Effect of Constitutive miR164 Expression on Plant Morphology and Fruit Development in Arabidopsis and Tomato
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Abstract: Several miRNAs are conserved in different plant families, but their abundance and target genes vary between species, organs, and stages of development. Target genes of miRNAs are mostly transcription factors, involved in the control of many plant developmental processes, including fruit development. MiR164 is a conserved miRNA, highly expressed in fruits, and is validated to target a subset of genes of the NAC-domain transcription factor gene family. The objective of this work was to analyze the phenotypic effects of the constitutive expression of miR164 during the life cycle of Arabidopsis and tomato. MiR164 overexpression (164-OE) lines for Arabidopsis and tomato were generated and analyzed during plant development. The constitutive miR164 expression showed that miR164 affected the morphology of Arabidopsis and tomato, and it affected the transition from the vegetative to the reproductive phase in Arabidopsis. Moreover, the miR164 overexpression affected the time required for each developmental stage of tomato fruit. These results suggest that miR164 plays general and specific roles during development in Arabidopsis and tomato, including fruit development, which could be exploited for the improvement of traits of agronomic interest in important species.
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1. Introduction

MicroRNAs (miRNAs) are short RNAs (~21 nt) present in eukaryotic organisms. Some miRNAs are evolutionarily conserved from basal plants to higher plants, although their abundance is variable [1–9]. High-throughput sequencing revealed the miRNA diversity of various plant species, including fruit
producing species such as tomato (Solanum lycopersicum), grape (Vitis vinifera), orange (Citrus sinensis), and papaya (Carica papaya), among others [5]. Likewise, it has been found that the expression level of these molecules varies between species, varieties, organs, etc. (e.g., [4,5,8]). miRNAs regulate gene expression in a sequence-specific manner by targeting mRNAs for cleavage or translational repression [10–12]. It has also been observed that the number of loci encoding a miRNA and targets of a miRNA vary between species [3]. Furthermore, based on miRNA overexpression and mutant studies, it has been demonstrated that miRNAs are required for many developmental processes in plants [13–21].

In this study we focused on miR164, which is a highly conserved miRNA in the plant kingdom [5]. The gene family of miR164 contains three members in Arabidopsis, MIR164A, MIR164B, and MIR164C [22,23], and two members in tomato, MIR164A and MIR164B [24]. It has been shown that miR164 negatively regulates a subset of members of the NAC transcription factor family [13,25–27]. The first attributed function to miR164 was the regulation of the Arabidopsis CUC1 (CUP-SHAPED COTYLEDON1) and CUC2 genes, which are involved in tissue differentiation [25,28–37]. Moreover, the regulation of CUC1 and CUC2 genes by miR164 is required for correct floral organ boundary formation during flower development [25,26,28]. Currently, other genes such as ORESARA1 (ORE1), NAC1, At5g07680, and At5g61430 have been validated as target genes of miR164 in Arabidopsis [13,38,39]. In tomato, GOBLET (GOB), NAC1, NM2, and NM3 are target genes of miR164 [24,34,40]. The overexpression of miR164 in Arabidopsis and tomato affects leaf and floral organ development [24,25,34], and the loss of function of the MIR164C gene results in the growth of extra petals in Arabidopsis [26]. Furthermore, it has been observed that miR164 is also involved in senescence of leaves in Arabidopsis [13,41]. In addition, interestingly, miR164 is one of the most abundant miRNAs in some fruits such as orange [42], grape [43,44], tomato [45], and prickly pear fruit (tuna) [46]. In orange, it was observed that miR164 has remarkably higher expression in fruits in comparison with other organs [42]. Moreover, miR164 accumulates to high levels during fruit ripening [42,45,46].

Therefore, in this work we studied the effect of miR164 overexpression in the reproductive phase and the process of fruit development, besides general plant development, in transgenic 35S::miR164 Arabidopsis and tomato plants. Based on our results, and based on others, we suggest that miR164 plays general and specific roles during plant development in Arabidopsis and tomato, including fruit development, which could be exploited for the improvement of traits of agronomic interest in different species.

