Mechanism of Water Oxidation Catalyzed by a Dinuclear Ruthenium Complex Bridged by Anthraquinone
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Abstract: We synthesized 1,8-bis(2,2′,6′,2″-terpyrid-4′-yl)anthraquinone (btpyaq) as a new dimerizing ligand and determined its single crystal structure by X-ray analysis. The dinuclear Ruthenium complex [Ru2(μ-Cl)(bpy)2(btpyaq)](BF4)3 ([3](BF4)3, bpy = 2,2′-bipyridine) was used as a catalyst for water oxidation to oxygen with (NH4)2[Ce(NO3)6] as the oxidant (turnover numbers = 248). The initial reaction rate of oxygen evolution was directly proportional to the concentration of the catalyst and independent of the oxidant concentration. The cyclic voltammogram of [3](BF4)3 in water at pH 1.3 showed an irreversible catalytic current above +1.6 V (vs. SCE), with two quasi-reversible waves and one irreversible wave at E1/2 = +0.62, +0.82 V, and Epa = +1.13 V, respectively. UV-vis and Raman spectra of [3](BF4)3 with controlled-potential electrolysis at +1.40 V revealed that [Ru(IV)=O O=Ru(IV)]4+ is stable under electrolysis conditions. [Ru(III), Ru(II)] species are recovered after dissociation of an oxygen molecule from the active species in the catalytic cycle. These results clearly indicate that an O–O bond is formed via [Ru(V)=O O=Ru(IV)]5+.
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1. Introduction

Artificial photosynthesis is the conversion process from solar to chemical energy and includes carbon dioxide, proton, and nitrogen reduction by visible light irradiation, with water as the electron source [1–10]. Four-electron water oxidation to dioxygen is, therefore, an essential process for artificial photosynthesis, while there are several types of reduction processes. Water oxidation is the so-called “bottleneck of artificial photosynthesis” because of the simultaneous transfer of four electrons and the relatively high equilibrium electrode potential (1.23 V vs. NHE at pH 0) [11]. Many complexes containing Ru, Mn, Ir, Fe, Cu, and Co have been reported as molecular water oxidation catalysts [12–29]. One advantage of using molecular catalysts is the possibility of elucidating the reaction mechanism. A mechanism involving O–O bond formation from two water molecules is an especially attractive aspect from the viewpoint of fundamental chemistry. For Ru-complex catalysts, the O–O bond formation mechanisms proposed by different research groups can be roughly classified into two types: (1) nucleophilic-attack mechanisms; and (2) homo-coupling mechanisms (Scheme 1) [17,21,30–34]. In both mechanisms, high-valent Ru=O species (Ru(IV) or Ru(V)) are produced by proton-coupled electron transfer (PCET) reactions of a complex catalyst [35–39]. In the nucleophilic-attack mechanism, water or a hydroxide nucleophile attacks an electron-deficient oxo ligand of high-valent Ru=O
to form an O–O bond. Meyer et al. proposed a nucleophilic-attack mechanism, wherein an H$_2$O molecule attacks the oxo ligand of Ru(V)=O for water oxidation catalyzed by mono-nuclear Ruthenium complexes, [Ru(trpy)(bpm)(OH)$_2$]$^{2+}$ (trpy: 2,2′,6′,2″-terpyridine; bpm: 2,2′-bipyrimidine) and [Ru(Mebimpy)(bpy)(OH)$_2$]$^{2+}$ (Mebimpy: 2,6-bis(1-methylbenzimidazol-2-yl)pyridine; bpy: 2,2′-bipyridine) [40]. Significant electron-deficiency of the oxo ligand in the high-valent Ru=O is necessary to form the O–O bond because of the low nucleophilicity of water under acidic conditions. Recently, a mechanism involving nucleophilic attack of OH$^-$ to Ru(IV)=O in neutral and alkaline media was reported [41]. In contrast, radical species, Ru(III)=O$^*$ and Ru(IV)=O$^*$, which are in resonance with structures Ru(IV)=O and Ru(IV)=O, respectively, couple with each other to form O–O bonds in a homo-coupling mechanism. In particular, the homo-coupling mechanism is advantageous for dinuclear Ruthenium complexes containing a rigid bridging ligand. Llobet has reported a dinuclear Ruthenium complex, [{Ru(trpy)(H$_2$O)}$_2$(µ-bpp)]$^{3+}$ (bpp: 2,6-bis(pyridyl)pyrazolate), as a water oxidation catalyst, with an intramolecular coupling mechanism based on the results of isotope experiments for this catalytic system [42]. For a Ru(bda) (bda: 2,2′-bipyridine-6,6′-dicarboxylate) catalyst series by Sun, non-covalent interactions between the axial ligands of two catalyst molecules effectively promoted the intermolecular coupling of Ru(V)=O species [43–45]. Recently, a strong donor ligand in trans position to an oxo ligand was found to contribute with Mn$^{(n–1)+}$–O$^*$ species to the resonance structure of high-valent transition metal oxo complexes Mn$^{n+}$=O [46,47]. A strong electron-donor ligand generally shifts the redox potential of a complex to negative values [48]. To catalyze water oxidation with a small overpotential, a homo-coupling mechanism would therefore be more favorable than a nucleophilic-attack mechanism.

