



# Article Impact of PGPR Formulations Combined with Exogenous IBA Levels to Enhance Root Capacity in Poinsettia Cuttings

Fazilet Parlakova Karagöz 匝

Department of Horticulture, Faculty of Agriculture, Atatürk University, TR-25240 Erzurum, Turkey; f.parlakova@atauni.edu.tr; Tel.: +90-0-442-231-1489

Abstract: The commercial production of poinsettias begins with the propagation of apical cuttings from stock plants. The aim of the study was to use bacterial mixtures prepared with PGPRs in the cultivation of stock mother poinsettia plants and determine an effective IBA dose to increase root development and root yield of cuttings prepared from these stock mother plants. Rooted cuttings of Euphorbia pulcherrima Willd.ex Klotzsch were used for planting stocks of mother plants. Eight different bacterial isolates were mixed in triple combinations to form 4 different bacterial formulations: (BI) formulation 1 (Paenibacillus polymyxa TV-12E + Pseudomonas putida TV-42A + Pantoea agglomerans RK-79); (BII) formulation 2 (Bacillus megaterium TV-91C + Pantoea agglomerans RK-92 + Bacillus subtilis TV-17C); (BIII) formulation 3 (Bacillus megaterium TV-91C + Pantoea agglomerans RK-92 + Kluyvera cryocrescens TV-113C); and (BIV) formulation 4 (Bacillus megaterium TV-91C + Pantoea agglomerans RK-79 + Bacillus megaterium TV-6D). In the first year, rooted cuttings of stock mother plants were dipped in liquid microbial solution (bacterial formulations) for 15 min and then planted in plastic pots. In the second year, the same bacterial formulations were freshly prepared and applied to the 1-year-old stock mother plants as irrigation water for the second time. Amounts of 0 (control), 1000, 1500, and 2000 mg  $L^{-1}$  IBA doses were used for rooting cuttings taken from the 2-year-old stock mother plants. In the experimental group treated with the BI bacterial formulation, the number of rooted cuttings (NR) increased by 14.26% and 19.00%, compared with the control, in response to IBA 1500 mg  $L^{-1}$  and IBA 2000 mg  $L^{-1}$  treatment, respectively. Compared to the mean root length of the cuttings in the control treatment, the mean root length of the cuttings in the BIV treatment increased by 18.83%. The mean root length values decreased with the increase in IBA doses. The highest value of the number of mean shoots (NAS) was in cuttings treated with BI + 1500 treatment, which was 1.93 times higher than the control. The highest nitrogen content (5.73%) was determined in the bract leaf samples of the BIV application, and an 81.33% nitrogen increase was detected in the related application when compared to the control. In terms of P, Ca, and Fe contents, higher values were obtained from the BIV application when compared to the control application. The highest values of K content were determined in BIII and BII applications. This study provides positive effects on the feedback of stock mother plants with PGPR to provide sprout production by cuttings technique. It has been revealed that lower IBA dose applications can be recommended for rooting cuttings taken from mother plants treated with the BIV bacterial formulation.

**Keywords:** *Euphorbia pulcherrima* Willd.ex Klotzsch; bacterial formulation; stock mother plant; cutting rooting; indole 3-butyric acid; plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria

## 1. Introduction

Ornamental plants have nowadays gained importance not only for their aesthetic and social values but also for their economic contribution. Production and trade of these products make an important contribution to the national economies of developed countries. Poinsettia (*Euphorbia pulcherrima* Wild.) (in the *Euphorbiaceae* family) is one of the most expensive and high-volume crops in the floriculture industry [1]. Although this plant originates from Central America, it is one of the most widely grown shrubs worldwide.



Citation: Parlakova Karagöz, F. Impact of PGPR Formulations Combined with Exogenous IBA Levels to Enhance Root Capacity in Poinsettia Cuttings. *Agronomy* **2023**, *13*, 878. https://doi.org/10.3390/ agronomy13030878

Academic Editors: Othmane Merah and Wanting Ling

Received: 3 February 2023 Revised: 11 March 2023 Accepted: 14 March 2023 Published: 16 March 2023



**Copyright:** © 2023 by the author. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). Poinsettia has brightly colored bracts ranging from red, scarlet, and red to yellow and white. The fact that the gorgeous bracts of the poinsettia stay fresh and intact for three to four months [2] contributes to its demand as an ornamental plant in the global market as well as in the internal market [3].

Many horticultural plants, especially ornamental plants, are propagated by vegetative means for commercial purposes. Commercial production of poinsettias begins with the propagation of apical cuttings from stock plants. One of the important problems encountered in the propagation of commercial poinsettia by cuttings is the high loss rate in cutting rooting [4]. The genetic, physiological, and biochemical characteristics of the stock mother plants from which the cuttings are derived are one of the main causes of the high loss rate in cutting rooting [3,5,6]. The level of rooting of cuttings is closely tied to the proper management of light and temperature in the environment where rooting will occur, as well as the water and fertilizer resources employed [7]. There are research results indicating that cuttings are better rooted as a result of fertilization and plant nutrition programs performed on the stock mother plants [8–10].

The initial carbohydrate content of the cutting should be sufficient to provide energy reserves during the rooting period [11,12]. According to Ahkami et al. [13], early carbohydrate accumulation at the rooting zone is an important metabolic event for adventitious root formation in *Petunia hybrid*. Similarly, higher soluble sugar and starch at the rooting site were associated with a higher rooting response in *Tectona grandis* cuttings [14].

The other factors affecting the rooting performance of plants in propagation by cuttings are the type of cuttings, the rooting medium, and the type and concentration of hormones used [3,15,16]. Indole 3-butyric acid (IBA), one of the rooting-promoting hormones, plays a key role in both root and shoot development. Among the different auxin types, IBA draws attention due to its higher chemical stability and slow transport in the plant system [17,18]. Nordström et al. [19] and Štefančič et al. [20] found that IBA levels remained higher than those of IAA and that IBA had higher stability in rooting solution than IAA. The effects of the type and concentration of auxin hormone on the cuttings of poinsettia have been studied in previous studies [3,4,21–24].

In recent years, it has been reported that plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) can be achieved [25,26]. Plant nutrient balance can be achieved with the use of nitrogen fixers in a mixture with phosphate solvents as a biological fertilizer, and soil pathogens can be better controlled [27]. There are few studies on the use of PGPR in the rooting of poinsettia: The effects of treatment with IAA-producing rhizobacteria [28] and the use of PGPR (plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria) for the treatment of root diseases [29] on cuttings were examined. However, after the use of PGPRs as a biofertilizer in stock mother plant nutrition, no research was found in which the rooting performance of cuttings taken from these plants was examined. The effects of PGPRs, which are known to have positive effects on plant growth and development [30], and the use of stock mother plant nutrition on cutting rooting are important research topics. Therefore, the objectives of this research were to: (1) evaluate the rooting status of apical cuttings taken from stock mother plants grown using different bacterial formulations under greenhouse conditions; and (2) investigate the effects of different doses of IBA applied on the rooting success of poinsettia cuttings and the relationship between bacterial formulation and feeding. The study's findings are anticipated to be very instructive and useful for nurseries, particularly those that cultivate poinsettias from rooted cuttings.

#### 2. Materials and Methods

#### 2.1. Description of the Study Area

The study was conducted in a greenhouse in Erzurum, Turkey. The cultivation of stock mother plants and the rooting of cuttings were carried out in the same greenhouse. The greenhouse had heating and ventilation set points of 27 and 22 °C throughout the day and night, respectively, and the average relative humidity was 70–75% (Figure 1). Curtains (55% reduction in photosynthetic photon flux, PPF) were used to shade the plants.



**Figure 1.** Stock mother plants (**a**); preparation of apical cuttings (**b**); soaking the bottom of the cuttings in perlite powder (**c**); soaking the bottom of the cuttings in IBA solutions (**d**); planting of cuttings in perlite medium (**e**,**f**).

## 2.2. Experimental Materials and Propagation Procedure

Stock mother plants: Poinsettia rooted cuttings (*Euphorbia pulcherrima* Willd.ex Klotzsch cv. Christmas Feelings) used for planting stock mother plants were obtained from a private company. The cultivation medium was prepared by mixing peat and pumice (diameter: 3–7 mm) as the volume in a ratio of 2:1 (Figure 1a). The main components of the peat (Peat Klasmann TS1 (Peat Moss)) used are decomposed white sphagnum peat and frozen black sphagnum peat, EC; 40 mS m<sup>-1</sup> (+/-25%), pH value (H<sub>2</sub>O); 5.5–6.5 and nutrient content (NPK fertilizer 14-10-18) 1.5 kg m<sup>-3</sup> and sterile. The pumice used has the characteristics of 45–90% porosity, water absorption of 30–70 wt%, pH value of 7.0–7.3, and a water-soluble matter amount (wt%)  $\leq 0.15$ .

