



# Article Effect of 11-Deoxycorticosterone in the Transcriptomic Response to Stress in Rainbow Trout Skeletal Muscle

Rodrigo Zuloaga <sup>1,2</sup>, Daniela Aravena-Canales <sup>1,2</sup>, Jorge Eduardo Aedo <sup>3</sup>, Cesar Osorio-Fuentealba <sup>4</sup>, Alfredo Molina <sup>1,2</sup>, and Juan Antonio Valdés <sup>1,2,\*</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Departamento de Ciencias Biológicas, Facultad de Ciencias de la Vida, Universidad Andres Bello, Santiago 8370146, Chile
- <sup>2</sup> Interdisciplinary Center for Aquaculture Research (INCAR), Concepción 4030000, Chile
- <sup>3</sup> Departamento de Biología y Química, Facultad de Ciencias Básicas, Universidad Católica del Maule, Talca 3466706, Chile
- <sup>4</sup> Núcleo de Bienestar y Desarrollo Humano (NUBIDEH), Centro de Investigación en Educación (CIE-UMCE), Universidad Metropolitana de Ciencias de la Educación, Santiago 7780450, Chile
- \* Correspondence: jvaldes@unab.cl; Tel.:+56-2-26615815

Abstract: In aquaculture, many stressors can negatively affect growth in teleosts. It is believed that cortisol performs glucocorticoid and mineralocorticoid functions because teleosts do not synthesize aldosterone. However, recent data suggest that 11-deoxycorticosterone (DOC) released during stress events may be relevant to modulate the compensatory response. To understand how DOC modifies the skeletal muscle molecular response, we carried out a transcriptomic analysis. Rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss) were intraperitoneally treated with physiological doses of DOC in individuals pretreated with mifepristone (glucocorticoid receptor antagonist) or eplerenone (mineralocorticoid receptor antagonist). RNA was extracted from the skeletal muscles, and cDNA libraries were constructed from vehicle, DOC, mifepristone, mifepristone plus DOC, eplerenone, and eplerenone plus DOC groups. The RNA-seq analysis revealed 131 differentially expressed transcripts (DETs) induced by DOC with respect to the vehicle group, mainly associated with muscle contraction, sarcomere organization, and cell adhesion. In addition, a DOC versus mifepristone plus DOC analysis revealed 122 DETs related to muscle contraction, sarcomere organization, and skeletal muscle cell differentiation. In a DOC versus eplerenone plus DOC analysis, 133 DETs were associated with autophagosome assembly, circadian regulation of gene expression, and regulation of transcription from RNA pol II promoter. These analyses indicate that DOC has a relevant function in the stress response of skeletal muscles, whose action is differentially modulated by GR and MR and is complementary to cortisol.

Keywords: DOC; glucocorticoid receptor; mineralocorticoid receptor; RNA-seq; skeletal muscle

# 1. Introduction

Intensive aquaculture frequently exposes fish to a range of stressors, such as chemical, biological, and physical stressors [1]. Although the negative effects of stress can be alleviated to some degree by good aquaculture protocols, stressors are inherent in intensive farming [2]. Teleosts implement mechanisms that allows them to respond to stressors through a neuroendocrine adaptative reaction [3,4]. The neuroendocrine stress response in teleosts is similar to that in higher vertebrates and is modulated by the HPI axis (hypothalamic/pituitary/interrenal), which is in charge of coordinating the production and release of cortisol from the interrenal tissue into the circulating plasma [5,6]. Although it has been believed that the stress response axis is highly conserved in mammals and lower vertebrates, it is necessary to specify that the stress response is conserved from an anatomical or structural point of view. From a functional perspective, substantial variations exist between mammals and teleosts [7].



Citation: Zuloaga, R.; Aravena-Canales, D.; Aedo, J.E.; Osorio-Fuentealba, C.; Molina, A.; Valdés, J.A. Effect of 11-Deoxycorticosterone in the Transcriptomic Response to Stress in Rainbow Trout Skeletal Muscle. *Genes* 2023, *14*, 512. https:// doi.org/10.3390/genes14020512

Academic Editors: Antonio Figueras and Magali Rey

Received: 18 January 2023 Revised: 8 February 2023 Accepted: 14 February 2023 Published: 17 February 2023



**Copyright:** © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/).

Intracellularly, cortisol may bind with glucocorticoid receptors, GR1 and GR2, and a mineralocorticoid receptor, MR [8]. The presence of MR in evolution happened before the appearance of aldosterone synthase, in parallel with the evolution of terrestrial vertebrates [9]. Therefore, aldosterone, the principal physiological mineralocorticoid in mammals, is absent in fish. For years, it has been believed that cortisol performs glucocorticoid and mineralocorticoid functions in fish [10]. However, recent data suggest that 11-deoxycorticosterone (DOC) may play a role as an MR physiological ligand [11]. DOC is a circulating hormone that is present in teleost fish in significant amounts and modulates the activity of mineralocorticoid receptors [12]. Similar to cortisol, DOC is synthesized and secreted by interrenal cells in fish kidneys. However, the stimuli or physiological contexts that trigger its production and release into plasma are unknown. As in mammals, 21-hydroxylase is responsible for the conversion of progesterone to 11-deoxycorticosterone (DOC) in fish [13]. Some studies suggested the involvement of DOC in some stages of reproduction in a variety of teleosts [14]. In rainbow trout (O. mykiss), the role of DOC in the endocrine regulation of spermiation was determined [12]. In addition, DOC participation was shown in the activation of the final oocyte meiotic maturation and the negative modulation of sex steroid secretion [15]. A recent study revealed the upregulation of DOC levels in the plasma of confined rainbow trout, suggesting a role in the stress response [16]. All of these studies have indicated that the plasmatic levels of DOC are substantially smaller than cortisol under both basal and stress conditions [14,16,17]. Therefore, the main function of DOC and the mineralocorticoid system in teleosts remains to be established.

In this study, we perform a transcriptomic study to analyze the global response of rainbow trout skeletal muscle to DOC in individuals pretreated with specific GR and MR antagonists. Thus, we determine for the first time possible biological processes and signaling pathways modulated through glucocorticoid and mineralocorticoid receptors induced by DOC.