We reported the dinuclear Ruthenium complexes [1][SbF$_6$]$_2$ and [2][BF$_4$]$_3$ bridged by 1,8-bis(2,2′,6′,2″-terpyrid-4′-yl)anthracene (btpyan), which effectively catalyzed the chemical and electrochemical water oxidation (Scheme 2) [49–54]. A distinctive feature of the Ru$_2$(btpyan) complexes is a face-to-face structure comprising btpyan, which presents the advantage of an O–O bond being formed via intramolecular coupling of two high-valent Ru=O moieties. DFT calculations of [Ru$_2$(OH)$_2$(t-Bu$_2$q)$(btpyan)]$^{3+}$ (t-Bu$_2$q: 3,6-di-tert-butyl-1,2-benzoquinone, [1]$^{2+}$) by Muckerman [49,52], Yamaguchi [55–57], and Baik [58] revealed intramolecular homo-coupling mechanisms. Resonance Raman spectra of the chemically and electrochemically oxidized forms of [Ru$_2$(µ-Cl)(bpy)$_2$(btpyan)]$^{3+}$ ([2]$^{3+}$, bpy = 2,2′-bipyridine) showed an O–O vibration band at 824 cm$^{-1}$, which was shifted to 780 cm$^{-1}$ when chemical and electrochemical oxidation of [2]$^{3+}$ was conducted in H$_2$O$_{18}$ [49,50]. Therefore, water oxidation catalyzed by [2]$^{3+}$ proceeds via intramolecular coupling of two high-valent Ru=O species. However, the details of high-valent Ru=O coupling are not yet clear. In particular, the oxidation states of the active species in Ru=O coupling are not yet clear.

In this paper, we prepared a new bridging ligand, 1,8-bis(2,2′,6′,2″-terpyrid-4′-yl)anthraquinone (btpyaq), and a dinuclear Ruthenium complex bridged by btpyaq, [Ru$_2$(µ-Cl)(bpy)$_2$(btpyaq)][BF$_4$]$_3$ ([3][BF$_4$]$_3$, Scheme 2). The details of the water oxidation mechanism and the influence of the slight
structural differences between the anthracene and anthraquinone bridging ligands were investigated by spectroelectrochemical measurements and kinetic analysis.

2. Results and Discussion

2.1. Syntheses of Bis(terpyridyl)Anthraquinone and Dinuclear Ruthenium Complexes

A new bridging ligand, btpyaq, was prepared via a synthetic pathway (Scheme 3) similar to that for btpyan [51]. The reaction of 1,8-dichloroanthraquinone with two equiv. of bis(neopentyglycolato) diboron in the presence of 8 mol% of Pd$_2$(dba)$_3$ (dba = dibenzylideneacetone) and Xphos (2-dicyclohexylphosphino-2',4',6'-trisopropylbiphenyl) gave 1,8-anthraquinonediboronic acid bis(neopentyglycol) ether in 84% yield. Compared to the synthesis of 1,8-anthracenediboronic acid bis(neopentyglycol) ether because of the steric repulsion between the O and Cl atoms of 1,8-dichloroanthraquinone. The neopentyglycol ether was smoothly hydrolyzed to produce anthraquinone-1,8-diboronic acid, from which a Miyaura–Suzuki cross coupling reaction with 4′-{(trifluoromethylsulfonyl)oxy}-2,2′,4′,6′-terpyridine in the presence of Pd(PPh$_3$)$_4$ (10 mol%) afforded btpyan in 80% yield.

Suitable crystals of btpyaq for X-ray analysis were obtained from a benzene solution (Figure 1). The dihedral angles between two terpyridine moieties and the anthraquinone skeleton are 65.59 and 59.85°. The distance between N(2) and N(5) of the terpyridines is 7.061 Å, whereas that between
the carbon atoms at 1,8-positions of anthraquinone is 5.077 Å, as the steric repulsion of the O(2) of anthraquinone lengthens the distance between the two terpyridine moieties. This structural feature of btpyaq differs from that of the anthracene analog, btpyan, in which the two terpyridines are close to each other by π-stacking interactions [53].

Figure 1. Crystal structure of btpyaq (50% probability thermal ellipsoids). Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.

Reaction of btpyaq and two equivalents of RuCl$_3$·3H$_2$O afforded [Ru$_2$Cl$_6$(btpyaq)]. [Ru$_2$Cl$_2$(bpy)$_2$(btpqa)](SbF$_6$)$_2$ was prepared by the reaction of [Ru$_2$Cl$_6$(btpyaq)] with two equivalents of the bpy ligand in the presence of NEt$_3$ and purified using chromatographic techniques. The dinuclear Ruthenium complex [Ru$_2$(µ-Cl)(bpy)$_2$(btpqa)]([BF$_4$]$_3$ ([3](BF$_4$)$_3$) was prepared by Cl$^-$ abstraction reaction of the dichlorido complex, [Ru$_2$Cl$_2$(bpy)$_2$(btpqa)](SbF$_6$)$_2$, with AgBF$_4$ (Scheme 4). The distance between the two Ruthenium(II) atoms bridged by a single Cl$^-$ is typically within 5.2 Å and is shorter than that between N(2) and N(4) in the single crystal of btpyaq (Figure 1). This means that btpyaq has structural flexibility, and the distance between the two Ruthenium atoms is able to change from ca. 5 to 7 Å.

