



## Article Coastal Flooding Associated with Hurricane Irma in Central Cuba (Ciego de Ávila Province)

Felipe Matos-Pupo<sup>1</sup>, Matthew C. Peros<sup>2</sup>, Roberto González-De Zayas<sup>3,4</sup>, Alexey Valero-Jorge<sup>1</sup>, Osvaldo E. Pérez-López<sup>5</sup>, Flor Álvarez-Taboada<sup>6</sup> and Rogert Sorí<sup>7,\*</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Centro Meteorológico Provincial de Ciego de Ávila, Instituto de Meteorología, Avenida de los Deportes S/N, Ciego de Ávila 65100, Cuba; felipe.matos@cav.insmet.cu (F.M.-P.); alexey.valero@cav.insmet.cu (A.V.-J.)
- <sup>2</sup> Department of Environmental Studies and Geography, Bishop's University, Sherbrooke, QC J1M 1Z7, Canada; mperos@ubishops.ca
- <sup>3</sup> Departamento de Ingeniería Hidráulica, Facultad de Ciencias Técnicas, Universidad de Ciego de Ávila, Ciego de Ávila 65100, Cuba; cuba.robe@gmail.com
- <sup>4</sup> Centro de Estudios Geomáticos, Ambientales y Marinos (GEOMAR), Avenida Ejército Nacional 404-801, Polanco V Sección, Ciudad de Mexico 11560, Mexico
- <sup>5</sup> Instituto de Meteorología (INSMET), Loma de Casablanca, Regla, La Habana 11700, Cuba; enriquepl2004@gmail.com
- <sup>6</sup> Escuela de Ingeniería Agraria y Forestal, Universidad de León, 24004 León, Spain; flor.alvarez@unileon.es
   <sup>7</sup> Centro de Investigación Mariña, Environmental Physics Laboratory (EPhysLab), Campus As Lagoas s/n,
- Universidade de Vigo, 32004 Ourense, Spain
- Correspondence: rogert.sori@uvigo.es

**Abstract:** Irma was a major hurricane that developed during the 2017 season. It was a category 5 on the Saffir–Simpson Hurricane wind scale. This hurricane caused severe damage in the Caribbean area and the Florida Keys. The social, economic, and environmental impacts, mainly related to coastal flooding, were also significant in Cuba. The maximum limits of coastal flooding caused by this hurricane were determined in this research. Field trips and the use of the GPS supported our work, which focused on both the northern and southern coasts of the Ciego de Ávila province. This work has been critical for improving coastal flooding scenarios related to a strong hurricane, as it has been the first experience according to hurricane data since 1851. Results showed that the Punta Alegre and Júcaro towns were the most affected coastal towns. The locals had never seen similar flooding in these places before. The differences between flood areas associated with Hurricane Irma and previous modeled hazard scenarios were evident (the flooded areas associated with Hurricane Irma on the most vulnerable coastal settlements, including the impacts on the archeological site "Los Buchillones", were also assessed.

Keywords: Hurricane Irma; coastal flooding; hazard scenarios

## 1. Introduction

The coastal zone is one of the most dynamic environments on the planet where geological, meteorological, and hydrologic factors interact on different space–time scales and magnitudes (from the daily scale to the millennial scale). Knowledge of these factors is essential to protect humans from disasters and eliminate or mitigate economic impacts. One of the phenomena that most affect these areas is coastal flooding, which can be associated with different causes, the most significant being tsunamis and hurricanes. In recent decades, coastal flooding has begun to be studied, mainly due to the changes in the global climate and the increased vulnerability/exposure of coastal communities [1]. Cuba has also been affected by these changes, due to the characteristics of small islands (the area of Cuba is 110,922 km<sup>2</sup>) [2] that make them vulnerable to the effects of climate change such as sea level rise and increase in the frequency and intensity of extreme events [1]. Floodings resulting



Citation: Matos-Pupo, F.; Peros, M.C.; González-De Zayas, R.; Valero-Jorge, A.; Pérez-López, O.E.; Álvarez-Taboada, F.; Sorí, R. Coastal Flooding Associated with Hurricane Irma in Central Cuba (Ciego de Ávila Province). *Atmosphere* **2023**, *14*, 1445. https://doi.org/10.3390/ atmos14091445

Academic Editors: Elena Nikolaevna Voskresenskaya and Vladislav Evstigneev

Received: 15 August 2023 Revised: 6 September 2023 Accepted: 8 September 2023 Published: 16 September 2023



**Copyright:** © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). from extreme hydrometeorological events are among the main coastal problems in Cuba. These impacts have increased the concern of our society regarding the implementation of preventive measures to mitigate social and economic losses [3,4].

Studies on coastal flooding in Cuba have not been homogeneous. The Havana Seafront (known in Spanish as Malecon Habanero) [5–9], Cienfuegos [10], and the coastal sector from Gibara (Holguín) to Punta de Maisí (Guantánamo) [11] have been the most studied areas. Other studies on coastal flooding have focused on the social impacts [3], methodological analysis [12], consequences to protected areas [13], and their classification [14]. However, coastal flooding has been poorly studied in the northern and southern coasts of central Cuba. The only published report on coastal flooding in the province of Ciego de Ávila addressed seven coastal flooding events that took place from 1964 onward [15]. The need to boost research on extreme past events of this kind has been stressed because they are insufficient in the study area [16]. This could enrich existing chronologies and be useful to increase the risk perception of this phenomenon.

Floods in Cuba are usually related to hurricanes, although frontal systems also cause floods [3]. The biggest flood recorded in Cuba was associated with a major hurricane (the Santa Cruz del Sur hurricane or hurricane of 1932) [17]. These authors pointed to western Cuba as the area with the highest frequency of intense hurricanes.

Some governmental actions (increasing the number of risk management centers, greater environmental controls, etc.) have contributed to building awareness of the vulnerability and risks on the coast of the Ciego de Ávila province. These actions include all the municipalities of the province where coastal flooding related to cat 1, 3, and 5 hurricanes (according to the Saffir–Simpson hurricane wind scale) were evaluated. The results of this work show that the municipalities of Chambas (northern coast) and Venezuela (southern coast) were the most vulnerable to flooding [18]. Based on these results, the Cuban government conceived the relocation of some coastal settlements of both municipalities as a national priority.

Although the frequency of intense hurricanes (categories from 3 to 5) in the Ciego de Ávila province is considered low (only seven intense hurricanes have hit the province between 1851 and 2017) [19], research to increase knowledge about coastal flooding must be prioritized. This is an extreme phenomenon that needs to be considered for two main reasons: first, due to their impact; and second, because of the large number of human settlements located in coastal zones.

During its first stage, the main objective of this research was to present the spatial behavior of coastal flooding related to Hurricane Irma in the Ciego de Ávila province. The other objectives were to compare flooding caused by Hurricane Irma with that of previous modeled scenarios and to evaluate vulnerable areas, including the Los Buchillones archeological site.

#### 2. Materials and Methods

#### 2.1. General Methodological Aspects

During the 2017 hurricane season, Cuba was hit by its first cat 5 hurricane since 1791, which had a very strong and direct impact on the Ciego de Ávila province [20]. After this event, local governmental and environmental authorities made an Environmental Impact Study (EIS) of this intense hurricane [21]. The study included a detailed analysis of coastal areas flooded as a result of this phenomenon. Fieldwork included interviews with locals, pictures (as graphic evidence), and evidence of the water level resulting from the event in each surveyed area.

