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Synthesis of Highly Branched Polyolefins Using Phenyl Substituted α-Diimine Ni(II) Catalysts
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Abstract: A series of α-diimine Ni(II) complexes containing bulky phenyl groups, [ArN = C(Naphth)C = NAr]NiBr2 (Naphth: 1,8-naphthdiyl, Ar = 2,6-Me2-4-PhC6H2 (C1); Ar = 2,4-Me2-6-PhC6H2 (C2); Ar = 2-Me-4,6-Ph2C6H4 (C3); Ar = 4-Me-2,6-Ph2C6H4 (C4); Ar = 4-Me-2-PhC6H3 (C5); Ar = 2,4,6-Ph3C6H2 (C6)), were synthesized and characterized. Upon activation with either diethylaluminum chloride (Et2AlCl) or modified methylaluminoxane (MMAO), all Ni(II) complexes showed high activities in ethylene polymerization and produced highly branched amorphous polyethylene (up to 145 branches/1000 carbons). Interestingly, the sec-butyl branches were observed in polyethylene depending on polymerization temperature. Polymerization of 1-alkene (1-hexene, 1-octene, 1-decene and 1-hexadecene) with C1-MMAO at room temperature resulted in branched polyolefins with narrow Mw/Mn values (ca. 1.2), which suggested a living polymerization. The polymerization results indicated the possibility of precise microstructure control, depending on the polymerization temperature and types of monomers.
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1. Introduction

Polymerization of ethylene and 1-alkenes plays pivotal roles in today’s polymer industry, because polyolefins are tremendously important in everyday life [1]. Since Brookhart and co-workers discovered Ni(II) and Pd(II) aryl-substituted α-diimine complexes for alkene polymerization [2–6], late transition metal catalysts have attracted interesting attention due to their high functionality. Living/controlled alkene polymerization is important to synthesize polymers with predictable molecular weights and narrow molecular weight distributions, end-functionalized polymers and well-defined block copolymers [7–13].

Highly branched polymers have been studied extensively in recent years. Compared to the corresponding linear polymer, highly branched polymers such as dendrimer and hyperbranched polymers possess unique physical properties stemming from their architectures [7]. One of the unique features of the diimine Ni(II) catalysts is the migration of the metal center along the growing polymer chain (chain-walking) so that the incoming next monomer unit is assembled onto the polymer backbone, giving branched polymers (Scheme 1). In 1-alkene polymerization with the Ni(II) catalysts, a large fraction of monomer inserts in a 2,1 manner. The following by chain-walking forms a 1, ω-enchainment (chain-straightening), resulting in a polyethylene sequence (Scheme 1iv). Wu et al. synthesized a camphyl α-diimine Ni(II) catalyst [13] which can polymerized ethylene, propylene and 1-alkenes in...
a living fashion under the optimized conditions. This catalyst exhibited high 1,3-enchainment fraction of 45% in propylene polymerization. Recently, Wang et al. reported synthesis of the polyethylene-based functionalized hyperbranched polymers using Pd(II) α-diimine catalyst [7].

Scheme 1. Mechanism for ethylene polymerization and 1-alkenes enchainment (L: NN = diimine Ligand; ω-position, where ω is the number of carbon atoms).

It is well known that the steric and electronic effects of α-diimine ligands can affect the catalytic properties for olefin polymerization [14–24], especially the sterically bulky ortho-substituted α-diimine Ni(II) catalysts capable of generating high molecular weight polymers. For example, Long et al. reported that some sterically bulky α-diimine Ni(II) catalysts bearing a dibenzhydryl moiety were highly active in ethylene polymerization, generating polyethylene with high molecular weight [20]. Recently, Rhinehart et al. reported that a robust 2,6-bis(diphenylmethyl)-substituted Ni(II) α-diimine catalyst [14] was highly active and remarkably thermally stable for ethylene polymerization. There are many papers for Ni(II) α-diimine complexes containing bulky substituents at 2,6-position on the aniline rings. However, the effect of para-position substituent of the phenyl groups on the catalytic behavior of α-diimine Ni(II) complexes and the property of resultant polymers has been reported only in a limited number of cases.

Recently, we reported that bulky chiral ortho-sec-diphenethyl-substituted [25,26] and ortho-phenyl substituted [27–29] α-diimine Ni(II) and Pd(II) complexes that exhibited high activities towards ethylene polymerization and produced highly-branched polyethylene upon activation with Et₂AlCl. In this context, we have become very interested in phenyl-substituted α-diimine ligand Ni(II) precursors for polymerization of ethylene and 1-alkenes at the different conditions. In this work, we report the synthesis and application of six α-diimine Ni(II) complexes containing phenyl groups, and investigated the effects of the ligand on polymerization of ethylene and 1-alkene (1-hexene, 1-octene, 1-decene and 1-hexadecene) in detail.

2. Experimental Section

2.1. General Considerations and Materials

All manipulations were performed under nitrogen gas using standard Schlenk techniques. Research grade ethylene and propylene were purified by passing it through a deoxygenation and a dry columns. Methylene chloride and o-dichlorobenzene were pre-dried with 4 Å molecular sieves and distilled from CaH₂ under dry nitrogen. Toluene, hexane, diethyl ether and 1,2-dimethoxyethane
(DME) were distilled from sodium/benzophenone under nitrogen atmosphere and distilled before use. 1-Hexene, 1-octene, 1-decene and 1-hexadecene were purchased from Kanto Chemical Co., on Aldrich Chemical Company (Tokyo, Japan) were dried over CaH$_2$, and distilled before use. Et$_2$AlCl and MMAO were donated by Tosoh-Finechem. Pd(II) catalyst [30], [35], L4 [27], L5 [29], L6 [31], C4 [27], C5 [29] and C7 [32] were prepared according to the literature procedures. Other chemicals were commercially obtained and purified with common procedures.