Scheme 4. Synthesis scheme of [Ru$_2$(µ-Cl)(bpy)$_2$(btpqa)]([BF$_4$]$_3$ ([3](BF$_4$)$_3$).
2.2. Chemical Water Oxidation

To an aqueous solution at pH 1.0 containing (NH₄)₂[Ce(NO₃)₆] (1.00 mmol) as the oxidant was added a trifluoroethanol solution of the Ruthenium complex catalyst (1.0 µmol) at 293 K. After 30 h, [2](BF₄)₃ and [3](BF₄)₃ evolved 238 µmol and 248 µmol of oxygen (TON = 238 and 248), respectively (Figure 2). The conversion with (NH₄)₂[Ce(NO₃)₆] as the oxidant was 95% ([2](BF₄)₃) and 99% ([3](BF₄)₃), respectively. When [3](BF₄)₃ was used as the catalyst, most of the oxidant in the solution was consumed within 10 h. In fact, the initial TOF of [3](BF₄)₃ (1.3 × 10⁻² s⁻¹) was higher than that of [2](BF₄)₃ (0.97 × 10⁻² s⁻¹).

![Figure 2](image)

Figure 2. Oxygen evolved from water at pH 1.0 (adjusted by HNO₃) catalyzed by the Ruthenium complexes (1.00 µmol, [2](BF₄)₃, red line; [3](BF₄)₃, black line) with (NH₄)₂[Ce(NO₃)₆] (1.00 mmol).

When [3](BF₄)₃ was used as the catalyst, the initial reaction rate was directly proportional to the concentration of the catalyst and independent of the oxidant concentration (Figure 3A,B, respectively). Thus, the reaction does not proceed via intermolecular coupling of two catalyst molecules. Sakai and Masaoka proposed a mechanism involving O–O formation through the coupling of Ru=O and Ce(IV)–OH as the typical oxidant for water oxidation. If the rate-determining step in the catalytic cycle is the coupling of Ru=O and Ce(IV)–OH, the reaction rate of water oxidation is directly proportional to the Ce(IV) concentration [59–61]. In our catalytic system, Ce(IV) does not participate in the rate-determining step of the catalytic cycle.

![Figure 3](image)

Figure 3. Plots of initial reaction rates for oxygen evolution with [3](BF₄)₃: (A) as a function of amounts of [3](BF₄)₃ (µmol) in 5.0 mL of pH 1.0 water (adjusted by HNO₃) containing (NH₄)₂[Ce(NO₃)₆] (50 µmol) at 293 K; and (B) as a function of amounts of oxidant ((NH₄)₂[Ce(NO₃)₆]) in the presence of [3](BF₄)₃ (1.4 µmol) in 5.0 mL of pH 1.0 water (adjusted by HNO₃) at 293 K.
2.3. Redox Behavior of [3](BF$_4$)$_3$

The cyclic voltammogram (CV) of an aqueous solution of [3](BF$_4$)$_3$ at pH 1.3 shows two quasi-reversible redox waves at $E_{1/2} = +0.62$ and $+0.82$ V (vs. SCE, Figure 4). On the basis of the rest potential of [3](BF$_4$)$_3$, $E_{\text{rest}} = +0.53$ V, these redox waves are assigned to [Ru(II)–Cl–Ru(II)]$^{3+}$/[Ru(II)–Cl–Ru(III)]$^{4+}$ and [Ru(II)–Cl–Ru(III)]$^{4+}$/[Ru(III)–Cl–Ru(III)]$^{5+}$, respectively. The irreversible oxidation wave at $E_{\text{pa}} = +1.13$ V would correspond to the oxidation of [Ru(III)–Cl–Ru(III)]$^{5+}$, accompanied by dissociation of Cl$^-$ (vide infra). Above +1.5 V, a large catalytic wave arising from water oxidation is observed. In CF$_3$CH$_2$OH, the [Ru(II)–Cl–Ru(II)]$^{3+}$/[Ru(II)–Cl–Ru(III)]$^{4+}$ and [Ru(II)–Cl–Ru(III)]$^{4+}$/[Ru(III)–Cl–Ru(III)]$^{5+}$ redox waves are observed at +0.91 and 1.18 V, respectively, with a two-electron reduction wave of anthraquinone at −0.32 V (Figure S1).

Figure 4. Cyclic voltammogram of [3](BF$_4$)$_3$ in aqueous solution at pH 1.3 (blue line). The solution pH was adjusted by addition of HNO$_3$ to the solution containing 0.2 mmol·L$^{-1}$ of [3](BF$_4$)$_3$ and 100 mmol·L$^{-1}$ of NaNO$_3$ as the electrolyte. Scan: 50 mV·s$^{-1}$; temperature: 298 K; working electrode: ITO; counter electrode: Pt wire, reference electrode: SCE. The arrow indicates the rest potential and scanning direction. Black line indicates CV of the solution without [3](BF$_4$)$_3$ under the same conditions.