The fieldwork lasted four days (from 13 to 15 September 2017) and included the most impacted municipalities of the province (Chambas, Morón, Bolivia, and Venezuela). The Baraguá municipality was visited on 18 September 2017. Fieldwork (visual inspections, measurements of inundation depths and the extent of the flooding, interviews with government officials, personal interviews, and damage assessment) was carried out four days after Hurricane Irma hit the province (8 and 9 September 2017) to document the evidence of coastal flooding. The Environmental Impact Assessment Guide [22] was used to assess such areas.

The direct observation of the highest water marks was the predominant research method used to evaluate flood maps. All visible marks were registered (coordinates) using a GPS (made by GARMIN, Olathe, KS, USA). The high marks were recorded at different points as some obstacles prevented us from obtaining the best measurements. The distance between visible marks ranged from 0.70 to 0.85 m and was more precise on the north coast. To evaluate flooding, marine alerts and weather reports from the National Institute of Meteorology of Cuba (INSMET in Spanish) and other graphical evidence such as videos and photos from amateur photographers were used. The coordinates of the marks were introduced in the Digital Model of Elevation (DME) to determine flood benchmarks. The flood maps were updated with the Q-GIS Software (version 3.16).

The flood maps obtained from the fieldwork after Hurricane Irma were compared with previous ones [20]. On the southern coast, this comparison was made using previous flood maps obtained for flooding related to a cat 1 hurricane, and on the northern coast, the comparison was made with maps made for cat 3 and 5 hurricanes. At the same time, the impacts of Hurricane Irma on each locality were evaluated.

#### 2.2. Study Area

Cuba is the largest island in the Caribbean. The Ciego de Ávila province is located in central Cuba. It was created in the political and administrative division of 1976. The province sits on a large plain that stretches from north to south (Figure 1), limited in the north by the Old Bahamas Channel, in the south by the Gulf of Ana María, in the west by the province of Sancti Spíritus, and in the east by the province of Camagüey (20°50′00″ S, 22°27′00″ N, 79°07′42″ W, and 78°08′42″ E).



Figure 1. Map of the study area.

This study included 88% of the province's area (Table 1) and 79% of the length of its coasts. Cuba is divided (administratively) into 15 provinces. The provinces are divided into municipalities, and the municipalities into constituencies (C). The Ciego de Ávila province consists of 10 municipalities and 62 constituencies.

Table 1. Main characteristics of Ciego de	e Ávila province, central Cuba.
---	---------------------------------

Indicator	Value	Comments
The total area of the province (km <sup>2</sup> ), including the keys	6971.63	776 keys (north cost: 654; south coast: 122)
Area (km <sup>2</sup> ) considered for this study	6124.69	Keys not included
Coast length (km)	338.00	Northern coast: 265. Southern coast: 73
Coast length (km) considered for this study	268.70	Coasts of the keys not included
Municipalities (units)	10	
Constituencies (units)	62	

The relief of the province is predominantly flat, and the Júcaro–Morón plain is a very significant element of its geography (Figure 2). The Punta Alegre, Turiguanó, and Cunagua heights and a portion of the Bamburanao–Jatibonico mountain range rise from this plain. The highest elevations of the province are in the Bamburanao–Jatibonico mountains, including the Merino Peak (the highest elevation) with 396.6 m above mean sea level (a.m.s.l.). There are four meteorological stations (339, 345, 346, and 347) in the province, all of them certified by the Institute of Meteorology (INSMET) (Figure 2).



**Figure 2.** Digital Elevation Model map of the province Ciego de Ávila, including the four meteorological stations, source: Geocuba Agency.

The geology of the area is a saddle or structural depression with a predominance of wackestone from the Miocene, linking two older and more complex structures consisting of igneous, metamorphic, and sedimentary rocks toward the west and east ends of the province [23]. This structure is reflected in the spatial design of the water system because the oldest blocks of the central-west and central-east regions act as dispersion centers of the water resources, while in the karstic plain, which covers the entire central part of the province, currents are ephemeral and disappear in the karst topography [24].

The territory is an anthropic area, with an increase in land use by the agricultural and tourism sectors. Tourism activities are mostly centered on the north coast, with Jardines del Rey being one of the main tourism regions in the country [25]. Land dedicated to the development of agriculture represents 61.5% of the province's total surface area (6783 km<sup>2</sup>) and also extends to the coastal areas [26].

#### 3. Results

#### 3.1. Hurricane Irma's Path over the Study Area, Overview

Hurricane Irma was an intense hurricane (category 5 on the Saffir–Simpson wind scale) that hit some Caribbean Islands (Puerto Rico and Hispaniola), Cuba, and Florida. This hurricane is considered one of the most powerful ever of the Eastern Atlantic. Irma was the fourth hurricane of the 2017 season and the second most intense worldwide. This hurricane formed near the Cape Verde Islands (in the Eastern Atlantic) on 20 August 2017. The high sea surface temperature favored a quick intensity increase to a category 2 hurricane at first and later to categories 4 and 5 in the Saffir–Simpson wind scale.

After impacting Puerto Rico and La Hispaniola, Hurricane Irma made landfall near Cayo Romano, northern Cuba, as a category 5 hurricane on 8 September 2017 and hit the study area that same day. In the mainland, the province of Ciego de Ávila was the most severely impacted by this phenomenon (strong winds, significant rainfall, and big waves). The center of Irma passed over the Los Perros and Buenavista bays (between the mainland

and the Sabana—Camagüey Archipelago) at daybreak of 9 September severely affecting the northern coastal area of the province (Figure 3). However, strong tropical storm winds (up to 100 km/h) reached the southern coast of the province.



**Figure 3.** Images of Hurricane Irma over central Cuba. (a) Path of Hurricane Irma over Cuba. (b) Infrared image of Hurricane Irma over central Cuba (from http://www.noaa.gov). Accessed on 14 November 2017.

The first evidence of weather changes in the Ciego de Ávila province was the decrease in barometric pressure to 933.1 hPa and 959 hPa, recorded at the meteorological stations of Cayo Coco and Camilo Cienfuegos, respectively. In Cayo Coco, the maximum wind speed reported was 194 km/h (NNE–NE wind direction), with gusts (intervals of 10 min) of 185 and 230 km/h. At the Camilo Cienfuegos station, the maximum wind speed was 256 km/h (the highest measured for Hurricane Irma). Simultaneously, intense rainfall was recorded at these stations (339.8 mm/day, a new record for Cayo Coco, and 250 mm/day at Camilo Cienfuegos). On the southern coast, the meteorological stations of Júcaro and Venezuela reported wind speeds of 70 km/h and 80 km/h, respectively.

The intense rainfall and winds associated with Hurricane Irma caused significant flooding, particularly on the northern coast. These meteorological and oceanographic processes pose diverse risks, mainly to the coasts of the keys (Cayo Coco, Cayo Paredón, Cayo Romano, and Cayo Guillermo) of the Sabana-Camagüey Archipelago due to the generation of big waves in the Bahamas Channel (up to 9 m near the keys).

The intensity and magnitude of flood-related impacts on each coastal portion increased with changes in the direction of the hurricane's east–west path and changes in the position of the eye as the hurricane passed over the province. The flooding start time in each portion of the surveyed coast showed that it started on the northern coast (Table 2).

**Table 2.** Coastal flooding start times in different sites of Ciego de Avila province during the passage of Hurricane Irma.