Bacterial strains used in the study: All of the bacterial strains used in this study were obtained from the culture collection unit in the Department of Plant Protection, Faculty of Agriculture at Atatürk University (Table 1). The bacterial strains had been isolated from the rhizosphere of cultivated plants or wild plants growing in the Eastern Anatolia Region of Turkey by Kotan et al. [31]. Based on the results of previous studies and some biochemical test results [32–35], bacterial strains found to have the best nitrogen fixation and phosphorus solubilizing properties were selected for use in the experiment. Some biochemical characteristics of the bacterial strains used in this experiment, the location where they were isolated, and the host plants were shown in Table 1.

Isolate No	MIS Diagnosis Result	SIM	Location (in Turkey)	Host	Nitrogen	Phosphate
RK-79	Pantoea agglomerans	0.762	Erzurum	Apple	+	+
TV-12E	Paenibacillus polymyxa	0.551	Van	Poaceae	S+	+
TV-17C	Bacillus subtilis	0.677	Van	Raspberry	S	W+
TV-6D	Bacillus megaterium	0.750	Van	Poaceae	+	+
TV-42A *	Pseudomonas putida	0.113	Van	Poaceae	W+	W+
TV-91C	Bacillus megaterium	0.474	Van	Poaceae	+	W+
TV-113C	Kluyvera cryocrescens	0.688	Van	Garlic	+	+
RK-92	Pantoea agglomerans	0.889	Erzurum	Pear	+	S

Fabl	e 1.	Bacterial	isolates	used in	the stud	ly and	some l	biocl	hemical	pro	perties	of t	hem	36	
------	------	-----------	----------	---------	----------	--------	--------	-------	---------	-----	---------	------	-----	----	--

(SIM—similarity index; S—strong +, strong nitrogen fixation property; W—wWeak +, weak nitrogen fixation property; +—positive) \*: This isolate produces a siderophore.

Preparation of bacterial solutions: The growth and development of bacterial strains and checking the purity of bacterial strains were carried out using the methods of Turan et al. [37], Parlakova Karagöz et al. [38], and Kaymak et al. [39]. Rhizobacteria isolates were chosen based on differences in colony morphology, including elevation and colony form. A loop full of bacterial culture from the growing fresh cultures was taken from the surface of the agar medium and inoculated into the liquid nutrient medium containing Nutrient Broth (NB), which was prepared in the fermenter and sterilized in an autoclave at 121 °C for 20 min. These cultures were grown in a horizontal shaker incubator for 24 h at 26 °C [38]. The preparation of bacterial formulations was carried out using the methods of Parlakova Karagöz et al. [38] and Kaymak et al. [39]. Each bacterial isolate was prepared separately in the carrier liquid at 250 mL. The carrier liquid contains water, various organic substances (seaweed, whey, and herbal extracts), and various substances (carboxymethylcellülose, calcium carbonate, glycerin, magnesium sulfate) that protect and homogenize the bacterial isolate in its content.

In order to determine the best bacterial formulation, 3 PGPR strains with similar PGPR properties were selected. Four different bacterial formulations were created by mixing the bacterial isolates prepared into the liquid carrier in triplicate combinations: (BI) formulation 1 (*Paenibacillus polymyxa* TV-12E + *Pseudomonas putida* TV-42A + *Pantoea agglomerans* RK-79), (BII) formulation 2 (*Bacillus megaterium* TV-91C + *Pantoea agglomerans* RK-92 + *Bacillus subtilis* TV-17C), (BIII) formulation 3 (*Bacillus megaterium* TV-91C + *Pantoea agglomerans* RK-92 + *Kluyvera cryocrescens* TV-113C), and (BIV) formulation 4 (*Bacillus megaterium* TV-91C + *Pantoea agglomerans* RK-79 + *Bacillus megaterium* TV-6D). One-fortieth (kg/L) of sugar was added to the prepared bacterial suspension and kept for one night by mixing well. The absorbance of the bacterial suspensions was measured spectrophotometrically at 600 nm and properly diluted to  $1 \times 10^8$  CFU mL<sup>-1</sup> in sdH<sub>2</sub>O. The prepared bacterial formulation was diluted 10 times with chlorine-free well water when applied to the plants [38].

Practices of plant nutrition used in the cultivation of stock mother plants: The roots of poinsettia cuttings were dipped in the prepared liquid microbial solution for 15 min, and the roots were inoculated with the bacterial mixture [40]. Rooted cuttings being kept in a sterile liquid medium with added sugar for the same time were used as a control. For the stage of growing the stock mother plants in pots, 10 poinsettia-rooted cuttings were used for each application. Pots were sterilized with a 20% sodium hypochlorite solution. As stated above, one rooted cutting was planted in each of the 3.5 L ( $21.5 \times 17.7$  cm) plastic pots filled with a growing medium that had been prepared by mixing sterile peat and pumice as the volume in a ratio of 2:1 under greenhouse conditions (day and night temperatures were 27 and 22, respectively, and the average relative humidity was 70–75%) [40]. In cases of need, cultural processes were carried out on the stock mother plants. In the second year, the relevant bacterial formulations were freshly prepared for these plants and applied to the pots for irrigation. Apart from bacterium formulation administrations, no other fertilizer was applied to the stock mother plants until they were two years old (time passed to obtain cuttings). The stock mother plants were 90–100 cm tall, had never been pruned

in the previous two years, and had three to five terminal branches ideal for propagation (Figure 1a).

Initial nutrient contents of bract leaf of stock mother plants: At the time of cuttings collection (Figure 1a), bract leaf samples were taken from stock mother plants of each bacterial formulation and the control group. To determine the mineral nutrient contents of bract leaf, fresh leaves were oven dried at 68 °C for 48 h and then ground in a mortar and passed through a 1-mm sieve. The concentrations of macro-elements (K, P, Ca, and Mg) and micro-elements (Fe, Cu, and Mn) were determined by the method of Mertens [41]. Tissue K, P, Ca, Fe, Mg, Mn, and Cu were determined using an inductively coupled plasma spectrophotometer (Optima 2100 DV, ICP/OES; PerkinElmer, Waltham, Massachusetts, USA) [42]. The N content was determined using the Kjeldhal method [43].

Preparation of apical cuttings: From disease-free and medium-sized bushes, 10–15 cm long and 1.0–1.5 cm thick, uniform apical cuttings with at least 2–3 nodes were prepared from stock mother plants by considering the application groups (Figure 1b). All apical cuttings contained a leaf, which has been reduced by 25% from its original size (Figure 1b,c). An oblique cut was made from the base of each cutting with a sharp razor blade to expose the maximum absorbent surface for effective rooting. It was dipped in sterile perlite powder to stop the sap of the plant from flowing due to the cut made from the cutting base. They were kept in perlite powder for five minutes (Figure 1c). The cuttings, whose sap water release stopped, were taken from the BA dose application.

Preparation of IBA concentrations and application to poinsettia cutting bottoms: IBA was dissolved in 1% potassium hydroxide (KOH) (CAS No:1310-58-3, Sigma-Aldrich, Atasehir, Istanbul, Turkey) [44] and then diluted with water for dose adjustment. The IBA doses used in the study were 0 (control), 1000, 1500, and 2000 mg L<sup>-1</sup> IBA. Before treatments, all cuttings were sterilized with 25% NaHCO<sub>3</sub> for 5 min [45]. Cuttings in the control group were treated with sterile water. The bases of the cuttings were dipped in the IBA solution for 10 s for each treatment (Figure 1d); then placed in 10 cm-deep containers filled with sterile perlite (coarse agricultural perlite: 0.0–5.0 mm) (Figure 1e,f). The cuttings were rooted under greenhouse conditions in a shading environment with 50% shade [44] (Figure 1f). To enhance the average relative humidity of 70–75% derived from the greenhouse environment measurement, the cuttings were misted twice a day from the top. The application groups and application codes used in this study's application of various IBA doses to rooted cuttings taken from stock mother plants treated with various bacterial formulations are listed in Table 2.

Table 2. Treatments made to the cuttings in the experiment and the contents of the treatments.