2.2. Instrumentation

$^1$H and $^{13}$C NMR spectra of compounds were recorded on a Varian 500 MHz spectrometer (Varian, Inc., Palo Alto, CA, USA) and a Varian 400 instrument (Varian, Inc., Palo Alto, CA, USA) at ambient temperature using tetramethylsilane as an internal standard. $^1$H and $^{13}$C NMR of the polymer was measured by a Varian 500 MHz spectrometer at 130 $^\circ$C using o-dichlorobenzene/1,1,2,2-tetrachloroethane-d$_2$ (3:1) as a solvent or at room temperature using o-dichlorobenzene/chloroform-d (1:1). IR spectra were recorded on a JASCO FT/IR-300E spectrophotometer (Jasco, Corp., Tokyo, Japan) on KBr pellets. Elemental analysis was carried out using a Flash EA 1112 micro-analyzer (Thermo electron corporation, Waltham, MA, USA). The molecular weight of the polymer was determined by a Tosoh HLC-8320GPC chromatograph (Tosoh Asia Pte. Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) at 40 $^\circ$C using tetrahydrofuran (THF) as an eluent, calibrated with polystyrene standard. DSC analysis of the polymer was performed by a SII EXSTAR6000 system (Hitachi, Tokyo, Japan).

2.3. Synthesis and Characterizations

2.3.1. Synthesis of Aniline Derivatives 1–3

Synthesis of 2,6-Dimethyl-4-Phenylaniline 1

4-Bromo-2,6-dimethylaniline (0.40 g, 2.00 mmol), phenylboronic acid (0.26 g, 2.10 mmol), K$_2$CO$_3$ (0.55 g, 4.00 mmol) and Pd-Cat. (0.026 g, 0.040 mmol), were placed in a 100-mL flask and allowed to stir at room temperature for 12 h, in the presence of PEG-400/H$_2$O (30 mL/1.0 mL). The mixture was extracted three times with 10 mL diethyl ether. The combined organic phase was dried over MgSO$_4$, filtered, and the solvent was removed. The residue was purified by chromatography on silica gel with petroleum ether/ethyl acetate ($\nu$/ $\nu$= 25:1) to give 2,6-dimethyl-4-phenylaniline 1 (0.34 g, 86% yield).

$^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$, ppm): $\delta$ 7.45–7.50 (m, 2H, aryl–H), 7.28–7.35 (m, 2H, aryl–H), 7.13–7.21 (m, 3H, aryl–H), 3.54 (s, 2H, –NH$_2$), 2.15 (s, 6H, –C$_3$H$_3$).

$^{13}$C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$, ppm): $\delta$ 142.22, 141.41, 130.90, 128.50, 126.93, 126.42, 125.98, 121.90, 17.73 (–C$_3$H$_3$).

Synthesis of 2,4-Dimethyl-6-Phenylaniline 2

Using 2-bromo-4,6-dimethylaniline (0.40 g, 2.00 mmol) and phenylboronic acid (0.26 g, 2.10 mmol), 2,4-dimethyl-6-phenylaniline 2 was obtained as oily liquid (0.29 g, 74% yield).

$^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$, ppm): $\delta$ 7.45–7.50 (m, 2H, aryl–H), 7.28–7.35 (m, 2H, aryl–H), 7.13–7.21 (m, 3H, aryl–H), 3.54 (s, 2H, –NH$_2$), 2.15 (s, 6H, –CH$_3$), 2.25 (s, 3H, –CH$_3$), 130.90, 128.50, 126.93, 126.42, 125.98, 121.90, 17.73 (–CH$_3$).

$^{13}$C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$, ppm): $\delta$ 142.22, 141.41, 139.92, 138.97, 130.35, 129.17, 128.69, 128.65, 127.61, 126.97, 122.65, 20.34 (–CH$_3$), 17.47 (–CH$_3$).

Synthesis of 2-Methyl-4,6-Diphenylaniline 3

Using 2,4-dibromo-6-methylaniline (0.53 g, 2.00 mmol) and phenylboronic acid (0.52 g, 4.20 mmol), and applying the same synthetic procedure for 1, 2 was obtained as oily liquid (0.29 g, 74% yield).

$^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$, ppm): $\delta$ 7.50 (d, $J$ = 8.4 Hz, 2H, aryl–H), 7.37–7.44 (m, 4H, aryl–H), 7.27–7.33 (m, 4H, aryl–H), 7.16–7.21 (m, 2H, aryl–H), 3.69 (s, 2H, –NH$_2$), 2.22 (s, 3H, –CH$_3$). 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$, ppm): $\delta$ 141.17, 141.12, 139.71, 131.02, 129.23, 128.85, 128.59, 128.34, 127.76, 127.24, 126.94, 126.43, 126.18, 122.77, 18.09 (–CH$_3$).
2.3.2. Synthesis of Ligands L1–L3

Synthesis of bis[N,N’-(2,6-Dimethyl-4-Phenylphenyl)imino]Acenaphthene L1

Formic acid (0.20 mL) was added to a stirred solution of 1,2-acenaphthylenedione (0.091 g, 0.50 mmol) and 1 (0.22 g, 1.10 mmol) in MeOH (30 mL). The mixture was refluxed for 12 h, then cooled and the precipitate was separated by filtration. The solid was recrystallized from MeOH/CH₂Cl₂ (v/v = 15:1), washed with cold ethanol and dried under vacuum (0.22 g, 80% yield). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, ppm): δ 7.92 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H, naphtha–H), 7.73 (m, 4H, naphtha–H), 7.41–7.49 (m, 8H, aryl–H), 7.26 (s, 4H, aryl–H), 6.87 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H, aryl–H), 2.22 (s, 12H, –CH₃). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃, ppm): δ 160.43 (C=N), 148.64, 140.97, 140.65 (naphtha–C), 136.35, 131.05 (naphtha–C), 129.58, 129.02 (naphtha–C), 126.69, 128.32 (naphtha–C), 126.93, 126.79, 126.71, 125.28 (naphtha–C), 122.64 (naphtha–C), 17.99 (–CH₃). Anal. Calcd. for C₄₀H₃₂N₂: C, 88.85; H, 5.97; N, 5.18. Found: C, 88.91; H, 6.02; N, 5.14. FT-IR (KBr): 1640 cm⁻¹ (v C=N).