Coastal Zone	Coordinates	Coast	Municipality	Day/h of Flood Beginning	
Cunagua Beach	22.18° N; 78.32° W	North	Bolivia	8/10:00 pm	
Cayo Coco	22.48° N; 78.49° W	North	Morón	8/11:30 pm	
Cayo Guillermo	22.57° N; 78.69° W	North	Morón	8/11:50 pm *	
TDWF	22.29° N; 78.58° W	North	Morón	9/12:20 am	
Punta Alegre	22.38° N; 78.82° W	North	Chambas	9/02:00 am	
Port of Baraguá	21.53° N; 78.65° W	South	Baraguá	9/02:30 am *	
Júcaro	21.63° N; 78.83° W	South	Venezuela	9/03:05 am	

Legend: TDWF: Turiguanó Demonstrative Wind Farm; (\*) estimated hours.

#### 3.2. Spatial Distribution of Coastal Flooding

The storm surge related to Hurricane Irma caused the biggest effects on the southern coast of Ciego de Ávila, with the greatest impact over the town of Júcaro (Venezuela municipality). In the coastal area of the Baraguá municipality, the mangrove forests received the greatest impacts.

Floods on the northern coast were due to the combination of three factors: storm surge, swell (with waves of 7–8 m), and water pushing. Punta Alegre and Máximo Gomez (municipality of Chambas, both in Punta Alegre constituency) were the most impacted by Hurricane Irma. The water level reached 2 m in this portion of the northern coast. Numerous flooding reference points were taken in this area, particularly from the highwater mark measured in houses. In the town of Punta Alegre, the water level reached 0.9 m (taking as a reference the floor of the house) (Figure 4).



**Figure 4.** Image of water level (approximately 0.9 m from the ground level) measured at a house in the town of Punta Alegre.

The area flooded on the northern coast (644.6 km<sup>2</sup>) was larger than the area flooded on the southern coast (103.0 km<sup>2</sup>) (Figure 5). Although the town of Punta Alegre suffered the greatest social impacts due to Hurricane Irma, the largest flooded areas (spatially) were in the constituencies of Turiguanó (municipality of Morón), Bolivia, and La Loma (municipality of Bolivia) (Table 3). On the southern coast, the town of Júcaro was not only the most severely impacted but also had the largest flooded area as well.

Municipality	Constituency	Area (km²)	Irma Floodplain (km <sup>2</sup> )	Irma Floodplain (%)
Chambas	Los Perros	124.40	15.60	12.5
Chambas	Punta Alegre	86.06	21.00	24.4
Chambas	Las Palmas	155.60	50.10	32.2
Chambas	Falla	94.81	20.80	21.9
Chambas	Ranchuelo	62.42	1.70	2.7
Morón	Turiguanó	295.90	204.90	69.2
Bolivia	La Loma	306.60	164.70	53.7

**Table 3.** Coastal floodplain (area) associated with Hurricane Irma in several constituencies of Ciego de Ávila province.

Municipality	Constituency	Area (km²)	Irma Floodplain (km <sup>2</sup> )	Irma Floodplain (%)
Bolivia	Bolivia	124.30	78.60	63.2
Bolivia	Yarual	251.70	87.20	34.6
Venezuela	Júcaro	208.70	38.00	18.2
Venezuela	Jagüeyal	204.60	36.00	17.6
Baraguá	Las 20	201.80	29.00	14.4

Table 3. Cont.



**Figure 5.** Coastal floodplain associated with Hurricane Irma on both coasts of Ciego de Ávila province.

# 3.3. Coastal Flooding Associated with Hurricane Irma—Comparison with Previously Modeled Hazard Scenarios

The comparison of coastal flooded areas related to Hurricane Irma with previously modeled hazard scenarios shows that, on the southern coast, only in the constituency of Baraguá, the flooded area was larger than the modeled area (Figure 6). The hazard scenarios were modeled using the impact of a category 1 hurricane.

For the northern coast, hazard scenarios were modeled using intense hurricanes (categories 3 and 5) (Figure 7). In some surveyed coastal portions, the water level did not reach a height of 2 m. Previously modeled hazard scenarios (with both hurricane categories) showed that at least 22 constituencies would have been flooded; however, Hurricane Irma did not cause flooding in 8 of these constituencies. For the evaluated coastal portions, flooded areas related to Hurricane Irma were less than those of the hazard scenarios modeled using intense hurricanes (categories 3 and 5). Differences among the areas were more evident in the Bolivia and Morón municipalities, and these differences were bigger for category 3 hurricane hazard scenarios, particularly in the municipality of Morón (Figure 7 and Table 4).



**Figure 6.** Floodplain associated with Hurricane Irma (2017) and studies of hazard, vulnerability, and risks (HVR studies) for a category 1 hurricane in the southern coast of Ciego de Ávila.



**Figure 7.** Floodplain associated with Hurricane Irma (2017) and HVR studies for two intense hurricanes (categories 3 and 5) on the northern coast.

Despite the differences between the Hurricane-Irma-related flooded areas and the modeled areas, the constituencies of Punta Alegre on the northern coast and Júcaro on the southern coast were the most severely impacted by flooding. Locals from both constituencies had never experienced flooding of these proportions. Hurricane risk perception by the local people is an important variable in dealing with disasters and mitigating their impact.

Municipality		Floodplain H	Hurricane Irma			
	Area (km²)	Category H1 (km <sup>2</sup> )	Category H3 (km <sup>2</sup> )	Category H5 (km <sup>2</sup> )	Floodplain (km²)	
Chambas	772.43		122.94	208.30	109.20	
Morón	587.30		377.64	442.50	204.90	
Bolivia	892.30		390.77	561.90	330.50	
Primero de Enero	512.72		0.00	0.20	0.00	
Ciro Redondo	581.15		0.36	0.70	0.00	
South Coast						
Venezuela	699.74	130.29			74.00	
Baraguá	781.70	24.06			29.00	

**Table 4.** Comparison between Hurricane Irma floodplain and HVR studies floodplain associated with three hazard scenarios (hurricane of categories 1, 3, and 5).

## 3.4. Socioeconomic Effects of Hurricane Irma on the Constituencies of Venezuela and Chambas

The municipalities of Venezuela and Chambas were the most affected by Hurricane Irma in the Ciego de Ávila province. The impacts were more severe on the coastal settlements (constituencies) of Júcaro and Punta Alegre, in the municipalities of Venezuela and Chambas, respectively (Figure 1). In Júcaro, 18.2% of the area of the constituency (208.7 km<sup>2</sup>) was covered by flooding related to Hurricane Irma (Figure 8); however, more than a quarter of the houses were flooded. Table 5 summarizes data from local government and houses reported as affected. The authorities included the wind-related effects too.



**Figure 8.** Hurricane-Irma-related floodplain in the coastal town of Júcaro, Source: Open Access Hub (copernicus.eu) Accessed on 28 November 2018.

Using the methodology for the evaluation of flooded areas, it was estimated that the storm surge moved inland 600–700 m in the town of Júcaro. The mean water level reached 0.88 m (a.m.s.l) on the southern coast (Figure 8). Large volumes of seagrass *Thalassia testudinum* were pushed inland, in some cases dozens of meters from the coastline (Figure 9).

Damage Data	Júcaro	Punta Alegre
Area (km <sup>2</sup> )	208.70	86.06
Coastal floodplain/Irma (km <sup>2</sup> )	38.00	21.00
Total number of houses	781	2017
Houses totally affected	97	200
Houses partially affected	33	75
Roofs affected (total)	5	25
Roofs affected (partial)	89	82
Houses affected	224	382
Houses affected (%)	28.7	18.9
Population	2696	6724
Affected population	623	1272
Affected population (%)	23.1	18.9
Number of affected/Territorial area	3	15
Number of affected/Flooded area	16	60

 Table 5.
 Summary of social impacts on the coastal towns of Júcaro (Venezuela) and Punta Alegre (Chambas). Data from the local government. Sources: National Statistics Office (ONEI in Spanish) [27,28].