2. Results

2.1. Characterization of miR164 Overexpression in Arabidopsis

Arabidopsis plants were transformed with a 35S::miR164 construct, and BASTA resistant T2 lines (164-OE) were used for phenotypic analyses. In general, a decrease in plant size was observed (Figure 1a). We analyzed six lines in detail. From them, five lines (line 1, 2, 3, 4, and 6) presented a clear decrease in rosette leaf serration compared with wild type (WT) plants (Figure 1b), as expected. Furthermore, to verify if the 35S::miR164 construct was functional, we analyzed the expression of the miR164 target gene CUC1 by RT-PCR and observed that in all transgenic lines CUC1 transcripts were not detected anymore (Figure 1c), demonstrating that the 35S::miR164 construct was functional. In one line, 164-OE line 5, no clear decrease in leaf serration was observed, although CUC1 downregulation was also detected in this line. Also, a clear increase of pre-miR164a in 164-OE lines of Arabidopsis was observed (Figure S1a).

Moreover, the 164-OE lines presented differences in plant architecture and fusion events (Figure 2a–d). Most of the 164-OE plants presented significantly more branches in the main stem (Figure 2a,b). On the other hand, less secondary stems were observed in young plants (Figure 2c), but in mature plants the number of secondary stems was similar compared to wild type plants (Figure 2c), suggesting that the constitutive miR164 expression may delay the production of secondary stems in
Arabidopsis plants (Figure 2c). Moreover, a delay in the transition of the vegetative to the reproductive stage was observed, which was significant in four out of six lines analyzed (Figure 2d). Another observed phenotype was the fusion of organs, which has been reported for the overexpression of miR164 and for the downregulation of its target genes [24,32,37]. We observed several degrees of organ fusion such as fusions of stems and branches, and of leaves (Figure 3a). Also an increased number of cauline leaves was observed. With respect to the degree of severity of the phenotypes, plants of each 164-OE line were grouped into the following classes: normal, light, and severe phenotype (Figure 3a,b).

Figure 1. Phenotypic and molecular analyses of 164-OE Arabidopsis lines. (a) Adult plants of different 164-OE lines; (b) Leaves of different 164-OE lines; (c) Expression analysis of the CUC1 gene in the different 164-OE lines. RT-PCR was performed on cDNA of rosette leaves of the different lines. Scale bars = 1 cm.

Figure 2. Phenotypic effects observed in the 164-OE Arabidopsis lines. (a) Plants with more severe phenotypes of 164-OE lines. Scale bar = 1 cm; (b) Quantification of branches in the main stem (WT $n = 15$, line 1 $n = 26$, line 2 $n = 21$, line 3 $n = 26$, line 4 $n = 21$, line 5 $n = 25$, line 6 $n = 22$); (c) Quantification of secondary stems at 7 (black bars), 9 (red bars), and 11 (green bars) weeks post-germination (WT $n = 17$, line 1 $n = 26$, line 2 $n = 26$, line 3 $n = 27$, line 4 $n = 21$, line 5 $n = 25$, line 6 $n = 22$); (d) Days required for the transition from the vegetative to the reproductive phase (WT $n = 17$, line 1 $n = 26$, line 2 $n = 26$, line 3 $n = 27$, line 4 $n = 21$, line 5 $n = 25$, line 6 $n = 22$). $n$, indicates the number of plants analyzed. For all cases, the means and Least Significant Difference (LSD) intervals at a confidence level of 95% were plotted. * indicates that there is a statistically significant difference.
Finally, regarding the number of fruits, although not statistically significant, a trend was observed in which plants with a higher degree of organ fusion defects presented an increased number of fruits compared to wild type plants (Figure 3c), which could have to do with altered hormone homeostasis, and this would be interesting to study in the future.

2.2. Characterization of miR164 Overexpression in Tomato

Tomato Micro-Tom (MT) Rg1 plants were transformed with a 35S::miR164 construct, the same construct as was used for Arabidopsis transformation and shown to be functional (note: the mature miR164 sequence is the same in Arabidopsis and in tomato). The phenotypic characterization in tomato was performed in the T2 generation of BASTA resistant independent transgenic lines. In general, the 164-OE tomato plants from the independent lines were smaller in size compared to wild type plants (Figure 4a and Figure S2). Furthermore, the leaves were smaller and some of them had a decrease in serrations in their leaflets (Figure 4a,b), phenotypes that have previously been reported [29,33]. The presence of the BAR gene located on the T-DNA was detected by PCR in genomic DNA of plants of the analyzed lines (Figure 4c), indicating that they are transgenic. Although, in contrast to Arabidopsis, we could not conclusively detect overexpression of pre-miR164a (Figure S1b). Still, it is likely that miR164 is more abundant compared to wild type plants because the expected leaf alterations were observed; however, future experiments detecting the abundance of the mature miRNA would be necessary to conclude.

