



Article Hourly Valley Concentration of Air Pollutants Associated with Increased Acute Myocardial Infarction Hospital Admissions in Beijing, China

Jia Fu, Yanbo Liu, Yakun Zhao, Siqi Tang, Yuxiong Chen, Yijie Liu, Yitao Han and Zhongjie Fan *💿

Peking Union Medical College Hospital, Peking Union Medical College & Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences, Beijing 100730, China

* Correspondence: fanzhongjie@pumch.cn

Abstract: (1) Background: Acute myocardial infarction (AMI) imposes a great burden on global health. Few studies have demonstrated the effects of valley concentration of air pollutants on AMI hospital admissions. (2) Methods: Hospitalizations for AMI from 1 May 2014 to 31 December 2019 were analyzed. Generalized additive models (GAM) were used to quantify the exposure-response association between the hourly peak, mean, and valley concentration of six air pollutants and AMI hospital admissions. Stratification analyses were conducted to identify the susceptible population. (3) Results: Hourly peak, mean, and valley concentrations of $PM_{2.5}$, PM_{10} , SO_2 , NO_2 , and COwere significantly associated with AMI hospital admissions. Each 10-unit increase in the hourly valley concentration of them led to 0.50% (0.35-0.66%), 0.44 % (0.32-0.56%), 0.84% (0.47-1.22%), 1.86% (0.73–3.01%), and 44.6% (28.99–62.10%) excess risk in AMI hospital admissions, respectively. In addition, the effects of hourly valley concentration were larger than mean and peak concentrations. The effects in the female or older than 65 groups were larger than that in the male or younger than 65 groups. (4) Conclusions: PM_{2.5}, PM₁₀, SO₂, NO₂, and CO exposure contributed to increased AMI hospital admissions. Hourly valley concentration might be a more potent indicator of adverse cardiovascular events. Females and individuals older than 65 were more susceptible to ambient air pollutant exposure.

Keywords: acute myocardial infarction; air pollutants; China; hospital admission; generalized additive models

1. Introduction

Cardiovascular disease is one of the significant causes of mortality all over the world [1]. It included the ischemic heart disease, stroke, and so on. Ischemic heart disease and stroke were the leading causes of disability-adjusted life years in the over-50 group in 2019 [2]. The estimated age-standardized death rate of ischemic heart disease remains increasing in many areas such as South, East, and Southeastern Asia [3]. Acute myocardial infarction (AMI) is one of the cardiovascular diseases with high disability and death rates. It imposes a huge burden on the global economy. For example, the total estimated annual cost of AMI was over 84 billion dollars in 2016 in the United States according to a study with a sample of over 320,000 AMI patients [4]. The AMI total estimated expense was over 1.178 billion dollars in 2012 in the South Korea [5]. Studies in the United Kingdom, China, and Brazil showed similar results [6–8]. In a word, the disease and economic burden of AMI are substantial.

Air pollution is one of the most important global topics and health problems [9]. It can affect nearly all the people on the planet and every organ in the body [10,11]. Air pollution is the most significant environmental risk factor for morbidity and mortality and causes considerable harm to human health [12]. For example, particulate matter exposure mediated increased risk of a wide spectrum of chronic diseases [13–15]. The World



Citation: Fu, J.; Liu, Y.; Zhao, Y.; Tang, S.; Chen, Y.; Liu, Y.; Han, Y.; Fan, Z. Hourly Valley Concentration of Air Pollutants Associated with Increased Acute Myocardial Infarction Hospital Admissions in Beijing, China. *Atmosphere* **2023**, *14*, 27. https:// doi.org/10.3390/atmos14010027

Academic Editors: Kai-Jen Chuang and Daniele Contini

Received: 14 November 2022 Revised: 17 December 2022 Accepted: 21 December 2022 Published: 23 December 2022



Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). Health Organization estimates that the harmful effects of outdoor air pollution result in over 4 million deaths each year [16]. Previous literature suggested that both particulate (diameter < 2.5 mm (PM_{2.5}), diameter < 10 mm (PM₁₀)) and gaseous (sulfur dioxide (SO₂), nitrogen dioxide (NO₂), carbon monoxide (CO), ozone (O₃)) air pollutants had adverse impacts on cardiovascular diseases [17]. However, most of the studies established the effects of mean or peak concentration of air pollutants. Valley concentration represents an important metric of air pollution and a potential indicator for pollution control, but little has been investigated. In this study, we aimed to test the impact of six air pollutants on AMI hospitalization, with the larger perspective to identify efficient indicators for susceptible populations to provide data support for the formulation of prevention policies. To achieve the study objectives described above, we set the study population to AMI hospital admissions in Beijing between 1 May 2014 and 31 December 2019. At the same time, the exposure indicators were designated as the hourly peak, mean, and valley concentration of six air pollutants ($PM_{2.5}$, PM_{10} , SO_2 , NO_2 , O_3 , CO). The generalized additive model (GAM) was used as the main statistical method, and the confounding factors such as meteorological factors were adjusted in the statistical model in the meantime. The stratification analysis and sensitivity analysis were also conducted to find out the susceptible population and test the robustness of our findings. We found that PM_{2.5}, PM₁₀, SO₂, NO₂, and CO exposure contributed to increased AMI hospital admissions. Hourly valley concentration might be a more potent indicator of adverse cardiovascular events.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Data Gathering

We analyzed AMI hospital admissions before the COVID-19 pandemic in Beijing, China, given its established impact on cardiovascular disease [18–20]. Data from 1 May 2014 to 31 December 2019 were obtained from the Beijing Municipal Health Commission Information Center. Anonymous clinical and residential information was collected. The 10th revision of the International Classification of Diseases provided the definition of AMI (ICD-10: I21-22). The data included the hourly concentration of both the particulate ($PM_{2.5}$, PM_{10}) and gaseous air pollutants (SO_2 , NO_2 , O_3 , CO) from 35 air pollutant monitoring stations in Beijing. The hourly peak, mean, and valley concentration were defined as maximum, mean, and minimum of the hourly average concentration of the air pollutants in one day, respectively.