Treatments	Bacteria Formulations (Used to Provide Plant Nutrition to Stock Mother Plants)	Contents of Treatments (Applied in Cutting Rooting)
Control-0 Control-1000 Control-1500 Control-2000	No bacterial formulation was applied to these application groups.	$\begin{array}{c} \mbox{Control (No bacteria + IBA application)} \\ 0 \mbox{ mg } L^{-1} \mbox{ + IBA 1000 mg } L^{-1} \\ 0 \mbox{ mg } L^{-1} \mbox{ + IBA 1500 mg } L^{-1} \\ 0 \mbox{ mg } L^{-1} \mbox{ + IBA 2000 mg } L^{-1} \end{array}$
BI + 0 BI + 1000 BI + 1500 BI + 2000	BI: Bacteria formulation 1 ( <i>Paenibacillus polymyxa</i> TV-12E + <i>Pseudomonas putida</i> TV-42A + <i>Pantoea agglomerans</i> RK-79)	Bacteria formulation 1 (No IBA application) Bacteria formulation 1 + IBA 1000 mg $L^{-1}$ Bacteria formulation 1 + IBA 1500 mg $L^{-1}$ Bacteria formulation 1 + IBA 2000 mg $L^{-1}$
BII + 0 BII + 1000 BII + 1500 BII + 2000	BII: Bacteria formulation 2 ( <i>Bacillus megaterium</i> TV-91C + Pantoea agglomerans RK-92 + Bacillus subtilis TV-17C)	Bacteria formulation 2 (No IBA application) Bacteria formulation 2 + IBA 1000 mg $L^{-1}$ Bacteria formulation 2 + IBA 1500 mg $L^{-1}$ Bacteria formulation 2 + IBA 2000 mg $L^{-1}$

Treatments	Bacteria Formulations (Used to Provide Plant Nutrition to Stock Mother Plants)	Contents of Treatments (Applied in Cutting Rooting)
BIII + 0 BIII + 1000 BIII + 1500 BIII + 2000	BIII: Bacteria formulation 3 ( <i>Bacillus megaterium</i> TV-91C + Pantoea agglomerans RK-92 + Kluyvera cryocrescens TV-113C)	Bacteria formulation 3 (No IBA application) Bacteria formulation 3 + IBA 1000 mg $L^{-1}$ Bacteria formulation 3 + IBA 1500 mg $L^{-1}$ Bacteria formulation 3 + IBA 2000 mg $L^{-1}$
BIV + 0 BIV + 1000 BIV + 1500 BIV + 2000	BIV: Bacteria formulation 4 (Bacillus megaterium TV-91C + Pantoea agglomerans RK-79 + Bacillus megaterium TV-6D)	$\begin{array}{l} \mbox{Bacteria formulation 4 (No IBA application)} \\ \mbox{Bacteria formulation 4 + IBA 1000 mg } L^{-1} \\ \mbox{Bacteria formulation 4 + IBA 1500 mg } L^{-1} \\ \mbox{Bacteria formulation 4 + IBA 2000 mg } L^{-1} \end{array}$

#### Table 2. Cont.

#### 2.3. Experimental Design, Data Collection, and Analysis

The experiments were repeated twice. A two-factor (bacterial formulations and IBA doses) experimental design with 20 treatments set up in a randomized block design was used for the study. The 20 treatments were put to the test (Table 2). Each treatment was performed three times, for a total of 60 experimental/treatment units. Each experimental unit contained ten cuttings, for a total of 600 cuttings/polybag. The experiment was terminated 90 days after the cuttings were planted. Number of rooted cuttings (NR), number of mean roots (NAR: each rooted cutting was determined and the mean of recurrence was determined), mean root length (ARL: The length of the roots from each cutting was measured in mm with a caliper and determined as the average of repetitions), number of newly formed leaves (NFL: the number of leaves of the shoots emerging from each cutting was determined and the average of repetitions was determined), and number of mean shoots (NAS: each cutting producing a shoot was determined and the mean of recurrence was determined) parameters were measured and evaluated.

All statistical analysis was performed using SPSS Statistics (v. 20 for Windows; IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY, USA). Multivariate analysis of variance (MANOVA) was used to detect significant differences between groups. The means were separated by Duncan's multiple range test (p < 0.05). The principal component analysis (PCA) and biplot graphs were used to display the correlation between the various parameters and their relationship with the different treatments and were performed by JMP version 7.0 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA). Additionally, an online heat mapper software (http://www.heatmapper.ca/(accessed on 1 January 2023)) was used as the heat mapper of all treatments. Heat mapper analysis is an analysis method that classifies the means of the parameters measured in the study according to color and provides general information about the applications to the researchers. According to the analysis, as the numerical value of the applications increases, the color changes from red to green. The black color represents the mean.

#### 3. Results

#### 3.1. Rooting Capacity of Poinsettia Cuttings

In the present study, analysis of variance revealed that the rooting capacity of poinsettia cuttings varied significantly depending on cuttings bacteria formulation treatments, IBA treatment (concentration), and interactions between these factors (Table 3).

Cuttings taken from poinsettia stock mother plants grown with different bacterial formulations were treated with different IBA doses for rooting, and these cuttings showed different levels of root morphological responses (Table 4). The highest value of the number of rooted cuttings (NR) was for cuttings treated with BIV (8.42), which was 1.74 times higher than the control. The BIV treatment was in the same statistical group as the BIII and BII treatments. While the nutritional status of the stock mother plants differed according to the number of rooted cuttings parameter, IBA applications to the rooting of the cuttings did not make a statistically significant difference (Table 4). In the experimental group, which did not apply any bacterial formulation, NR was increased by 100.30% compared with the

0 mg L<sup>-1</sup> IBA dose in response to the IBA 2000 mg L<sup>-1</sup> treatment. In the experimental group that applied the BI bacterial formulation, NR was increased by 14.26% and 19.00% compared with the control, in response to IBA 1500 mg L<sup>-1</sup> or IBA 2000 mg L<sup>-1</sup> treatment, respectively. Moreover, the BI bacteria formulation prepared with *Paenibacillus polymyxa* TV-12E + *Pseudomonas putida* TV-42A + *Pantoea agglomerans* RK-79 increased in NR. In the experimental group that applied the BII bacterial formulation, NR was not affected by IBA treatments. In the experimental groups that applied the BIII and BIV bacteria formulations, NR was adversely affected by the increase in IBA dose applications (Table 4).

**Table 3.** Analysis of variance of the effect of bacterial formulation treatments and the concentration of exogenous IBA application on the root development parameters.

Source of Variance	Number of	Number of	Mean Root	Number of Newly	Number of
	Rooted Cuttings	Mean Roots	Length (ARL)	Formed Leaves	Mean Shoots
	(NR)	(NAR)	(mm)	(NFL)	(NAS)
Bacteria Formulation Treatments (A)	24.31 ***	3.36 ***	111.05 ***	2.55 ***	0.48 ***
$\overrightarrow{\text{IBA doses (B)}}_{\text{A } \times \text{ B}}$	1.17 <sup>ns</sup>	0.54 ***	15.15 ***	1.90 ***	0.13 *
	5.74 ***	0.93 ***	28.23 ***	2.78 ***	0.32 ***

ns: not significant; \* *p* < 0.05; \*\*\* *p* < 0.001.

**Table 4.** Root growth as affected by treatment with different IBA doses of cuttings taken from poinsettia stock mother plants grown with the use of different bacterial formulations as biofertilizers.

Bacteria Formulations		Control	BI	BII	BIII	BIV	Mean	
				Mean $\pm$ SD <sup>x</sup>				
	Number of rooted cuttings (NR)							
IBA Doses	0 1000 1500 2000 Mean	$\begin{array}{c} 3.33 \pm 0.58 \text{ b} \ ^{**} \\ 4.67 \pm 0.58 \text{ b} \\ 4.67 \pm 0.58 \text{ b} \\ 6.67 \pm 1.15 \text{ a} \\ 4.83 \pm 1.40 \text{ C} \ ^{***} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 7.00 \pm 1.00 \text{ a }^{**} \\ 4.33 \pm 0.58 \text{ b} \\ 8.00 \pm 1.00 \text{ a} \\ 8.33 \pm 0.58 \text{ a} \\ 6.92 \pm 1.78 \text{ B} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 8.00 \pm 1.00 \ ^{ns} \\ 7.67 \pm 1.53 \\ 7.00 \pm 1.00 \\ 8.33 \pm 0.58 \\ 7.75 \pm 1.06 \ \mathrm{A} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 8.33 \pm 0.58 \text{ a }^* \\ 8.00 \pm 1.00 \text{ ab} \\ 9.00 \pm 1.00 \text{ a} \\ 6.67 \pm 0.58 \text{ b} \\ 8.00 \pm 1.13 \text{ A} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 9.67 \pm 0.58 \text{ a }^{**} \\ 9.33 \pm 0.58 \text{ a} \\ 7.33 \pm 0.58 \text{ b} \\ 7.33 \pm 1.15 \text{ b} \\ 8.42 \pm 1.31 \text{ A} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 7.27 \pm 2.31 \\ 6.80 \pm 2.18 \\ 7.20 \pm 1.66 \\ 7.47 \pm 1.06 \end{array}$	
			Numbe	er of mean roots (NA	.R)			
IBA Doses	0 1000 1500 2000 Mean	$\begin{array}{c} 0.36 \pm 0.16 \text{ c} ***\\ 0.96 \pm 0.09 \text{ b}\\ 0.92 \pm 0.05 \text{ b}\\ 1.26 \pm 0.08 \text{ a}\\ 0.88 \pm 0.35 \text{ B} ***\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.66 \pm 0.12 \text{ a }^{***} \\ 0.26 \pm 0.08 \text{ c} \\ 0.50 \pm 0.17 \text{ b} \\ 0.18 \pm 0.06 \text{ c} \\ 0.65 \pm 0.63 \text{ C} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.59 \pm 0.09 \text{ c} ** \\ 0.87 \pm 0.04 \text{ b} \\ 0.82 \pm 0.09 \text{ b} \\ 1.08 \pm 0.09 \text{ a} \\ 0.84 \pm 0.20 \text{ B} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.90 \pm 0.15 \text{ bc} \ ^{**} \\ 0.97 \pm 0.08 \text{ b} \\ 0.74 \pm 0.13 \text{ c} \\ 1.20 \pm 0.03 \text{ a} \\ 0.95 \pm 0.20 \text{ B} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 2.45 \pm 0.30 \text{ a }^{***} \\ 2.88 \pm 0.12 \text{ a} \\ 0.97 \pm 0.10 \text{ c} \\ 1.64 \pm 0.50 \text{ b} \\ 1.99 \pm 0.81 \text{ A} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.19 \pm 0.81 \text{ A }^{***} \\ 1.19 \pm 0.92 \text{ A} \\ 0.79 \pm 0.20 \text{ B} \\ 1.07 \pm 0.54 \text{ A} \end{array}$	
			Mean 1	root length (ARL) (m	m)			
IBA Doses	0 1000 1500 2000 Mean	$\begin{array}{c} 10.66 \pm 0.57 \text{ c} *^{***} \\ 17.67 \pm 0.58 \text{ a} \\ 15.67 \pm 0.58 \text{ b} \\ 16.33 \pm 0.58 \text{ b} \\ 15.08 \pm 2.81 \text{ B} *^{**} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 16.33 \pm 1.53 \text{ a }^{***} \\ 17.00 \pm 1.00 \text{ a} \\ 11.00 \pm 1.00 \text{ b} \\ 8.00 \pm 1.00 \text{ c} \\ 13.08 \pm 4.03 \text{ C} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 14.67 \pm 0.58 \text{ a }^{***} \\ 9.33 \pm 0.58 \text{ b} \\ 7.67 \pm 0.58 \text{ c} \\ 10.33 \pm 0.58 \text{ b} \\ 10.50 \pm 2.75 \text{ D} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 10.67 \pm 0.58 \text{ b} ***\\ 10.33 \pm 0.58 \text{ b}\\ 9.00 \pm 1.00 \text{ c}\\ 14.33 \pm 0.58 \text{ a}\\ 11.08 \pm 2.15 \text{ D} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 18.67 \pm 1.53 \ ^{ns} \\ 18.00 \pm 1.00 \\ 18.00 \pm 2.65 \\ 17.00 \pm 1.00 \\ 17.92 \pm 1.56 \ A \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 14.20 \pm 3.39 \text{ A }^{***} \\ 14.47 \pm 4.00 \text{ A} \\ 12.27 \pm 4.25 \text{ C} \\ 13.20 \pm 3.67 \text{ B} \end{array}$	