Furthermore, we also observed other, not yet described phenotypes. In plants of two lines, 164-OE line 2 and 164-OE line 5, we observed a delay in fruit production (Figure 5a,b). The number of days needed to observe the first fruit of 3 mm in diameter was on average 48 days after germination in 164-OE line 2 and 55 days in 164-OE line 5 compared to 44 days in wild type plants (Figure 5b). Furthermore, we analyzed the number of locules, the number of fruits, fruit size, and seed number (Figure 5c–g). The number of locules was significantly increased in 164-OE lines 1, 2, and 3, and decreased in 164-OE line 5 (Figure 5c,d). A significant increase in the number of fruits was observed in 164-OE line 5 (Figure 5e). The size of the fruits by measuring the diameter was significantly altered in various lines, increased in 164-OE lines 3 and 6 (in 164-OE line 4 the increase is not significant), and decreased in 164-OE line 5.

Figure 3. Classification of phenotypes observed in 164-OE Arabidopsis lines. (a) Phenotype classification and (b) Percentage of plants observed for each phenotype; (c) Quantification of fruits in 164-OE Arabidopsis lines (WT n = 15, line 1 n = 26, line 2 n = 21, line 3 n = 26, line 4 n = 21, line 5 n = 25, line 6 n = 22). n indicates the number of plants analyzed. For all cases, the means and LSD intervals at a confidence level of 95% were plotted. Scale bars = 1 cm.

Finally, regarding the number of fruits, although not statistically significant, a trend was observed in which plants with a higher degree of organ fusion defects presented an increased number of fruits compared to wild type plants (Figure 3c), which could have to do with altered hormone homeostasis, and this would be interesting to study in the future.
Furthermore, seed number was significantly increased in 164-OE line 6, which also had larger fruits. In 164-OE line 5, a decreased number of seeds per fruit was observed (Figure 5g).

* indicates that there is a statistically significant difference. Scale bars = 1 cm.

**Figure 4.** Phenotypic and molecular analyses of 164-OE tomato lines. (a) Plants and (b) leaves of different 164-OE tomato lines. Two plants of each line are shown, the leaf presented is the third leaf; (c) Detection of the BAR gene on the T-DNA in genomic DNA from the transgenic 164-OE tomato lines by PCR. Scale bars = 1 cm.

**Figure 5.** Effect of miR164 overexpression on fruits of tomato. (a) Comparison of wild type (WT) and 164-OE line 5 plants of 50 days of age; (b) Days that the different lines required to develop the first fruit, WT n = 10, line 1 n = 5, line 2 n = 5, line 3 n = 5, line 4 n = 3, line 5 n = 3, line 6 n = 6; (c) Effect in the locule number; (d) Number of locules per fruit plotted for each line, (WT n = 215, line 1 n = 128, line 2 n = 108, line 3 n = 94, line 4 n = 33, line 5 n = 26, line 6 n = 73); (e) Fruit number; (f) Diameter of fruits; and (g) Seed number in fruits of the different 164-OE lines. n indicates the number of plants or fruits analyzed. For all cases, the means and LSD intervals at a confidence level of 95% were plotted. * indicates that there is a statistically significant difference. Scale bars = 1 cm.
We also analyzed the amount of days needed for each stage of fruit development (Figure 6). Differences were observed at certain developmental stages in the different lines, but according to ANOVA analysis the total duration of tomato fruit development was not significantly affected (Figure 6a,b). However, a significant change in the time required for some specific stages of fruit development was detected (Figure 6c). Four out of six lines (164-OE line 2, 3, 5, and 6) presented a significant decrease in the time for completion of developmental stage 2 and stage 3, which corresponds to the stages characterized by cell division and to cell expansion, respectively (Figure 6c). At the ripening stage (stage 4), the 164-OE line 4 and 164-OE line 5 fruits required more time to mature than fruits of wild type plants. Finally, at stage five, fruits of plants of 164-OE line 3 and 164-OE line 5 fruits presented a faster senescence than fruits of wild type plants, but fruits from 164-OE line 6 appeared to have the opposite effect (these remained firm for a longer time than fruits of wild type plants). These results suggest that although the overall effect is not significant, miR164 affects different stages of tomato fruit development.