Data on potential confounding factors were also extracted. Influenza was independently linked to a higher risk of AMI according to prior research [21], and meteorological factors had an adverse effect on cardiovascular diseases [21–24], so the influenza endemic, daily mean temperature, and relative humidity were regarded as the confounding factors and were adjusted in our statistical model. When the positive rate of influenza virus isolation in any given week exceeded 20% of the highest weekly positive rate in the observation season in the north of China, it was defined as the influenza endemic (IF) [25,26], based on the data provided by the Chinese National Influenza Center [27]. The China Meteorological Administration provided the daily mean temperature and relative humidity. In addition, public holidays (PH) and day of the week (DOW) were linked to different behavioral patterns, so they were also included in the model. They were determined by the website of Central People's Government of the People's Republic of China [28].

2.2. Statistical Analysis

This study used the method of Lin et al., so the relevant description partly reproduces their wording [29]. We used the generalized additive model (GAM) to quantify the exposure–response association between the hourly peak, mean, and valley concentration of six air pollutants and AMI hospital admissions. The quasi-Poisson distribution was used to control the overdispersion in daily AMI hospital admissions [29,30]. The nonliner smooth functions were used to exclude the effects of temperature and relative humidity as well as the long-term trend and seasonality in daily AMI hospital admissions. The degrees of freedom (df) were set to 3 for the mean temperature and relative humidity and 6 per year for the time to control the long-term trend and seasonality. As mentioned above, the IF, PH, and DOW were also regarded as confounding factors and adjusted in the statistical model in the meantime. We used the abbreviations (e.g., $PM_{2.5 peak}$, $PM_{2.5 mean}$, and $PM_{2.5 valley}$) to represent the hourly peak, mean, and valley concentrations of $PM_{2.5}$. The detailed main model is shown below:

$log[E(Y_t)] = \alpha + s(Time, df = 6 per year) + s(Temperature, df = 3) + s(Humidity, df = 3) + \beta_0 * Pollutant + \beta_1 * DOW + \beta_2 * IF + \beta_3 * PH$

 $E(Y_t)$ is the expected daily number of AMI hospital admissions on day t, and s indicates the smooth function. df is the degree of freedom. α is the model intercept, and β is the regression coefficient. *Humidity* and *Temperature* refer to the relative humidity and mean temperature on day t, respectively. *Time* refers to the time to adjust for long-term trends and seasonality. *Pollutant* refers to the hourly peak, mean, or valley concentration of six kinds of air pollutants. *DOW*, *IF*, and *PH* are the indicators for day of the week, influenza epidemic status and public holiday. R (ver. 4.1.1) software was used for all statistical analyses, and the two-sided p-value < 0.05 was used to determine statistical significance.

To find out the susceptible population to the health effects of air pollutants, we conducted the stratification analysis with different gender subgroups (male, female) and different age subgroups (age < 65, age \geq 65). Previous studies showed that the influences of air pollutants had lag effects, so we adjusted our model with different lag structures from lag 0 day (LAG0, the current day of the AMI hospital admissions) to lag 3 days (LAG3, three days before the AMI hospital admissions) [31]. We also adjusted our model with different multiday average lag structures from LAG01 (moving averages from the current day to 1 day ago) to LAG03 (moving averages from the current day to 3 days ago) to control the potential misalignment of the single lag day exposure [32].

In addition, sensitivity analyses were performed using various degrees of freedom in the model to test the robustness of our findings. The degree of freedom of the *Temp* and *Humidity* were changed to 5, and the *Time* was changed to 8 per year.

3. Results

3.1. Descriptive Analysis

A total of 124,765 AMI hospitalizations were analyzed. Among them, 86,581 (69.40%) were male, and 57,760 (46.30%) were younger than 65 years old. On average, there were 60.24 admissions per day, comprising 27.89 individuals younger than 65 years old. The hourly mean concentration of $PM_{2.5}$, PM_{10} , SO_2 , NO_2 , O_3 , and CO were 64.60 µg/m³, 96.21 µg/m³, 45.26 µg/m³, 9.51 µg/m³, 1.01 mg/m³, and 59.38 µg/m³, respectively. The mean daily temperature was 14.34 °C, and relative humidity was 51.14% during the study. Table 1 details the AMI hospital admissions, air pollutants, and meteorological data.

3.2. Overall and Stratified Effects

Hourly peak, mean, and valley concentrations of the pollutants were all significantly associated with AMI hospitalization, except for O₃. Each 10-unit increase in the hourly valley concentration of PM_{2.5}, PM₁₀, SO₂, NO₂, and CO led to 0.50% (95% CI: 0.35–0.66%), 0.44% (95% CI: 0.32–0.56%), 0.84% (95% CI: 0.47–1.22%), 1.86% (95% CI: 0.73–3.01%), and 44.6% (95% CI: 28.99–62.10%) excess risk in AMI hospital admissions among the total population in the current day (LAG0). Hourly valley concentrations of PM_{2.5}, PM₁₀, SO₂, and CO had stronger effects compared to the hourly mean concentrations. Each 10 μ g/m³ increase in hourly peak, mean, and valley concentration of PM_{2.5} led to 0.25% (95% CI: 0.17–0.34%), 0.43% (95% CI: 0.3–0.55%), and 0.5% (95% CI: 0.35–0.66%) excess risk in AMI hospitalization, respectively.