To assign the redox waves in the CV (Figure 4), we conducted UV-vis spectral measurements of [3](BF$_4$)$_3$ with controlled-potential electrolysis. An aqueous solution of [3](BF$_4$)$_3$ at pH 1.0 exhibited an absorption band at 485 nm arising from the metal-to-ligand charge transfer (MLCT) of the Ru(II)(bpy) moieties (Figure 5A). When the solution was electrolyzed at +0.81 V, which is the potential between the two quasi-reversible redox waves of the CV (Figure 4), the absorbance of the 485 nm band decreased to almost half that before electrolysis (Figure 5A). Re-reduction at +0.53 V resulted in the reversible recovery of the spectrum of [3](BF$_4$)$_3$; this result is consistent with the assignment of the wave at +0.62 V to a reversible [Ru(II)–Cl–Ru(II)]$^{3+}$/[Ru(II)–Cl–Ru(III)]$^{4+}$ redox reaction. Further oxidation of the solution at +1.04 V changed the color of the solution from dark brown to dark green, and two-step spectral changes were clearly observed. First, the 485 nm band was reduced (blue lines in Figure 5B). Thereafter, the intensity of the 686 nm band gradually increased with a decrease in the 485 nm band (orange lines in Figure 5B). These spectral changes indicate that the one-electron oxidation of [Ru(II)–Cl–Ru(III)]$^{4+}$ to [Ru(III)–Cl–Ru(III)]$^{5+}$ is followed by cleavage of the Ru–Cl bond and coordination of OH$^-$ to give [Ru(III)–OH Cl–Ru(III)]$^{4+}$, which is smoothly one-electron oxidized with a deprotonation to [Ru(IV)=O Cl–Ru(III)]$^{4+}$. The corresponding redox wave at +0.62 V in the CV is quasi-reversible as Ru–Cl bond cleavage and coordination of water to Ru are quite slow compared to the CV scanning rate (Figure 4). The intensity of the 686 nm band arising from Ru(IV)=O is increased upon further oxidation of the solution at +1.40 V (spectra C of Figure 5). [Ru(IV)=O O=Ru(IV)]$^{4+}$ is produced via one-electron oxidation of the Cl–Ru(III) moiety with dissociation of Cl$^-$ and association.
of H2O, followed by double deprotonation. Surprisingly, the 686 nm band remained unaltered for over 24 h. This means that [Ru(IV)=O O=Ru(IV)]4+ is relatively stable in acidic water at room temperature and is not the active species in the water oxidation cycle. Oxidation at +1.60 V, at which water oxidation proceeds, decreased the intensity of the 686 nm band (Figure 5D). After electrolysis was stopped, the MLCT band of Ru(II)(bpy) at 486 nm gradually increased and the absorbance became half that in the initial spectrum (Figure 5E). This result suggests that the [Ru(II)Ru(III)] species is recovered by releasing oxygen from the active species, which is expected to be the [Ru(III)–O–O–Ru(IV)]5+ dimer generated by intramolecular coupling of [Ru(IV)=O O=Ru(V)]5+. In fact, the CV of the solution after electrolysis at +1.60 V showed a rest potential at +0.72 V between two redox waves (Figure S2).

**Figure 5.** UV-vis spectral changes of an aqueous solution of [3](BF4)3 at pH 1.3 by potentiostatic electrolysis at: +0.81 V (A); +1.04 V (B); +1.40 V (C); +1.60 V (D); and after electrolysis was stopped (E). Insets of (B,C) are the enlarged spectra from 500 nm to 800 nm.
2.4. Raman Spectra of the Intermediate

Raman spectroscopy is a powerful method for the assignment of intermediate species such as Ru=O and Ru–O–O–Ru, in water oxidation catalytic cycles. The Raman spectra of the green solutions after electrochemical oxidation of 16O-water and 18O-water solutions by [3](BF4)3 at +1.40 V, irradiated with a 532 nm laser, showed bands at 794 and 754 cm\(^{-1}\), respectively (Figure 6). The difference between the two bands is −40 cm\(^{-1}\), which is consistent with the calculated value for Ru=O (−41 cm\(^{-1}\)). Values of 818 and 780 cm\(^{-1}\) were reported by Hurst et al. for the Raman bands of Ru(V)=16O and Ru(V)=18O, respectively, in cis,cis-[(bpy)\(_2\)Ru(O)]\(_2\)O\(^{4+}\) [62]. Therefore, the [Ru(IV)=O=O=Ru(IV)] observed by Raman spectroscopy is not the active species. An O–O bond is expected to be formed at higher oxidation states of the catalyst, which is consistent with the CV results (Figure 4) and UV-vis spectral measurements with controlled-potential electrolysis (Figure 5).