**Figure 9.** Seagrass *Thalassia testudinum* pushed inland by sea (due to storm surge) in the coastal town of Júcaro.

In the town of Punta Alegre, the flooded area (24.4%) was larger than that of Júcaro. However, the sea penetrated only 100 m from the coastline, (probably due to the low relief) and the water level reached 2.0 m (a.s.l). In the town of Máximo Gomez, near Punta Alegre, the greatest impacts were mostly related to tree falls rather than to flooding (Figure 10).



**Figure 10.** Floodplain associated with Hurricane Irma in the towns of Punta Alegre and Máximo Gómez, respectively. Source: Open Access Hub (copernicus.eu).

In Punta Alegre, boats were pushed inland by flooding although they were moored in the Los Buchillones coastal lagoon and protected by mangrove forests (Figure 11). Large volumes of seagrass *Thalassia testudinum* were also pushed inland by the storm surge, which eventually helped to estimate the flooded area because of the seagrass mark (Figure 12).



Figure 11. Boats pushed inland by Hurricane-Irma-related surge in Punta Alegre.



**Figure 12.** Line of *Thalassia testudinum* moved by Hurricane Irma in the coastal sector of Punta Alegre. The yellow line was used as a reference to estimate the limits of coastal flooding.

The coastal flooding associated with Hurricane Irma affected the Los Buchillones archeological site (relevant for Caribbean archeology). This site (located near Punta Alegre) was completely flooded due to its location (center of the Los Buchillones Lagoon); damage was significant at the site (Figure 13).



**Figure 13.** Floodplain lagoon associated with Hurricane Irma at Los Buchillones archeological site (2017).

#### 4. Discussion

Hurricane Irma was an intense and destructive hurricane that hit the northern Caribbean Islands and the United States in 2017. It destroyed many social and economic infrastructures in these islands with an unprecedented storm surge and sea level rise. However, only in the islands of Antigua and Barbuda, the storm surge was higher than in Cayo Coco (northern coast of Ciego de Ávila); and only in Fort Pulaski (USA), Antigua and Barbuda, and Cayo Coco, the storm surge was higher than in Punta Alegre, in the northern coast of Ciego de Ávila [29] (Table 6). As in Punta Alegre and Júcaro, significant flooding occurred in some places in Havana, considered similar to those related to the storm of March 1993 (known as the Storm of the Century) and to Hurricane Wilma (category 3) in October 2005.

The flooding related to Hurricane Irma was primarily due to rising sea levels as a result of high winds, low pressure, and waves. Rainfall did not bring about flooding events because reservoirs had enough capacity for the rain volumes and runoffs associated with Hurricane Irma due to the 2014 dry period [30,31]. Strong winds and coastal floodings were the principal climatic processes associated with Hurricane Irma, and although the former affected an area larger (most of the province) than the area affected by the latter, the floodings were severe and reached places that had never been flooded before according to historical data [19].

Tsunamis and hurricanes are the main causes of coastal flooding [32]. On a global scale, there are many reports about hurricane-related storm surge impacts in Bangladesh [33] and México [34]. In Cuba, the most destructive natural phenomenon killed more than 3000 people in November 1932, due to storm surge and flooding related to the intense Hurricane of Santa Cruz del Sur. In Ciego de Ávila, the main cause of coastal flooding between 1960 and 2017 was the impact of hurricanes on or near this region of Cuba [19].