Variable	Observation Days	$Mean \pm SD$			Percentiles		
			Min	P25	P50	P75	Max
Daily AMI count							
Total	2071	60.24 ± 14.32	24	49	60	70	110
Age < 65	2071	27.89 ± 7.04	7	23	28	32	58
$Age \ge 65$	2071	32.35 ± 9.54	8	25	32	39	68
Male	2071	41.81 ± 10.60	13	34	41	49	85
Female	2071	18.44 ± 5.72	4	14	18	22	41
Meteorological factors							
Temperature (°C)	2070	14.34 ± 11.21	-14.3	3.3	16.1	24.7	32.6
Relative humidity (%)	2070	51.14 ± 19.92	8	35	51	67	99
Air pollutants							
$\dot{PM}_{2.5 \text{ valley}} (\mu g/m^3)$	2049	38.01 ± 43.79	2.45	10.25	23.56	48.06	400.43
$PM_{2.5 \text{ mean}} (\mu g/m^3)$	2049	64.60 ± 57.58	4.31	25.80	48.25	83.34	439.81
$PM_{2.5 peak} (\mu g/m^3)$	2049	100.83 ± 81.16	6.03	45.19	78.91	131.20	640.57
$PM_{10 \text{ valley}} (\mu g/m^3)$	2044	54.72 ± 49.61	2.00	20.19	38.85	74.32	471.43
$PM_{10 \text{ mean}} (\mu g/m^3)$	2044	96.21 ± 68.42	5.63	50.06	79.17	122.17	830.72
$PM_{10 peak} (\mu g/m^3)$	2044	153.90 ± 116.42	8.80	86.28	125.62	185.20	1680.26
$NO_{2 \text{ valley}} (\mu g/m^3)$	2050	27.26 ± 18.33	3.91	14.60	22.00	33.79	130.69
$NO_{2 mean} (\mu g/m^3)$	2050	45.26 ± 20.50	9.12	31.27	41.22	54.63	146.46
$NO_{2 peak} (\mu g/m^3)$	2050	66.95 ± 26.02	11.53	49.44	64.03	79.88	179.65
$SO_{2 \text{ valley}} (\mu g/m^3)$	2050	5.24 ± 6.00	1.59	2.32	3.03	5.47	57.67
$SO_{2 \text{ mean}} (\mu g/m^3)$	2050	9.51 ± 10.16	2.03	3.27	5.85	11.10	82.10
$SO_{2 peak} (\mu g/m^3)$	2050	16.24 ± 16.88	2.09	5.48	10.77	19.98	213.77
$CO_{valley} (mg/m^3)$	2026	0.65 ± 0.60	0.16	0.31	0.50	0.75	7.13
CO_{mean} (mg/m ³)	2026	1.01 ± 0.81	0.21	0.55	0.81	1.15	7.72
$CO_{\text{neak}} (mg/m^3)$	2026	1.48 ± 1.16	0.29	0.80	1.16	1.74	13.29
$O_{3 \text{ valley}} (\mu g/m^3)$	2050	19.50 ± 16.79	1.71	6.57	14.09	27.57	156.70
$O_{3 \text{ mean}} (\mu g/m^3)$	2050	59.38 ± 36.60	3.30	30.21	53.87	81.85	173.98
$O_{3 peak} (\mu g/m^3)$	2050	107.83 ± 65.78	4.21	59.59	91.00	153.38	334.32

Table 1. The baseline information of the AMI hospital admissions, air pollutants, and meteorological data.

Similar effects were found in the subgroups. All the pollutants had greater impacts on females and individuals older than 65 years old. For example, each 10 μ g/m³ increase in hourly valley concentration of PM_{2.5} led to 0.41% (95% CI: 0.23–0.59%) excess risk in females, as compared to 0.71% (95% CI: 0.44–0.98%) in their male counterparts; a 10 μ g/m³ increase in hourly valley concentration of PM_{2.5} led to 0.69% (95% CI: 0.48–0.89%) excess risk in individuals older than 65, as compared to 0.29% (95% CI: 0.07–0.52%) in their younger counterparts. Table 2 shows the excess risk of AMI hospitalization related to hourly peak, mean, and valley concentration of the six air pollutants in the current day (LAG0) in the total population and different subgroups.

3.3. Lag Effect

Figure 1 shows the relationship between the excess risk of AMI hospital admissions and the concentration of pollutants on different lag days. Maximum effects of $PM_{2.5}$, PM_{10} , and CO occurred on LAG0 and diminished in the following days. Each 10-unit increase in hourly valley concentration of $PM_{2.5}$, PM_{10} , and CO generated 0.5% (95% CI: 0.35–0.66%), 0.44% (95% CI: 0.32–0.56%), and 44.6% (95% CI: 28.99–62.1%) excess risk in AMI hospitalization in LAG0, respectively. Maximum effects of NO₂ and SO₂ occurred in LAG1. Each 10 µg/m³ increase in hourly valley concentration of NO₂ and SO₂ generated 0.89% (95% CI: 0.53–1.24%) and 2.51% (95% CI: 1.39–3.63%) excess risk in AMI hospital admissions in LAG1, respectively. Concentrations of O₃ were not associated with excess risks in the lag days examined. The findings were consistent using the moving average lag days. Figures S1 and S2 and Table S1 present the excess risk of AMI hospitalization related to concentrations of pollutants in different lag days.

3.4. Sensitivity Analyses

Alternative df was used to adjust for temporal trends (df = 7 per year) and meteorological factors (df = 4 for relative humidity and daily mean temperature). As shown in Supplementary Table S2, the results remained consistent. It meant that hourly peak, mean,



and valley concentration of $PM_{2.5}$, PM_{10} , SO_2 , NO_2 , and CO were significantly associated with the AMI hospital admissions, and the main models produced reliable results.