<sup>x</sup>: SD: Standard Deviation, Note: Different letters in the same column indicate significant differences (p < 0.05) based on Duncan's multiple range test. NS; ns—non-significant (at p > 0.05); \* p < 0.05; \*\* p < 0.01; \*\*\* p < 0.001.

Cuttings from stock mother plants grown and inoculated with BIV showed a great increase in the number of mean roots (NAR) (126.14% compared to the control). While the NAR of cuttings treated with various IBA doses was statistically significant, nothing of these differences was statistically significant when compared to control plants, with the exception of IBA 1500 mg L<sup>-1</sup>. NAR increased in response to IBA 2000 mg L<sup>-1</sup> treatment in the experimental groups that included control, BI, and BIII compared with the 0 mg L<sup>-1</sup> IBA dose. In the experimental groups that applied BI and BIV bacteria formulations, NAR was adversely affected by the increase in IBA dose applications (Table 4).

The mean root length parameter was affected by the bacterial formulations with which the stock mother plants were treated. The highest mean root lengths were obtained from cuttings taken from stock mother plants grown with BIV application. The mean root length of the cuttings in the BIV treatment increased by 18.83% compared to the mean root length of the cuttings in the control treatment. The mean root length values decreased with increasing IBA doses. In the experimental group, which applied BIII bacterial formulations, ARL increased by 34.30% compared with the control, in response to IBA 2000 mg L<sup>-1</sup> treatment (Table 4).

The data presented in Table 5 show that the number of newly formed leaves (NFL) of poinsettia cuttings significantly increased in IBA compared to the untreated cuttings. Overall, the doses of the IBA were increased in the NFL. In addition, NFL data obtained from cuttings taken from rootstock plants treated with BIV application were determined as the highest values. In the application of the 2000 mg  $L^{-1}$  IBA dose to the cuttings taken from the stock mother plants grown without adding the bacterial formulation, more newly formed leaves were obtained. It was determined that 1500 doses of IBA application can promote the rooting of cuttings taken from plants treated with BI formulation. With this application, the number of newly formed leaves increased by 89% when compared to the control application. It was determined that 1500 doses of IBA application can promote the rooting of cuttings taken from plants treated with BI formulation. It was concluded that the rooting of cuttings taken from plants treated with the BIII formulation increased with 1500 and 2000 doses of IBA. Control, 1000, and 1500 IBA doses had a statistically significant effect on the quantity of newly produced leaves in cuttings derived from plants treated with the BIV formulation. In addition, a decrease in the number of newly formed leaves was determined in the cuttings in the 2000 IBA dose applications (Table 5).

**Table 5.** The effect of different IBA doses on the shoot growth of cuttings taken from poinsettia stock mother plants grown by using different bacterial formulations as biofertilizers.

Bacteria Formulations		Control	col BI BII		BIII	BIV	Mean		
				Mean $\pm$ SD <sup>x</sup>					
	Number of newly formed leaves (NFL)								
	0	$0.33\pm0.58$ b *	$0.33\pm0.58$ c ***	$2.33\pm0.58$ a **	$1.00\pm0.00$ b **	$2.67\pm0.58$ a **	$1.33\pm1.11$ B ***		
	1000	$1.00\pm0.00~\mathrm{ab}$	$2.00\pm0.00~b$	$0.00\pm0.00~\mathrm{c}$	$0.33\pm0.58~\mathrm{c}$	$2.00\pm0.00~\mathrm{a}$	$1.07\pm0.88~\mathrm{B}$		
IBA	1500	$0.33\pm0.58~\mathrm{b}$	$3.00\pm0.00~\mathrm{a}$	$1.33\pm0.58~\mathrm{b}$	$1.83\pm0.29~\mathrm{a}$	$2.67\pm0.58~\mathrm{a}$	$1.83\pm1.06~\mathrm{A}$		
Doses	2000	$1.67\pm0.58~\mathrm{a}$	$2.00\pm0.00~b$	$2.33\pm0.58~\mathrm{a}$	$2.00\pm0.00~\mathrm{a}$	$0.67\pm0.58\mathrm{b}$	$1.73\pm0.70~\mathrm{A}$		
	Mean	$0.83\pm0.72$ D ***	$1.83\pm1.03~\text{AB}$	$1.50\pm1.09~\text{BC}$	$1.29\pm0.75C$	$2.00\pm0.95~A$			
			Numb	er of mean shoots	(NAS)				
	0	$0.19\pm0.02$ b *	$0.70\pm0.06$ b **	$0.50\pm0.50~^{\rm ns}$	$1.25\pm0.08$ a ***	$0.92\pm0.09$ a **	$0.71\pm0.42$ A *		
	1000	$0.57\pm0.24$ a	$0.74\pm0.08~{ m b}$	$0.42\pm0.30$	$0.20\pm0.06~\mathrm{d}$	$0.78\pm0.25~\mathrm{ab}$	$0.54\pm0.28~\mathrm{B}$		
IBA	1500	$0.18\pm0.01~\mathrm{b}$	$1.35\pm0.34$ a	$0.61\pm0.09$	$0.76\pm0.08~\mathrm{b}$	$0.60\pm0.10~\mathrm{b}$	$0.70\pm0.42~\mathrm{A}$		
Doses	2000	$0.39\pm0.10~\mathrm{ab}$	$0.75\pm0.08~\mathrm{b}$	$0.88\pm0.08$	$0.58\pm0.09~\mathrm{c}$	$0.16\pm0.01~{\rm c}$	$0.55\pm0.27~\mathrm{B}$		
	Mean	$0.33\pm0.20$ C ***	$0.89\pm0.32~\mathrm{A}$	$0.60\pm0.31~\text{B}$	$0.70\pm0.40~\mathrm{B}$	$0.61\pm0.32~\text{B}$			

\*: SD—standard deviation. Note: different letters in the same column indicate significant differences (p < 0.05) based on Duncan's multiple range test. ns—non-significant (at p > 0.05); \* p < 0.05; \*\* p < 0.01; \*\*\* p < 0.001.

The maximum number of mean shoots (NAS) (0.89) was determined by the cuttings taken from poinsettia stock mother plants fertilized with BI bacterial formulations. The maximum number of mean shoots (NAS) (0.71) was obtained from the cuttings with no IBA application. The maximum number of mean shoots (NAS) (1.35) was found in the IBA 1500 mg l treatment in the cuttings taken from poinsettia stock mother plants fertilized with BI bacterial formulations. The highest value of NAS was the cuttings treated with BI+1500 treatment, which was 1.93 times highest than the control. The effect of IBA dose applications in the experimental groups that used the BII bacteria formulation was not statistically significant in terms of NAS parameters. However, NA was adversely affected by the increase in IBA dose applications in the experimental groups that apply BIII and BIV bacteria formulations (Table 5).

