Mechanistic and Structural Insight to an Evolved Benzoylformate Decarboxylase with Enhanced Pyruvate Decarboxylase Activity

Forest H. Andrews 1, Cindy Wechsler 2, Megan P. Rogers 1, Danilo Meyer 2, Kai Tittmann 2 and Michael J. McLeish 1,*

1 Department of Chemistry and Chemical Biology, Indiana University-Purdue University Indianapolis, Indianapolis, IN 46202, USA; fandrewsdpu@gmail.com (F.H.A); megahugh@iupui.edu (M.P.R.)
2 Göttingen Center for Molecular Biosciences, Georg-August-University Göttingen, Ernst-Caspari-Haus, Justus-von-Liebig-Weg 11, 37077 Göttingen, Germany; wechsler.cindy@gmail.com (C.W.);
dmeyer@ipb-halle.de (D.M.); Kai.Tittmann@biologie.uni-göttingen.de (K.T.)
* Correspondence: mcleish@iupui.edu; Tel.: +1-317-274-6889

Academic Editors: Jose M. Palomo and Cesar Mateo
Received: 1 November 2016; Accepted: 28 November 2016; Published: 30 November 2016

Abstract: Benzoylformate decarboxylase (BFDC) and pyruvate decarboxylase (PDC) are thiamin diphosphate-dependent enzymes that share some structural and mechanistic similarities. Both enzymes catalyze the nonoxidative decarboxylation of 2-keto acids, yet differ considerably in their substrate specificity. In particular, the BFDC from P. putida exhibits very limited activity with pyruvate, whereas the PDCs from S. cerevisiae or from Z. mobilis show virtually no activity with benzoylformate (phenylglyoxylate). Previously, saturation mutagenesis was used to generate the BFDC T377L/A460Y variant, which exhibited a greater than 10,000-fold increase in pyruvate/benzoylformate substrate utilization ratio compared to that of wtBFDC. Much of this change could be attributed to an improvement in the \(K_m\) value for pyruvate and, concomitantly, a decrease in the \(k_{cat}\) value for benzoylformate. However, the steady-state data did not provide any details about changes in individual catalytic steps. To gain insight into the changes in conversion rates of pyruvate and benzoylformate to acetaldehyde and benzaldehyde, respectively, by the BFDC T377L/A460Y variant, reaction intermediates of both substrates were analyzed by NMR and microscopic rate constants for the elementary catalytic steps were calculated. Herein we also report the high resolution X-ray structure of the BFDC T377L/A460Y variant, which provides context for the observed changes in substrate specificity.
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1. Introduction

The decarboxylases form the largest group of thiamin diphosphate (ThDP)-dependent enzymes [1]. The archetypal member of this group is pyruvate decarboxylase (PDC), which catalyzes the nonoxidative decarboxylation of pyruvate to yield acetaldehyde and carbon dioxide, a reaction critical to the fermentation pathway of several yeast and bacteria [2]. X-ray structures of PDCs from a variety of species show that, in addition to ThDP, the active site contains two ionizable acidic residues as well as two contiguous histidine residues that are located on an ordered loop [3–6]. The latter has been termed the HH-motif, and mutagenesis and kinetic studies have revealed that both histidines play significant roles in catalysis [7–10]. X-ray structures of several other ThDP-dependent decarboxylases reveal that, even though a variety of residues may line the substrate-binding pocket, thereby explaining the observed differences in substrate specificity, most possess the HH-motif and the two acidic residues [11–13].
Benzoylformate decarboxylase (BFDC) is the penultimate enzyme in the mandelate pathway, a secondary metabolic pathway that allows various pseudomonads to grow using $R$-mandelate as their only source of carbon [14–16]. Also a ThDP-dependent enzyme, BFDC catalyzes the nonoxidative decarboxylation of benzoylformate, generating benzaldehyde and carbon dioxide [14]. Given the similarity of their reactions, and their using the same cofactor, it may have been expected that the active sites of PDC and BFDC would be similar. However, this proved not to be the case, for the active site of BFDC was found to contain two leucine residues occupying positions equivalent to the HH-motif [17]. That said, the active site of BFDC does contain two histidine residues (His70 and His281) and mutagenesis studies suggest that they too are catalytically important [18,19]. Another difference was that the BFDC active site lacked the two acidic residues found in the HH-motif family. Instead, BFDC’s only other ionizable residue is a serine, Ser26, which has also been implicated in the catalytic mechanism [18].

Although their active sites and substrate preference may differ, the catalytic cycles of BFDC and PDC can still be broken into the same series of steps (Scheme 1) [20]. The first two steps are the deprotonation of the C2 carbon of ThDP to generate either an ylid [16,21,22] or a carbene [23], followed by non-covalent binding of the 2-keto substrate to provide the Michaelis complex. Addition of the C2 carbanion/carbene of ThDP to the carbonyl of the substrate gives rise to the first covalent tetrahedral intermediate, which subsequently loses carbon dioxide resulting in the formation of carbanion/enamine intermediate typical of all ThDP-dependent enzymes. In decarboxylases such as BFDC and PDC, protonation of this enamine generates a second tetrahedral intermediate, which finally breaks down, releasing the product aldehyde and regenerating ThDP.