Finally, we started an expression analysis of some target genes of miR164 in tomato. NAC1, NAM2, NAM 3, and GOB expression was detected by RT-PCR in wild type and 164-OE flowers. In general, the expression of all genes was still detected, but some alterations were observed (Figure 7). Less expression of NAC1 was observed in the 164-OE lines 2 and 3. Less expression of NAM2 was observed in the 164-OE lines 2, 3, 5, and 6. For NAM3, less expression was detected in the 164-OE lines 3, 5, and 6. The detected expression of GOB was increased in almost all lines, which we cannot explain well, perhaps because expression of GOB changes rapidly during flower development and our wild type flower sample was slightly shifted in time compared with the other samples. On the other hand,
positive correlations between microRNA and the expression of target genes have been observed before in tomato [45].
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Figure 7. Expression analyses of miR164 target genes in 164-OE tomato plants. (a) Relative expression of miR164 target genes based on RT-PCR data; (b) RT-PCR of miR164 target genes in 164-OE tomato plants. Flower tissue of the different lines with constitutive miR164 expression was used. * indicates that there is a statistically significant difference.

3. Discussion

3.1. Overexpression of miR164 Affects Plant and Organ Shape

The Arabidopsis and tomato 164-OE lines resulted in various morphological alterations. In general, 164-OE plants were smaller than wild type plants and the leaves of Arabidopsis and tomato 164-OE lines showed a reduction in margin serrations and were smaller. Reduction of leaf margin serration as a result of increased miR164 expression is a phenotype that has been previously reported as linked to a reduction of CUC expression [28,29,31–34]. Furthermore, fusion events were observed in different organs and tissues of Arabidopsis, phenotypes that had also been previously reported to be related to miR164 expression [25,32,34–37]. The activity of the NAM/miR164 module in the Arabidopsis leaf margin has been modeled and found to generate an alternating series of auxin maxima and minima that generates regions of higher and lower marginal growth, respectively, which are associated with serrations and fusions in leaves [47]. In eudicots, orthologous NAM transcription factors are expressed at organ margins and tissue boundaries, and their downregulation by miR164 consequently facilitates organ outgrowth and/or developmental fusion [37]. Furthermore, in tomato, some lines had a reduction in the number of locules, which coincided with a report on tomato fruits of gob-3 and Gob-4d mutants, where it was found that these mutants produced flowers with fused sepalas, and fruits with less locules or with extra carpels, respectively [34].

We observed that the phenotype severity varied between lines and within the lines, both in tomato and Arabidopsis (Figures 1–6), which likely has to do with the level of expression of miR164 in different plants. It has been reported that the different expression levels of a miRNA may cause differences in phenotype severity. For example, miR164 overexpression in Cardamine hirsuta resulted in plants with phenotypic alterations with different degrees of severity [34]. Moreover, the regulatory
network controlling shoot meristem activity is likely to have played an important role in morphological
diversification, and it has been demonstrated that miR164 modulates the activity of meristematic
tissues [48]. Thus, the difference in plant shape may be associated with the expression level of miR164.

3.2. Overexpression of miR164 Affects the Transition from the Vegetative to the Reproductive Phase

Previously it has been reported that some miRNAs (miR156 and miR172) are involved in the
transition from the vegetative to the reproductive phase [49,50]. Although various effects of miR164
have been reported previously, an effect on the time of the vegetative phase change has not been
reported to our knowledge. Interestingly, it has been demonstrated that age-dependent cell death is
delayed in ore1 mutants, and ORE1 is a target gene of miR164 [13]. Here, we found that in the case of
Arabidopsis, there exists a delay in the transition from the vegetative to the reproductive phase in the
different lines (Figure 2d). In the case of tomato, this effect was not clear; however, we observed that
some lines had a delay in the generation of their first fruit (Figure 5a,b). In summary, the data suggest
that miR164 also affects phase changes in plants.

