



New Gap-Filling Strategies for Long-Period Flux Data Gaps Using a Data-Driven Approach

Minseok Kang ^{1,*}, Kazuhito Ichii ², Joon Kim ^{3,4,5,6,7}, Yohana M. Indrawati ⁴, Juhan Park ¹, Minkyu Moon ⁸, Jong-Hwan Lim ⁹ and Jung-Hwa Chun ¹⁰

- ¹ National Center for Agro Meteorology, Seoul 08826, South Korea; ms-kang@ncam.kr (M.K.), jhpark@ncam.kr (J.P.)
- ² Center for Environmental Remote Sensing, Chiba University, Chiba 2638522, Japan; ichii@chiba-u.jp
- ³ Department of Landscape Architecture and Rural Systems Engineering, Seoul National University, Seoul 08826, South Korea; fcandhk@outlook.com
- ⁴ Interdisciplinary Program in Agricultural and Forest Meteorology, Seoul National University, Seoul 08826, South Korea; yohana.m.indrawati@gmail.com
- ⁵ Research Institute for Agriculture and Life, Seoul National University, Seoul 08826, South Korea
- ⁶ Future Earth Program, Asia Center, Seoul National University, Seoul 08826, South Korea
- ⁷ Institute of Green Bio Science and Technology, Seoul National University Pyeongchang Campus, Pyeongchang 25354, South Korea
- ⁸ Department of Earth and Environment, Boston University, Boston, MA 02215, USA; moon.minkyu@gmail.com
- ⁹ Forest Ecology & Climate Change Division, National Institute of Forest Science, Seoul 02455, South Korea; limjh@korea.kr
- ¹⁰ Research Planning & Coordination Division, National Institute of Forest Science, Seoul 02455, South Korea; chunjh69@gmail.com
- * Correspondence: ms-kang@ncam.kr; Tel.: +82-2-871-0232

Received: 17 August 2019; Accepted: 19 September 2019; Published: date







Figure S1. Time series of daily downward shortwave radiation (R_{sdn} , **a**), daytime air temperature (T_{air} , **b**), daytime vapor pressure deficit (VPD, **c**) and precipitation (P, **d**) at the flux tower.



Figure S2. Time series of daily leaf area index (LAI, **a**), enhanced vegetation index (EVI, **b**), and land-surface water index (LSWI, **c**) from the eight-day moderate resolution imaging spectroradiometer (MODIS) data products (MOD15A2 and MCD43A4 version 6) for the study site.



Figure S3. Relationships between the length of the training dataset and the root-mean-square error (RMSE) for error assessment of Experiments 3-1, 3-2, 3-3, 3-4, 3-5, and 3-6 (**a**: gross primary production, **b**: ecosystem respiration, **c**: net ecosystem exchange, **d**: evapotranspiration).



Figure S4. Same as Figure S3 (**a**: gross primary production, **b**: ecosystem respiration, **c**: net ecosystem exchange, **d**: evapotranspiration), but for r^2 .

Year	Data Retrieval		Length of the 1st Longest Gap (Day)		Length of the 2nd Longest Gap (Day)		Total Length of the Long Gaps (Day)	
	Rate (%)							
	Fco2	ET	Fco2	ET	Fco2	ET	Fco2	ET
2006	68.7	69.8	6.6	6.5	5.4	5.4	0.0	0.0
2007	71.2	72.6	10.5	10.5	9.1	9.3	0.0	0.0
2008	73.2	75.2	14.2	14.1	2.5	2.5	0.0	0.0
2009	74.5	75.3	5.2	5.1	2.5	2.5	0.0	0.0
2010	67.3	68.0	14.6	14.7	5.3	4.9	0.0	0.0
2011	63.8	64.5	17.5	17.3	15.1	15.1	0.0	0.0
2012	73.7	75.7	8.8	8.8	7.8	7.8	0.0	0.0
2013	66.3	68.8	22.2	22.2	2.6	2.6	0.0	0.0
2014	70.6	71.5	28.3	28.3	2.0	2.1	0.0	0.0
2015	80.1	82.5	22.5	22.5	1.6	1.4	0.0	0.0
AVG	70.9	72.4	15.0	15.0	5.4	5.4	0.0	0.0
STD	4.7	5.1	7.6	7.6	4.3	4.3	0.0	0.0

¹Longer than 30 days.



© 2019 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).