Synthesis of bis[N,N’-(2,4-Dimethyl-6-Phenylphenyl)imino]Acenaphthene L2

Using the same synthetic procedure for L1, L2 was obtained as an orange-red powder (0.20 g, 74% yield). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, ppm): δ 7.72 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H, naphtha–H), 7.45 (m, 4H, naphtha–H), 7.26–7.35 (m, 2H, aryl–H), 7.07–7.12 (m, 8H, aryl–H), 7.00 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 2H, aryl–H), 7.69 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H, aryl–H), 2.44 (s, 6H, –CH₃). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃, ppm): δ 160.43 (C=N), 145.73, 140.49, 139.65 (naphtha–C), 134.44, 130.65 (naphtha–C), 129.80, 129.58, 129.35 (naphtha–C), 128.66, 128.46 (naphtha–C), 127.88, 127.72, 127.41, 126.25, 125.94 (naphtha–C), 124.35 (naphtha–C), 20.98 (–CH₃), 17.99 (–CH₃). Anal. Calcd. for C₄₀H₃₂N₂: C, 88.85; H, 5.97; N, 5.18. Found: C, 88.95; H, 6.00; N, 5.11. FT-IR (KBr): 1641 cm⁻¹ (v C=N).

Synthesis of bis[N,N’-(2-Methyl-4,6-Diphenylphenyl)imino]Acenaphthene L3

Using the same synthetic procedure for L1, L3 was obtained as orange-red powder (0.36 g, 70% yield). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, ppm): δ 7.96–8.01 (m, 4H, naphtha–H), 7.86 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H, naphtha–H), 7.60–7.67 (m, 4H, aryl–H), 7.51 (s, 2H, aryl–H), 7.38–7.42 (m, 4H, aryl–H), 7.29–7.35 (m, 6H, aryl–H), 7.67 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H, aryl–H), 7.96–7.00 (m, 2H, aryl–H), 7.83 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H, aryl–H), 2.12 (s, 6H, –CH₃). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃, ppm): δ 160.03 (C=N), 146.51, 142.89, 140.37 (naphtha–C), 139.19, 137.57, 131.95 (naphtha–C), 130.79 (naphtha–C), 130.57, 129.32 (naphtha–C), 129.14, 128.83, 128.48, 128.20, 127.87, 127.22, 127.01, 126.80, 126.26 (naphtha–C), 122.71, 122.02 (naphtha–C), 18.36 (–CH₃). Anal. Calcd. for C₄₀H₃₆N₂: C, 90.33; H, 5.46; N, 4.21. Found: C, 90.29; H, 5.51; N, 4.26. FT-IR (KBr): 1639 cm⁻¹ (v C=N).

2.3.3. Synthesis of Complexes C1–C3 and C6

Synthesis of bis[N,N’-(2,6-Dimethyl-4-Phenylphenyl)imino]AcenaphtheneDibromonickel C1

[(DME)NiBr₂] (0.12 g, 0.40 mmol) and L1 (0.22 g, 0.40 mmol) were combined in a Schlenk flask under a N₂ atmosphere. CH₂Cl₂ (20 mL) was added, and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 24 h. The resulting suspension was filtered. The solvent was removed under vacuum and the residue was washed with diethyl ether (3 × 15 mL), and then dried under vacuum at room temperature to give Ni(II) complex C1 (0.26 g, 86% yield). Anal. Calcd. for C₄₀H₃₂Br₂N₂Ni: C, 63.28; H, 4.25; N, 3.69. Found: C, 63.34; H, 4.30; N, 3.75. FT-IR (KBr): 1639 cm⁻¹ (v C=N). Single crystals of complex C1 suitable for X-ray analysis were obtained at room temperature by dissolving the nickel complex in CH₂Cl₂, following by slow layering of the resulting solution with n-hexane.
Synthesis of \{\text{bis}[N,N'-(2,4-Dimethyl-6-Phenylphenyl)imino]Acenaphthene}\text{Dibromonickel} \text{C}_2

Using the same synthetic procedure for \text{C}_1, \text{C}_2 was obtained as a dark-red powder (0.28 g, 88% yield). Anal. Calcd. for $\text{C}_{40}\text{H}_{32}\text{Br}_2\text{N}_2\text{Ni}$: C, 63.28; H, 4.25; N, 3.69. Found: C, 63.31; H, 4.28; N, 3.76. FT-IR (KBr): 1635 cm$^{-1}$ ($\nu_{\text{C=N}}$).

Synthesis of \{\text{bis}[N,N'-(2-Methyl-4,6-Diphenylphenyl)imino]Acenaphthene}\text{Dibromonickel} \text{C}_3

Using the same synthetic procedure for \text{C}_1, \text{C}_3 was obtained as a dark-red powder (0.32 g, 90% yield). Anal. Calcd. for $\text{C}_{50}\text{H}_{36}\text{Br}_2\text{N}_2\text{Ni}$: C, 67.98; H, 4.11; N, 3.17. Found: C, 68.05; H, 4.07; N, 3.13. FT-IR (KBr): 1638 cm$^{-1}$ ($\nu_{\text{C=N}}$).

Synthesis of \{\text{bis}[N,N'-(2,4,6-Triphenylphenyl)imino]Acenaphthene}\text{Dibromonickel} \text{C}_6

Using the same synthetic procedure for \text{C}_1, \text{C}_6 was obtained as a dark-red powder (0.35 g, 87% yield). Anal. Calcd. for $\text{C}_{60}\text{H}_{40}\text{Br}_2\text{N}_2\text{Ni}$: C, 71.53; H, 4.00; N, 2.78. Found: C, 71.59; H, 3.96; N, 2.81. FT-IR (KBr): 1637 cm$^{-1}$ ($\nu_{\text{C=N}}$).