3.3. Overexpression of miR164 Affects the Time Required for Each Stage of Tomato Fruit Development

MiR164 functions in the regulation of age-dependent cell death in leaves through changes in
its expression level over the life span of the leaves [13]. The NAM/miR164 module is involved in
leaf, carpel, and ovule development in model angiosperms [29,31,37,51,52]. In a previous study in the
fruit of the prickly pear cactus, we found that miR164 is present in all developmental stages, and the
temporal expression suggests that miR164 has different functions during fruit development of prickly
pear fruit [46]. The results obtained in this study (Figure 6) showed that the time required for stages of
fruit development was affected to different extents in the 164-OE lines, suggesting that miR164 can
affect tomato fruit development.

We analyzed the expression of some miR164 target genes. In the Arabidopsis 164-OE lines,
we found a clear down-regulation of CUC1 expression in all lines. In tomato, we found down-regulation
of various target genes in different 164-OE lines, but we observed variation among the lines.
We detected overexpression of the pre-miR164a in Arabidopsis, but in tomato it was not conclusive.
Perhaps in tomato the miRNA processing could be different than in Arabidopsis, and at the moment
we can only speculate that the variation of phenotypes may be caused by differences in abundance of
mature miR164. Nevertheless, we observed an increase in GOB expression, i.e., a positive correlation
between miRNA and the target gene, and not the normal negative correlation. Interestingly, in wild
type tomato plants GOB and miR164 are expressed in complementary domains, in contrast to
Arabidopsis [34]. Positive correlations between microRNA and expression of target genes has been
observed before in tomato, leading to the suggestion that mutual exclusion could be as widespread
as temporal regulation [45]. Furthermore, the correlation may also change during development [45].
In summary, we cannot currently pinpoint which target genes are responsible for the observed
phenotypes; however, the results suggest that miR164 causes the observed phenotypes.

4. Materials and Methods

4.1. Plant Growth and Plant Material

Arabidopsis thaliana ecotype Columbia-0 (Col-0) plants were grown under greenhouse conditions
with natural light (in autumn; short-days). This was done in a mixture of sunshine, perlite,
and vermiculite in relation 3:1:1, respectively. Tomato (Solanum lycopersicum) Micro-Tom (MT) Rg1
genotype plants [53] were grown under greenhouse conditions with natural light (in summer; long
days) in a mixture of leaf soil, soil, sand, and perlite in a ratio of 3:1:1:1, respectively. The tissues were
collected, frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at −80 °C until further use.
4.2. Vector Construction

A fragment of 291 nucleotides containing the pre-miR164a hairpin structure was amplified by PCR from Arabidopsis thaliana cDNA using oligonucleotides miR164-Fw (5'-TGC GTG TGA GCT AGT CTT CC-3') and miR164-Rv (5'-CAA CGA AGA GCT AGT CAA GAA CAA-3'). The fragment was subcloned in the vector pENTR/D-TOPO (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) and sequence verified by sequencing, followed by recombining with the plant binary vector pB7WG2D (containing the 35S promoter, to generate the overexpression of miR164).

4.3. Arabidopsis Transformation

For transformation and selection of Arabidopsis transgenic lines, the plants were transformed using the floral-dip method [54] and T1 transformants were identified through BASTA selection on soil (solution of 300 µM of BASTA) and, at maturity, seeds were collected. Arabidopsis T2 seeds were germinated and the transgenic lines were selected again with the BASTA herbicide. Thirty T2 plants of each 164-OE line were used for subsequent analyses. Plants could still be segregating for the T-DNA.