#### 2. Materials and Methods

#### 2.1. Experimental Protocol

Juvenile rainbow trout (15.47 g  $\pm$  0.88) were obtained from Pisciculture Rio Blanco (Pontificia Universidad Católica de Valparaiso, Valparaíso, Chile). Fish were held under a temperature of 14  $\pm$  1 °C and photoperiod conditions of L/D 12:12. Juvenile fish were fed with Skretting pellets. Fish were sedated with benzocaine (25 mg/L) and intraperitoneally injected with metyrapone (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) (1 mg/kg of fish) for one hour and then divided into different groups. Fish in the first and second groups were treated with vehicle solution (DMSO, PBS 1x) and 11-deoxycorticosterone acetate (DOC, USBiological, Salem, MA, USA), respectively, at physiological concentrations (1 mg/kg). Fish in the third and fourth groups were treated with mifepristone (RU486, Sigma-Aldrich) (1 mg/kg) and mifepristone (1 mg/kg) plus DOC (1 mg/kg), respectively. Finally, fish in the fifth and sixth groups were treated with eplerenone (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA, USA) (1 mg/kg) and eplerenone (1 mg/kg) plus DOC (1 mg/kg), respectively. Three hours after treatment, all rainbow trout (n = 24, four fish per group) were euthanized with benzocaine (Veterquimica, RM, San Bernardo, Chile) (300 mg/L). Heparinized obtained blood was centrifugated at  $5000 \times g$  for 10 min and the plasma was stored at -80 °C. Myotomal skeletal muscle was isolated from the epaxial area, frozen in liquid nitrogen for 6 h, and then stored at -80 °C.

#### 2.2. Measurement of Cortisol and Glucose in Plasma

Cortisol in plasma was measured using a Cayman cortisol kit (Cayman Chemical, Ann Arbor, MI, USA). Glucose in plasma was measured using an Abcam glucose kit (Abcam, Cambridge, UK). Both kits have been previously validated with rainbow trout [18].

#### 2.3. Measurement of 11-Deoxycorticosterone in Plasma

Plasma DOC quantification was performed by mass spectrometry as previously described [19]. Briefly, 50  $\mu$ L of plasma was mixed with 500  $\mu$ L of water and 3 mL of cyclohexane/ethyl acetate. The solution was mixed and centrifuged at  $3500 \times g$  for 10 min. The procedure was repeated on the solid phase. Both fractions were combined and drying of the solvents was performed using SpeedVac equipment. The pellet was resuspended with 100  $\mu$ L of methanol. A Quattro Ultima Platinum triple quadrupole mass spectrometer was used for LC/MS–MS analysis using a Varian Polaris C18 column (Micromass, Manchester, UK). The mobile phases used were methanol and acetic acid (0.1%). The MS parameters were standard.

## 2.4. RNA Extraction and Sequencing

Skeletal muscle RNA was obtained from all groups: vehicle, DOC, mifepristone, mifepristone plus DOC, eplerenone, and eplerenone plus DOC groups, using an EZNA<sup>®</sup> Total RNA Kit (OMEGA Bio-Tek Inc., Norcross, GA, USA). Total RNA was quantified with a Qubit RNA BR assay kit (Invitrogen, Waltham, MA, USA). RNA quality was tested with Fragment analyzer systems (Advanced Analytical Technologies, Inc., Ames, IA, USA). Samples with an RNA quality number (RQN) greater than 9 were utilized for library construction. Then, 1  $\mu$ g of RNA for each sample was used for the construction of 24 cDNA libraries using TruSeq RNA Sample Preparation kit v2 (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA). All libraries were sequenced (2  $\times$  150 bp) with the Hiseq X Illumina technology in Macrogen (Seoul, Republic of Korea).

#### 2.5. RNA Expression Analysis

All bioinformatics analyses were performed using the software CLC genomic workbench 9.0 (Qiagen, Germantown, MD, USA). Low-quality reads (Q < 20) and read lengths <50 bp were discarded from the raw data. The remaining reads were mapped onto a rainbow trout reference genome (GCA\_013265735.3) composed of 71.413 coding sequences using default parameters. Transcripts with absolute fold-change  $\geq$ 2.0 and an FDR of <0.05 were considered differentially expressed transcripts (DETs) in silico. A comparison between the vehicle and DOC groups considered potential DETs regulated by DOC. Comparisons between the DOC and mifepristone plus DOC groups, as well as the DOC and eplerenone plus DOC groups, considered potential DETs regulated by DOC and mediated by the glucocorticoid and mineralocorticoid receptors, respectively. The identification of gene IDs and DAVID GO enrichment analysis of differentially expressed transcripts were performed using a previously published approximation [20].

#### 2.6. RT-qPCR Quantification

Total RNA from skeletal muscles was extracted with Trizol (Invitrogen). RNA was quantified using Nanodrop technology (BioTek, Winooski, VT, USA) and the quality was checked in agarose gel electrophoresis. cDNA synthesis was performed using ImProm-II<sup>TM</sup> Reverse Transcription System (Promega, Madison, WI, USA). Primers were designed using PrimerQuest software (https://www.idtdna.com/pages/tools/primerquest, accessed on 13 November 2022) (Supplementary Table S1). Real-time PCR was performed using a Stratagene MX3000P qPCR system (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA, USA), using Brilliant II SYBR<sup>®</sup> master mix (Stratagene). Amplifications were performed with the following protocol: initial activation at 95 °C for 10 min, followed by 40 cycles of 30 s of denaturation at 95 °C, 30 s of annealing at 60 °C, and 30 s of elongation at 72 °C. Relative gene quantification was performed using the  $2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$  method, and the results were expressed as fold change with respect to the vehicle group using  $\beta$  actin (*act* $\beta$ ) and 40S ribosomal protein S30 (*fau*) as housekeeping genes.

#### 2.7. Statistical Analysis

Data are expressed as means  $\pm$  SE. Differences in means between groups were determined using two-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's honest significant difference test. All statistical analyses were performed using the program GraphPad Prism v.8.0 (GraphPad Software Inc., San Diego, CA, USA).

#### 3. Results

### 3.1. DOC, Cortisol, and Glucose Levels in Plasma

To analyze the transcriptomic response of rainbow trout to DOC, physiological doses of DOC (1 mg/kg) were intraperitoneally administrated. To discriminate the participation of GR and MR in this response, individuals were pretreated with mifepristone (GR antagonist) or eplerenone (MR antagonist). Plasma DOC levels increased significantly in the DOC (76  $\pm$  8 pg/mL), mifepristone plus DOC (81  $\pm$  9 pg/mL), and eplerenone plus DOC (85  $\pm$  6 pg/mL) groups compared with the vehicle (14  $\pm$  7 pg/mL), mifepristone (12  $\pm$  9 pg/mL), and eplerenone (15  $\pm$  11 pg/mL) groups after 3 h (Figure 1a). No significant differences in the plasmatic concentrations of cortisol (Figure 1b) and glucose (Figure 1c) were observed.