![Figure 6. Raman spectra of [3](BF4)3 in: 16O-water (A); and 18O-water (B) at pH 1.0, adjusted with HNO\(_3\), after electrochemical oxidation at +1.40 V, and the difference spectrum (C) between spectra A and B.](image)

In contrast, we already reported that the Raman bands of the species formed by oxidation of [2](BF4)3 under the same conditions are observed at 442 and 824 cm\(^{-1}\), which are shifted to 426 and 780 cm\(^{-1}\), respectively, when the same electrolysis is conducted in H\(_2\)18O. These bands are assigned to Ru–O and O–O vibrations because the isotope shift values (−16 and −44 cm\(^{-1}\)) are identical to the calculated value. The rate-determining step in the catalytic cycle is not the O–O bond formation, but the release of O\(_2\) from the [Ru–O–O–Ru] species. When [3](BF4)3 was used as the catalyst, the [Ru–O–O–Ru] species was not observed by Raman spectral measurements. These results clearly...
indicate that evolution of O₂ from [Ru–O–O–Ru] is not the rate-determining step in the water oxidation by [3][BF₄]₃. According to the crystal structure of btpyaq (Figure 1), [3][BF₄]₃ displays higher flexibility of the Ru–Ru distance compared to the anthracene analog, [2][BF₄]₃. The structural flexibility of [3][BF₄]₃ would accelerate the release of an O₂ molecule from the [Ru–O–O–Ru] species and changes the rate-determining step in the catalytic cycle.

2.5. Catalytic Mechanism of Water Oxidation

Based on the above results, we propose possible mechanisms for the water oxidation catalyzed by [3][BF₄]₃. Sequential one-electron oxidations of [3][BF₄]₃ produce [Ru(III)–Cl–Ru(III)]⁵⁺, to which OH⁻ is slowly added. The resulting [Ru(III)–OH Cl–Ru(III)]⁴⁺ undergoes oxidation coupled with deprotonation (PCET reaction) at the same potential of the second oxidation of [3][BF₄]₃. [Ru(IV)=O O=Ru(IV)]⁴⁺ is produced by PCET reaction of [Ru(IV)=O Cl–Ru(III)]⁴⁺ in the same manner (Scheme 5).

![Scheme 5. Mechanism for Ru(IV) species in the water oxidation catalytic cycle.](image)

For the oxygen evolution mechanism after the production of [Ru(IV)=O O=Ru(IV)]⁴⁺, two possibilities cannot be ruled out. From the results of UV-vis and Raman spectral measurements with controlled-potential electrolysis, [Ru(IV)=O O=Ru(IV)]⁴⁺ is stable and does not form an O–O bond. It is at least necessary that [Ru(IV)=O O=Ru(IV)]⁴⁺ loses an electron and is oxidized to [Ru(IV)=O O=Ru(IV)]⁵⁺. There are considerable contributions from the oxo radical species, Ru(IV)=O⁻, as a resonance form in the electronic state of Ru(V)=O. The radical character of Ru(IV)=O⁻ induces [Ru(IV)=O O=Ru(IV)]⁵⁺ to form [Ru(III)=O–O–Ru(IV)]⁶⁺. An oxygen molecule is released by substitution of two water molecules to give [Ru(II)=OH HO–Ru(III)]⁵⁺, as observed by CV and UV-vis spectral measurements (intramolecular coupling mechanism, Scheme 6).

![Scheme 6. Intramolecular coupling mechanism.](image)

The other possibility is a nucleophilic-attack mechanism (Scheme 7), similar to that proposed for the water oxidation catalyzed by cis,cis-[bpy]₂Ru(V)=O [63–66]. A water molecule nucleophilically attacks the oxo ligand of Ru(V)=O more easily than that of Ru(IV)=O in [Ru(IV)=O O=Ru(V)]⁵⁺ in acidic media. The Ru(IV)=O moiety acts as a base and redox center when an oxygen molecule is released from the peroxo complex.
was added to the flask and the thus formed colorless precipitate was removed from the solution by filtration. The reaction mixture was evaporated to dryness. Toluene (20 mL) was added to the flask and the thus formed colorless precipitate was removed from the solution by filtration.

3. Materials and Methods

3.1. Materials

Bis(neopentylglycolato)diborane was purchased from Wako Pure Chemical Industries, Ltd. (Osaka, Japan), and 2-dicyclohexylphosphino-2′,4′,6′-triisopropylbiphenyl (Xphos) and Pd(PPh3)4 were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Chemical Co. (St. Louis, MO, USA). RuCl3·nH2O was purchased from Furuya Metal Co., Ltd. (Tokyo, Japan), 2,2′-bipyridine and AgBF4 were purchased from Tokyo Chemical Industry Co., Ltd. (Tokyo, Japan), and 1,8-dichloroanthraquinone and (NH4)2[Ce(NO3)6] were purchased from Kanto Chemical Co. Inc. (Tokyo, Japan). All other reagents were purchased from Kanto Chemical Co. Inc. and were used without further purification. Pd2(dbta)3 [67], 4′-[(trifluoromethylsulfonyl)oxy]-2,2′,6′,2′′-terpyridine [68], and [Ru2(μ-Cl)(bpy)2(btpyan)](BF4)2 ([2](BF4)) [50] were synthesized according to previously reported procedures.