4.4. Tomato Transformation

Tomato seeds MT-Rg1 were sterilized with 20% commercial bleach (v/v). Seeds were germinated on MS medium (4.45 g/L of MS salts, 6 g/L of agar, 15 g/L of saccharose) for ten days. Then, cotyledon explants were cut into three parts and placed in a liquid MS medium (4.45 g/L of MS salts, 15 g/L of saccharose) (diluted 1:10). Agrobacterium tumefaciens containing the 35S::miR164 construct was grown in LB medium with the appropriate antibiotics (spectinomycin and rifampicin) to an O.D.₆₀₀ of 0.2–0.3, and subsequently the culture was diluted 1:10 with MS medium containing 100 µM acetosyringone and added to the explants for 20 min at room temperature. Subsequently, the bacteria were removed and the explants were transferred to a co-culture medium (solid MS medium with 3 MM paper on the agar surface) to remove the excess bacteria. The explants were transferred to plates with solid MS medium and covered with aluminum foil and incubated for 24–48 h at 25 °C. Next, the explants were transferred to shoot induction medium (0.5× MS and with complete vitamins, appropriate agent of selection (BASTA), 0.025 g/L of meropenem (or claforan/cefotaxime), and 2 mg/L of zeatin), and incubated for four to six weeks (during this period various transfers were done to fresh shoot induction medium to prevent/stop bacterial growth) at 25 °C with 16 h photoperiod light and 8 h dark. When shoots were observed, they were transferred to elongation medium (shoot induction medium with 0.3 mg/L of GA3). After two to three weeks when shoots had long stems, they were cut and transferred to rooting medium (solid MS medium, 0.04 µM of NAA) with selection agent against bacteria (meropenem). After that roots appeared (two or three weeks later), plantlets were carefully transferred to soil. For the acclimation of the plantlets, pots were covered with glass or plastic bags for three days, and then they were uncovered for 2 or 3 h every day. Subsequently, shoots were covered for two days, and, finally, shoots were totally uncovered. Tomato fruits were collected from independent T1 plants that were resistant to the BASTA herbicide. Seeds from the T1 fruits were selected with the BASTA herbicide, generating T2 plants for the different lines, which were used for further analyses. Plants could still be segregating for the T-DNA.

4.5. DNA Extraction and PCR

For genomic DNA extraction, the samples (around 20 mg of tomato leaf) were ground to a fine powder in a mortar with liquid nitrogen. To the powder, 250 µL of Shorty Buffer (2 M Tris/HCl pH 9.0, 4 M LiCl, 25 mM EDTA, 1% SDS) was added and the samples were centrifuged for 10 min at 15,000 rpm. Subsequently, 175 µL of supernatant was transferred to a new tube, and 175 µL of isopropanol was added and mixed by inversion, followed by incubation at room temperature by 5 min, followed by centrifugation for 15 min at 15,000 rpm. The supernatant was discarded and the pellet was dried, and finally the pellet was resuspended in 100 µL of TE pH 8.0. For PCR analysis, the following
oligonucleotides were used to amplify the BAR gene: BAR-Fw 5′-TCT GCA CCA TCG TCA ACC ACT ACA-3′ and BAR-Rv 5′-GCA GCC CGA TGA CAG CGA CCAC-3′.

4.6. RNA Extraction and RT-PCR

RNA extraction was performed using the Trizol method (Invitrogen), according to the manual. Briefly, 1 mL of Trizol solution was added to 100 mg of tissue, the samples were vortexed for 30 s and incubated at 30 °C (10 min for Arabidopsis, and 5 min at room temperature for tomato). Next, 200 µL of chloroform isoamyl alcohol (24:1) was added to each sample and vigorously mixed for 15 s, and incubated at room temperature for 3 min. Subsequently, centrifuged at 12,000 rpm for 15 min at 4 °C, and the supernatant was recovered and 500 µL of isopropanol was added (additionally, 150 µL of sodium citrate (0.8 M) and 150 µL of sodium chloride (1.2 M) were added to the tomato samples), and incubated at room temperature for 10 min. Subsequently, the sample was centrifuged at 12,000 rpm for 10 min at 4 °C, the supernatant was discarded, and the pellet washed with 1 mL of cold 75% ethanol, vortexed for 10 seconds, and centrifuged at 7500 rpm for 5 min at 4 °C, and the supernatant was discarded. Finally, the pellet with RNA was air dried and resuspended in sterile MQ water. For the tomato RNA samples an extra purification step was required so 50 µL of LiCl (8 M) was added and gently mixed; the samples were then incubated overnight at 4 °C. The next day, the samples were centrifuged at 10,000 rpm for 15 min at 4 °C, the supernatant was discarded, and the pellet was resuspended in 100 µL of water. Then, the RNA was precipitated by adding 10 µL of sodium acetate (3 M) pH 5.2 and 250 µL of cold 100% ethanol. The samples were mixed by inversion and placed at −20 °C for 2 h. Subsequently, the samples were centrifuged at 12,000 rpm for 15 min at 4 °C, and the supernatant was discarded. The pellet was washed with 1 mL of 70% ethanol and centrifuged at 7500 rpm for 5 min at 4 °C. Finally, the pellet was dried and resuspended in 20 µL of MQ water. The concentration and purity of RNA were analyzed in a NanoDrop spectrophotometer (NanoDrop Technologies, Wilmington, DE, USA), and the samples were analyzed by gel electrophoresis (1% agarose gel in 0.5× TBE buffer and stained with 0.001% ethidium bromide). For RT-PCR, RNA from Arabidopsis leaves and flowers of one or two days post-anthesis from tomato was used. The cDNA was obtained using the M-MLV reverse transcriptase enzyme (Invitrogen) according to the manual. 1 µL of cDNA was used for RT-PCR analysis. The PCR conditions were 94 °C for 3 min; 35 cycles of 94 °C for 30 s, an annealing temperature of 53.7 °C for GOBLET, 53.6 °C for NAC1, 53.9 °C for NAM2, and 64 °C for pre-miR164a for 30 s; and 30 s of extension at 72 °C, and finally 4 min at 72 °C. For ACTIN, 30 cycles and an annealing temperature of 61 °C was used. Expression of pre-miR164a was analyzed using oligonucleotides miR164-Fw (5′-TGC GTG TGA GCT AGT CTT CC-3′) and miR164-Rv (5′-CAA CGA AGA GCT AGT CAA GAA CAA-3′).

