries showed different patterns of variation. In the lightly eutrophic river, the community transformed from the Bacillariophyta-Cryptophyta type to the Chlorophyta-Cyanophyta type, similar to the PEG model. In the mesotrophic river, Bacillariophyta always was dominant. On the contrary, in the moderately eutrophic river, the blooming of Chlorophyta and Cyanophyta will come very early, which provides a good tip to the monitoring and management of water quality.

Our results have attempted to summarize the regular phytoplankton community variation at the level of different tropical rivers. Although we analyzed only two seasons, there are clear differences in the three patterns of variation. Further research with an improved design over more seasons may better and more completely summarize the patterns of variation.
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