3.2. Instrumentation

UV-vis-NIR spectra were recorded on a Shimadzu UV-1800 UV-vis-NIR scanning spectrophotometer (Shimazu Corp., Kyoto, Japan). ESI-MS spectra were measured on an AccuTOF LC-plus JMS-T100L (JEOL Ltd., Tokyo, Japan). Elemental analyses were performed with a Vario EL III CHN-O-Rapid (Elementar Analysensysteme GmbH, Langenselbold, Germany). 1H NMR spectra were recorded on a BRUKER AVANCE 400 MHz NMR spectrometer (Bruker Corp., Blerica, MA, USA). Cyclic voltammetry (CV) was performed on an ALS/Chip model 720-electrochemical analyzer (ALS Corp. Ltd., Tokyo, Japan). Raman spectra were measured with an NRS-5100 Laser Raman Spectrometer with a Nd:YVO4 laser (532 nm) (JASCO Corp. Tokyo, Japan). Electrolysis was carried out using a Hokuto Denko HA-501 potentiotstat (Hokuto Denko Corp., Tokyo, Japan).

3.3. Syntheses

3.3.1. 1,8-Bis(neopentylglycolatoboryl)anthraquinone

Bis(neopentylglycolato)diborane (468 mg, 2.1 mmol), Pd2(dbta)3 (117 mg, 0.13 mmol, 8.0 mol %), 2-dicyclohexylphosphino-2′,4′,6′-triisopropylbiphenyl (253 mg, 0.53 mmol, 2.0 equiv. for Pd), and NaOAc (861 g, 10 mmol) in anhydrous 1,4-dioxane (80 mL) were added to a Schlenk tube (Sugiyama-gen Corp. Ltd., Tokyo, Japan), which was heated at 60 °C in vacuo for 15 min. After the Schlenk tube was cooled to room temperature and purged with N2, anhydrous 1,4-dioxane (15 mL) was added to the Schlenk tube. Then, 1,8-dichloroanthraquinone (235 mg, 0.95 mmol) was added to the solution, which was stirred at 90 °C for 24 h under N2. The solution color changed from reddish brown to yellow during the reaction. The reaction mixture was evaporated to dryness. Toluene (20 mL) was added to the flask and the thus formed colorless precipitate was removed from the solution by filtration.

No direct experimental evidence is yet available for clarifying the mechanism of this catalytic system. Water oxidation catalyzed by the anthracene analog, [2](BF4)3, however, clearly proceeds via an intramolecular coupling mechanism [50]. The anthraquinone ligand of [3](BF4)3 is sufficiently flexible to form a Cl-bridged structure while there is steric hindrance between the two terpyridines and the oxygen atom. Therefore, there is a higher probability for an intramolecular coupling mechanism than for a nucleophilic-attack mechanism. The details of the mechanism are now under investigation in our laboratory.

Scheme 7. Nucleophilic-attack mechanism.
filtration. The volume of the yellow toluene solution was reduced in vacuo to ca. 5 mL. Hexane (10 mL) was carefully layered on the toluene solution, which was allowed to stand for 1 d. Yellow needle crystals were obtained by filtration. Yield: 324 g (84%). \( ^1H \)-NMR (400 MHz, in CDCl3): \( \delta \) (ppm) 8.26 (dd, 2H, \( J = 7.3 \) Hz, \( J^2 = 1.5 \) Hz, anthraquinone), 7.79 (dd, \( J^1 = 7.3 \) Hz, \( J^2 = 1.5 \) Hz, anthraquinone), 7.75 (dd, 2H, \( J^1 = J^2 = 7.3 \) Hz anthraquinone), 3.86 (s, \( 8H, CH_2 \)), 1.21 (s, \( 12H, CH_3 \)). Anal. Calc. for C\(_{24}\)H\(_{30}\)O\(_6\)B\(_2\) (432.09), C 66.71, H 6.07; found, C 66.62, H 6.18.

3.3.2. Anthraquinone-1,8-diboronic Acid

A suspension of 1,8-bis(neopentylglycolatoboryl)anthraquinone (1.2 g, 2.8 mmol) in HCl (6.0 mol L\(^{-1}\), 10 mL) was stirred for 24 h at room temperature. A pale-yellow powder precipitated from the solution was separated by filtration, washed with CHCl\(_3\), and dried in vacuo. Yield: 0.58 g (62%). \( ^1H \)-NMR (400 MHz, in DMSO-\( d_6 \)): \( \delta \) (ppm) 8.03 (dd, 2H, \( J = 7.6 \) Hz, \( J^2 = 1.4 \) Hz, anthraquinone), 7.74 (dd, 2H, \( J^1 = J^2 = 7.6 \) Hz, anthraquinone), 7.70 (s, \( 4H, OH \)), 7.68 (dd, 2H, \( J^1 = J^2 = 1.4 \) Hz, anthraquinone). Anal. Calc. for C\(_{14}\)H\(_{10}\)O\(_6\)B\(_2\)-2H\(_2\)O (331.88), C 50.67, H 4.25; found, C 50.41, H 4.33.