0			0	,					
		Minimum Sea Level Pressure		Maximum Surface Wind Speed					
Country Site	Location	Date/Time (UTC)	Pressure (hPa)	Date/Time (UTC)	Sustained (km/h)	Gust (km/h)	Storm Surge (m)	Storm Tide (m)	Source
Barbuda NOS Site	17.59N 61.82W	06/0536	916.1	06/0454	194	257	2.43		(1)
Christiansted Harbor, St. Croix	17.75N 64.71W	06/1706	995.0	06/1642	61	93	0.70		(1)
Lime Tree Bay, St. Croix	17.69N 64.75W	06/1706	996.4	06/1848	80	98	0.18	0.24	(1)
Charlotte Amalie, St. Thomas	18.34N 64.92W	06/1742	967.5	06/1736	102	157	0.44	0.52	(1)
Esperanza, Vieques Island	18.09N 65.47W	06/2006	991.9	06/2130	83	104	0.44	0.48	(1)
La Puntilla, San Juan Bay	18.46N 66.12W	06/2300	989.3	06/2230	89	119	0.47	0.64	(1)
Mayaguez	18.22N 67.16W	07/0018	1001.5	07/0606	50	67	0.42	0.61	(1)
Arecibo	18.48N 66.70W	07/0036	998.7	07/0130	70	87	0.42	0.46	(1)
Cayo Coco (78339)	22.52N 78.45W	09/0520	933.1	09/0500	185	194	2.34	2.65	(2)
Ciego de Ávila (Punta Alegre)	22.38N 78.81W	09/0650	959.8	09/0635	230	256	1.69	2.00	(2)
Ciego de Ávila (Júcaro)	21.62N 78.85W	09/1300	984.3	09/0700	80	144	0.57	0.88	(2)
Clearwater Beach	27.98N 82.83W	11/0606	976.2	11/0548	115	143	0.51	0.57	(1)
Port Canaveral—Trident Pier	28.42N 80.59W	11/0700	990.1	11/0942	85	109	1.41	1.60	(1)
Cedar Key	29.13N 83.03W	11/0936	977.2	11/1536	57	87	0.79	0.83	(1)
Apalachicola	29.73N 84.98W	11/1206	995.6	11/0918	63	83	0.28	0.51	(1)
Charleston	32.78N 79.93W	11/1654	1002.8	11/1654	78	98	1.49	2.07	(1)
Fort Pulaski	32.03N 80.90W	11/1706	999.7	11/1218	78	113	1.72	2.50	(1)
Panama City	30.15N 85.67W	11/1942	999.3	11/1918	46	72	0.17	0.33	(1)
Pensacola	30.40N 87.21W	11/2054	1004.0	10/2224	31	52	0.31	0.48	(1)
Panama City Beach	30.21N 85.88W	11/2206	999.4	11/2218	54	70	0.18	0.39	(1)
	Site Barbuda NOS Site Christiansted Harbor, St. Croix Lime Tree Bay, St. Croix Charlotte Amalie, St. Thomas Esperanza, Vieques Island La Puntilla, San Juan Bay Mayaguez La Puntilla, San Juan Bay Mayaguez Sanda Charleston Ciego de Ávila (Punta Alegre) Ciego de Ávila (Punta Alegre) Ciego de Ávila (Júcaro) Clearwater Beach Port Canaveral—Trident Pier Cedar Key Apalachicola Charleston Fort Pulaski Panama City Pensacola Panama City Beach	SiteLocationBarbuda NOS Site17.59N 61.82WChristiansted Harbor, St. Croix17.75N 64.71WLime Tree Bay, St. Croix17.69N 64.75WCharlotte Amalie, St. Thomas18.34N 64.92WEsperanza, Vieques Island18.09N 65.47WLa Puntilla, San Juan Bay18.46N 66.12WMayaguez18.22N 67.16WMayaguez18.48N 66.70WCayo Coco (78339)22.52N 78.45WCiego de Ávila (Punta Alegre)22.38N 78.81WCiego de Ávila (Júcaro)21.62N 78.85WClearwater Beach27.98N 82.83WPort Canaveral—Trident Pier28.42N 80.59WCedar Key29.13N 83.03WApalachicola29.73N 84.98WCharleston32.78N 79.93WFort Pulaski32.03N 80.90WPanama City Beach30.40N 87.21WPanama City Beach30.21N 85.88W	Site         Minimum Sea           Barbuda NOS Site         17.59N 61.82W         06/0536           Christiansted Harbor, St. Croix         17.75N 64.71W         06/1706           Lime Tree Bay, St. Croix         17.69N 64.75W         06/1706           Christiansted Harbor, St. Croix         17.69N 64.75W         06/1706           Charlotte Amalie, St. Thomas         18.34N 64.92W         06/2006           Charlotte Amalie, St. Thomas         18.46N 66.12W         06/2300           La Puntilla, San Juan Bay         18.46N 66.12W         06/2300           Mayaguez         18.48N 66.70W         07/0018           Arecibo         18.48N 66.70W         07/0036           Cayo Coco (78339)         22.52N 78.45W         09/0520           Ciego de Ávila (Punta Alegre)         22.38N 78.81W         09/0650           Ciego de Ávila (Júcaro)         21.62N 78.85W         09/1300           Clearwater Beach         27.98N 82.83W         11/0606           Port Canaveral—Trident Pier         28.42N 80.59W         11/0700           Cedar Key         29.13N 83.03W         11/0700           Charleston         32.78N 79.93W         11/1206           Charleston         32.78N 79.93W         11/1654           Fort Pulaski         32.	Note         Minimum Sea         Version           Site         Minimum Sea         Pressure (UTC)         Pressure (th2)           Barbuda NOS Site         17.59N 61.82W         06/0536         916.1           Christiansted Harbor, St. Croix         17.75N 64.71W         06/1706         995.0           Lime Tree Bay, St. Croix         17.69N 64.75W         06/1706         996.4           Charlotte Amalie, St. Thomas         18.34N 64.92W         06/1742         967.5           Esperanza, Vieques Island         18.09N 65.47W         06/2006         991.9           La Punttilla, San Juan Bay         18.46N 66.12W         06/2300         989.3           Mayaguez         18.22N 67.16W         07/0018         1001.5           Cayo Coco (78339)         22.52N 78.45W         09/0520         933.1           Ciego de Ávila (Punta Alegre)         22.38N 78.81W         09/0650         959.8           Ciego de Ávila (Júcaro)         21.62N 78.85W         09/1300         984.3           Clearwater Beach         27.98N 82.83W         11/0606         976.2           Port Canaveral—Trident Pier         28.42N 80.59W         11/0700         990.1           Cedar Key         29.13N 83.03W         11/0700         995.6           Charle	NoteMinimum SeaMaximumSiteLocationMate/Time (UTC)Pressure (HPa)MaximumBarbuda NOS Site17.59N 61.82W06/0536916.106/0454Christiansted Harbor, St. Croix17.75N 64.71W06/1706995.006/1642Lime Tree Bay, St. Croix17.69N 64.75W06/1706996.406/1848Charlotte Amalie, St. Thomas18.34N 64.92W06/1742967.506/1736Esperanza, Vieques Island18.09N 65.47W06/2006991.906/2130La Puntilla, San Juan Bay18.46N 66.12W06/2300989.306/2230Mayaguez18.22N 67.16W07/00181101.507/0606Mayaguez18.48N 66.70W07/0036998.707/0130Cayo Coco (78339)22.52N 78.45W09/0500959.809/0635Ciego de Ávila (fucaro)21.62N 78.85W09/1300984.309/0700Clearwater Beach27.98N 82.83W11/0606977.211/0548Port Canaveral—Trident Pier28.42N 80.59W11/0700990.111/0942Cedar Key29.13N 83.03W11/1036977.211/1536Apalachicola29.73N 84.98W11/1206995.611/0918Charleston32.78N 79.93W11/16541002.811/1654Panama City30.51N 85.67W11/194299.311/1218Panama City Beach30.21N 85.88W11/220699.411/2218	Note         Minimum Sea         Maximum Surface Wind           Site         Location         Minimum Sea         Pressure (UTC)         Date/Time (hPa)         Date/Time (UTC)         Sustained (UTC)           Barbuda NOS Site         17.59N 61.82W         06/0536         916.1         06/0454         194           Christiansted Harbor, St. Croix         17.75N 64.71W         06/1706         995.0         06/1642         61           Lime Tree Bay, St. Croix         17.69N 64.75W         06/1706         996.4         06/1848         80           Charlotte Amalie, St. Thomas         18.34N 64.92W         06/1742         967.5         06/1736         102           Esperanza, Vieques Island         18.09N 65.47W         06/2006         991.9         06/2130         83           La Puntilla, San Juan Bay         18.46N 66.12W         06/2300         989.3         06/2230         89           Mayaguez         18.22N 67.16W         07/0018         1001.5         07/0606         50           Mayaguez         18.48N 66.70W         07/0036         998.7         07/0130         70           Caego de Ávila (Punta Alegre)         22.38N 78.81W         09/0500         185         230           Ciego de Ávila (Júcaro)         21.62N 78.85W	SiteMinium Sea Level PressureMaxium Surface Wind SpeedSiteDate/Time UTCPressure (IrPa)Maxium Surface Wind SpeedBarbuda NOS Site17.59N 61.82W06/0536916.106/0454194257Christiansted Harbor, St. Croix17.75N 64.71W06/1706995.006/16426193Lime Tree Bay, St. Croix17.69N 64.75W06/1706996.406/18488098Charlotte Amalie, St. Thomas18.34N 64.92W06/1742967.506/1736102157Esperanza, Vieques Island18.09N 65.47W06/2006991.906/213083104La Puntilla, San Juan Bay18.46N 66.12W06/2300989.306/223089119Mayaguez18.22N 67.16W07/00181001.507/06065067Arecibo18.48N 66.02W07/0036998.707/01307087Cayo Coco (78339)22.52N 78.45W09/050959.809/0503230256Ciego de Ávila (Júcaro)21.62N 78.85W09/1300984.309/070080144Clearwater Beach27.98N 82.83W11/0306977.211/0541031143Port Canaveral-Trident Pier28.42N 80.59W11/0706995.611/09186383Charleston32.78N 79.33W11/1206977.211/1547898Port Canaveral-Trident Pier28.42N 80.59W11/1206997.411/121878913 <td< td=""><td>NoteMinimum Set LevelMaximum Surface Wind SetStorm Surge (m)SiteLocationDate/Time (UTC)Pressure (MPA)Date/Time (UTC)Sustained (km/h)Gust (km/h)Barbuda NOS Site17.59N 61.82W06/0536916.106/04541942572.43Christiansted Harbor, St. Croix17.75N 64.71W06/1706995.006/164261930.70Lime Tree Bay, St. Croix17.69N 64.75W06/1706996.406/184880980.18Charlotte Amalie, St. Thomas18.34N 64.92W06/1742967.506/17361021570.44Esperanza, Vieques Island18.09N 65.47W06/2300989.306/230891190.47Mayaguez18.46N 66.12W06/2300998.707/016650670.42Mayaguez18.48N 66.01W07/00181001.507/066650670.42Creigo de Ávila (fucaro)21.62N 78.85W09/0520998.309/05031851942.34Ciego de Ávila (fucaro)21.62N 78.85W09/0520993.109/05031851942.34Ciego de Ávila (fucaro)21.62N 78.85W09/1501994.309/0700801440.57Ciego de Ávila (fucaro)21.62N 78.85W09/1501991.011/094285109141Ciego de Ávila (fucaro)21.62N 78.85W11/0700990.111/094285109141Ciego de Ávila (fucaro)</td><td>Minimum Set Lev Pressure Date/Time (UTC)Maximum Serace Wind Speed Surge (m)Storm Surge (m)Storm Tide (m)Barbuda NOS Site17.59N 61.82W06/0536916.106/04541942572.43Christiansted Harbor, St. Croix17.75N 64.71W06/1706995.006/164261930.70Lime Tree Bay, St. Croix17.69N 64.75W06/1706996.406/184880980.180.24Charlotte Amalie, St. Thomas18.44N 64.92W06/1742967.506/17361021570.440.52Esperanza, Vieques Island18.09N 65.47W06/2000998.306/2130831040.440.48La Puntilla, San Juan Bay18.46N 66.12W06/2000998.707/013070870.420.61Mayaquez18.22N 67.16W07/00181001.507/00301851942.342.65Crego de Ávila (Punta Alegre)22.88N 78.81W09/0520933.109/05032.302.561.690.20Crego de Ávila (fucaro)21.62N 78.85W09/1300984.309/0700801.440.570.88Crearware Beach27.98N 82.83W11/060976.211/0541151430.520.58Crearware Theore24.84N 58.76W11/0700990.511/07478980.490.57Ort Canaveral—Tricett Pie24.84N 59.7W11/0700990.511/07478980.580.51</td></td<>	NoteMinimum Set LevelMaximum Surface Wind SetStorm Surge (m)SiteLocationDate/Time (UTC)Pressure (MPA)Date/Time (UTC)Sustained (km/h)Gust (km/h)Barbuda NOS Site17.59N 61.82W06/0536916.106/04541942572.43Christiansted Harbor, St. Croix17.75N 64.71W06/1706995.006/164261930.70Lime Tree Bay, St. Croix17.69N 64.75W06/1706996.406/184880980.18Charlotte Amalie, St. Thomas18.34N 64.92W06/1742967.506/17361021570.44Esperanza, Vieques Island18.09N 65.47W06/2300989.306/230891190.47Mayaguez18.46N 66.12W06/2300998.707/016650670.42Mayaguez18.48N 66.01W07/00181001.507/066650670.42Creigo de Ávila (fucaro)21.62N 78.85W09/0520998.309/05031851942.34Ciego de Ávila (fucaro)21.62N 78.85W09/0520993.109/05031851942.34Ciego de Ávila (fucaro)21.62N 78.85W09/1501994.309/0700801440.57Ciego de Ávila (fucaro)21.62N 78.85W09/1501991.011/094285109141Ciego de Ávila (fucaro)21.62N 78.85W11/0700990.111/094285109141Ciego de Ávila (fucaro)	Minimum Set Lev Pressure Date/Time (UTC)Maximum Serace Wind Speed Surge (m)Storm Surge (m)Storm Tide (m)Barbuda NOS Site17.59N 61.82W06/0536916.106/04541942572.43Christiansted Harbor, St. Croix17.75N 64.71W06/1706995.006/164261930.70Lime Tree Bay, St. Croix17.69N 64.75W06/1706996.406/184880980.180.24Charlotte Amalie, St. Thomas18.44N 64.92W06/1742967.506/17361021570.440.52Esperanza, Vieques Island18.09N 65.47W06/2000998.306/2130831040.440.48La Puntilla, San Juan Bay18.46N 66.12W06/2000998.707/013070870.420.61Mayaquez18.22N 67.16W07/00181001.507/00301851942.342.65Crego de Ávila (Punta Alegre)22.88N 78.81W09/0520933.109/05032.302.561.690.20Crego de Ávila (fucaro)21.62N 78.85W09/1300984.309/0700801.440.570.88Crearware Beach27.98N 82.83W11/060976.211/0541151430.520.58Crearware Theore24.84N 58.76W11/0700990.511/07478980.490.57Ort Canaveral—Tricett Pie24.84N 59.7W11/0700990.511/07478980.580.51