**Figure 1.** DOC, cortisol, and glucose quantification in plasma. DOC (**a**), cortisol (**b**), and glucose (**c**) in plasma were quantified in juvenile fish treated with vehicle, 11-deoxycorticosterone (DOC), mifepristone, eplerenone, mifepristone plus DOC, and eplerenone plus DOC. The results are expressed as means  $\pm$  SEM (n = 4 per treatment). The \*\* symbol (p < 0.01) represents significant differences.

#### 3.2. RNA-Seq and GO Enrichment Analysis

A total of 1,487,468,468 reads were achieved from the 24 cDNA libraries sequenced. The raw read sequences obtained were deposited under BioProject accession number PRJNA930332. After trimming of the reads, we obtained 1,486,321,572 high-quality reads employed for RNA-seq analysis. A total of 1,324,609,785 reads (89.12%) were mapped in rainbow trout reference genome. PCAs of the cDNA libraries are shown in Supplementary Figure S1.

In DOC treatment (vehicle vs. DOC), 131 DETs were found (Supplementary Table S2). The identified DETs were then associated with enriched GO and KEGG terms using the DAVID database. Differentially expressed transcripts were enriched in a variety of BPs (biological processes), such as sarcomere organization, muscle contraction, and cell adhesion (Figure 2). Other relevant enriched BP included the IMP biosynthetic process, response to xenobiotic stimulus, nuclear import, muscle filament sliding, insulin receptor signaling pathway, cell cycle, and positive regulation of transcription from the RNA polymerase II promoter (Figure 2 and Supplementary Table S3). For MFs (molecular functions) and CCs (cellular components), GO terms were associated with structural constituents of muscle and cytosol, respectively (Supplementary Table S3). KEGG enrichment analysis was



assigned to viral myocarditis, hypertrophic cardiomyopathy, and dilated cardiomyopathy (Supplementary Table S3).

**Figure 2.** Gene enrichment analysis of biological processes in vehicle and DOC DETs. The graph indicates the  $-\log_{10}(p$ -value) enriched BPs of differentially expressed transcripts between the vehicle and DOC groups with *p*-values < 0.05.

The comparative analysis of DOC versus mifepristone plus DOC identified 122 DETs (Supplementary Table S4). Differentially expressed transcripts were enriched in a variety of BPs (biological processes), such as muscle contraction, sarcomere organization, and skeletal muscle cell differentiation (Figure 3). Other significant enriched biological processes included protein localization to the Golgi apparatus, Wnt signaling pathway, cell cycle, positive regulation of myoblast differentiation, positive regulation of cell differentiation, muscle filament sliding, and protein autophosphorylation (Figure 3 and Supplementary Table S5). For MFs and CCs, GO terms were associated with cytosol and ATP binding, respectively (Supplementary Table S5). KEGG enrichment analysis was assigned to hepatocellular carcinoma, gastric cancer, and breast cancer (Supplementary Table S5).



**Figure 3.** Gene enrichment analysis of biological processes in DOC and mifepristone plus DOC DETs. The graph indicates the  $-\log_{10}(p$ -values) of enriched BPs of differentially expressed transcripts between the DOC and mifepristone plus DOC groups with *p*-values < 0.05.

The analysis of DOC versus eplerenone plus DOC identified 133 DETs (Supplementary Table S6). Differentially expressed transcripts were enriched in a variety of BPs, such as autophagosome assembly, circadian regulation of gene expression, and regulation of transcription from the RNA polymerase II promoter (Figure 4). Other significant biological processes included positive regulation of autophagy, muscle contraction, sarcomere organization, nuclear import, phosphatidylinositol biosynthetic process, insulin receptor signaling pathway, and autophagy (Figure 4 and Supplementary Table S7). In CCs and MFs, cytosol and RNA polymerase II core promoter proximal region sequence-specific DNA binding, respectively, were highly represented (Supplementary Table S7). In KEGG



pathways, autophagy–animal, inositol phosphate metabolism, and amyotrophic lateral sclerosis were overrepresented (Supplementary Table S7).

**Figure 4.** Gene enrichment analysis of biological processes in DOC and eplerenone plus DOC DETs. The graph indicates the  $-\log_{10}(p$ -values) of enriched BPs of differentially expressed transcripts from the DOC vs. eplerenone plus DOC groups with *p*-values < 0.05.

A Venn diagram showed that 13 DETs were shared among the vehicle versus DOC, DOC versus mifepristone plus DOC, and DOC versus eplerenone plus DOC comparisons (Figure 5), which were mainly associated with translational initiation and cell adhesion. A total of 22 DETs were common between the vehicle versus DOC and DOC versus mifepristone plus DOC comparisons and were associated with muscle contraction and cell cycle. A total of 15 DETs were common between the vehicle versus DOC and DOC versus eplerenone plus DOC comparisons, which were mainly associated with the regulation of transcription from the RNA polymerase II promoter and autophagy, while 15 DETs were common between the DOC versus eplerenone plus DOC comparison, and were mainly associated with rhythmic processes.



**Figure 5.** Venn diagram indicating the numbers of differentially expressed transcripts under the vehicle vs. DOC, DOC vs. mifepristone plus DOC, and DOC vs. eplerenone plus DOC treatments. Underlined transcripts were selected for RNA-seq validation by RT-qPCR.

#### 3.3. Validation of In Silico Data by Real-Time PCR

To confirm the in silico analysis and the differential contribution of GR and MR in enriched biological processes, we chose representative DETs from two groups: the group of transcripts with differential expressions in the vehicle versus DOC and DOC versus mifepristone plus DOC treatments (mybpc1, septin10, strada, ppp3cc, myom2, and tsc1), which were associated with muscle contraction and cell cycle, and the group of transcripts with differential expressions in the vehicle versus DOC and DOC versus eplerenone plus DOC treatments (robo2, insr, rab9a, fos, and bach2), which were associated with the regulation of the transcription from the RNA polymerase II promoter and autophagy. These genes were chosen for RT-qPCR amplification. Figure 6a shows the data of the realtime PCR validation between the vehicle and DOC groups with a Pearson's r of 0.82 (*p*-value = 0.002). Figure 6b displays the results for DOC compared with mifepristone plus DOC, which presented an elevated correlation of r = 0.875 (*p*-value = 0.004). Figure 6c reveals the validation obtained from DOC with respect to the eplerenone plus DOC treatment, which resulted in r = 0.825 (*p*-value = 0.0018).