2.4. X-ray Structure Determinations

Single crystals of complex \text{C}_1 suitable for X-ray analysis was obtained by dissolving the Ni(II) complex in CH$_2$Cl$_2$, followed by slow layering of the resulting solution with $n$-hexane. Data collections were performed at 296(2) K on a Bruker SMART APEX diffractometer with a CCD area detector, using graphite monochromated MoKα radiation ($\lambda = 0.71073$ Å). The determination of crystal class and unit cell parameters was carried out by the SMART program package. The raw frame data were processed using SAINT and SADABS to yield the reflection data file. The structures were solved by using the SHELXTL program. Refinement was performed on $F^2$ anisotropically for all non-hydrogen atoms by the full-matrix least-squares method. The hydrogen atoms were placed at the calculated positions and were included in the structure calculation without further refinement of the parameters. Crystal data, data collection, and refinement parameters are listed in Table S1 (Supplementary Materials).

2.5. Ethylene Polymerization

Ethylene polymerization was performed in a 100-mL glass reactor equipped with a magnetic stirrer. After drying the reactor under N$_2$ atmosphere, toluene was added to the reactor. The solvent was then saturated with a prescribed ethylene pressure (1.2 atm). The co-catalyst (Et$_2$AlCl or MMAO) was added in Al/Ni molar ratios in the range of 200–1000 to the reactor via a syringe, the solution was thermostated to the desired temperature and allowed to equilibrate for 10 min. Then, the catalyst solution in toluene was added to the reactor. The polymerization, conducted under 1.2 atm of ethylene pressure, was terminated with 200 mL of a 3% HCl–MeOH solution. The polymers obtained were adequately washed with methanol and dried under vacuum at 60 °C for 6 h.

2.6. 1-Alkenes Polymerization

Polymerization of 1-alkene (1-hexene, 1-octene, 1-decene and 1-hexadecene) was carried out in a 100-mL glass reactor equipped with a magnetic stirrer. After drying the reactor under N$_2$ atmosphere, toluene was added to the reactor. 1-Alkene was added to the toluene kept at polymerization temperature via a syringe. Then the co-catalyst MMAO was added to the toluene and the mixture was stirred for 10 min. Polymerization was started by the addition of the catalyst solution. Polymerization was terminated with 200 mL of a 3% HCl–MeOH solution. The polymers obtained were adequately washed with methanol and dried under vacuum at 60 °C for 6 h.
3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Synthesis and Characterization of the Organic Compounds and Their Complexes C1–C7

The synthesis of ligands L1–L7 and their complexes C1–C7 are outlined in Scheme 2. After the protection of the amino group by acetic acid, the aniline derivatives were brominated. The Suzuki coupling reaction of the bromoaniline derivatives and phenylboronic acid catalyzed by a Pd(II) catalyst (Pd-Cat.) in PEG-400/H2O led to the corresponding phenyl-substituted aniline derivatives 1–6. The ligands L1–L7 were prepared by the condensation of two equivalents of the appropriate aniline with one equivalent of acenaphthquinone, in the presence of formic acid or p-toluenesulfonic acid, as a catalyst. Compounds L1–L7 were well characterized by IR, 1H NMR, 13C NMR spectroscopy and elemental analysis.

\[ \text{NH}_2 + \text{B(OH)}_2 \xrightarrow{\text{Pd-Cat.}} \text{HCOOH, MeOH or p-TsOH, Benzene} \]

\[ \text{Ni} \xrightarrow{\text{PEG-400}} \text{L1–L7} \]

Scheme 2. Synthesis of α-diimine ligands L1–L7 and their Ni(II) complexes C1–C7.

The reaction of equimolar amounts of NiBr2(DME) and the α-diimine ligands L1–L7 in CH2Cl2 led to the displacement of 1,2-dimethoxyethane and afforded the Ni(II) complexes C1–C7 as a moderately air-stable deep red microcrystalline solid in good yields. These complexes were characterized by IR spectroscopy and elemental analysis. The elemental analysis of complex C1 fitted the molecular structure obtained by the X-ray structural studies (see below).

3.2. X-ray Crystallographic Studies

The molecular structures of complex C1 was confirmed by single-crystal X-ray diffraction and the corresponding ORTEP diagram is shown in Figure 1. Crystal data, data collection and refinement parameters are listed in Table S1 (Supplementary Materials). The coordination geometry around the metal center is tetrahedral for the Ni(II) complex C1. The molecular structure of the Ni(II) complex C7 was reported in the light of our recent publication (Figure S1) [29], and C7 was also reported in the literature [32].

The molecular structure with selected bond distances and angles for complex C1 are shown in Figure 1. The X-ray structure analysis indicates that complex C1 has a pseudo-tetrahedral geometry about the Ni(II) center, showing C2v molecular symmetry. The two methyl in the 2,6-position of the benzenamine fragments in C1 located above and below the NiI coordinative geometry plane (Figure 1a). The aryl rings of each of the α-diimines lie nearly perpendicular to the plane formed by the nickel and coordinated nitrogen atoms, and face each other in a staggered vertical conformation (Figure 1b,c). The two imino C=N bonds have typical double bond character with C9=N1 bond lengths of 1.290 Å. Its structure is similar to those reported in the literature for a [NiBr2(α-diimine)] compound characterized by X-ray diffraction, \{bis[N,N′-(2,4,6-trimethylphenyl)imino]acenaphthene]dibromonickel\ C7 [32]. In fact, the Ni–N bond
distances in complex C1 (2.041 Å) are similar to those determined for the complex C7 (2.021 Å), and the Ni–Br bond distances in complexes C1 and C7 are almost identical (2.3343 Å for complex C1 vs. 2.323 Å for complex C7). In addition, the N–Ni–Br angles (112.96° for complex C1) are also approximate to those for complex C7 (114.4°).

![Figure 1. Molecular structures of the catalyst precursor C1 with 50% probability level, and H atoms have been omitted for clarity. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (deg) for complexes: Br1–Ni1, 2.3343(12); Ni1–Ni, 2.041(5); N1–C9, 1.290(9); N1–C8, 1.427(8); Br1–Ni1–Br1i, 117.34(8); N1–Ni1–Br1i, 112.96(6); C9–N1–C8, 118.0(6).](image)

3.3. Ethylene Polymerization

Effects of co-catalyst and polymerization conditions on ethylene polymerization were initially explored using complex C1. At 20 °C, the influence of the [Al]/[Ni] with Et2AlCl was investigated by increasing the [Al]/[Ni] molar ratio from 200 to 1000 (entries 1–5, Table 1). The activity of complex C1 did not significantly depend on the [Al]/[Ni] ratio and the highest activity of $2.08 \times 10^6$ g PE/(mol Ni h) was achieved with the [Al]/[Ni] ratio of 600 (entry 3, Table 1). In comparison C1-MMAO system exhibited higher activity than the C1-MMAO system (entries 3 and 11, Table 1).