**Table 6.** Storm surge and storm tide in different sites according to landfall intensity of Hurricane Irma.

Legend: (1) [29]; (2) this study.

The calculated flooded areas associated with Hurricane Irma were smaller on both coasts of the province than those in previous modeled hazard scenarios (for intense hurricanes). In the municipality of Morón, the difference between the flooded area and the modeled area was 23% (35% of the total area vs. 58% of the total modeled area) [35,36]. Planos and Gutiérrez [37] reported that, based on models, with the impact of categories 1, 3, and 5 hurricanes, large areas of Cuba would be flooded (8196.2, 12,997.8, and 17,737.6 km<sup>2</sup>, respectively). However, our results show that the calculated flooded area was estimated, we found that it was smaller than the area modeled for a category 1 hurricane.

For the northern coast of the province, the overestimated flooded area (for a category 5 hurricane) doubled (1213.6 km<sup>2</sup>) the flooded area associated with Hurricane Irma (644.6 km<sup>2</sup>). For the southern coast, the overestimated area (modeled scenario for a category 1 hurricane) was 1.5 times larger than the flooded area associated with Hurricane Irma. However, in this case, the models used the hurricane's path over the northern coast (Hurricane Irma), and there could be more danger for the southern coast in case of an intense hurricane crossing the province from south to north. Even in this context, the locals from Júcaro had never seen similar flooding. The results of this study suggest that new hazard scenarios must be modeled (using intense hurricanes with different paths) to estimate the possible flooded areas on the southern coast of Ciego de Ávila.

What could explain the differences between the flooded areas related to Hurricane Irma and those of the modeled scenarios is that the modeling processes (for different hurricane categories) used the bathymetry and topography of the coastal zone without natural barriers such as coral reefs, mangrove forests, sand dunes, and coastal lagoons. Some studies showed the protective effect of these coastal ecosystems against the impacts of hurricanes in coastal zones [38–40]. Therefore, one of the lessons learned from this study is that the models used to calculate potentially flooded areas in the Cuban coastal zones must use new hazard scenarios and include the protective coastal ecosystems. This aspect is more important now, when some authors are reporting an increase in the frequency of intense hurricanes in the North Atlantic Basin [41,42].

In the Caribbean, Hurricane Irma caused large floods in the Saint Martin and Saint Barthelemy Islands, with significant differences between flooded areas in urbanized and non-urbanized sites [43]. In Saint Martin Island, the recovery of mangroves damaged by Hurricane Irma was slower in healthy mangrove forests than that of those affected by anthropic development [44]. In the Florida Keys, the strong winds of Hurricane Irma (more than 177 km/h) caused severe damage; however, such damage was more evident and destructive in areas without mangrove forests [38,45].

Another conclusion drawn from the results of this study is that coastal settlements such as Júcaro must be resettled away from the coastline due to its vulnerability. Hurricane Irma moved along the north coast of Cuba; however, Júcaro (southern coast) was one of the sites most affected by flooding in the province of Ciego de Ávila. At the same time, the differences between the flooded areas due to Hurricane Irma and the previous modeled hazard scenarios showed the importance of coastal ecosystems as protective barriers against natural destructive phenomena such as intense hurricanes. The vulnerability of an important archeological site (Los Buchillones) is another lesson that must be considered. A new proposal for the protection and management of this site will be made to preserve the wooden pieces and other archeological records.

Although, in the last few years, Cuba has implemented an advanced methodology to evaluate damage after the impacts of natural phenomena such as hurricanes, this study is the first that evaluated and calculated the flooded areas in coastal zones of central Cuba. Our results will be useful for the implementation of governmental strategies to assess and manage hurricane-related hazards and risks in this part of the island.