**Figure 6.** RT-qPCR validation of differentially expressed transcripts. Transcripts selected for the RT-PCR validation of RNA-seq were *mybpc1, septin10, strada, ppp3cc, myom2, tsc1, robo2, insr, rab9a, fos,* and *bach2.* (a) Validation between vehicle and DOC; (b) validation between DOC and DOC plus mifepristone; (c) validation between DOC and DOC plus eplerenone. For RNA-seq, in purple, "#" indicates a log2 fold change  $\geq$ 2.0 and FDR <0.05. For RT-qPCR, in blue, relative expression was normalized against *fau* and *act* $\beta$ , and "\*" indicates significant differences in fold change from vehicle or DOC groups (mean ± SEM, n = 4, *p* < 0.05). Abbreviations: mybpc1, myosin-binding protein C1; strada, STE20-related kinase adapter protein  $\alpha$ ; ppp3cc, calcineurin; myom2, myomesin 2; tsc1, TSC complex subunit 1a; robo2, roundabout homolog 2; insr, insulin receptor; rab9a, ras-related protein Rab-9A; fos, proto-oncogene c-Fos; bach2, BTB domain and CNC homolog 2; fau, 40S ribosomal protein S30; act $\beta$ ,  $\beta$  actin.

#### 4. Discussion

In this work, we study the role of GR and MR in the transcriptional response of rainbow trout to DOC. The exogenous administration of DOC to rainbow trout at a concentration of 1 mg/kg reached plasma levels similar to those observed under physiological conditions. In a study carried out on rainbow trout subjected to confinement stress, a DOC peak of 50 pg/mL was detected 4 h after the start of the trial, with basal levels close to 10 pg/mL [17]. Similarly, it was described that, during rainbow trout early development, egg DOC concentrations varied over a range from 0.2 to 6.5 nM, which is equivalent to a total concentration from 4 pg/mL to 130 pg/mL [21]. In our study, plasmatic DOC reached a concentration of 76 pg/mL at 3 h after administration, with basal levels close to 14 pg/mL. As expected, we determined that DOC administration did not induce an increase in plasma cortisol levels due to pretreatment of trout with metyrapone, an inhibitor of cortisol synthesis. Metyrapone inhibits 11- $\beta$ -hydroxylase, thereby inhibiting the synthesis of cortisol from 11-deoxycortisol in the interrenal tissue [22]. Although the

ncentration could be associate

basal cortisol levels detected were low (35 ng/mL), this concentration could be associated with the manipulation of individuals during anesthesia and metyrapone administration. Interestingly, we noted that DOC administration did not induce changes in plasma glucose levels in any of the treatments. This result reaffirmed the participation of cortisol as an exclusive regulator of glucose metabolism in vertebrates. In fact, a recent work developed in sea lamprey (*Petromyzon marinus*) determined that the administration of 40  $\mu$ g/g of deoxycortisol, a glucocorticoid present in jawless vertebrates, induced a significant increase in plasmatic glucose concentration, unlike the administration of the same dose of DOC [23]. These results contrast with those observed in Eurasian perch (*Perca fluviatis*), where the administration of a DOC implant (4 mg/kg) into the intraperitoneal cavity induced a slight increase in plasma glucose levels [19]. This difference could be associated with acute and chronic effects of DOC on the energetic physiology of lower vertebrates, as well as the dose of hormone used.

Our research group recently described cortisol-mediated transcriptional changes in rainbow trout skeletal muscles [20,24]. Using a methodological approach similar to the present study, we determined that cortisol modulated the expression of transcripts associated with a broad variety of biological processes [24]. On the other hand, in the present study, we determined that DOC modulated the differential expressions of a smaller number of genes associated with a restricted group of biological processes, such as muscle contraction, sarcomere organization, the cell cycle, and cell adhesion. Interestingly, it is possible to identify common biological processes differentially regulated by both corticosteroids, such as, for example, muscle contraction and cell adhesion. Although the lists of transcripts modulated by each hormone were different, the correspondence in biological processes suggests a complementary role of DOC and cortisol in skeletal muscles. This observation has been proposed in several studies. In rainbow trout, during early development, dynamic variation in DOC levels was demonstrated [21]. These levels contrast with those described for cortisol in similar stages, as well as the expressions of the MR, GR1, and GR2 transcription factors. In addition, complementary roles of cortisol and DOC in the osmoregulation process have been described. Many of the physiological adaptation processes due to changes in salinity in water, in both the gills and the intestines, were associated with cortisol and GR [25]. It has been determined that DOC modifies the expressions of anion transport in the gills of several fish (Morone saxatilis, Oreochromis mossambicus, and Salmo salar) [18,26,27]. Similarly, the involvement of cortisol and DOC in the reproduction and sexual maturation processes in teleosts has been described. In Anguilla japonica, the in vitro cortisol treatment of testis induced DNA replication, positively regulating sperm maturation [28]. On the other hand, it was described that gonad MR expression and DOC levels increased during the spermiation, acting as negative regulators of sperm development, reducing the spermatocrit value, and thus improving sperm fluidity [13]. It was also described in the amphibious mudskipper (Periophthalmus modestus) that an intracerebroventricular injection with DOC or cortisol altered the predilection of the species from freshwater to saltwater [29]. More recently, the participation of DOC in response events to confinement stress in rainbow trout was described [17], as well as its chronic effects on immune response parameters in Eurasian perch (Perca fluviatilis), reaffirming a complementary participation to cortisol [19,30].

To distinguish the contribution of GR and MR in DOC-induced gene expression, we examined differential transcripts' expression through paired comparisons. We determined that GR had relevant participation in the modulation of DOC-induced gene expressions associated with BP such as muscle contraction, sarcomere organization, Wnt signaling pathway, and cell cycle, among others. On the other hand, MR had relevant participation in the modulation of DOC-induced gene expressions of gene expression, and muscle contraction. Interestingly, a recent study carried out in human skeletal muscle cells demonstrated that aldosterone treatment induced changes in gene expression similar to those induced by prednisolone, a GR agonist [31]. The main changes were associated with processes such as cell adhesion, the extracellular matrix, and the regulation of transcription. In the same study, combined treatments of aldosterone with

antagonists for GR (mifepristone) or MR (eplerenone) modulated changes in gene expression, mainly associated with processes such as the regulation of transcription, cell adhesion, muscle contraction, and the cell cycle [31]. These observations propose a conserved role of MR in vertebrate skeletal muscles, as well as a compensatory role of GR function in muscle development. Similar results have been obtained in experiments performed on MR and GR knockout zebrafish as a study model [32–34]. It was described that MR and GR played key roles in protein metabolism during stress, with MR participating in protein anabolism and GR in protein catabolism [34]. Furthermore, it was determined that postnatal triglyceride accretion was modulated by MR under stress [35].