3.3.3. 1,8-Bis(2,2′:6′,2′′-terpyrid-4′-yl)anthraquinone (Btpyaq)

A mixture of anthraquinone-1,8-diboronic acid (0.35 g, 1.1 mmol), \( 4′\)-[trifluoromethylsulfonyl]oxy]-2,2′:6′,2′′-terpyridine (1.0 g, 2.7 mmol), \( Pd(PPh_3)_4 \) (0.28 g, 0.24 mmol, 10 mol %), and K\(_2\)CO\(_3\) (1.3 g, 9.4 mmol) in toluene (60 mL), ethanol (18 mL), and distilled water (8.2 mL) was stirred at 90 °C for 3 h. The precipitated brown powder was filtered and dried in vacuo. Yield: 0.63 g (74%). \( ^1H \)-NMR (400 MHz, in CDCl\(_3\) \( J = 8 \) Hz, \( J^2 = 1.8 \) Hz, anthraquinone), 8.23 (s, \( 4H, terpyridine \)), 7.79 (dd, \( J^1 = J^2 = 7.6 \) Hz, \( 2H, \) anthraquinone), 7.70 (ddd, \( J^1 = J^2 = 8 \) Hz, \( J^3 = 1.8 \) Hz, terpyridine), 7.61 (dd, \( J^1 = J^2 = 7.6 \) Hz, \( J^2 = 1.3 \) Hz, \( 2H, \) anthraquinone), 7.16 (ddd, \( J^1 = 8 \) Hz, \( J^2 = 4.8 \) Hz, \( J^3 = 1.2 \) Hz, terpyridine). Anal. Calc. for C\(_{46}\)H\(_{32}\)Cl\(_2\)N\(_6\)O\(_2\)Ru\(_2\) (1,085.57): C 71.60, H 4.18, N 10.89, O 4.77; found: C 70.87, H 3.68, N 11.03. DART-MS: \( m/z = 671.39 \) ([M] + H\(^+\)).

3.3.4. Ru\(_2\)Cl\(_6\)(btpyaq)

An ethanol solution (120 mL) of RuCl\(_3\)-3H\(_2\)O (340 mg, 1.30 mmol) was refluxed under N\(_2\) for 5 min. After the solution was cooled to room temperature, btpyaq (300 mg, 0.45 mmol) was added to the solution, followed by reflux under N\(_2\) for 3 h. The precipitated brown powder was filtered and washed three times with methanol (20 mL) and acetone (20 mL), respectively. The product was dried in vacuo. Yield: 548 g (99%). Anal. Calc. for C\(_{44}\)H\(_{26}\)Cl\(_6\)N\(_6\)O\(_2\)Ru\(_2\) (1,085.57): C 48.68, H 2.41, N 7.74; found: C 48.51, H 2.54, N 7.78.

3.3.5. [Ru\(_2\)Cl\(_6\)(btpyaq)][SbF\(_6\)]

Et\(_3\)N (150 mL) was added to a suspension of [Ru\(_2\)Cl\(_6\)(btpyaq)] (362 mg, 0.33 mmol), 2,2′-bipyridine (113 mg, 0.72 mmol), and LiCl (145 g, 3.4 mmol) in EtOH (90 mL) and H\(_2\)O (25 mL) under N\(_2\). The solution was refluxed for 10 h under N\(_2\). A saturated NaSbF\(_6\) solution in water (1 mL) was then added and the resulting mixture evaporated to ca. 10 mL. The crude product was obtained as a brown powder by filtration, dried in vacuo, and separated by column chromatography with Alumina A, akt. I (MP Biomedicals Germany GmbH) with CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\)/EtOH (95/5) as the eluent. An aqueous solution of NaSbF\(_6\) was added to the dark purple fraction. Concentration of the solution under reduced pressure gave a dark purple powder that was then separated by filtration. The pure product was obtained as a dark purple powder by recrystallization from CH\(_3\)CN/diethyl ether and dried in vacuo. Yield: 154 mg (27%). MS(ESI): \( m/z \): 628.02 ([M]\(^2+\), Calc. 628.05). Anal. Calc. for C\(_{64}\)H\(_{72}\)F\(_{26}\)N\(_{10}\)O\(_2\)Ru\(_2\)Sb\(_2\) (1727.65): C 44.49, H 2.45, N 8.11; found: C 44.45, H 2.57, N 8.24.
3.3.6. [Ru2(µ-Cl)(bpy)2(btpyaq)](BF4)3 ([3](BF4)3)

A solution of [Ru2Cl2(bpy)2(btpyaq)](SbF6)2 (60 mg, 0.035 mmol), AgBF4 (28 mg, 0.13 mmol), and CF3SO2H (3 µL, 0.035 mmol) in CF3CH2OH (25 mL) and H2O (5 mL) was stirred at 65 °C for 40 min under N2. The resultant brown solution was filtered to remove AgCl. An aqueous solution of Me4NBF4 was added to the filtrate, which was evaporated to ca. 3 mL. The dark brown powder was separated by filtration, and the pure product was obtained as a dark brown powder by recrystallization from a CH2Cl2/diethyl ether solution and dried in vacuo. Yield: 51 mg (99%). MS(ESI): m/z: 407.0 ([(M]3+, Calc. 407.04). Anal. Calc. for C64H42B3ClF12N10O2Ru2 (1481.1): C 51.90, H 2.86, N 9.46; found C 51.70, H 2.77, N 9.34.