### 5. Conclusions

The northern and southern coasts of the Ciego de Ávila province were affected by flooding related to the passage of Hurricane Irma. The evidence and the testimonies of the local people support the conclusion that these events have been the most intense ever in some towns of the province. The flooded area was larger on the northern than on the southern coast, particularly in places such as Turiguanó (municipality of Morón), Bolivia, and La Loma (municipality of Bolivia). Two coastal towns were the most socioeconomically affected by flooding: Punta Alegre (municipality of Chambas) and Júcaro (municipality of Venezuela). The differences between the flooded areas associated with Hurricane Irma and those in the previous modeled hazard scenarios using hurricanes of different categories (1, 3, and 5) were evident. The principal cause of such differences could be flood modeling without consideration of the natural barriers present in the coastal zones (mangrove forests, coral reefs, sand dunes, and coastal lagoons). In the future, mitigation actions to be undertaken by the local and national authorities must be based on data from scenarios in which the natural barriers have been included. The results of this study will be useful for decision-makers to mitigate hurricane-related impacts such as floods and damaging winds.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization: F.M.-P., M.C.P. and O.E.P.-L.; methodology: F.M.-P., M.C.P., R.G.-D.Z., A.V.-J. and O.E.P.-L.; maps: A.V.-J., O.E.P.-L. and F.Á.-T.; investigation: F.M.-P., M.C.P., R.G.-D.Z. and A.V.-J.; statistical analysis: R.G.-D.Z. and F.M.-P.; writing: F.M.-P., R.S., M.C.P. and R.G.-D.Z.; supervision: M.C.P., R.G.-D.Z., F.M.-P., R.S. and F.Á.-T. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This work was supported by the national project "Paleotempestología en Cuba: variabilidad espacio-temporal de la actividad de huracanes usando proxy data" of the Cuban government (Code: P104LH001-049) and by the Emerging Leaders of the Americas Program (*ELAP*) scholarships.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

**Data Availability Statement:** The data used are available from the sources cited. No new data were generated.

Acknowledgments: Our deepest appreciation to the Climate and Environmental Change Research Laboratory of Bishop's University and to the Emerging Leaders of the Americas Program (ELAP) scholarships, without their support, this research would not have been possible. Rogert Sorí acknowledges the support from the postdoctoral grant Ramón y Cajal (RYC2021-034044-I), financed by the Spanish Ministry of Science and Innovation.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

#### References

- IPCC. Cambio climático 2014. Impactos, adaptación y vulnerabilidad. In *Field*; Barros, C.V., Jon Dokken, V.R., Mach, D., Mastrandrea, K.J., Bilir, M.D., Chatterjee, T.E., Ebi, M., Otsuki Estrada, K.L., Genova, Y., Girma, R.C., et al., Eds.; Copa: Geneva, Switzerland, 2014.
- Furrazola, G.F.; Núñez, K.E. Estudios Sobre Geología de Cuba/Compilación; Centro Nacional de Información Geológica (CNDIG), Ed.; Instituto de Geología y Paleontología: La Habana, Cuba, 1997; pp. 509–527.
- 3. Mitrani, I. Las Inundaciones Costeras en Cuba y su Repercusión Social. Bioética/Septiembre–Diciembre, 2006. Available online: http://www.cbioetica.org/revista/63/630410.pdf (accessed on 17 October 2019).
- Iturralde-Vinent, M.A. Huracanolitos, Eventos de Oleaje Extremo y Protección de las Obras Costeras. 2017. Available online: http://www.redciencia.cu/geobiblio/inicio.html (accessed on 18 July 2020).
- 5. Mitrani, I.; Fontova, M.; Díaz, L.; González, J. Determinación del Régimen de Ola y Viento en la Costa Norte de la Habana. IV Exposición Forjadores Del Futuro; Centro de Información y Divulgación de las BTJ: La Habana, Cuba, 1986; 15p.
- Mitrani, I.; Díaz, L.; Pérez, P.; González, J. Características Hidrometeorológicas Generales de la Zona Costera Correspondiente al Malecón Habanero: Informe de Resultado Científico; Biblioteca INSMET: La Habana, Cuba, 1994; 38p.
- Portela, M. Elaboración de un Método Sinóptico–Estadístico Para el Pronóstico de la Ocurrencia de Inundaciones Costeras en Cuba; Proyecto Cuba/94/003; Instituto de Meteorología—PNUD: La Habana, Cuba, 1998; pp. 46–63.
- Pérez-Osorio, P.J.; Casals, R.; del Sol, A.; Díaz, L. Análisis de los fenómenos meteorológicos que provocaron penetraciones del mar en el malecón habanero. *Rev. Cuba. Meteorol.* 2001, *8*, 50–58.