To confirm the in silico differential expression, we selected those transcripts that had significant changes in the vehicle versus DOC and in the DOC versus antagonist analyses. We validated by RT-qPCR that *mybpc1*, *ppp3cc*, and *myom2* had significant and decreased expressions under DOC treatment, which was reversed in the mifepristone plus DOC treatment. *Mybpc1* encodes for myosin-binding protein C, which contributes to filament assembly and modulates the formation of actin-myosin cross-bridges during skeletal muscle contraction [36]. Myom2 encodes for Myomesin-2, also known as M-protein, which is expressed in fast skeletal muscles and helps in the three-dimensional arrangement of proteins composed of M-band structures in a sarcomere [37]. Ppp3cc encodes for calmodulindependent protein phosphatase (PP2BC), also known as calcineurin, involved in a wide variety of biologic processes, acting as a calcium-dependent regulator of phosphorylation in proteins [38]. In skeletal muscles, these three proteins play an important role during the process of skeletal muscle contraction and differentiation. These results agree with the participation of aldosterone as a negative regulator of muscle function [31,39,40], as well as previous studies by our group where an important function of GR has been assigned in the expressions of proteins associated with skeletal muscle contraction [20,24]. Conversely, we determined that DOC treatment induced overexpression of *septin10*, *strada*, and *tsc1* in skeletal muscles, a trend that was reversed in the mifepristone plus DOC treatment. *Septin10* encodes for Septin, a protein with GTPase activity present in the cytoskeleton [41]. Its biological function is associated with regulation of the cell cycle and cytokinesis [42]. *Strada*, also known as STE20-related kinase adapter protein  $\alpha$ , encodes for a protein that forms a heterotrimeric complex with STK11 and CAB39, necessary for STK11-induced G1 cell cycle arrest [43]. Therefore, its biological function is also associated with cell cycle regulation. *Tsc1*, also known as hamartin, encodes for a protein that forms a complex with tuberin (*tsc2*), both considered tumor suppressors [44]. Therefore, its biological function is also associated with cell cycle regulation. Consistent with our results, the downregulation of *septin10* expression was identified in a knockout murine model for GR, revealing its participation during control cell proliferation in respiratory development [45]. In addition, a relationship between STRADA and STK11 overexpression in glucocorticoid-induced osteoporosis was described [46].

Complementarily, it was confirmed by RT-qPCR that *robo2* and *rab9a* had increased expressions under DOC treatment, which were reversed in the eplerenone plus DOC treatment. *Robo2*, also known as roundabout homolog 2, encodes for a transmembrane protein receptor for the slit homolog 3 protein (Slit3) and acts in axon guidance and cell migration [47]. In skeletal muscles, ROBO2 and SLIT3 were shown to promote myogenic differentiation [48]. *Rab9a*, also known as Ras-related protein Rab-9A, is involved in the movement of proteins between the endosomes and the Golgi [49]. The involvement of *rab9a* in autophagy was described as a mediator of IGF-IIR-induced mitophagy in mammalian liver tissue [50]. Although there are no reports linking the participation of glucocorticoid or mineralocorticoid receptors to the expressions of *robo2* and *rab9a* genes, there are antecedents for the participation of both receptors in myogenic differentiation and autophagy in skeletal muscles [51]. Conversely, we determined that DOC treatment induced downregulation in the expressions of *insr*, *fos*, and *bach2*, which were reversed in the DOC plus eplerenone treatment. *Insr* encodes for the insulin receptor, a protein receptor with tyrosine kinase activity that mediates the pleiotropic actions of insulin [52]. In

skeletal muscles, there are various reports that link the relationship between insulin and the insulin receptor as negative regulators of autophagy [53]. Interestingly, studies indicated that aldosterone may modulate insulin receptor activity, reducing the insulin binding in skeletal muscles [54]. *Bach2* encodes for the transcription regulator protein BACH2 and is involved in coordinating transcription activation and repression by MAFK (BZIP transcription factor K). This transcription regulator has been described as an important mediator in skeletal muscle identity and reprogramming [55], as well as part of a FoxO-dependent gene network in skeletal muscles during cancer cachexia [56]. *Fos* encodes for c-Fos, a nuclear phosphoprotein that interacts with the transcription factors JUN and AP-1. C-Fos was characterized as a strategic controller in the myogenic differentiation process [57]. Interestingly, *fos* was identified as a potential aldosterone downstream target in skeletal muscles, supporting the relationship between the mineralocorticoid receptor and the biological process of transcription [58].

#### 5. Conclusions

We identified for the first time a set of genes modulated by DOC, and the role of GR and MR in the transcriptional response of rainbow trout skeletal muscle. DOC regulated the gene expression associated with muscle contraction, sarcomere organization, and cell adhesion. In the GR group, biological processes such as muscle contraction, sarcomere organization, and skeletal muscle cell differentiation were overrepresented. In the MR group, BPs were significantly enriched in autophagosome assembly, circadian regulation of gene expression, and regulation of transcription from the RNA pol II promoter. These results propose that both receptors have a differential contribution in the physiological response to DOC and support the idea that cortisol and DOC have complementary roles.

**Supplementary Materials:** The following supporting information can be downloaded at https: //www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/genes14020512/s1. Figure S1: Principal component analyses (PCAs) of cDNA libraries for the vehicle, DOC, mifepristone, eplerenone, mifepristone plus DOC, and eplerenone plus DOC groups; Table S1: List of primer sequences used in RT-PCR for RNA-seq validation; Table S2: Complete list of differentially expressed genes in vehicle vs. DOC comparison; Table S3: Enrichment of differentially expressed genes related to biological process, molecular function, cellular components, and KEGG pathways in vehicle vs. DOC comparison; Table S5: Enrichment of differentially expressed genes related to biological process, molecular function, cellular components, and KEGG pathways in DOC vs. mifepristone plus DOC comparison; Table S5: Enrichment of differentially expressed genes related to biological process, molecular function, cellular components, and KEGG pathways in DOC vs. mifepristone plus DOC comparison; Table S6: Complete list of differentially expressed genes in DOC vs. eplerenone plus DOC comparison; Table S6: Complete list of differentially expressed genes in DOC vs. eplerenone plus DOC comparison; Table S6: Complete list of differentially expressed genes related to biological process, molecular function, cellular components, and KEGG pathways in DOC vs. eplerenone plus DOC comparison; Table S7: Enrichment of differentially expressed genes related to biological process, molecular function, cellular components, and KEGG pathways in DOC vs. eplerenone plus DOC comparison; Table S7: Enrichment of differentially expressed genes related to biological process, molecular function, cellular components, and KEGG pathways in DOC vs. eplerenone plus DOC comparison.