Then, the influence of the polymerization temperature was studied by varying the temperature from 0 to 80 °C (entries 3 and 6–14, Table 1) at the [Al]/[Ni] molar ratio of 600 for 10 min with the Et2AlCl and the MMAO systems. The observed activities decreased as the reaction temperature increased from 0 to 80 °C. It is worth mentioning, however, that the polymerization at 80 °C still maintained good activity, $1.03 \times 10^6$ g PE/(mol Ni h) in the case of MMAO (entry 14, Table 1). The lower activities at higher temperatures are ascribed to the partial deactivation of the active species and the lower solubility of ethylene in toluene at elevated temperatures [6].

Along with increasing the Al/Ni ratio (entries 1–5, Table 1), there have been no significant changes in the polymer molecular weight trend. On the other hand, the polymer molecular weight was monotonously decreased with elevating the temperature with narrow molecular weight distributions of $M_w/M_n < 2$. The maximum molecular weight was observed at 0 °C (entries 6 and 10, Table 1), while the higher temperature produced the polyethylene with a lower molecular weight (entries 8, 9, 13 and 14, Table 1). This means that fast chain transfer take place at higher temperatures. In general, compared with the systems using MMAO, the resulting polyethylenes with Et2AlCl showed somewhat lower molecular weights.

The polymerization of ethylene with α-diimine Ni(II) complexes typically provides highly branched amorphous polyethylenes through a chain-walking mechanism (Scheme 1i). Polymer branching can be controlled by variation of temperature, and increases dramatically with temperature. As shown in
The branching numbers of 60 and 80 °C rival those obtained with palladium catalysts [3,4].

Table 1, C1-Et₂AlCl produced polyethylene with branching numbers/1000 C of 105 at 20 °C, 119 at 40 °C, 134 at 60 °C and 140 at 80 °C (entries 3 and 7–9). A similar trend was observed with C1-MMAO, PE with branching numbers/1000 C of 121 at 20 °C, 133 at 40 °C, 139 at 60 °C and 145 at 80 °C (entries 11–14). The branching numbers of 60 and 80 °C rival those obtained with palladium catalysts [3,4].

Table 1. Results of ethylene polymerization with nickel complexes C1–C7. a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>cat.</th>
<th>co-cat.</th>
<th>[Al]/[Ni]</th>
<th>T (°C)</th>
<th>Yield (g)</th>
<th>act. b</th>
<th>Mₖ a (×10⁶)</th>
<th>Mₚ/Mₖ c</th>
<th>B d</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>C1</td>
<td>Et₂AlCl</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>1.32</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>C1</td>
<td>Et₂AlCl</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1.57</td>
<td>1.88</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>C1</td>
<td>Et₂AlCl</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1.73</td>
<td>2.08</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>1.73</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>C1</td>
<td>Et₂AlCl</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>1.99</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>C1</td>
<td>Et₂AlCl</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>1.74</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>1.82</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>C1</td>
<td>Et₂AlCl</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.79</td>
<td>2.15</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>1.85</td>
<td>nd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>C1</td>
<td>Et₂AlCl</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>1.87</td>
<td>119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>C1</td>
<td>Et₂AlCl</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>1.87</td>
<td>134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>C1</td>
<td>Et₂AlCl</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>1.79</td>
<td>140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>C1</td>
<td>MMAO</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.62</td>
<td>3.13</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>1.71</td>
<td>113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>C1</td>
<td>MMAO</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1.81</td>
<td>2.17</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>1.63</td>
<td>121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>C1</td>
<td>MMAO</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>1.43</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>C1</td>
<td>MMAO</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>1.32</td>
<td>139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>C1</td>
<td>MMAO</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>1.86</td>
<td>145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>C1</td>
<td>MMAO</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1.82</td>
<td>2.22</td>
<td>16.1</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>C1</td>
<td>MMAO</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>1.94</td>
<td>2.33</td>
<td>16.9</td>
<td>1.84</td>
<td>124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>C4</td>
<td>MMAO</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2.13</td>
<td>2.56</td>
<td>17.2</td>
<td>1.95</td>
<td>106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>C5</td>
<td>MMAO</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>1.84</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>C6</td>
<td>MMAO</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2.60</td>
<td>3.12</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>1.92</td>
<td>125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>C7</td>
<td>MMAO</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>1.72</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Polymerization conditions: Ni = 5.0 μmol; ethylene relative pressure 0.2 bar, ethylene absolute pressure 1.2 bar; time: 10 min; solvent = toluene (30 mL); b Activity was calculated as the 10 g of polymer per mole of catalyst per hour, in unit of 10⁶ g PE/(mol Ni h); c Mₖ in 10⁴ g/mol, determined by GPC against polystyrene standard; d Branching density, branches/1000 C = (CH₂⁺CH₂)/[(CH + CH₂ + CH₃)/2] × 1000, determined by ¹H NMR (Equation (S1)) [33]; e nd: not determined.

It is known that the catalytic activity and the molecular weight of the produced polymers have a close correlation with the steric and electronic characteristics of the Ni(II) α-diimine complexes [24]. All the Ni(II) pre-catalysts C1–C7 were applied to ethylene polymerization activated by MMAO at 20 °C under 1.2 atm of ethylene (entries 11 and 15–20, Table 1).