In the current study, N, P, K, Ca, Mg, Fe, Mn, Zn, and Cu nutrient contents in the bract leaf of stock mother plants changed significantly among the bacteria formulations (Table 6). The highest nitrogen content of 5.73% was determined in the bract leaves of the BIV application. In this application, an increase in the nitrogen concent of 81.33% was observed when compared to the control. In terms of P, Ca, and Fe contents, higher values were obtained from the BIV application when compared to the control application. While the BIII application had the highest K content, the BII application was in the same statistical group as this application. Mg and Cu contents of stock mother plants treated with bacterial formulations were not found to be statistically significant compared to the control treatment. While Mn content increased in BIII and BI applications when compared to control, Zn content decreased in BIII, BII, and BI applications (Table 6).

Table 6. Initial mineral nutrient contents of the bract leaf of poinsettia stock mother plants.

Bacteria			I	nitial Nutrient C	Contents of Br	act Leaf			
Formulation	<b>N</b> T (0/ )	mg kg <sup>-1</sup>							
Treatments	N (%)	Р	К	Ca	Mg	Fe	Mn	Zn	Cu
Control	3.16 c ***	2958.40 c ***	23,406.65 c ***	7900.27 b ***	5044.40 ns	205.96 b **	41.21 b *	48.18 a ***	12.05 ns
BI	4.76 b	4270.97 b	27,176.26 ab	10,797.56 a	4720.05	233.52 a	44.55 a	33.99 c	11.78
BII	4.77 b	4337.38 b	28,493.00 a	10,833.58 a	4592.27	234.24 a	41.55 b	36.83 c	12.82
BIII	4.81 b	4544.35 a	28,726.76 a	10,653.20 a	4528.03	225.96 a	44.60 a	37.45 c	11.62
BIV	5.73 a	4639.67 a	25,946.64 b	11,155.99 a	4798.28	233.57 a	43.60 ab	42.81 b	12.07
F	54.157	208.788	19.190	27.279	1.963	6.255	4.756	21.737	1.076
Sig.	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.176	0.009	0.021	0.000	0.418

Different letters in the same column indicate significant differences (p < 0.05) based on Duncan's multiple range test. ns—non-significant (at p > 0.05); \* p < 0.05; \*\* p < 0.01; \*\*\* p < 0.001.

## 3.2. Principal Component Analysis

According to the results of the biplot analysis (Figure 2a), the applications were divided into 4 groups, and the C-1000, C-2000, C-1500, BI 1000, and BIII-2000 applications were in the same group as the C-0 application (the group without bacterial formulation or IBA). On the other hand, it has been determined that BIV-0 and BIV-1000 applications are different from all other applications and C-0 applications (Figure 2a). It was determined that the results of the biplot analysis showed parallelism with the general means of the bacterial formulation applications given in Tables 4 and 5.



**Figure 2.** Score plot grouping of treatments based on principal component scores (**a**); principal component analysis plot f rooting parameters of poinsettia cuttings (**b**).

The data from the rooting parameters were used for principal component analysis (PCA) (Figure 2b). This method specifies mathematical criteria for quantifying similarities between samples or sets. The PCA plot revealed that the rooting parameters were made up of two distinct components (Figure 2b). Whereas the first component comprised NR, NFL, and NAS applications, the second component included ARL and NAR applications. The first two main components accounted for 74.16% of the total variation (Figure 2b).

According to the study we have conducted, the C-0 application has turned red in all parameters, and it has been observed that this application has the lowest values. BIV-0 and BIV-1000 applications, on the other hand, became prominent applications by obtaining the highest values by taking colors in green and green tones in all parameters (Figure 3). These results were compatible with the biplot analysis (Figure 3). Heat mapper analysis showed that the same groups were obtained from PCA (Figure 3).



Figure 3. A heat map plot of poinsettia cutting samples and treatments using a color score.

## 4. Discussion

In poinsettia production, vegetative propagation from mother plants with high plant growth and development characteristics provides an important mechanism for obtaining a high yield and quality. The cuttings used in commercial poinsettia production are generally imported as rootless branches, which plant-growing companies root in their own greenhouses and sell as rooted cuttings. In this context, it is very important for companies to root the propagation material obtained from minimum loss. At the same time, for the producer who buys rooted cuttings, the quality of the cuttings is important in terms of soil compatibility, plant growth, and development performance after planting. Despite the intense control of environmental factors in the modern propagation industry, high economic losses still occur due to insufficient rooting or even rotting of cuttings [8,46,47]. The hypothesis of the study was to use bacterial mixtures prepared with PGPRs as biofertilizers in the cultivation of stock mother poinsettia plants and to determine an effective IBA dose to increase root development and root yield of cuttings prepared from these stock mother plants. The results of this study indicate that cuttings taken from poinsettia stock mother plants fertilized with different bacterial formulations contributed to poinsettia cutting root development by increasing the number of rooted cuttings (NR), number of mean roots (NAR), mean root length (ARL) (mm), and number of newly formed leaves (NFL).

The acceleration of root formation in the cuttings plucked from the mother plant increases their chances of survival, because of their ability to attach themselves to the soil and to absorb water and nutrients from their environment [48]. The physiological state of the mother plant, such as carbohydrate storage and nutrient content, has a great influence on the rooting ability of cuttings [48-50]. The rooting efficiency of cuttings is directly related to the carbohydrate content of stock plants. In other words, root formation efficiency is generally highest when the carbohydrate content of stock plants is high and very poor when carbohydrate storage is low [50]. In the present study, plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria were used in the feeding of parent plants. It is reported that rhizobacteria that promote plant growth accelerate the growth rate of plants, shorten the vegetative period of the plant, and have beneficial effects throughout the entire life cycle of plants [51]. There are also numerous research results reporting that PGPR has a multifunctional effect on the soil-plant system, improving plant tolerance to multiple stresses [52,53]. In addition to these, the treatments of cuttings with PGPR can increase root initiation and increase the quality of rooted cuttings produced in some plants because of the natural auxin production (IAA) by PGPR [54–62]. These effects were generally associated with nutrient availability and phytohormone production [63].

Auxins are known to play a key role in plant reproduction as they regulate cell differentiation and are the most well-known promoters of root formation [64]. Runkle [24] determined that a 2000 mg  $L^{-1}$  IBA concentration resulted in an increase in the rooting rate and homogeneity of poinsettia. According to Ramtin et al. [4], the maximum effect on root length, the number of bracts, the number of cyathiums, the number of leaves, the number of roots, and bract diameter was determined at 1000 mg  $L^{-1}$  IBA concentration. As a result of our study, the result of the 2000 mg  $L^{-1}$  IBA dose (the cuttings taken from the stock mother plants without bacterial formulation application) had a positive effect on the number of rooted cuttings, which was found to be consistent with the results of the previous study. As a result of feeding the main plants with the whole bacterial formulation, a positive increase in the number of rooted cuttings could not be obtained from the application of different IBA doses. However, the rooting number of cuttings taken from the stock mother plants treated with the BIII bacterial formulation decreased in the application of the 2000 mg  $L^{-1}$  IBA dose when compared to the control application. The rooting number of cuttings taken from stock mother plants and treated with the BIV bacteria formulation decreased compared to the control in terms of the rooting number between 1500 and 2000 mg  $L^{-1}$  IBA applied applications. The explanation for this situation can be made when the N contents of the parent plants are examined. The application with the highest nitrogen content is the BIV bacterial formulation, and the second is the BIII bacterial formulation. Plants showing

excessive nitrogen content have rapid growth, but cuttings from such plants show poor rooting efficiency [48]. Therefore, the balance of low N and high carbohydrates in the stock plant provides better rooting.

As a result of the application of 1500 and 2000 mg  $L^{-1}$  IBA doses to the cuttings of the main plants treated with BIV application, the mean root number decreased. This decrease may be related to the high nitrogen content of the cuttings. In addition, another study by Bortoloso Pigatto et al. [65] reported that apical cuttings have higher rates of auxin synthesis and there may be less tissue differentiation. Apical cuttings were also used in the present study. Higher auxin synthesis in cuttings of mother plants treated with BIV application and additionally endogenously high IBA applications may have caused a decrease in the mean root number. As a matter of fact, it is a more important result that a 1000 mg  $L^{-1}$  IBA dose can be sufficient for the cuttings of stock mother plants treated with BIV application to increase in NAR.