**Author Contributions:** Conceptualization, A.M. and J.A.V.; methodology, R.Z., D.A.-C., J.E.A. and J.A.V.; software, J.A.V.; validation, R.Z.; formal analysis, R.Z. and J.A.V.; investigation, R.Z., J.E.A. and J.A.V.; resources, A.M. and J.A.V.; data curation, R.Z. and J.A.V.; writing—original draft preparation, R.Z., C.O.-F. and J.A.V.; writing—review and editing, R.Z. and J.A.V.; visualization, R.Z., A.M. and J.A.V.; supervision, J.A.V.; project administration, A.M. and J.A.V.; funding acquisition, J.A.V. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This research was funded by the Fondo Nacional de Desarrollo Científico y Tecnológico (FONDECYT) under grant numbers 1201498 (to Juan Antonio Valdés) and 3210050 (to Jorge Eduardo Aedo); the Fondo de Financiamiento de Centros de Investigación en Áreas Prioritarias (FONDAP) INCAR 15110027 grant; and the Concurso de Apoyo a Centros de Excelencia en Investigación FONDAP 2022 1522A0004 grant. Daniela Aravena-Canales acknowledges support received from ANID (Ph.D. scholarship 21220218). Rodrigo Zuloaga acknowledges support received from ANID (Ph.D. scholarship 21230070).

**Institutional Review Board Statement:** The study adhered to animal welfare procedures, and protocol was approved by the bioethical committee of Universidad Andres Bello and the National Commission for Scientific and Technological Research of the Chilean government (protocol code 012-2020).

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

**Data Availability Statement:** The raw read sequences obtained from sequencing were deposited in the Sequence Read Archive (SRA) under BioProject accession number PRJNA930332 (SRR23318096, SRR23318099, SRR23318100, SRR23318101, SRR23318097, SRR23318098). The datasets generated and analyzed during the current study are not publicly available owing to privacy or ethical restrictions but are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest. The funders had no role in the design of the study; in the collection, analyses, or interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript; or in the decision to publish the results.

#### References

- 1. Rehman, S.; Gora, A.H.; Ahmad, I.; Rasool, S.I. Stress in Aquaculture Hatcheries: Source, Impact and Mitigation. *Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. Appl. Sci.* 2017, *6*, 3030–3045. [CrossRef]
- Gaffney, L.P.; Lavery, J.M. Research Before Policy: Identifying Gaps in Salmonid Welfare Research That Require Further Study to Inform Evidence-Based Aquaculture Guidelines in Canada. *Front. Vet. Sci.* 2022, *8*, 768558. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 3. Wendelaar Bonga, S.E. The Stress Response in Fish. *Physiol. Rev.* **1997**, *77*, 591–625. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 4. Balasch, J.C.; Tort, L. Netting the Stress Responses in Fish. Front. Endocrinol. 2019, 10, 62. [CrossRef]
- Ackerman, P.A.; Forsyth, R.B.; Mazur, C.F.; Iwama, G.K. Stress Hormones and the Cellular Stress Response in Salmonids. *Fish Physiol. Biochem.* 2000, 23, 327–336. [CrossRef]
- Del Giudice, M.; Buck, C.L.; Chaby, L.E.; Gormally, B.M.; Taff, C.C.; Thawley, C.J.; Vitousek, M.N.; Wada, H. What Is Stress? A Systems Perspective. *Integr. Comp. Biol.* 2018, 58, 1019–1032. [CrossRef]
- Romero, L.M.; Gormally, B.M.G. How Truly Conserved Is the "Well-Conserved" Vertebrate Stress Response? *Integr. Comp. Biol.* 2019, 59, 273–281. [CrossRef]
- 8. Baker, M.E. Evolution of Glucocorticoid and Mineralocorticoid Responses: Go Fish. Endocrinology 2003, 144, 4223–4225. [CrossRef]
- 9. Baker, M.E.; Katsu, Y. Evolution of the Mineralocorticoid Receptor. In *Vitamins and Hormones*; Elsevier: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2019; Volume 109, pp. 17–36. ISBN 978-0-12-817782-2.
- Baker, M.E.; Funder, J.W.; Kattoula, S.R. Evolution of Hormone Selectivity in Glucocorticoid and Mineralocorticoid Receptors. J. Steroid Biochem. Mol. Biol. 2013, 137, 57–70. [CrossRef]
- 11. Sturm, A.; Bury, N.; Dengreville, L.; Fagart, J.; Flouriot, G.; Rafestin-Oblin, M.E.; Prunet, P. 11-Deoxycorticosterone Is a Potent Agonist of the Rainbow Trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) Mineralocorticoid Receptor. *Endocrinology* **2005**, *146*, 47–55. [CrossRef]
- Milla, S.; Terrien, X.; Sturm, A.; Ibrahim, F.; Giton, F.; Fiet, J.; Prunet, P.; Le Gac, F. Plasma 11-Deoxycorticosterone (DOC) and Mineralocorticoid Receptor Testicular Expression during Rainbow Trout Oncorhynchus Mykiss Spermiation: Implication with 17alpha, 20beta-Dihydroxyprogesterone on the Milt Fluidity? *Reprod. Biol. Endocrinol.* 2008, *6*, 19. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 13. Gilmour, K.M. Mineralocorticoid Receptors and Hormones: Fishing for Answers. *Endocrinology* **2005**, *146*, 44–46. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 14. Milla, S.; Wang, N.; Mandiki, S.N.M.; Kestemont, P. Corticosteroids: Friends or Foes of Teleost Fish Reproduction? *Comp. Biochem. Physiol. Part A Mol. Integr. Physiol.* **2009**, 153, 242–251. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 15. Nagahama, Y.; Yamashita, M. Regulation of Oocyte Maturation in Fish: Regulation of Oocyte Maturation in Fish. *Dev. Growth Differ.* **2008**, *50*, S195–S219. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 16. Kiilerich, P.; Servili, A.; Péron, S.; Valotaire, C.; Goardon, L.; Leguen, I.; Prunet, P. Regulation of the Corticosteroid Signalling System in Rainbow Trout HPI Axis during Confinement Stress. *Gen. Comp. Endocrinol.* **2018**, 258, 184–193. [CrossRef]
- Kiilerich, P.; Tipsmark, C.K.; Borski, R.J.; Madsen, S.S. Differential Effects of Cortisol and 11-Deoxycorticosterone on Ion Transport Protein MRNA Levels in Gills of Two Euryhaline Teleosts, Mozambique Tilapia (*Oreochromis mossambicus*) and Striped Bass (*Morone saxatilis*). J. Endocrinol. 2011, 209, 115–126. [CrossRef]
- Aedo, J.E.; Zuloaga, R.; Boltaña, S.; Molina, A.; Valdés, J.A. Membrane-Initiated Cortisol Action Modulates Early Pyruvate Dehydrogenase Kinase 2 (Pdk2) Expression in Fish Skeletal Muscle. *Comp. Biochem. Physiol. Part A: Mol. Integr. Physiol.* 2019, 233, 24–29. [CrossRef]
- Milla, S.; Massart, S.; Mathieu, C.; Wang, N.; Douny, C.; Douxfils, J.; Scippo, M.-L.; De Pauw, E.; Dieu, M.; Silvestre, F.; et al. Physiological and Proteomic Responses to Corticosteroid Treatments in Eurasian Perch, Perca Fluviatilis: Investigation of Immune-Related Parameters. *Comp. Biochem. Physiol. Part D Genom. Proteom.* 2018, 25, 86–98. [CrossRef]
- Aedo, J.E.; Zuloaga, R.; Bastias-Molina, M.; Meneses, C.; Boltaña, S.; Molina, A.; Valdes, J.A. Early Transcriptomic Responses Associated with the Membrane-Initiated Action of Cortisol in the Skeletal Muscle of Rainbow Trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). *Physiol. Genom.* 2019, 51, 596–606. [CrossRef]
- Kiilerich, P.; Geffroy, B.; Valotaire, C.; Prunet, P. Endogenous Regulation of 11-Deoxycorticosterone (DOC) and Corticosteroid Receptors (CRs) during Rainbow Trout Early Development and the Effects of Corticosteroids on Hatching. *Gen. Comp. Endocrinol.* 2018, 265, 22–30. [CrossRef]

