

Supplementary Information for Publication

Sustainable Agriculture Practices: Utilizing Composted Sludge Fertilizer for Improved Crop Yield and Soil Health

Lijun Li 1, 2, He Li 3, Lihong Tong 4 and Yizhong Lv 1 *

- 1 Department of Soil and Water Sciences, College of Land Science and Technology, China Agricultural University, Beijing 100193, China; lilijun@caas.cn
 - 2 Organic Recycling Institute (Suzhou) , Wuzhong District, Suzhou 215128, China
 - 3 Xinjiang Huiguang Optoelectronic Technology Limited Company, Korla 841000, China; lihe@orica.cn
 - 4 State Key Laboratory of Hydrosience and Engineering, Department of Hydraulic Engineering, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China; tonglihong@mail.tsinghua.edu.cn
- * Correspondence: lyz@cau.edu.cn; Tel.: +86-010-62731431

Number of pages: 5

Number of tables: 4

Contents

Table S1 The membership degree of the evaluation alternatives

Table S2 Load count of different soil indicators

Table S3 Different weights of evaluation indexes

Table S4 Soil health comprehensive evaluation index in different fertilizer treatments

Table S1 The membership degree of the evaluation alternatives

Treatment	Soil bulk density	Field capacity	Soil moisture content	Soil porosity	pH	Eh	CEC	SOC	MWD	GMD	D
CK	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.08	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.54	0.96	0.00
CF	1.00	1.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.29	1.00	0.42	0.00	0.00	1.00
WS	0.49	0.51	0.84	0.49	0.86	0.60	0.54	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.90
WD	0.61	0.98	0.49	0.61	0.00	1.00	0.62	0.55	0.63	0.79	0.76
Treatment	TN	TP	TK	AP	AK	C/N	Active carbon	Slow carbon	Resistant carbon	Microbial quotient	SMBN
CK	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.16	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.62
CF	0.36	0.64	0.46	0.46	0.00	0.25	0.22	0.21	0.09	0.75	0.00
WS	0.82	0.64	0.59	0.41	1.00	0.37	0.57	1.00	0.39	1.00	1.00
WD	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.77	1.00	1.00	0.39	1.00	0.71	0.03
Treatment	Catalase	SAC	DEH	URE	ALP	Gene copy number					
CK	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.21	0.00					
CF	1.00	0.07	1.00	1.00	0.39	0.83					
WS	0.75	0.41	0.36	0.62	0.00	1.00					
WD	0.00	1.00	0.84	0.62	1.00	0.31					

Note: Soil total carbon was represented by SOC (g/kg). Mean weight diameter was represented by MWD. Mean geometric diameter was represented by GMD. Fractal dimension was represented by D. Soil total nitrogen was represented by TN (g/kg). Soil total phosphorus was represented by TP (g/kg). Soil total potassium was represented by TK (g/kg). Soil available phosphorus was represented by AP (g/kg). Soil available potassium was represented by AK (g/kg). Alkali phosphatase activity was represented by ALP (Phenol mg/g). Invertase activity was

represented by SAC (Glucose mg/g). Soil dehydrogenase activity was represented by DEH (TPF ug/g). Urease activity was represented by URE (NH₄⁺-N, mg/g). Soil microbial nitrogen was represented by SMBN (mg/kg).

Table S2 Load count of different soil indicators

Soil indicators	First principal component	Second principal component	Third principal component	Fourth principal component	Fifth principal component
Soil bulk density	0.918	0.212	0.281	0.174	-0.062
Field capacity	0.879	0.125	0.314	0.333	0.047
Soil moisture content	0.739	0.514	0.340	-0.090	-0.257
Soil porosity	0.918	0.212	0.281	0.174	-0.062
Eh	-0.080	0.583	0.062	0.654	-0.471
pH	0.385	0.914	-0.061	-0.057	-0.095
CEC	0.818	0.463	-0.259	0.219	-0.037
SOC	0.911	-0.004	0.205	-0.207	-0.292
MWD	0.555	-0.654	0.469	0.185	0.099
GMD	0.546	-0.637	0.494	0.217	0.068
D	0.472	-0.616	0.537	0.309	-0.118
TN	0.962	-0.149	-0.114	0.121	-0.156
TP	0.897	-0.090	-0.178	-0.010	-0.395
TK	0.788	-0.465	-0.373	-0.153	0.021
AP	0.726	-0.164	-0.501	-0.053	0.439
AK	0.987	-0.006	-0.102	-0.099	-0.078
C/N	0.494	0.192	0.471	-0.632	-0.313
Active carbon	0.927	-0.298	0.014	-0.028	0.226
Slow carbon	0.964	-0.178	0.072	-0.119	-0.140

Resistant carbon	0.963	-0.022	-0.149	-0.196	0.106
Microbial quotient	0.358	0.903	0.074	0.020	0.226
SMBN	0.645	0.251	-0.544	0.472	-0.043
Catalase	-0.097	0.966	0.063	0.059	0.223
SAC	0.883	0.118	0.018	-0.015	0.454
DEH	-0.256	0.003	0.660	0.573	0.412
URE	0.305	0.784	0.092	-0.502	0.179
ALP	0.851	-0.245	-0.283	0.246	0.275
Gene copy number	0.992	0.012	0.059	-0.105	0.025

Note: Soil total carbon was represented by SOC (g/kg). Mean weight diameter was represented by MWD. Mean geometric diameter was represented by GMD. Fractal dimension was represented by D. Soil total nitrogen was represented by TN (g/kg). Soil total phosphorus was represented by TP (g/kg). Soil total potassium was represented by TK (g/kg). Soil available phosphorus was represented by AP (g/kg). Soil available potassium was represented by AK (g/kg). Alkali phosphatase activity was represented by ALP (Phenol mg/g). Invertase activity was represented by SAC (Glucose mg/g). Soil dehydrogenase activity was represented by DEH (TPF ug/g). Urease activity was represented by URE (NH₄⁺-N, mg/g). Soil microbial nitrogen was represented by SMBN (mg/kg).

Table S3 Different weights of evaluation indexes

Soil indicators	Weight	Soil indicators	Weight
Soil bulk density	0.0471	Active carbon	0.0315
Field capacity	0.0477	Slow carbon	0.0310
Soil moisture content	0.0420	Resistant carbon	0.0329
Soil porosity	0.0471	Microbial quotient	0.0393
Eh	0.0158	SMBN	0.0287
pH	0.0335	Catalase	0.0235
CEC	0.0413	SAC	0.0425
SOC	0.0321	DEH	0.0142
MWD	0.0177	URE	0.0264
GMD	0.0183	ALP	0.0296
D	0.0158	Gene copy number	0.0386
TN	0.0320		
TP	0.0251		
TK	0.0116		
AP	0.0206		
AK	0.0342		
C/N	0.0182		

Note: Soil total carbon was represented by SOC (g/kg). Mean weight diameter was represented by MWD. Mean geometric diameter was represented by GMD. Fractal dimension was represented by D. Soil total nitrogen was represented by TN (g/kg). Soil total phosphorus was represented by TP (g/kg). Soil total potassium was represented by TK (g/kg). Soil available phosphorus was represented by AP (g/kg). Soil available potassium was represented by AK (g/kg).

TableS4 Soil health comprehensive evaluation index in different fertilizer treatments

Treatment	CK	CF	WS	WD
SHI	0.06	0.45	0.71	0.79