Five α-diimine Ni(II) C2–C6/MMAO catalytic systems are highly active for ethylene polymerization due to the bulky ortho-phenyl substituents on the aryl rings of α-diimine Ni(II) complexes [C6-MMAO, the highest catalytic activity: 3.12 × 10⁶ g PE/(mol Ni h)] (entry 19, Table 1). Complex C1 bearing a phenyl group in the para-aryl position, activated by MMAO, exhibited higher activity toward ethylene polymerization than the corresponding methyl substituted complex C7 [activity: 2.17 × 10⁶ g PE/(mol Ni h); at 20 °C] (entries 11 and 20, Table 1), which may was attributed to the ability of the conjugation effect phenyl substituent in the para-aryl position. As a result, the activity was found to decrease in the following order: C6 ≥ C4 ≥ C3 ≥ C2 ≥ C1 ≥ C5 > C7. The catalytic performances could not be explained with the sole factor of either steric or electronic influences, but likely were caused by a combination of both steric and electronic effects [6].

According to the GPC measurement, all obtained polyethylenes generally showed a high molecular weight (Mₖ > 10⁵ g/mol) and a narrow polydispersity at room temperature (entries 11 and 15–20, Table 1), and the molecular weight decreased slightly in the order: C6 ≥ C4 ≥ C3 ≥ C2 ≥ C1 ≥ C5 > C7. These results indicated that the rate of chain propagation was greatly promoted by the para- and ortho-phenyl groups of the ligand’s aryl rings.

The performance of the α-diimine Ni(II) complexes are significantly affected by position phenyl-substituents on the arylene rings. Highly branched polyethylenes can be produced at lower ethylene pressures and higher temperatures with Ni(II) catalyst systems [19]. The chain branching degree of the polyethylenes produced was determined by ¹H NMR spectroscopy. As shown in Table 1,
the catalyst systems C1-Et2AlCl and C1-MMAO the branching numbers are 105 and 121, respectively (entries 3 and 11, Table 1). These numbers are substantially higher than those for C7 (entry 19, Table 1) and related systems [31]. The number of chain branching was also depended on the pre-catalysts and decreased as follows: C6 (125) > C3 (124) > C1 (121) > C4 (106) > C2 (103) > C5 (94) > C7 (81). The results indicated that the para-phenyl substituted α-diimine Ni(II) complexes (C6, C3 and C1) afford polyethylenes with a considerably higher branching degree than the corresponding para-methyl substituted complexes (C4, C2, C5 and C7), which may was attributed to the conjugation ability of the phenyl substituent in the para-aryl position.

We recorded the $^{13}$C NMR spectra of the polymers obtained at 0–80 °C using C1 to evaluate the microstructure and properties of the polymers in detail, and the results are summarized in Table 2. The spectra obtained were analyzed according to the literature [22,23]. We have previously reported that the polyethylene produced by an chiral naphthy-α-diimine Ni(II)-Et2AlCl at 40 °C possessed short chain branches (such as methyl, ethyl, propyl and butyl) and long chain branches [26].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry/cocat.</th>
<th>T (°C)</th>
<th>$T_g$ (°C)</th>
<th>Total CH₃ b</th>
<th>CH₃/1000 C b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3/Et2AlCl</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>−57.0</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>74 Me</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/Et2AlCl</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>−58.8</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>84 Et</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/MMAO</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>−56.7</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>88 Pr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/MMAO</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>−58.3</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>88 Bu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/MMAO</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>−60.6</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>96 sec-Bu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13/MMAO</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>−65.5</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>105 sec-Bu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14/MMAO</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>−68.2</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>105 Lg (n ≥ 5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a Determined using DSC; b Branches per 1000 C, determined by $^{13}$C NMR (Equation (S3), Figures S12 and S16–S21).

The polymerization of ethylene with phenyl-substituted α-diimine Ni(II) complex C1 typically provides highly branched amorphous polyethylenes through a chain-walking mechanism. For example, the polyethylene produced at 20 °C possessed 122 branches/1000 C including 88 methyl, 9 ethyl, 3 propyl, 3 butyl, 2 sec-butyl and 17 longer chains (entry 11, Table 2), while the polyethylene obtained at 80 °C (entry 14, Table 2) had 146 branches/1000 C, containing 88 methyl, 16 ethyl, 2 propyl, 8 butyl, 10 sec-butyl and 22 longer chains. It was also found that polyethylene with 105 branches/1000 C (including 74 methyl, 7 ethyl, 5 propyl, 5 butyl and 14 longer chains) were obtained at 20 °C by C1-Et2AlCl (entry 3, Table 2). The rationale for the formation of the major types of branches (methyl, ethyl, propyl, butyl and longer chains) in the polyethylenes obtained in this work is shown in Scheme 1i.

The DSC traces for these highly branched polyethylenes were exhibited in the Table 2 (Figure S47). The results show no melting points ($T_m$), indicating that the obtained polymers are amorphous elastomers due to highly branched structure. Similar to classic nickel α-diimine catalysts, the glass transition temperatures ($T_g$) of the polymers are low in the range from −56.7 to −68.2 °C, $T_g$ decreased with increasing temperature (entries 10–14, Table 1). The increase in branching with an increase in temperature results from an increase in the rate of chain-walking relative to the rate of insertion [13].

The $^{13}$C NMR analysis of the polyethylenes revealed the presence of a hyperbranched (branch on branch) moiety, specifically a sec-butyl branch (Table 2 and Figure 2). In the $^{13}$C NMR spectrum of the polyethylene reported resonances at 12.17 and 19.87 ppm confirm the formation of sec-butyl branches [12]. As shown in Table 2 and Figure 2, the density of sec-butyl branches was dependent on reaction temperature and cocatalyst. Table 2 indicated that the formation of sec-butyl branches produced by C1-Et2AlCl was more suppressed than C1-MMAO (entries 3, 7, 11 and 12, Table 2). Although the polyethylene branching increased dramatically with temperature, the density of each branch of polyethylenes has a different changing trend against polymerization temperature. The number of sec-butyl branches determined by $^{13}$C NMR increased as the polymerization temperature raised
in the range from 0 to 60 °C (entries 10–13, Table 2), the maximum being reached at 60 °C (entry 13), and then the number of sec-butyl branches decreased slightly with an increase temperature (entries 13 and 14). Besides, the number of ethyl branches increased slightly with an increase temperature (entries 10–14, Table 2), while the influence of polymerization temperature on the number of methyl and propyl branches were not observed.