- Pivonello, R.; Ferrigno, R.; De Martino, M.C.; Simeoli, C.; Di Paola, N.; Pivonello, C.; Barba, L.; Negri, M.; De Angelis, C.; Colao, A. Medical Treatment of Cushing's Disease: An Overview of the Current and Recent Clinical Trials. *Front. Endocrinol.* 2020, 11, 648. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 23. Shaughnessy, C.A.; McCormick, S.D. 11-Deoxycortisol Is a Stress Responsive and Gluconeogenic Hormone in a Jawless Vertebrate, the Sea Lamprey (*Petromyzon marinus*). J. Exp. Biol. 2021, 224, jeb241943. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Aedo, J.E.; Zuloaga, R.; Aravena-Canales, D.; Molina, A.; Valdés, J.A. Role of Glucocorticoid and Mineralocorticoid Receptors in Rainbow Trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) Skeletal Muscle: A Transcriptomic Perspective of Cortisol Action. *Front. Physiol.* 2023, 13, 1048008. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Takahashi, H.; Sakamoto, T. The Role of 'Mineralocorticoids' in Teleost Fish: Relative Importance of Glucocorticoid Signaling in the Osmoregulation and 'Central' Actions of Mineralocorticoid Receptor. *Gen. Comp. Endocrinol.* 2013, 181, 223–228. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Kiilerich, P.; Milla, S.; Sturm, A.; Valotaire, C.; Chevolleau, S.; Giton, F.; Terrien, X.; Fiet, J.; Fostier, A.; Debrauwer, L.; et al. Implication of the Mineralocorticoid Axis in Rainbow Trout Osmoregulation during Salinity Acclimation. *J. Endocrinol.* 2011, 209, 221–235. [CrossRef]
- Kiilerich, P.; Pedersen, S.H.; Kristiansen, K.; Madsen, S.S. Corticosteroid Regulation of Na<sup>+</sup>, K<sup>+</sup>-ATPase A1-Isoform Expression in Atlantic Salmon Gill during Smolt Development. *Gen. Comp. Endocrinol.* 2011, 170, 283–289. [CrossRef]
- Ozaki, Y.; Higuchi, M.; Miura, C.; Yamaguchi, S.; Tozawa, Y.; Miura, T. Roles of 11β-Hydroxysteroid Dehydrogenase in Fish Spermatogenesis. *Endocrinology* 2006, 147, 5139–5146. [CrossRef]
- Sakamoto, T.; Mori, C.; Minami, S.; Takahashi, H.; Abe, T.; Ojima, D.; Ogoshi, M.; Sakamoto, H. Corticosteroids Stimulate the Amphibious Behavior in Mudskipper: Potential Role of Mineralocorticoid Receptors in Teleost Fish. *Physiol. Behav.* 2011, 104, 923–928. [CrossRef]
- Mathieu, C.; Milla, S.; Mandiki, S.N.M.; Douxfils, J.; Kestemont, P. In Vivo Response of Some Immune and Endocrine Variables to LPS in Eurasian Perch (*Perca fluviatilis* L.) and Modulation of This Response by Two Corticosteroids, Cortisol and 11-Deoxycorticosterone. *Comp. Biochem. Physiol. Part A Mol. Integr. Physiol.* 2014, 167, 25–34. [CrossRef]
- Chadwick, J.A.; Hauck, J.S.; Gomez-Sanchez, C.E.; Gomez-Sanchez, E.P.; Rafael-Fortney, J.A. Gene Expression Effects of Glucocorticoid and Mineralocorticoid Receptor Agonists and Antagonists on Normal Human Skeletal Muscle. *Physiol. Genom.* 2017, 49, 277–286. [CrossRef]
- 32. Faught, E.; Vijayan, M.M. The Mineralocorticoid Receptor Is Essential for Stress Axis Regulation in Zebrafish Larvae. *Sci. Rep.* **2018**, *8*, 18081. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 33. Faught, E.; Vijayan, M.M. Loss of the Glucocorticoid Receptor in Zebrafish Improves Muscle Glucose Availability and Increases Growth. *Am. J. Physiol. Endocrinol. Metab.* **2019**, *316*, E1093–E1104. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Faught, E.; Vijayan, M.M. Glucocorticoid and Mineralocorticoid Receptor Activation Modulates Postnatal Growth. J. Endocrinol. 2020, 244, 261–271. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Faught, E.; Vijayan, M.M. Postnatal Triglyceride Accumulation Is Regulated by Mineralocorticoid Receptor Activation under Basal and Stress Conditions. J. Physiol. 2019, 597, 4927–4941. [CrossRef]
- 36. Geist, J.; Kontrogianni-Konstantopoulos, A. MYBPC1, an Emerging Myopathic Gene: What We Know and What We Need to Learn. *Front. Physiol.* **2016**, *7*, 410. [CrossRef]
- 37. Lamber, E.P.; Guicheney, P.; Pinotsis, N. The Role of the M-Band Myomesin Proteins in Muscle Integrity and Cardiac Disease. *J. Biomed. Sci.* 2022, *29*, 18. [CrossRef]
- Moradi, F.; Copeland, E.N.; Baranowski, R.W.; Scholey, A.E.; Stuart, J.A.; Fajardo, V.A. Calmodulin-Binding Proteins in Muscle: A Minireview on Nuclear Receptor Interacting Protein, Neurogranin, and Growth-Associated Protein 43. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 2020, 21, 1016. [CrossRef]
- 39. Howard, Z.M.; Gomatam, C.K.; Piepho, A.B.; Rafael-Fortney, J.A. Mineralocorticoid Receptor Signaling in the Inflammatory Skeletal Muscle Microenvironments of Muscular Dystrophy and Acute Injury. *Front. Pharmacol.* **2022**, *13*, 942660. [CrossRef]
- Lee, J.Y.; Kim, D.A.; Choi, E.; Lee, Y.S.; Park, S.J.; Kim, B.-J. Aldosterone Inhibits In Vitro Myogenesis by Increasing Intracellular Oxidative Stress via Mineralocorticoid Receptor. *Endocrinol. Metab.* 2021, 36, 865–874. [CrossRef]
- Grupp, B.; Gronemeyer, T. A Biochemical View on the Septins, a Less Known Component of the Cytoskeleton. *Biol. Chem.* 2023, 404, 1–13. [CrossRef]
- Xu, M.; Takanashi, M.; Oikawa, K.; Nishi, H.; Isaka, K.; Yoshimoto, T.; Ohyashiki, J.; Kuroda, M. Identification of a Novel Role of Septin 10 in Paclitaxel-Resistance in Cancers through a Functional Genomics Screen. *Cancer Sci.* 2012, 103, 821–827. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 43. Rajakulendran, T.; Sicheri, F. Allosteric Protein Kinase Regulation by Pseudokinases: Insights from STRAD. *Sci. Signal.* **2010**, *3*, pe8. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Gallo-Bernal, S.; Kilcoyne, A.; Gee, M.S.; Paul, E. Cystic Kidney Disease in Tuberous Sclerosis Complex: Current Knowledge and Unresolved Questions. *Pediatr. Nephrol.* 2022. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Bird, A.D.; Tan, K.H.; Olsson, P.F.; Zieba, M.; Flecknoe, S.J.; Liddicoat, D.R.; Mollard, R.; Hooper, S.B.; Cole, T.J. Identification of Glucocorticoid-Regulated Genes That Control Cell Proliferation during Murine Respiratory Development: Lung Proliferation Defects in Fetal GR-Null Mice. J. Physiol. 2007, 585, 187–201. [CrossRef]