4.7. Phenotypic and Molecular Characterization

The phenotypes of each plant of the different lines were observed during development and compared with wild type (WT) plants. For the phenotypic characterization of Arabidopsis, secondary stems, the number of branches in the main stem, and the fruit number were analyzed. For the molecular characterization of Arabidopsis, the expression level of CUC1 (one target gene of miR164 in Arabidopsis) and pre-miR164a was analyzed by RT-PCR. For phenotypic analyses of tomato plants, at least 15 plants of six 164-OE transgenic lines were analyzed versus WT plants. In tomato, the parameters evaluated were size and serrations of third fully developed leaf from the basal part, the time taken to generate the first fruit, the number of fruits, fruit size, seed number, number of locules per fruit, and the number of days taken to change at one stage of development to another. The plant area of tomato was measured using the ImageJ program (National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA). The expression level of GOBLET, NAC1, NAM2, and NAM3 (target genes of miR164 in tomato), and pre-miR164a was analyzed in tomato flowers by RT-PCR.
4.8. Statistical Analysis

The data obtained from the phenotypic analyses of both Arabidopsis and tomato were analyzed using the Stat graphics program (Statpoint Technologies, Inc., The Plains, VA, USA), in which variance analysis (ANOVA) is applied to each data set of the parameters valued, followed by a multiple range test when the ANOVA detects significant differences (alpha = 0.05) between averages. The test used was Least Significant Difference (LSD)—the least significant difference at a confidence level of 95%. In addition, graphs of averages were generated with intervals based on the LSD test at 95%, which are built by the program so that if two means are equal the intervals overlap 95% of times. In cases where the test detected a statistically significant difference ($p < 0.05$) of the different lines evaluated versus WT plants, an asterisk (*) was placed.

5. Conclusions

The results obtained in this study demonstrate that the constitutive miR164 expression affects the morphology of Arabidopsis and tomato, and it affects the transition from the vegetative to the reproductive phase in Arabidopsis. Moreover, the miR164 overexpression affects the time required for each developmental stage of tomato fruit. At the moment, we cannot conclude which target genes of miR164 cause the phenotypes.

The abundance of a miRNA in a plant is probably critical in the determination of the desirable characteristic(s) in each species. Therefore, it is necessary to carry out detailed studies to determine the ideal expression level of each miRNA. Moreover, it is necessary to perform a precise characterization of each line, in order to know the effect of the concentration between the miRNA and its target genes. In this case, follow-up studies should be performed to analyze the abundance of the mature miR164 and the correlation with its target genes in the 164-OE lines, as well as the analyses of all target genes of miR164, to give a better interpretation of the obtained results with the purpose of improving fruit development and quality. Based on our results using miR164, and based on other studies, controlling the abundance of a miRNA is a good strategy for developing biotechnological applications for the improvement of agronomically interesting traits.

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at www.mdpi.com/2073-4395/7/3/48/s1, Figure S1: Expression analyses of pre-miR164a in Arabidopsis and tomato 164-OE lines, Figure S2: Plant area of different 164-OE tomato lines, Table S1: Oligonucleotides used to amplify a fragment of different miR164 target genes.
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