![Figure 2. 13C NMR spectrum of polyethylenes obtained with C1-MMAO at 0–80 °C (A and B refer to methyl carbon of sec-butyl branches, entries 10–14, Table 1).](image)

3.4. Higher 1-Alkenes Polymerization

Higher 1-alkene polymerizations (1-hexene, 1-octene, 1-decene and 1-hexadecene) were performed using complex C1 with the [Al]/[Ni] molar ratio of 300 for 30 min with MMAO as a cocatalyst. The results listed in Table 3 show that the catalytic system exhibited good activity for higher 1-alkene polymerization to produce polymers with high molecular weight and narrow molecular weight distribution ($M_w/M_n = 1.04–1.41$), indicating the living characteristics of the polymerizations.

**Table 3. Polymerization of higher 1-alkene [1-hexene (H), 1-octene (O), 1-decene (D) and 1-hexadecene (HD)] with C1-MMAO.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Monomer</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>$T$ (°C)</th>
<th>Yield (g)</th>
<th>act. $^b$</th>
<th>$M_n$ $^c$ ($\times 10^4$)</th>
<th>$M_w/M_n$ $^c$</th>
<th>B $^d$</th>
<th>$\omega$,1 $^e$ (%)</th>
<th>$\text{CH}_3/\text{1000C}$ $^f$</th>
<th>Me</th>
<th>Pr</th>
<th>Bu</th>
<th>Lg</th>
<th>CH$_3$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>31.2</td>
<td>1.32</td>
<td>142/34</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>121/42</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>107/29</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>108</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>97/35</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>96</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>226</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>93/22</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>91</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>91/23</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>86</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>87/26</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>85</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>85/27</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>81</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>81/30</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>79</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>79/32</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>76</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>76/34</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>73</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>HD</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>30.3</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>50/27</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>46</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>HD</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>48/30</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>43</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>HD</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>46/33</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>42</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Polymerization conditions: Ni = 10 μmol; cocatalyst MMAO, [Al]/[Ni] = 300; higher 1-alkene = 10 mmol; 30 min; solvent: toluene; $^b$ Activity in kg polymer/(mol Ni h); $^c$ $M_n$ in 10$^4$ g/mol, determined by GPC against polystyrene standard; $^d$ Branches per 1000 C, which were determined by $^1$H NMR spectra; $^e$ $\omega$,1-Enchainment was calculated by the following equation: $\omega$,1 = $[1000−(\omega−2)B]/(1000 + 2B)$ (Equation (S2)); $^f$ From $^{13}$C NMR.
The catalytic activity and polymer molecular weight in the polymerization have a close correlation with the length of monomer, monomer concentration and reaction temperature [4,13]. When the high monomer concentration of 1.5 M, C1-MMAO displayed higher activity toward the 1-alkene polymerization to produce polymers with high molecular weight [activity: 126 ~ 238 kg polymer/(mol Ni h); $M_n$: 1.52 ~ 3.12 × 10^5 g/mol] in comparison with the low monomer concentration of 0.3 M (entries 1~5, 8, 12 and 14, Table 3). However, C1-MMAO afforded polymers with very narrow molecular weight distribution ($M_w/M_n = 1.04$~1.09) with relatively low monomer concentration of 0.3 M, indicating the living characteristics of the polymerizations. As the length of the monomer increases, the catalytic activity and polymer molecular weight increased with relatively high monomer concentration of 1.5 M. However, catalytic activity increased, and then decreased with the low monomer concentration of 0.3 M. For example, the polymerization of 1-hexadecene was conducted by C1-MMAO with the low monomer concentration of 0.3 M, displayed relatively lower activity and obtained polymer with high molecular weight (entries 13 and 14, Table 3).

The 1-decene polymerization was conducted by C1-MMAO at temperatures from −20 to 80 °C with the low monomer concentration of 0.3 M (entries 6~11, Table 3). With an increase in the polymerization temperature, the maximum activity was observed at 20 °C (entry 8, Table 3), and the maximum $M_n$ was observed at 40 °C (entry 9, Table 3). In addition, the higher temperature resulted in a lower activity and produced the poly(1-decene) with a lower molecular weight (entries 10 and 11, Table 3). This means that both the deactivation of active species and fast chain transfer take place at elevated temperatures [4], which was consistent with the analogous pre-catalysts [13]. The narrow polydispersity ($M_w/M_n = 1.09$~1.41) was observed for all poly(1-decene)s obtained, and $M_w/M_n$ value gradually increased by raising the temperatures from 0 to 80 °C.

Polymerization of 1-decene was investigated with C1-MMAO at 20 °C with the [Al]/[Ni] molar ratio of 300, and the results are summarized in Table S3. Figure S11 shows the GPC curves of the polymers obtained at different polymerization time, which shifts to the higher molecular weight region with the increasing reaction time. Figure 3 shows the plots of $M_n$ and $M_w/M_n$ as a function of polymerization time. The plot of $M_n$ against polymerization time shows a good linear relationship accompanied by a very narrow molecular weight distribution ($M_w/M_n = 1.07$~1.11), and the $N$ value was almost constant during the polymerization (Table 3, see Supplementary Materials). The $M_n$ value also increased linearly against the conversion (Figure S10), and the $M_w/M_n$ value was kept narrow during the polymerization. This result verified that the 1-decene polymerization with C1-MMAO proceeded in a living manner at 20 °C within a certain period of time. For early transition metal catalysts, living polymerization of 1-alkene at room temperature has been achieved only in rare instances.

![Figure 3](image-url)  
**Figure 3.** Plots of $M_n$ (■) and $M_w/M_n$ (▲) as a function of reaction time for the polymerization of 1-decene (20 °C, Table S3).