Figure 1. The excess risk (%) and 95% confidence interval in AMI hospital admissions for per 10-unit increase in hourly valley concentration of six kinds of air pollutants in different lag days among the total population in Beijing, China.

Table 2. The excess risk (%) and 95% confidence interval in AMI hospital admissions for per 10 units increase in hourly peak, mean, and valley concentration of six kinds of air pollutants in the current day (LAG0) among the total population and different subgroups in Beijing, China.

Variable	Total	Age < 65	$Age \ge 65$	Male	Female
PM _{2.5 vallev}	0.5 (0.35-0.66)	0.29 (0.07-0.52)	0.69 (0.48-0.89)	0.41 (0.23-0.59)	0.71 (0.44-0.98)
PM _{2.5 mean}	0.43 (0.3-0.55)	0.32 (0.14-0.5)	0.53 (0.37-0.7)	0.34 (0.2-0.49)	0.62 (0.4-0.84)
PM _{2.5 peak}	0.25 (0.17-0.34)	0.23 (0.11-0.35)	0.28 (0.17-0.39)	0.2 (0.1–0.3)	0.37 (0.23–0.52)

Variable	Total	Age < 65	Age > 65	Male	Female
PM ₁₀ ,	0.44 (0.32–0.56)	0.23 (0.05–0.41)	0.64 (0.48-0.8)	0.37 (0.23_0.52)	0.61 (0.39_0.82)
PM ₁₀ walley	0.3(0.21-0.39)	0.24 (0.11 - 0.37)	0.36(0.24-0.48)	0.26(0.15-0.36)	0.4(0.24-0.55)
$PM_{10 peak}$	0.11 (0.06–0.16)	0.11 (0.04–0.19)	0.12 (0.05–0.18)	0.09 (0.03–0.15)	0.16 (0.07–0.25)
NO _{2 valley}	0.84 (0.47-1.22)	0.39 (-0.16-0.95)	1.25 (0.74–1.76)	0.48 (0.03-0.93)	1.66 (0.99–2.34)
NO _{2 mean}	0.87 (0.54-1.2)	0.49 (0-0.97)	1.22 (0.77-1.67)	0.55 (0.16-0.95)	1.59 (0.99–2.18)
NO _{2 peak}	0.7 (0.45-0.95)	0.37 (0.01-0.73)	1 (0.66–1.34)	0.44 (0.14-0.74)	1.27 (0.82–1.72)
SO _{2 valley}	1.86 (0.73-3.01)	0.33 (-1.33-2.02)	3.09 (1.55-4.66)	0.4(-0.97-1.79)	4.9 (2.88–6.95)
SO _{2 mean}	1.05 (0.33-1.77)	0.34 (-0.71-1.4)	1.62 (0.65-2.6)	0.16 (-0.71-1.03)	2.92 (1.65-4.2)
SO _{2 peak}	0.47 (0.05-0.9)	0.14 (-0.49-0.78)	0.74 (0.17-1.32)	0.06 (-0.46-0.57)	1.35 (0.6–2.11)
CO _{vallev}	44.6 (28.99-62.1)	20.89 (1.99-43.29)	69.6 (45.52–97.67)	26.53 (10.1-45.4)	93.66 (58.69–136.34)
CO mean	37.5 (25.37-50.8)	21.34 (5.83-39.14)	53.09 (35.21-73.33)	22.31 (9.32-36.83)	76.7 (50.35–107.67)
CO peak	23.64 (16.28-31.46)	18.2 (7.96–29.41)	28.34 (18.09–39.48)	15.69 (7.39–24.64)	42.61 (28.08–58.79)
O _{3 valley}	-0.34 (-0.74-0.06)	0.04 (-0.54-0.63)	-0.68 (-1.240.13)	-0.06 (-0.55-0.42)	-1.02(-1.750.29)
O _{3 mean}	-0.01 (-0.29-0.27)	0.06 (-0.34-0.46)	-0.12 (-0.5-0.26)	0.2 (-0.13-0.54)	-0.55(-1.050.05)
O _{3 peak}	0.08 (-0.07-0.22)	-0.04 (-0.24-0.17)	0.15 (-0.05-0.35)	0.12 (-0.05-0.3)	-0.07 (-0.34-0.19)

Table 2. Cont.

4. Discussion

Beijing represents the industrialized city in Northern China. Our data showed that higher hourly peak, mean, and valley concentrations of PM_{2.5}, PM₁₀, SO₂, NO₂, and CO were associated with increased AMI hospitalization. Previous research from several geological fields supports the findings. A Belgian study showed that each $10 \,\mu g/m^3$ increase in PM_{2.5} and NO₂ during the 24 h preceding the event led to 5.1% and 2.8% increased risk of the hospital admissions of ST-elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI) [33]. Zeynab et al. found that increased PM_{2.5} exposure (48 h before admission) was related to the increased risk of the hospital admissions of STEMI [34]. An American study estimated that each $10 \,\mu g/m^3$ increase in the 2-day averaged PM_{2.5} concentration contributed to the 1.22% (95% CI: 0.62, 1.82%) increase in myocardial infarction death [35]. A Chinese study including 151,608 myocardial infarction deaths in Hubei province from 2013 to 2018 found that each 10 mg/m³ increase in NO₂ exposure delivered a 1.46% (95% CI: 0.76-2.17%) increase in myocardial infarction mortality [17]. Yusef et al. indicated that 2.7% (95% CI: 1.1-4.2%) of AMIs were attributable to daily mean SO₂ levels over 10 μ g/m³ [36]. Other studies reported results differently. For example, a study in Eastern Massachusetts described that each 10 ppb increase in ozone led to an 8.28% (95% CI: 0.66%, 16.48%) increase in deaths from cardiovascular diseases [37]. No significant association was found between the PM_{2.5}, PM_{10} , SO₂, CO and the increased risk of myocardial infarction according to the research in England and Wales [38]. Inflammation, imbalanced autophagy, and oxidative stress might contribute to the potential mechanism [39]. For instance, a panel study showed that short-term exposure to PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} resulted in a proinflammatory state and elevated von Willebrand factor (vWF) [40]. Particulate matter impaired autophagy by inducing lysosomal disequilibrium [41].