In terms of mean root length (ARL) (mm), 1000 mg L<sup>-1</sup> was determined as the most appropriate IBA dose in the control application. The longest roots in cuttings taken from BI and BII applications were determined in the application of a 0 mg L<sup>-1</sup> IBA dose. Longer roots were obtained from the cuttings taken from the BI application compared to the general averages. This can be explained by the fact that Ca and Fe contents are also higher in the BI application compared to the control, and these elements may have an encouraging effect on root regeneration [66,67] because they are involved in many physiological processes that lead to the formation of new cells in plants. Our results may also be supported by the fact that rhizobacteria isolates found in bacterial formulations have the capacity to promote plant growth, increase nutrient availability and uptake, and support plant health [68].

The stored nutrients in the cutting are activated with the help of growth regulators [7]. This can accelerate cutting in cuttings, increasing the utilization of carbohydrates at the base of the cuttings through better utilization of photosynthesis [69,70]. The increase in the use of carbohydrates at the base of the cuttings can accelerate the sprouting of the cuttings [12]. In the BIV application, the number of newly formed leaves decreased at a dose of 2000 mg L<sup>-1</sup> IBA compared to the control. Hartman et al. [71] stated that high auxin concentrations may have an inhibitory effect on bud growth, and this effect may be due to apical dominance. The explanation of the decrease in the number of newly formed leaves determined at a dose of 2000 mg L<sup>-1</sup> IBA in the BIV application in the current study can be made using the results of Hartman et al. [71].

It was determined that a 1000 mg L<sup>-1</sup> IBA dose applied to cuttings taken from stock rootstock plants without any bacterial formulation application was sufficient to increase the number of mean shoots (NAS). The number of shoots formed in cuttings taken from stock mother plants treated with BI was increased at a 1500 mg L<sup>-1</sup> IBA dose. The possible reason for the increase in the number of shoots may be better utilization of carbohydrates, nitrogen, and other nutrients with the help of growth regulators. The PGPR can improve nutrient availability and trigger hormone production [63,72], increasing plant enzymatic activity [72]. These effects have been confirmed in a variety of crops, including the poinsettia plant [40]. In a study on *Bursera penicillata* cuttings, it was reported that shoot length and the number of leaves increased with increasing auxin concentration [73]. In addition, Gaudin et al. [74] have stated that PGPR can produce both auxins themselves and increase auxin synthesis in plants.

The influence of PGPR on rooting cutting is already widely recognized and studied [57,58,60,75–79]. The function of bacteria to release inorganic nutrients from organic reserves at a sufficient rate to sustain rapid plant growth is an important mechanism [80]. In terms of nitrogen (N), P, Ca, and Fe contents, higher values were obtained from the BIV application when compared to the control application in the present study. Cultivation of poinsettia stock mother plants with PGPR formulations may have resulted in the rooting of cuttings by enhancing higher N accumulation and more water and nutrient uptake due to these bacteria, which are known to be effective in N<sub>2</sub> fixation [81,82].

## 13 of 16

# 5. Conclusions

The data support the following conclusions:

- This study provides positive effects on the nutrition of stock mother plants with PGPR to provide sprout production by cuttings technique;
- It has been concluded that the rooting performance of poinsettia cuttings can be improved with lower IBA dose applications if the stock mother plants from which the poinsettia cuttings will be taken are nutrient-enriched with PGPR bacterial formulations and the carbohydrate content is enriched;
- It has been revealed that lower IBA dose applications can be recommended, especially for rooting cuttings taken from stock mother plants treated with the BIV bacterial formulation;
- The rooting properties of poinsettia cuttings treated with BIV bacterial formulation are adversely affected by the application of high doses of IBA;
- Through biplot and heat mapper analyses, it has been determined that BIV-0 and BIV-1000 applications are different from all other applications and C-0 applications in the rooting performance of poinsettia cuttings;
- Further studies can be carried out to determine rooting performance after the poinsettia stock mother plants were fertilized with PGPR formulations prepared with bacterial isolates tested for auxin properties.

**Funding:** No funding was available for this study. The research was carried out using the facilities of the Department of Horticulture, Agriculture Faculty of Atatürk University.

**Data Availability Statement:** The author confirms that the data supporting the findings of this study are available within the article.

Acknowledgments: I would like to thank Atilla DURSUN (Department of Horticulture, Faculty of Agriculture, Atatürk University, Department of Horticulture and Agronomy, Faculty of Agriculture, Kyrgyz–Turkish Manas University) Recep KOTAN (Atatürk University, Agriculture Faculty, Department of Plant Protection, Erzurum, Turkey) for his support of the experiment.

Conflicts of Interest: The author declares no conflict of interest.

# References

- 1. USDA. *Agricultural Statistics 2016;* United States Department of Agriculture; NASS (National Agricultural Statistics Service): Washington, DC, USA, 2016.
- Hu, J.; Cai, X.; Jeong, B.R. Silicon affects root development, tissue mineral content, and expression of silicon transporter genes in poinsettia (*Euphorbia pulcherrima* Willd.) Cultivars. *Plants* 2019, *8*, 180. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 3. Chaudhari, B.B.; Bhatt, D.; Chawla, S.L.; Patel, M.A.; Bennurmath, P. Effect of rooting hormone and media on root induction in poinsettia (*Euphorbia pulcherrima* Willd.). *J. Ornam. Hortic.* **2018**, *21*, 7–12. [CrossRef]
- 4. Ramtin, A.; Khalighi, A.; Hadavi, E.; Hekmati, J. Effect of different IBA concentrations and types of cuttings on rooting and flowering *Poinsettia pulcherrima* L. *Int. J. Agric. Sci.* **2011**, *1*, 303–310.
- 5. Elgimabi, M.E.N.E. Effect of season of cutting and humidity on propagation of (Ixora coccinea). Adv. Biol. Res. 2008, 2, 108–110.
- 6. Osterc, G.; Štefančič, M.; Solar, A.; Štamper, F. Cutting propagation of chestnut (*Castanea* sp.): The reality or utopia? *Allg. Forst-Und Jagdztg.* **2009**, *180*, 89–93.
- 7. Da Costa, C.T.; de Almeida, M.R.; Ruedell, C.M.; Schwambach, J.; Maraschin, F.S.; Fett-Neto, A.G. When stress and development go hand in hand: Main hormonal controls of adventitious rooting in cuttings. *Front. Plant Sci.* **2013**, *4*, 133. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 8. Druege, U.; Zerche, S.; Kadner, R. Nitrogen-and storage-affected carbohydrate partitioning in high-light-adapted Pelargonium cuttings in relation to survival and adventitious root formation under low light. *Ann. Bot.* **2004**, *94*, 831–842. [CrossRef]
- 9. Schwambach, J.; Fadanelli, C.; Fett-Neto, A.G. Mineral nutrition and adventitious rooting in microcuttings of *Eucalyptus globulus*. *Tree Physiol.* **2005**, 25, 487–494. [CrossRef]
- 10. Zerche, S.; Druege, U. Nitrogen content determines adventitious rooting in *Euphorbia pulcherrima* under adequate light independently of pre-rooting carbohydrate depletion of cuttings. *Sci. Hortic.* **2009**, *121*, 340–347. [CrossRef]
- 11. Ruedell, C.M.; de Almeida, M.R.; Schwambach, J.; Posenato, C.F.; Fett-Neto, A.G. Pre and post-severance effects of light quality on carbohydrate dynamics and microcutting adventitious rooting of two Eucalyptus species of contrasting recalcitrance. *Plant Growth Regul.* **2013**, *69*, 235–245. [CrossRef]
- 12. Karakurt, H.; Aslantas, R.; Ozkan, G.; Guleryuz, M. Effects of indol-3-butyric acid (IBA), plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) and carbohydrates on rooting of hardwood cutting of MM106 Apple rootstock. *Afr. J. Agric. Res.* **2009**, *4*, 60–64.