3.4. Water Oxidation

An aqueous solution (5 mL) of (NH4)2[Ce(NO3)6] (548 mg, 1.00 mmol) at pH 1.0, adjusted with HNO3, was added into a closed cell with a septum cap and an oxygen sensor. An Ar gas stream was passed through the solution for 15 min. A 2,2,2-trifluoroethanol solution (300 µL) of the catalyst (1.0 µmol) was added to the reaction cell. The concentration of oxygen in the gas layer was measured with an oxygen sensor. After the reaction, contamination of air was checked by GC-2014 (Shimazu Corp., Kyoto, Japan) with a molecular sieve 5A column.

3.5. Measurements

3.5.1. Cyclic Voltammetry (CV) Measurements

CVs of aqueous solutions at pH 1.3 (adjusted with HNO3) containing 0.2 mmol·L−1 of [3](BF4)3 and 100 mmol·L−1 of NaNO3 as the electrolyte were measured at a scan rate of 50 mV·s−1 at 298 K using plate material evaluating cell (ALS Corp. Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) with an indium-tin-oxide (ITO) glass plate as the working electrode, a Pt wire as the counter electrode, and a saturated calomel electrode (SCE) as the reference electrode. The diameter of ITO electrode surface in contact with liquid was 7.8 mm. The test solutions were deoxygenated by passing a stream of Ar through them.

3.5.2. UV-Vis Spectral Measurements with Controlled-Potential Electrolysis

UV-vis spectral measurements with controlled-potential electrolysis were conducted with a UV cell (pass length = 10 mm) attached to an ITO electrode as the working electrode and a sample holder (ALS Co., Ltd.) containing SCE and Pt wire as the reference and counter electrodes, respectively. An aqueous solution at pH 1.3 (adjusted with HNO3) containing [3](BF4)3 and NaNO3 (100 mmol·L−1) was added to the optical cell and electrolyzed at different potentials. Spectral changes in the solution were observed by UV-vis spectral measurements.

3.5.3. Raman Spectral Measurements with Controlled-Potential Electrolysis

Electrolysis of H216O and H218O solutions at pH 1.0 (adjusted with HNO3) containing 0.2 mol·dm−3 of [3](BF4)3 was conducted with a plate material evaluating cell (ALS Co., Ltd.) containing ITO as the working electrode and a sample holder (ALS Co., Ltd.) containing SCE and Pt wire as the reference and counter electrodes, respectively. After electrolysis of the solution at +1.6 V, the solution color changed from dark purple to dark green. Raman spectra of the dark green solutions were recorded at room temperature.

3.5.4. Raman Spectral Measurements of the Chemically Oxidized Species

Resonance Raman spectra of the chemically oxidized form of [2](BF4)3 were recorded as follows. (NH4)2[Ce(NO3)6] (2.7 mg, 4.9 µmol) was added to a solution of [3](BF4)3 (1.0 mg, 0.68 µmol) in H216O and H218O (500 µL) at pH 1.0, adjusted with HNO3. Resonance Raman spectra of the solutions were recorded at room temperature.
3.5.5. X-ray Crystallography

Single crystals of btpyaq were obtained by slow evaporation of a benzene solution of btpyan in a fume hood. A summary of the crystal structure refinements of btpyaq is given in Table S1. Data were collected on a Bruker D8 Quest CMOS diffractometer (Bruker Corp., Blerica, MA, USA) using graphite-monochromated MoKα radiation (λ = 0.71070 Å) at 90 K, and processed with a Bruker APEX2 software package [69] using SHELXL-2014 [70] except for the refinement. Absorption corrections were made using the multiscan technique. The structure was solved applying Olex2 [71] with the olex2.solve [72] structure solution program using charge flipping and refined with the olex2.refine [72] refinement package using Gauss-Newton minimization. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. All hydrogen atoms were refined isotropically, and a rigid model was employed during refinement of the hydrogen atom positions; the hydrogen atoms on the carbon atoms were fixed at calculated distances (i.e., dCH = 0.97 Å). Crystallographic data for the structural analysis of btpynq·C6H6 were deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre. CCDC-1524361 contains the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif.

4. Conclusions

We successfully demonstrated the dinuclear Ruthenium complex [3](BF4)3 bridged by 1,8-bis(terpyridyl)anthraquinone (btpyaq) as a new dimerizing ligand that catalyzes water oxidation to oxygen with (NH4)2[Ce(NO3)6] as the oxidant. CVs and UV-vis and Raman spectra with controlled-potential electrolysis revealed that [Ru(IV)=O O=Ru(IV)]4+ is stable under electrolysis conditions at +1.40 V, and that the [Ru(III), Ru(II)] species is recovered by dissociation of an O2 molecule from the active species in the catalytic cycle. These results clearly indicate that an O–O bond is formed via [Ru(IV)=O O=Ru(V)]5+. It is worth noting that the oxidation number of the active species in the catalytic cycle has been identified, as the generation of active species at a relatively negative potential is crucial to develop catalysts for water oxidation with a small overpotential.

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at www.mdpi.com/2073-4344/7/2/56/s1, Table S1: Crystallographic data for btpyaq·C6H6. Figure S1: Cyclic voltammogram of [3](BF4)3 in CF3CH2OH solution, Figure S2: Cyclic voltammogram of [3](BF4)3 in the solution at pH 1.3 after electrolysis of the solution at 1.60 V.
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