- 9. Casals, R. Cronología de las inundaciones costeras ocurridas en el sur de la provincia La Habana. *Rev. Cuba. Meteorol.* **2002**, *9*, 90–95.
- Regueira, V.A.; Barcia, S. Cronología de inundaciones costeras por penetración del mar, en la provincia Cienfuegos. *Rev. Cuba. Meteorol.* 2019, 25, 278–290.
- 11. Hidalgo, A.; Hernández, N.; Perigó, E. Períodos de retorno para las inundaciones costeras y los eventos extremos de viento y oleaje en la costa nororiental de Cuba. *Rev. Cuba. Meteorol.* **2019**, *25*, 191–202.
- 12. Hidalgo, A. Metodología para el Estudio Climático de las Inundaciones Costeras en Cuba. Master's Thesis, Instituto Superior de Tecnologías y Ciencias Aplicadas (InSTEC), La Habana, Cuba, 2016; 73p.
- 13. Casals, R.; Pérez, P.; Menéndez, L. Análisis de las inundaciones costeras ocurridas en áreas protegidas de Cuba en el período 1981–2010. *Rev. Cuba. Meteorol.* 2016, 22, 199–215.
- 14. Hidalgo, A.; Mitrani, I.; Pérez, G. Nueva clasificación de las inundaciones costeras en Cuba. Rev. Cuba. Meteorol. 2017, 23, 209–216.
- Córdova, O.L.; García, M.; López, A. Cronología de las inundaciones costeras en la provincia de Ciego de Ávila. Período 1960–2017. *Rev. Cuba. Meteorol.* 2018, 24, 136–145.
- Matos-Pupo, F.; Peros, M.; Rodríguez, Y.; Hernández-Fernández, L.; Guash, F. Depósitos de huracanolitos en Jardines de la Reina (Cuba) provocados por oleajes extremos: Paleohuracanes o paleotsunamis? In Proceedings of the XI Congreso de Ciencias del Mar (MarCuba), Palacio de Convenciones, La Habana, Cuba, 15–19 October 2018. Available online: http: //www.congresomarcuba.com (accessed on 17 October 2019).
- 17. Pérez, R.; Vega, R.; Limia, M. Los huracanes más intensos y desastrosos de Cuba en los últimos dos siglos. *Bol. Soc. Meteorol. Cuba.* **2001**, *7*, 9p.
- 18. AMA. Lineamientos Metodológicos para la Realización de los Estudios de Peligro, Vulnerabilidad y Riesgos de Desastre de Inundación por Penetración del Mar, Inundaciones por Intensas Lluvias y Afectaciones por Fuertes Vientos; Agencia de Medio Ambiente, CITMA: Havana, Cuba, 2008.
- 19. Córdova, O.L.; García, M.; Machado, A.; Borrego, R. Huracanes que afectaron a Ciego de Ávila y sus periodos de retorno. Periodo 1851 a 2017. *Rev. Cuba. Meteorol.* **2018**, *24*, 245–255.
- Matos, F.; López, O.E.P.; Jorge, A.V. Hurricane Related Coastal Flooding in the Province of Ciego de Avila, Cuba: Hazard, Vulnerability and Risk Study. In Proceedings of the 3rd International Conference on BioGeoSciences; Cayos de Santa Maria, Villa Clara, Cuba, 23–30 June 2019; Cardenas, R., Mochalov, V., Parra, O., Martin, O., Eds.; Springer Proceedings in Earth and Environmental Sciences. Springer: Cham, Swizterland, 2022. [CrossRef]
- 21. UMA. Informe de Evaluación del Impacto Ambiental de Desastre Provocado por Irma (Huracán de Gran Intensidad) en la Provincia Ciego de Ávila; Unidad De Medio Ambiente CITMA Ciego de Ávila: Ciego de Ávila, Cuba, 2017; 33p.
- 22. Centro de Inspección y Control Ambiental (CICA). *Guías para la Realización de las Solicitudes de Licencia Ambiental y los Estudios de Impacto Ambiental;* Editorial CITMA: Havana, Cuba, 2009; 94p.
- 23. Iturralde-Vinent, M.A. *Compendio de Geología de Cuba y el Caribe*, 2nd ed.; CITMATEL (Empresa de Tecnologías de la Información y Servicios Telemáticos Avanzados): La Habana, Cuba, 2012.
- 24. Pardo, M.E. (Ed.) Geology of Cuba. In Regional Geology Reviews; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 2021; 332p. [CrossRef]
- Gómez-Martín, M.B.; Matos-Pupo, F.; Bada-Díaz, R.; Escalante-Pérez, D. Assessing Present and Future Climate Conditions for Beach Tourism in Jardines del Rey (Cuba). *Atmosphere* 2020, *11*, 1295. [CrossRef]
- 26. ONEI. Anuario Estadístico de Cuba 2020. Agricultura, Ganaderia, Silvicultura y Pesca. 2021. Available online: https://www.onei.gob.cu/sites/default/files/agropecuario\_-2020\_0.pdf (accessed on 3 September 2023).
- 27. ONEI. Anuario Nacional de Estadística. 2017. Available online: http://www.onei.gob.cu (accessed on 19 October 2022).
- ONEI. Censo de Población y Viviendas. 2012. Available online: http://onei.gob.cu/publicaciones-censos (accessed on 18 March 2022).
- 29. Cangialosi, J.P.; Latto, A.S.; Berg, R. *National Hurricane Center Tropical Cyclone Report, Hurricane Irma (AL112017)*; National Hurricane Center, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration: Miami, FL, USA, 2021. Available online: https://www.nhc.noaa.gov/data/tcr/AL112017\_Irma.pdf (accessed on 4 March 2023).
- 30. Barcia-Sardiñas, S.; Fontes-Leandro, M.; Ramírez-González, M.; Viera-González, E.Y. La sequía meteorológica 2014–1017, características e impactos en la provincia Cienfuegos. *Rev. Cuba. Meteorol.* **2019**, *25*, 319–333.
- Valero-Jorge, A.; González-De Zayas, R.; Alcántara-Martín, A.; Álvarez-Taboada, F.; Matos-Pupo, F.; Brown-Manrique, O. Water area and volume calculation of two reservoirs in Central Cuba using remote sensing methods. A new perspective. *Rev. Teledetección* 2022, 60, 71–87. [CrossRef]
- CENAPRED. Facículo de Ciclonestropicales. 2003. Available online: https://www.gob.mx/cenapred/documentos/fasciculociclones-tropicales (accessed on 5 March 2022).
- 33. Frank, N.L.; Husain, S.A. The deadliest tropical cyclone in history? Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc. 1971, 52, 438–444. [CrossRef]
- 34. Rosengaus, M. *Efectos Destructivos de Ciclones Tropicales;* MAPFRE: Mexico City, Mexico, 1998. Available online: https://www.mapfrere.com/publicaciones/efectos-destructivos-ciclones-tropicales/ (accessed on 5 March 2022).
- 35. Moreno-Suárez, O.; Rodríguez-Ríos, Y.; Matos-Pupo, F.; López-Mayea, A.; González-Abreu, R.; Rodríguez-Cueto, Y.; González-Ramírez, I.; Rodríguez-Zayas, M.; Hernández-Hernández, S.; Vidal-Olivera, V.; et al. Estudio de Peligro, Vulnerabilidad y Riesgos de Desastres de Inundaciones por penetraciones del Mar, Inundaciones por Intensas Lluvias y Afectaciones por Fuertes Vientos en la Provincia Ciego de Ávila; Ministerio de Ciencia, Tecnología y Medio Ambiente (CITMA): Ciego de Ávila, Cuba, 2011; 118p.

- 36. AMA. Escenarios de Peligro y Vulnerabilidad de la Zona Costera Cubana, Asociados al Ascenso del Nivel Medio del Mar para los Años 2050 y 2100; Resumen anual de los resultados del Macro Proyecto; Agencia de Medio Ambiente: La Habana, Cuba, 2019.
- 37. Planos, E.O.; Gutiérrez, T. (Eds.) Tercera Comunicación Nacional a la Convención Marco de Naciones Unidas Sobre Cambio Climático; CITMA: Ciego de Ávila, Cuba, 2020; 403p.
- 38. Liu, H.; Zhang, H.; Li, Y.; Xie, L. Numerical study of the sensitivity of mangroves in reducing storm surge and flooding to hurricane characteristics in southern Florida. *Cont. Shelf Res.* **2013**, *64*, 51–65. [CrossRef]
- 39. Marino-Tapia, I.; Enriquez, C.; Mendoza Baldwin, E.; Escalante Mancera, E. Comparative Morphodynamics between Exposed and Reef Protected Beaches under Hurricane Conditions. *Coast. Eng.* **2014**, *34*, 1–9. [CrossRef]
- 40. Imbert, D. Hurricane disturbance and forest dynamics in east Caribbean mangroves. Ecosphere 2018, 9, e02231. [CrossRef]
- Elsner, J.B.; Kossin, J.P.; Jagger, T.H. The increasing intensity of the strongest tropical cyclones. *Nature* 2008, 455, 92–95. [CrossRef]
   Knutson, T.R.; McBride, J.L.; Chan, J.; Emanuel, K.; Holland, G.; Landsea, C.; Held, I.; Kossin, J.P.; Srivastava, A.K.; Sugi, M.
- Tropical cyclones and climate change. *Nat. Geosci.* **2010**, *3*, 157–163. [CrossRef]
- Rey, T.; Leone, F.; Candela, T.; Belmadani, A.; Palany, P.; Krien, Y.; Cécé, R.; Gherardi, M.; Péroche, M.; Zahibo, N. Coastal Processes and Influence on Damage to Urban Structures during Hurricane Irma (St-Martin & St-Barthélemy, French West Indies). J. Mar. Sci. Eng. 2019, 7, 215. [CrossRef]
- 44. Walker, R.; Laplanche, C.; Herteman, M.; Lambs, L.; Fromard, F. Damages caused by hurricane Irma in the human-degraded mangroves of Saint Martin (Caribbean). *Sci. Rep.* **2019**, *9*, 18971. [CrossRef]
- 45. Zhang, K.; Liu, H.; Li, Y.; Xu, H.; Shen, J.; Rhome, J.; Smith, T.J. The role of mangroves in attenuating storm surges. *Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci.* 2012, 102–103, 11–23. [CrossRef]

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.