However, it is worth noting that most of previous studies tended to use the daily mean concentration of air pollutants as the exposure indicators, and a few studies used the hourly peak concentration of air pollutants. The related literature about the association between the hourly valley concentration of air pollutants and AMI hospital admissions was absent, to our knowledge. Our study showed that the effects of hourly valley concentration were larger than the hourly peak concentration. The findings were consistent with the results of the previous literature, though few in number. For example, a 10 μ g/m³ increase in daily mean concentration of PM_{2.5} at lag03 led to 1.56% (95% CI: 0.91%, 2.21%) excess risk, and a 10 μ g/m³ increase in the hourly peak concentration of PM_{2.5} at lag03 led to 1.15% (95% CI: 0.67%, 1.63%) increase for total cardiovascular diseases [29]. However, some studies reported conflicting results. For example, the peak concentrations of NO₂

were significantly associated with the nonaccidental mortality in lag04 and lag05, while no significant association was found in daily mean concentrations of NO₂ [42]. Our results indicated that the hourly valley concentration of air pollutants might be a better exposure indicator than the mean or peak concentration. Therefore, we suggest that hourly valley concentration of pollutants might be a better exposure indicator and a more practical target for environmental intervention. Further studies about it are needed in the future.

In the stratified analyses, we found that the effects in the female group were larger than those in the male group. This is probably because women have narrower airways, higher airway responsiveness [43], and higher lung deposition of fine particles in comparison to men [44]. Therefore, women might be more vulnerable to exposure to air pollution. Our results also showed that the effects in the people over the age of 65 were larger than the people under the age of 65. This is probably because the older people tend to suffer from multiple underlying medical conditions and seem to be more sensitive to air pollution as the antioxidant status is decreased in old age [45–47]. In addition, decreased heart rate variability is associated with cardiac autonomic dysfunction, and it is a predictor of cardiovascular risk [48]. Prior studies have shown that PM_{2.5} exposure would decrease the heart rate variability in elderly people [49] and increase it in the young people [50].

There are several strong points in the study. First of all, this is the first study to explore the association between hourly valley concentration of six kinds of air pollutants and AMI hospital admissions to our knowledge. Secondly, our study covered all the secondary and tertiary hospitals in Beijing during the period from 1 May 2014 to 31 December 2019, and there were over 120,000 AMI hospital admissions recorded in the study. A long study time and large sample size could obtain more reliable and accurate research results. Last but not least, the effect of age, gender, and lag days were explored in our research, which could be conducive to identifying vulnerable populations and provide a theoretical foundation in policy making for AMI prevention in Beijing. However, there are also several limitations study in our study. Firstly, exposure data of meteorological factors and air pollutants were obtained from fixed sites provided by the government sectors, and we lacked the individualized exposure data. Therefore, many potential risk factors that could influence the AMI hospital admissions such as social-economic status, complication, and medication situation were not included. Moreover, we only studied the Beijing area, so our results might not be applicable to other areas.

5. Conclusions

The hourly peak, mean, and valley concentration of $PM_{2.5}$, PM_{10} , SO_2 , NO_2 , and CO were significantly positively associated with the AMI hospital admissions. The effects of hourly valley concentration were larger than the hourly mean or peak concentration. Furthermore, the effects in the female or older than 65 groups were larger than those in the male or younger than 65 groups.

Supplementary Materials: The following supporting information can be downloaded at: https://www. mdpi.com/article/10.3390/atmos14010027/s1, Table S1 The excess risk (%) and 95% confidence interval in AMI hospital admissions for per 10 units increase in hourly peak, mean and valley concentration of six kinds of air pollutants in different lag days among the total population in Beijing, China; Figure S1. The excess risk (%) and 95% confidence interval in AMI hospital admissions for per 10 units increase in hourly mean concentration of six kinds of air pollutants in different lag days among the total population in Beijing, China; Figure S2. The excess risk (%) and 95% confidence interval in AMI hospital admissions for per 10 units increase in hourly peak concentration of six kinds of air pollutants in different lag days among the total population in Beijing, China; Table S2 The excess risk (%) and 95% confidence interval in AMI hospital admissions for per 10 units increase in hourly peak, mean and valley concentration of six kinds of air pollutants among the total population in Beijing, China (used alternative degrees of freedom). **Author Contributions:** Conceptualization, J.F. and Z.F.; methodology, J.F. and Z.F.; software, J.F.; validation, S.T., Y.L. (Yijie Liu) and Y.Z.; formal analysis and data curation, Y.C., Y.H. and Y.L. (Yanbo Liu); writing—original draft preparation, S.T. and J.F.; writing—review and editing, J.F., Y.L. (Yijie Liu), Y.C., Y.H., Y.L. (Yanbo Liu) and Y.Z.; funding acquisition, Z.F. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was funded by Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences Innovation Fund for Medical Sciences 2017-I2M-2-001, the National Key Research and Development Program of China 2017YFC0211703, and the National Natural Science Foundation of China 91643208.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Only anonymized patient data were used in our study; no patient or public privacy was compromised. Our research did not involve any human subjects. The Peking Union Medical College Hospital (PUMCH) Institutional Review Board gave its approval for this investigation.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: The data presented in this study are available on request from the corresponding author. The data are not publicly available due to this dataset is now confidential.