The total branching level was calculated by $^1$H NMR spectroscopy. The branching degree of the poly(1-alkene) decreased with increasing chain length of the monomer: 1-hexene (142) > 1-octene.
(107) > 1-decene (93) > 1-hexadecene (50) (20 °C, entries 1, 3, 5 and 12, Table 3). The total branching degree of the obtained poly(1-alkene)s are always less branched than the theoretical value (1-hexene, 167/1000 C; 1-octene, 125/1000 C; 1-decene, 100/1000 C; 1-hexadecene, 63/1000 C). The deviation from the chain branching of regioregular polymers indicates that the 2,1-insertion of 1-alkene always results in ω,1-enchainment (Scheme 1). The monomer concentration determines the total branching number of the obtained polymer. An observed tendency is that increasing the monomer concentration results in a reducing total branching. For example, it drops from 142 to 121 branches/1000 C, 107 to 97 branches/1000 C, 93 to 85 branches/1000 C and from 50 to 46 branches/1000 C for poly(1-hexene), poly(1-octene), poly(1-decene) and poly(1-hexadecene), respectively (1.5 or 0.3 mol/L, entries 1–5, 8, 12 and 14, Table 3). These branching numbers were higher than those of the previously reported ones under the similar conditions [3,4,10,13]. For example, Leone et al. reported that a typical α-diimine Ni(II) catalyst gave poly(1-hexene) with 77–92 branches/1000 C and poly(1-octene) with 65–74 branches/1000 C at 20 °C, which were independent of the monomer concentration (0.2–2.5 mol/L) [10]. The branching degree of the obtained poly(1-decene)s decreased with the increasing temperature (entries 6–11, Table 3), which results from chain-walking.

All synthesized poly(1-alkene)s were characterized by 13C NMR for quantification of the total content of methyl groups and branching distribution on the basis of previous work [15]. The results are summarized in Table 3.

Poly(1-hexene)s show three major branch lengths (i.e., methyl, butyl and longer than hexyl branches) branch (Figures S22 and S23) while 1-octene (Figures S24 and S25), 1-decene (Figure 4) and 1-hexadecene (Figure 5) polymers have almost exclusively methyl and long branches, because these monomers give hexyl, octyl and tetradecyl branches respectively in 1,2-insertion (Scheme 1ii).

![Figure 4. 13C NMR spectra of poly(1-decene)s obtained with C1-MMAO at −20–80 °C (entries 6–10, Table 3).](image-url)
The long branches of poly(1-decene)s determined by $^{13}$C NMR decreased as the polymerization temperature raised in the range from −20 to 80 °C (Figure 4), while the number of methyl branches increased (entries 6–11, Table 3). The results indicated the chain-walking depended on the polymerization temperature, and the 10,1- and 10,2-enchainments increased with increasing the polymerization temperature (Scheme 1). Besides, the small amount of propyl branches did not significantly depend on the temperature.

The $^{13}$C NMR spectra of two poly(1-hexadecene)s obtained at 0 and 20 °C are also shown in Figure 5. The intense signal of methylene $-\text{CH}_2-$ sequences and low intense signals due to methyl and longer branches were detected. No carbons of adjacent methyl branches (14.5–17.5 ppm) and ethyl branch were observed in the spectra. This indicates that the insertion of 1-hexadecene into a secondary Ni–alkyl bond did not occur [2,10]. Therefore, the 2,1-insertion of 1-hexadecene always evolves into a 16,1-enchainment to give long methylene sequences (Scheme 1iv). Besides, the reaction temperature significantly determines the branch-type distribution. The predominance of longer branches at lower temperature (0 °C) means that the predominate 1,2-insertion followed by successive monomer insertion before isomerization (Scheme 1ii). The content of ω,1-enchainments slightly decreased with the length of the monomer increased (Scheme 1).

The monomer concentration also significantly affects the branch-type distribution, and the ratio of methyl and longer branches can be tuned by changing the monomer concentration [10]. The amount of methyl branches decreased with the increase of monomer concentration, while the amount of longer branches increased (Table 3). The increase of longer branches at high monomer concentration (1.5 mol/L) means that 1,2-insertion followed by successive monomer insertion is much faster than chain-walking (ω,2-enchainment, Scheme IIIi), which is well consistent with previous observation [10].

As shown in Table 3, it was found that the density of branches is primarily controlled by the length of the monomer employed [10]. Generally, the ratio of 1,2- versus 2,1-insertion is sensitive to the nature of the $\alpha$-diamine ligand, this behavior was reported by Brookhart when using [NiBr$_2$(IPPBIAN)]-MAO for the living polymerization of 1-hexene and 1-octene [4]. However, poly(1-alkene) produced by C1-MMAO exhibited a higher fraction of 1,2-insertions than polymers reported by Brookhart [4] and Guan [9], and poly(1-alkene)s exhibited lower fraction of ω,1-enchainment ranges from 22% to 42% (Table 3). The content of ω,1-enchainment decreased with increasing of monomer concentration,
while the content of $\omega_1$-enchainment increased with increasing of the polymerization temperature (entries 6–11, Table 3)

4. Conclusions

In summary, a series of $\alpha$-diimine ligands bearing phenyl groups and their Ni(II) complexes were prepared and characterized. The ligands were modified in an attempt to change coordination environment, steric effects and the electronic density of the metal center, eventually to improve the activity in the polymerization of ethylene/1-alkene and to control the microstructure of polymers. Upon activation with either Et$_2$AlCl or MMAO, these complexes showed high activities in ethylene polymerization and produced highly branched amorphous polyethylene (up to 145 branches/1000 C). Most interestingly, the sec-butyl branches were observed in polyethylene depending on reaction temperature and cocatalyst. Polymerization of 1-alkene (1-hexene, 1-octene, 1-decene and 1-hexadecene) with C1-MMAO at room temperature resulted in polyolefins with narrow $M_w/M_n$ values, which indicated a living polymerization. Living polymerization of 1-decene was observed at room temperature. These results indicate the possibility of precise microstructure control, depending on the polymerization temperature, monomer concentration and types of monomers, which in turn strongly affects the physical polymer properties.

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at www.mdpi.com/2073-4360/8/4/160/s1. Molecular structures of the complexes C1 and C5 (Figures S1 and S2), crystal data of complex C1 (Tables S1 and S2), NMR spectra of the organic compounds 1–3, L and L1–3 (Figures S3–S9), results of 1-decene polymerization (Table S3 and Figure S10), microstructure analysis of the polymers (Equations (S1)–(S3) and Table S4), NMR spectra of the polymers (Figures S12–S32), GPC and DSC curves of the polymers (Figures S11 and S33–S51).
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