- 13. Ahkami, A.H.; Lischewski, S.; Haensch, K.T.; Porfirova, S.; Hofmann, J.; Rolletschek, H.; Melzer, M.; Franken, P.; Hause, B.; Druege, U.; et al. Molecular physiology of adventitious root formation in *Petunia hybrida* cuttings: Involvement of wound response and primary metabolism. *New Phytol.* **2009**, *181*, 613–625. [CrossRef]
- 14. Husen, A.; Pal, M. Metabolic changes during adventitious root primordium development in *Tectona grandis* Linn. f.(teak) cuttings as affected by age of donor plants and auxin (IBA and NAA) treatment. *New For.* **2007**, *33*, 309–323. [CrossRef]
- 15. Abu-Zahra, T.R.; Hasan, M.K.; Hasan, H.S. Effect of different auxin concentration on virginia creeper (*Parthenocissus quinquefolia*) rooting. *World Appl. Sci. J.* 2012, *16*, 7–10.
- 16. Ibrahim, M.E.; Mohamed, M.A.; Khalid, K.A. Effect of plant growth regulators on the rooting of *Lemon verbena* cutting. *J. Mater. Environ. Sci.* **2015**, *6*, 28–33.
- 17. Sultana, Z. Rooting Performance of Stem Cuttings of Three Ornamental Plants as Influenced by Growth Regulators. Ph.D. Thesis, Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University, Sher-e-Bangla Nagar, Dhaka, Bangladesh, 2006.
- 18. Bhairavi, B.M.; Prakasha, D.P.; Kulapathi, H.; Anand, N.; Raddi, G.S.; Gollagi, S.G. Influence of Plant Growth Regulators on Rooting of Stem Cuttings in Jamun (*Syzygium cumini* L. Skeels). *Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci.* **2019**, *8*, 2997–3006. [CrossRef]
- Nordstrom, A.C.; Jacobs, F.A.; Eliasson, L. Effect of exogenous indole-3-acetic acid and indole-3-butyric acid on internal levels of the respective auxins and their conjugation with aspartic acid during adventitious root formation in pea cuttings. *Plant Physiol.* 1991, 96, 856–861. [CrossRef]
- Štefančič, M.; Štampar, F.; Osterc, G. Influence of IAA and IBA on root development and quality of Prunus' GiSelA 5'leafy cuttings. HortScience 2005, 40, 2052–2055. [CrossRef]
- Sochacki, D.; Chmiel, H. Effect of some factors on rooting of poinsettia (*Euphorbia pulcherrima* Willd.) cuttings. *Zesz. Nauk. Inst. Sadow. Kwiaciarstwa* 1994, 1, 77–84.
- 22. El-Sallami, I.H.; Mahros, O.M. Effect of some growth regulators and branch portion on rootability of cutting, vegetation and flowering of poinsettia. *Assiut J. Agric. Sci.* **2000**, *31*, 71–94.
- Singh, A.K.; Singh, R. Influence of growth regulating substance on rooting of cutting of poinsettia cv. Flaming Shere. *Prog. Hort.* 2005, 37, 85–88.
- 24. Runkle, E. Propagation poinsettia. Greenh. Prod. News 2007, 17, 42-44.
- Raj, M.; Kumar, R.; Lal, K.; Sirisha, L.; Chaudhary, R.; Patel, S.K. Dynamic role of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) in agriculture. Int. J. Chem. Stud. 2020, 8, 105–110. [CrossRef]
- Abdelaal, K.; AlKahtani, M.; Attia, K.; Hafez, Y.; Király, L.; Künstler, A. The role of plant growth-promoting bacteria in alleviating the adverse effects of drought on plants. *Biology* 2021, 10, 520. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 27. Dignam, B.E.; O'Callaghan, M.; Condron, L.M.; Raaijmakers, J.M.; Kowalchuk, G.A.; Wakelin, S.A. Challenges and opportunities in harnessing soil disease suppressiveness for sustainable pasture production. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* **2016**, *95*, 100–111. [CrossRef]
- Zulfitri, A. Plant Growth Promotion by IAA-Producing Rhizobacteria in Ornamental Plant Propagation. Master's Thesis, University of Sydney, Sydney, Australia, 2012.
- 29. Hwang, J.; Benson, D.M. Expression of induced systemic resistance in poinsettia cuttings against Rhizoctonia stem rot by treatment of stock plants with binucleate Rhizoctonia. *Biol. Control* 2003, 27, 73–80. [CrossRef]
- Prasad, M.; Srinivasan, R.; Chaudhary, M.; Choudhary, M.; Jat, L.K. Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) for sustainable agriculture: Perspectives and challenges. PGPR Amelior. Sustain. Agric. 2019, 129–157. [CrossRef]
- Kotan, R.; Sahin, F.; Ala, A. Identification and pathogenicity of bacteria isolated from pome fruits trees in eastern Anatolia region of Turkey. J. Plant Dis. Prot. 2005, 113, 8–13.
- 32. Erman, M.; Kotan, R.; Çakmakçı, R.; Çığ, F.; Karagöz, F.; Sezen, M. Effects on growth and yield traits wheat and sugar beet of nitrogen fixer and phosphate solvent bacteria isolated from the Van Lake Basin. Turkey IV. In Proceedings of the Organic Agriculture Symposium, Erzurum, Turkey, 28 June–1 July 2010; pp. 325–329.
- Kotan, R.; Çakmakçı, R.; Şahin, F.; Karagöz, K.; Dadaşoğlu, F.; Kantar, F. Biological Control Studies for the Control of Diseases and Pests Using Bacterial Bioagents in Turkey. Turkey IV. In Proceedings of the Organic Agriculture Symposium, Erzurum, Turkey, 28 June–1 July 2010; pp. 726–738.
- Daşcı, E.; Evren, S.; Adıgüzel, M.C.; Çakmakçı, R.; Kotan, R.; Kızıloğlu, F.M.; Erat, M. Increasing resistance to water stress in sugar beet (*Beta vulgaris* L.) using plant growth promoting bacteria. I. In Proceedings of the International Anatolian Sugar Beet Symposium, Kayseri, Turkey, 20–22 September 2012; pp. 203–208.
- 35. Karagöz, K.; Ateş, F.; Karagöz, H.; Kotan, R.; Çakmakçı, R. Characterization of plant growth-promoting traits of bacteria isolated from the rhizosphere of grapevine grown in alkaline and acidic soils. *Eur. J. Soil Biol.* **2012**, *50*, 144–150. [CrossRef]
- Kotan, R.; Çakir, A.; Ozer, H.; Kordali, Ş.; Çakmakci, R.; Dadasoglu, F.; Dikbaş, N.; Aydin, T.; Kazaz, C. Antibacterial effects of *Origanum onites* against phytopathogenic bacteria: Possible use of the extracts from protection of disease caused by some phytopathogenic bacteria. *Sci. Hortic.* 2014, 172, 210–220. [CrossRef]
- 37. Turan, M.; Ekinci, M.; Yildirim, E.; Güneş, A.; Karagöz, K.; Kotan, R.; Dursun, A. Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria improved growth, nutrient, and hormone content of cabbage (*Brassica oleracea*) seedlings. *Turk. J. Agric. For.* **2014**, *38*, 327–333. [CrossRef]
- 38. Parlakova Karagöz, F.; Dursun, A.; Tekiner, N.; Kul, R.; Kotan, R. Efficacy of vermicompost and/or plant growth promoting bacteria on the plant growth and development in gladiolus. *Ornam. Hortic.* **2019**, *25*, 180–188. [CrossRef]
- Kaymak, H.; Aksoy, A.; Kotan, R. Inoculation with n-2-fixing plant growth promoting rhizobacteria to reduce nitrogen fertilizer requirement of lettuce. *Acta Sci. Pol. Hortorum Cultus* 2020, 19, 23–35. [CrossRef]