- Xiao, J.; Li, W.; Li, G.; Tan, J.; Dong, N. STK11 Overexpression Prevents Glucocorticoid-Induced Osteoporosis via Activating the AMPK/SIRT1/PGC1α Axis. *Human Cell* 2022, 35, 1045–1059. [CrossRef]
- 47. Gu, F.; Ma, Y.; Zhang, J.; Qin, F.; Fu, L. Function of Slit/Robo Signaling in Breast Cancer. Front. Med. 2015, 9, 431–436. [CrossRef]
- 48. Cho, H.J.; Kim, H.; Lee, Y.; Moon, S.A.; Kim, J.; Kim, H.; Kim, M.J.; Yu, J.; Kim, K.; Baek, I.; et al. SLIT3 Promotes Myogenic Differentiation as a Novel Therapeutic Factor against Muscle Loss. J. Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle 2021, 12, 1724–1740. [CrossRef]
- 49. Ao, X.; Zou, L.; Wu, Y. Regulation of Autophagy by the Rab GTPase Network. *Cell Death Differ* **2014**, *21*, 348–358. [CrossRef]
- 50. Huang, C.; Kuo, W.; Ho, T.; Chiang, S.; Pai, P.; Lin, J.; Lin, D.; Kuo, C.; Huang, C. Rab9-dependent Autophagy Is Required for the IGF-IIR Triggering Mitophagy to Eliminate Damaged Mitochondria. *J. Cell Physiol.* **2018**, 233, 7080–7091. [CrossRef]
- Howard, Z.M.; Gomatam, C.K.; Rabolli, C.P.; Lowe, J.; Piepho, A.B.; Bansal, S.S.; Accornero, F.; Rafael-Fortney, J.A. Mineralocorticoid Receptor Antagonists and Glucocorticoids Differentially Affect Skeletal Muscle Inflammation and Pathology in Muscular Dystrophy. JCI Insight 2022, 7, e159875. [CrossRef]
- 52. Jia, G.; Lockette, W.; Sowers, J.R. Mineralocorticoid Receptors in the Pathogenesis of Insulin Resistance and Related Disorders: From Basic Studies to Clinical Disease. *Am. J. Physiol. Regul. Integr. Comp. Physiol.* **2021**, 320, R276–R286. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 53. Frendo-Cumbo, S.; Tokarz, V.L.; Bilan, P.J.; Brumell, J.H.; Klip, A. Communication Between Autophagy and Insulin Action: At the Crux of Insulin Action-Insulin Resistance? *Front. Cell Dev. Biol.* **2021**, *9*, 708431. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 54. Luther, J.M. Effects of Aldosterone on Insulin Sensitivity and Secretion. Steroids 2014, 91, 54–60. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 55. Ramachandran, K.; Senagolage, M.D.; Sommars, M.A.; Futtner, C.R.; Omura, Y.; Allred, A.L.; Barish, G.D. Dynamic Enhancers Control Skeletal Muscle Identity and Reprogramming. *PLoS Biol.* **2019**, *17*, e3000467. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 56. Judge, S.M.; Wu, C.-L.; Beharry, A.W.; Roberts, B.M.; Ferreira, L.F.; Kandarian, S.C.; Judge, A.R. Genome-Wide Identification of FoxO-Dependent Gene Networks in Skeletal Muscle during C26 Cancer Cachexia. *BMC Cancer* 2014, 14, 997. [CrossRef]
- 57. Mukund, K.; Subramaniam, S. Skeletal Muscle: A Review of Molecular Structure and Function, in Health and Disease. *WIREs Mech. Dis.* 2020, 12, e1462. [CrossRef]
- Chadwick, J.A.; Hauck, J.S.; Lowe, J.; Shaw, J.J.; Guttridge, D.C.; Gomez-Sanchez, C.E.; Gomez-Sanchez, E.P.; Rafael-Fortney, J.A. Mineralocorticoid Receptors Are Present in Skeletal Muscle and Represent a Potential Therapeutic Target. FASEB J. 2015, 29, 4544–4554. [CrossRef]

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.