Acknowledgments: We sincerely acknowledge the data collection efforts of the Beijing Municipal Health Commission Information Center, the Chinese National Influenza Center, and the China Meteorological Administration.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Mensah, G.A.; Roth, G.A.; Fuster, V. The Global Burden of Cardiovascular Diseases and Risk Factors: 2020 and beyond. *J. Am. Coll. Cardiol.* **2019**, *74*, 2529–2532. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- GBD 2019 Diseases and Injuries Collaborators. Global burden of 369 diseases and injuries in 204 countries and territories, 1990–2019: A systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2019. *Lancet* 2020, 396, 1204–1222. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Roth, G.A.; Mensah, G.A.; Johnson, C.O.; Addolorato, G.; Ammirati, E.; Baddour, L.M.; Barengo, N.C.; Beaton, A.Z.; Benjamin, E.J.; Benziger, C.P.; et al. Global Burden of Cardiovascular Diseases and Risk Factors, 1990–2019: Update from the Gbd 2019 Study. J. Am. Coll. Cardiol. 2020, 76, 2982–3021. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Bishu, K.G.; Lekoubou, A.; Kirkland, E.; Schumann, S.O.; Schreiner, A.; Heincelman, M.; Moran, W.P.; Mauldin, P.D. Estimating the Economic Burden of Acute Myocardial Infarction in the Us: 12 Year National Data. *Am. J. Med. Sci.* 2020, 359, 257–265. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 5. Seo, H.; Yoon, S.-J.; Yoon, J.; Kim, D.; Gong, Y.; Kim, A.R.; Oh, I.-H.; Kim, E.-J.; Lee, Y.-H. Recent Trends in Economic Burden of Acute Myocardial Infarction in South Korea. *PLoS ONE* **2015**, *10*, e0117446. [CrossRef]
- 6. Lacey, L.; Tabberer, M. Economic burden of post-acute myocardial infarction heart failure in the United Kingdom. *Eur. J. Hear. Fail.* **2005**, *7*, 677–683. [CrossRef]
- Araújo, D.V.; Bahia, L.; Stella, S.F. The Economic Burden of HIV/AIDS and Myocardial Infarction Treatment in Brazil. *Sci. World J.* 2013, 2013, 1–4. [CrossRef]
- Chang, J.; Deng, Q.; Guo, M.; Ezzati, M.; Baumgartner, J.; Bixby, H.; Chan, Q.; Zhao, D.; Lu, F.; Hu, P.; et al. Trends and Inequalities in the Incidence of Acute Myocardial Infarction among Beijing Townships, 2007–2018. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Heal.* 2021, 18, 12276. [CrossRef]
- Lederer, A.M.; Fredriksen, P.M.; Nkeh-Chungag, B.N.; Everson, F.; Strijdom, H.; De Boever, P.; Goswami, N. Cardiovascular Effects of Air Pollution: Current Evidence from Animal and Human Studies. *Am. J. Physiol. Heart Circ. Physiol.* 2021, 320, H1417–H1439. [CrossRef]
- Schraufnagel, D.E.; Balmes, J.R.; Cowl, C.T.; De Matteis, S.; Jung, S.H.; Mortimer, K.; Perez-Padilla, R.; Rice, M.B.; Riojas-Rodriguez, H.; Sood, A.; et al. Air Pollution and Noncommunicable Diseases: A Review by the Forum of International Respiratory Societies' Environmental Committee, Part 1: The Damaging Effects of Air Pollution. *Chest* 2019, 155, 409–416. [CrossRef]
- Schraufnagel, D.E.; Balmes, J.R.; Cowl, C.T.; De Matteis, S.; Jung, S.H.; Mortimer, K.; Perez-Padilla, R.; Rice, M.B.; Riojas-Rodriguez, H.; Sood, A.; et al. Air Pollution and Noncommunicable Diseases: A Review by the Forum of International Respiratory Societies' Environmental Committee, Part 2: Air Pollution and Organ Systems. *Chest* 2019, 155, 417–426. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Cohen, A.J.; Brauer, M.; Burnett, R.; Anderson, H.R.; Frostad, J.; Estep, K.; Balakrishnan, K.; Brunekreef, B.; Dandona, L.; Dandona, R.; et al. Estimates and 25-Year Trends of the Global Burden of Disease Attributable to Ambient Air Pollution: An Analysis of Data from the Global Burden of Diseases Study 2015. *Lancet* 2017, *389*, 1907–1918. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- Ning, J.; Zhang, Y.; Hu, H.; Hu, W.; Li, L.; Pang, Y.; Ma, S.; Niu, Y.; Zhang, R. Association between ambient particulate matter exposure and metabolic syndrome risk: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Sci. Total Environ.* 2021, 782, 146855. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 14. Poulsen, A.H.; Hvidtfeldt, U.A.; Sørensen, M.; Puett, R.; Ketzel, M.; Brandt, J.; Christensen, J.H.; Geels, C.; Raaschou-Nielsen, O. Components of particulate matter air-pollution and brain tumors. *Environ. Int.* **2020**, *144*, 106046. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 15. Soca-Chafre, G.; Avila-Vásquez, H.; Rueda-Romero, C.; Huerta-García, E.; Márquez-Ramírez, S.G.; Ramos-Godinez, P.; López-Marure, R.; Alfaro-Moreno, E.; Montiel-Dávalos, A. Airborne particulate matter upregulates expression of early and late adhesion molecules and their receptors in a lung adenocarcinoma cell line. *Environ. Res.* **2021**, *198*, 111242. [CrossRef]
- 16. Anenberg, S.C.; Belova, A.; Brandt, J.; Fann, N.; Greco, S.L.; Guttikunda, S.; Heroux, M.-E.; Hurley, F.; Krzyzanowski, M.; Medina, S.; et al. Survey of Ambient Air Pollution Health Risk Assessment Tools. *Risk Anal.* **2016**, *36*, 1718–1736. [CrossRef]