- 40. Parlakova Karagoz, F.; Dursun, A. Effects of PGPR formulations, chemical fertilizers, and their combinations on physiological traits and quality of bracts of poinsettia. *J. Agric. Sci. Technol.* **2020**, *22*, 775–787.
- 41. Mertens, D. Plants preparation of laboratory sample. In *Official Methods of Analysis*, 18th ed.; Horwitz, W., Latimer, G.W., Eds.; AOAC: Gaithersburg, MD, USA, 2005; pp. 1–2.
- 42. Mertens, D. Metal in plants and pet foods. In *Official Methods of Analysis*, 18th ed.; Horwitz, W., Latimer, G.W., Eds.; AOAC: Gaithersburg, MD, USA, 2005; pp. 3–4.
- 43. Nelson, D.W.; Sommers, L.E. Determination of total nitrogen in plant material 1. Agron. J. 1973, 65, 109–112. [CrossRef]
- 44. Souza, C.D.S.; Araújo, P.C.D.; Silva, D.Y.B.D.O.; Nogueira, G.D.A.; Silva, M.J.N. Vegetative rescue of *Azadirachta indica* by cuttings. *Rev. Árvore* 2022, *46*, e4632. [CrossRef]
- 45. Aslantas, R.; Çakmakçi, R.; Sahin, F. Effect of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria on young apples trees growth and fruit yield under orchard conditions. *Sci. Hortic.* 2007, *111*, 371–377. [CrossRef]
- Kadner, R.; Druege, U. Role of ethylene action in ethylene production and poststorage leaf senescence and survival of pelargonium cuttings. *Plant Growth Regul.* 2004, 43, 187–196. [CrossRef]
- 47. Druege, U.; Baltruschat, H.; Franken, P. *Piriformospora indica* promotes adventitious root formation in cuttings. *Sci. Hortic.* 2007, 112, 422–426. [CrossRef]
- 48. Essahibi, A.; Benhiba, L.; Fouad, M.O.; Babram, M.A.; Ghoulam, C.; Qaddoury, A. Initial nutritional status and exogenous IBA enhanced the rooting capacity of carob (*Ceratonia siliqua* L.) cuttings under mist system. *J. Mater. Environ. Sci* **2016**, *7*, 4144–4150.
- Tsipouridis, C.G.; Thomidis, T. Influence of natural leaf drop and nutritional status of the stock plant on rooting of peach cuttings. *Hortic. Sci.* 2003, 30, 108. [CrossRef]
- 50. Denaxa, N.K.; Vemmos, S.N.; Roussos, P.A. The role of endogenous carbohydrates and seasonal variation in rooting ability of cuttings of an easy and a hard to root olive cultivars (*Olea europaea* L.). *Sci. Hortic.* **2012**, *143*, 19–28. [CrossRef]
- Poupin, M.J.; Timmermann, T.; Vega, A.; Zuñiga, A.; González, B. Effects of the plant growth-promoting bacterium *Burkholderia* phytofirmans PsJN throughout the life cycle of Arabidopsis thaliana. *PLoS ONE* 2013, *8*, e69435. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Meena, V.S.; Maurya, B.R.; Verma, J.P. Does a rhizospheric microorganism enhance K<sup>+</sup> availability in agricultural soils? *Microbiol. Res.* 2014, 169, 337–347. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 53. Meena, V.S.; Meena, S.K.; Verma, J.P.; Meena, R.S.; Ghosh, B.N. The needs of nutrient use efficiency for sustainable agriculture. *J. Clean. Prod.* 2015, *102*, 562–563. [CrossRef]
- Felker, P.; Medina, D.; Soulier, C.; Velicce, G.; Velarde, M.; Gonzalez, C. A survey of environmental and biological factors (*Azospirillum* spp., *Agrobacterium rhizogenes*, *Pseudomonas aurantiaca*) for their influence in rooting cuttings of *Prosopis alba* clones. J. Arid. Environ. 2005, 61, 227–247. [CrossRef]
- 55. Li, Q.; Saleh-Lakha, S.; Glick, B.R. The effect of native and ACC deaminase-containing *Azospirillum brasilense* Cd1843 on the rooting of carnation cuttings. *Can. J. Microbiol.* **2005**, *51*, 511–514. [CrossRef]
- 56. Ribaudo, C.M.; Krumpholz, E.M.; Cassán, F.D.; Bottini, R.; Cantore, M.L.; Curá, J.A. *Azospirillum* sp. promotes root hair development in tomato plants through a mechanism that involves ethylene. *J. Plant Growth Regul.* **2006**, 25, 175–185. [CrossRef]
- Kaymak, H.C.; Yarali, F.; Guvenc, I.; Donmez, M.F. The effect of inoculation with plant growth rhizobacteria (PGPR) on root formation of mint (*Mentha piperita* L.) cuttings. *Afr. J. Biotechnol.* 2008, 7, 4479–4483.
- Erturk, Y.; Ercisli, S.; Haznedar, A.; Cakmakci, R. Effects of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) on rooting and root growth of kiwifruit (*Actinidia deliciosa*) stem cuttings. *Biol. Res.* 2010, 43, 91–98. [CrossRef]
- Abdel-Rahman, S.S.A.; El-Dsouky, M. Effect of indole-3-butyric acid (IBA) and *Bacillus subtilis* on rooting of *Bougainvillea glabra* var. sanderiana cuttings. In Proceedings of the 2010 5th Scientific Conference for Agricultural Sciences, Asyut, Egypt, 16–17 October 2010; pp. 16–17.
- 60. Kaymak, H.C.; Irmak, M.A.; Aksoy, A.; Tekiner, N. Auxin-producing plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria promote root formation of *Epipremnum aureum* cuttings. *JAPS J. Anim. Plant Sci.* **2021**, *31*, 1338–1344.
- 61. Rajan, S.A.; Radhakrishna, D. Effect of entophytic bacteria on the rooting and establishment of cuttings of hibiscus (*Rosa sinensis*). *J. Agric. Vet. Sci.* **2013**, *3*, 17–21.
- 62. dos Reis Ferreira, G.M.; de Oliveira Silva, L.F.; Pasqual, M.; Melloni, R.; Luz, J.M.Q.; Soares, J.D.R. Microbial biostimulants as alternatives for the rooting of olive tree cuttings. *Biosci. J.* 2022, *38*, 1981–3163.
- 63. Lyu, D.; Backer, R.; Smith, D. Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) as plant biostimulants in agriculture. In *Biostimulants for Sustainable Crop Production*; Burleigh Dodds Science Publishing: Cambridge, UK, 2020; pp. 197–226.
- 64. Krisantini, S.; Johnston, M.; Williams, R.R.; Beveridge, C. Adventitious root formation in Grevillea (Proteaceae), an Australian native species. *Sci. Hortic.* 2006, *107*, 171–175. [CrossRef]
- Bortoloso Pigatto, G.; Nunes Gomes, E.; De Cássia Tomasi, J.; Portes Ferriani, A.; Deschamps, C. Effects of indolebutyric acid, stem cutting positions and substrates on the vegetative propagation of *Stevia rebaudiana* Bertoni. *Rev. Colomb. Cienc. Hortícolas* 2018, 12, 202–211. [CrossRef]
- 66. Blazich, F.A. Mineral nutrition and adventitious rooting. In *Adventitious Root Formation in Cuttings*; Davis, T.D., Haissig, B.E., Sankhla, N., Eds.; Adventitious Root Formation in Cuttings; Dioscorides Press: Portland, OR, USA, 1988; pp. 61–69.
- 67. Bellamine, J.; Penel, C.; Greppin, H.; Gaspar, T. Confirmation of the role of auxin and calcium in the late phases of adventitious root formation. *Plant Growth Regul.* **1998**, *26*, 191–194. [CrossRef]

- Adesemoye, A.O.; Torbert, H.A.; Kloepper, J.W. Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria allow reduced application rates of chemical fertilizers. *Microb. Ecol.* 2009, 58, 921–929. [CrossRef]
- 69. Rapaka, V.K.; Bessler, B.; Schreiner, M.; Druege, U. Inter- play between initial carbohydrate availability, current photosynthesis, and adventitious root formation in *Pelargonium* cuttings. *Plant Sci.* **2005**, *168*, 1547–1560. [CrossRef]
- Otiende, M.A.; Maimba, F.M.; Shafique, M.; Rehman, K.U.; Rehmani, M. Endogenous carbohydrate content of the cutting positions at time of severance and IBA concentration influence rooting of *Rosa hybrida* rootstocks. *J. Environ. Agric. Sci* 2020, 22, 1–9.
- 71. Hartman, H.T.; Kester, D.E.; Davies, J.F.T.; Geneve, R.L. *Plant Propagation: Principles and Practices*, 8th ed.; Prentice-Hall: Boston, MA, USA, 2011; 915p.
- 72. Lyu, D.; Backer, R.; Smith, D.L. Three plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria alter morphological development, physiology, and flower yield of *Cannabis sativa* L. *Ind. Crops Prod.* **2022**, *178*, 114583. [CrossRef]
- 73. Chandramouli, H. Influence of Growth Regulators on the Rooting of Different Types of Cuttings in *Bursera penicillata* (DC). Master's Thesis, University of Agricultural Sciences, Bangalore, India, 2001.
- 74. Gaudin, V.; Vrain, T.; Jouanin, L. Bacterial genes modifying hormonal balances in plants. *Plant Physiol. Biochem.* 1994, 32, 11–28.
- 75. Bredmose, N.; Kristiansen, K.; Nielsen, W. Propagation temperature, PPFD, auxin treatment, cutting size and cutting position affect root formation, axillary bud growth and shoot development in miniature rose (*Rosa hybrida* L.) plants and alter homogeneity. *J. Hortic. Sci. Biotech.* **2004**, *79*, 458–465. [CrossRef]
- Pacholczak, A.; Szydlo, W.; Lukaszewska, A. The effectiveness of foliar auxin application to stock plants in rooting of stem cuttings of ornamental shrubs. *Propag. Ornam. Plants* 2005, *5*, 100–106.
- 77. Raasch, L.D.; Bonaldo, S.M.; De Oliveira, A.A.F. *Bacillus subtilis*: Rooting and growth of eucalyptus mini-cuttings in the municipality of Sinop, northern of Mato Grosso state, Brazil. *Biosci. J.* **2013**, 29, 1446–1457.
- Sezen, I.; Kaymak, H.C.; Aytatlı, B.; Dönmez, M.F.; Ercişli, S. Inoculations with plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) stimulate adventitious root formation on semi-hardwood stem cuttings of *Ficus benjamina* L. *Propag. Ornam. Plants* 2014, 14, 152–157.
- Fracchia, F.; Mangeot-Peter, L.; Jacquot, L.; Martin, F.; Veneault-Fourrey, C.; Deveau, A. Colonization of naive roots from *Populus* tremula × alba involves successive waves of fungi and bacteria with different trophic abilities. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 2021, 87, e02541-20. [CrossRef]
- 80. Jha, C.K.; Saraf, M. Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR): A review. J. Agric. Res. Dev. 2015, 5, 108–119.
- 81. Kalam, S.; Basu, A.; Podile, A.R. Functional and molecular characterization of plant growth promoting *Bacillus* isolates from tomato rhizosphere. *Heliyon* 2020, 6, e04734. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 82. Çakmakçi, R.; Dönmez, F.; Aydın, A.; Şahin, F. Growth promotion of plants by plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria under greenhouse and two different field soil conditions. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* **2006**, *38*, 1482–1487. [CrossRef]

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.