- 17. Liu, Y.; Pan, J.; Fan, C.; Xu, R.; Wang, Y.; Xu, C.; Xie, S.; Zhang, H.; Cui, X.; Peng, Z.; et al. Short-Term Exposure to Ambient Air Pollution and Mortality From Myocardial Infarction. *J. Am. Coll. Cardiol.* **2021**, *77*, 271–281. [CrossRef]
- 18. Guo, T.; Fan, Y.; Chen, M.; Wu, X.; Zhang, L.; He, T.; Wang, H.; Wan, J.; Wang, X.; Lu, Z. Cardiovascular Implications of Fatal Outcomes of Patients With Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19). *JAMA Cardiol.* **2020**, *5*, 811–818. [CrossRef]
- 19. Pandit, B.N.; Shrivastava, A.; Nath, R.K.; Kuber, D.; Sinha, S.K.; Aggarwal, P. Impact of COVID-19 on Thrombus Burden and Outcome in Acute Myocardial Infarction. *Cureus* **2021**, *13*, e16817. [CrossRef]
- 20. Santoso, A.; Pranata, R.; Wibowo, A.; Al-Farabi, M.J.; Huang, I.; Antariksa, B. Cardiac injury is associated with mortality and critically ill pneumonia in COVID-19: A meta-analysis. *Am. J. Emerg. Med.* **2020**, *44*, 352–357. [CrossRef]
- Garcia-Lledo, A.; Rodriguez-Martin, S.; Tobias, A.; Garcia-de-Santiago, E.; Ordobas-Gavin, M.; Ansede-Cascudo, J.C.; Alonso-Martin, J.; de Abajo, F.J. Relationship between Influenza, Temperature, and Type 1 Myocardial Infarction: An Ecological Time-Series Study. J. Am. Heart Assoc. 2021, 10, e19608. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 22. Higuma, T.; Yoneyama, K.; Nakai, M.; Kaihara, T.; Sumita, Y.; Watanabe, M.; Doi, S.; Miyamoto, Y.; Yasuda, S.; Ishibashi, Y.; et al. Effects of Temperature and Humidity on Acute Myocardial Infarction Hospitalization in a Super-Aging Society. *Sci. Rep.* **2021**, *11*, 22832. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 23. Huang, X.; Ma, W.; Law, C.; Luo, J.; Zhao, N. Importance of applying Mixed Generalized Additive Model (MGAM) as a method for assessing the environmental health impacts: Ambient temperature and Acute Myocardial Infarction (AMI), among elderly in Shanghai, China. *PLoS ONE* **2021**, *16*, e0255767. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 24. Cheng, J.; Su, H.; Xu, Z.; Tong, S. Extreme Temperature Exposure and Acute Myocardial Infarction: Elevated Risk within Hours? *Environ. Res.* 2021, 202, 111691. [CrossRef]
- Cowling, B.J.; Wong, I.O.L.; Ho, L.-M.; Riley, S.; Leung, G.M. Methods for monitoring influenza surveillance data. *Leuk. Res.* 2006, 35, 1314–1321. [CrossRef]
- Zhao, Y.; Kong, D.; Fu, J.; Zhang, Y.; Chen, Y.; Liu, Y.; Chang, Z.; Liu, Y.; Liu, X.; Xu, K.; et al. Increased Risk of Hospital Admission for Asthma in Children from Short-Term Exposure to Air Pollution: Case-Crossover Evidence from Northern China. *Front. Public Health* 2021, *9*, 798746. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 27. Chinese National Influenza Center. "Chinese National Influenza Center". Available online: http://www.chinaivdc.cn/cnic/ (accessed on 20 August 2022).
- Central People's Government of the People's Republic of China. "Central People's Government of the People's Republic of China". Available online: http://www.gov.cn/zhengce/ (accessed on 3 July 2022).
- Lin, H.; Liu, T.; Xiao, J.; Zeng, W.; Guo, L.; Li, X.; Xu, Y.; Zhang, Y.; Chang, J.J.; Vaughn, M.G.; et al. Hourly peak PM_{2.5} concentration associated with increased cardiovascular mortality in Guangzhou, China. J. Expo. Sci. Environ. Epidemiol. 2016, 27, 333–338. [CrossRef]
- 30. Wang, B.; Liu, J.; Li, Y.; Fu, S.; Xu, X.; Li, L.; Zhou, J.; Liu, X.; He, X.; Yan, J.; et al. Airborne particulate matter, population mobility and COVID-19: A multi-city study in China. *BMC Public Health* **2020**, *20*, 1–10. [CrossRef]
- Lin, H.; Tao, J.; Du, Y.; Liu, T.; Qian, Z.; Tian, L.; Di, Q.; Rutherford, S.; Guo, L.; Zeng, W.; et al. Particle size and chemical constituents of ambient particulate pollution associated with cardiovascular mortality in Guangzhou, China. *Environ. Pollut.* 2016, 208, 758–766. [CrossRef]
- Chu, H.; Xin, J.; Yuan, Q.; Wang, M.; Cheng, L.; Zhang, Z.; Lu, M. The effects of particulate matters on allergic rhinitis in Nanjing, China. Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. 2019, 26, 11452–11457. [CrossRef]
- Argacha, J.; Collart, P.; Wauters, A.; Kayaert, P.; Lochy, S.; Schoors, D.; Sonck, J.; de Vos, T.; Forton, M.; Brasseur, O.; et al. Air pollution and ST-elevation myocardial infarction: A case-crossover study of the Belgian STEMI registry 2009–2013. *Int. J. Cardiol.* 2016, 223, 300–305. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Davoodabadi, Z.; Soleimani, A.; Pourmoghaddas, A.; Hosseini, S.M.; Jafari-Koshki, T.; Rahimi, M.; Shishehforoush, M.; Lahijanzadeh, A.; Sadeghian, B.; Moazam, E.; et al. Correlation between air pollution and hospitalization due to myocardial infarction. *ARYA* 2019, 15, 161–167.
- 35. Dai, L.; Zanobetti, A.; Koutrakis, P.; Schwartz, J.D. Associations of Fine Particulate Matter Species with Mortality in the United States: A Multicity Time-Series Analysis. *Environ. Health Perspect.* **2014**, *122*, 837–842. [CrossRef]
- Khaniabadi, Y.O.; Daryanoosh, S.M.; Hopke, P.K.; Ferrante, M.; De Marco, A.; Sicard, P.; Conti, G.O.; Goudarzi, G.; Basiri, H.; Mohammadi, M.J.; et al. Acute myocardial infarction and COPD attributed to ambient SO₂ in Iran. *Environ. Res.* 2017, 156, 683–687. [CrossRef]

- 37. Ren, C.; Schwartz, J.; Melly, S. Modifiers of Short-Term Effects of Ozone on Mortality in the Eastern Massachusetts—A Case-Crossover Analysis at Individual Level. *Epidemiology* **2009**, *20*, S164. [CrossRef]
- Milojevic, A.; Wilkinson, P.; Armstrong, B.; Bhaskaran, K.; Smeeth, L.; Hajat, S. Short-Term Effects of Air Pollution on a Range of Cardiovascular Events in England and Wales: Case-Crossover Analysis of the Minap Database, Hospital Admissions and Mortality. *Heart* 2014, 100, 1093–1098. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Bhaskaran, K.; Wilkinson, P.; Smeeth, L. Cardiovascular Consequences of Air Pollution: What are the Mechanisms? *Heart* 2011, 97, 519–520. [CrossRef]
- Hassanvand, M.S.; Naddafi, K.; Kashani, H.; Faridi, S.; Kunzli, N.; Nabizadeh, R.; Momeniha, F.; Gholampour, A.; Arhami, M.; Zare, A.; et al. Short-term effects of particle size fractions on circulating biomarkers of inflammation in a panel of elderly subjects and healthy young adults. *Environ. Pollut.* 2017, 223, 695–704. [CrossRef]
- Wang, Y.; Ma, Y.; Yao, Y.; Liu, Q.; Pang, Y.; Tang, M. Ambient particulate matter triggers defective autophagy and hijacks endothelial cell renewal through oxidative stress-independent lysosomal impairment. *Environ. Pollut.* 2021, 286, 117295. [CrossRef]
- 42. Madsen, C.; Rosland, P.; Hoff, D.A.; Nystad, W.; Nafstad, P.; Naess, O.E. The short-term effect of 24-h average and peak air pollution on mortality in Oslo, Norway. *Eur. J. Epidemiol.* **2012**, *27*, 717–727. [CrossRef]
- 43. Yunginger, J.W.; Reed, C.E.; O'Connell, E.J.; Melton, L.J.; O'Fallon, W.M.; Silverstein, M.D. A Community-Based Study of the Epidemiology of Asthma. Incidence Rates, 1964–1983. *Am. Rev. Respir. Dis.* **1992**, *146*, 888–894. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 44. Kim, C.S.; Hu, S.C. Regional deposition of inhaled particles in human lungs: Comparison between men and women. *J. Appl. Physiol.* **1998**, *84*, 1834–1844. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 45. Xu, R.; Wei, J.; Liu, T.; Li, Y.; Yang, C.; Shi, C.; Chen, G.; Zhou, Y.; Sun, H.; Liu, Y. Association of short-term exposure to ambient PM₁ with total and cause-specific cardiovascular disease mortality. *Environ. Int.* **2022**, *169*, 107519. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 46. Kelly, F.; Dunster, C.; Mudway, I. Air pollution and the elderly: Oxidant/antioxidant issues worth consideration. *Eur. Respir. J.* **2003**, *21*, 70S–75S. [CrossRef]
- Kan, H.; London, S.; Chen, G.; Zhang, Y.; Song, G.; Zhao, N.; Jiang, L.; Chen, B. Season, Sex, Age, and Education as Modifiers of the Effects of Outdoor Air Pollution on Daily Mortality in Shanghai, China: The Public Health and Air Pollution in Asia (PAPA) Study. *Environ. Health Perspect.* 2008, *116*, 1183–1188. [CrossRef]
- Shaffer, F.; Ginsberg, J.P. An Overview of Heart Rate Variability Metrics and Norms. *Front. Public Health* 2017, 5, 258. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Niu, Z.; Liu, F.; Li, B.; Li, N.; Yu, H.; Wang, Y.; Tang, H.; Chen, X.; Lu, Y.; Cheng, Z.; et al. Acute effect of ambient fine particulate matter on heart rate variability: An updated systematic review and meta-analysis of panel studies. *Environ. Health Prev. Med.* 2020, 25, 1–15. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 50. Shields, K.N.; Cavallari, J.M.; Hunt, M.J.; Lazo, M.; Molina, L.; Holguin, F. Traffic-Related Air Pollution Exposures and Changes in Heart Rate Variability in Mexico City: A Panel Study. *Environ. Health* **2013**, *12*, 7. [CrossRef]

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.