Over the past few years, the steady-state distribution of the covalent intermediates has been used to determine net rate constants for the elementary catalytic steps of several ThDP-dependent enzymes including PDC [24] and BFDC [25]. For the former, decarboxylation and product release were found to be partially rate-limiting, but formation of lactyl-ThDP (LThDP), the first covalent intermediate, was quite rapid [24]. Conversely, the rate-limiting step for BFDC was found to be the formation of the first covalent intermediate, mandelyl-ThDP (MThDP) [18,25]. Further, BFDC was found to decarboxylate its first covalent adduct an order of magnitude faster than any other ThDP-dependent enzyme studied to date [25].
As with many ThDP-dependent decarboxylases, BFDC and PDC are both capable of stereoselective carboligation reactions leading to chiral α-hydroxy ketones [26]. These have been used as versatile building blocks in the pharmaceutical (and other) industries [26–28]. As a consequence there is considerable interest in expanding the capabilities of the decarboxylases to accept alternative substrates. While both BFDC and PDC exhibit some substrate promiscuity neither enzyme is particularly efficient at decarboxylating the other’s in vivo substrate [29]. Recently, as part of our ongoing efforts to understand the evolution of substrate specificity in ThDP-dependent enzymes, we used site-saturation mutagenesis in an attempt to convert a BFDC into a PDC [30]. The BFDC T377L/A460Y variant was observed during screening to have greatly enhanced PDC activity. Subsequently it was shown to have a 10,000-fold increase in its substrate utilization ratio between pyruvate and benzoylformate compared to that of wtBFDC [30].

Here we describe our efforts to identify the reasons for that change in specificity. In the first instance, we used NMR spectroscopy to analyze the intermediates obtained in the reaction of BFDC T377L/A460Y with both benzoylformate and pyruvate. The microscopic rate constants were calculated for the elementary catalytic steps in the reaction of both substrates. Further, in an attempt to understand the structural basis for the altered substrate specificity exhibited by the T377L/A460Y variant, its high resolution X-ray structure was determined.

2. Results and Discussion

2.1. Substrate Profile of T377L/A460Y

Our earlier study compared the reactions of wtBFDC, ScPDC and the T377L/A460Y variant only with benzoylformate and pyruvate. Here the comparison was extended to include a variety of straight- and branched-chain 2-keto acids, as well as phenylpyruvate (Table 1). The steady-state kinetic constants for reaction of wtBFDC with pyruvate could only be determined under V/K conditions, i.e., at substrate concentrations well below the \( K_m \) value, which was estimated to be easily in excess of 100 mM [30]. By contrast the T377L/A460Y variant has a \( K_m \) value for pyruvate of 4.6 mM, which is comparable to the pyruvate \( S_{0.5} \) value for ScPDC. In addition to improving utilization of pyruvate, the double variant was found to decarboxylate several other aliphatic 2-keto acids at a faster rate than wtBFDC. While it was most efficient with 2-ketohexanoate and 4-methylthio-2-ketobutanoate as substrates, there was only a 60-fold difference in \( k_{cat}/K_m \) values between the best substrate, 2-ketohexanoate, and its least efficient substrate, pyruvate. In many ways pyruvate is still the exception as its \( K_m \) value was significantly higher than those of other aliphatic substrates. With regards to \( k_{cat} \), there is less than a 15-fold decrease between the substrate that is turned over the fastest, 3-methyl-2-ketopentanoate, and the substrate that the T377L/A460Y variant decarboxylates the slowest, 2-ketopentanoate. With the exception of benzoylformate, the T377L/A460Y variant was able to decarboxylate its substrates more efficiently than wtBFDC. Similarly, only pyruvate and its longer chain analogues, 2-ketobutanoate and 2-ketopentanoate were better substrates for ScPDC.

Overall, the data in Table 1 clearly demonstrate that the T377L/A460Y variant is able to decarboxylate a wide range of aliphatic substrates and, in most cases, more efficiently that either wtBFDC or ScPDC. That said, it is also apparent that the ability of this variant to decarboxylate either benzoylformate or pyruvate is considerably less than that of wtBFDC or ScPDC, respectively. The similarity of substrate \( K_m \) values suggests that substrate binding is not likely to be the issue, rather the changes in active site geometry are having a significant effect on one or more of the individual catalytic steps.
Table 1. Kinetic characterization of wtBFDC, BFDC T377L/A460Y and ScPDC at pH 6.0 \(^a\).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substrate</th>
<th>wtBFDC</th>
<th>BFDC T377L/A460Y</th>
<th>ScPDC</th>
<th>k\text{cat} (s(^{-1}))</th>
<th>k\text{cat}/K\text{m} (mM(^{-1})s(^{-1}))</th>
<th>S\text{0.5} (mM)</th>
<th>k\text{cat}/S\text{0.5} (mM(^{-1})s(^{-1}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Benzoylformate</td>
<td>0.27 ± 0.02</td>
<td>1180</td>
<td></td>
<td>15 ± 1</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>NAD (^b)</td>
<td>NAD (^b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyruvate</td>
<td>4.6 ± 0.3</td>
<td>3.3 ± 0.1</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>1.3 ± 0.1</td>
<td>60 ± 2</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-Methylthio-2-ketobutanoate</td>
<td>1.3 ± 0.2</td>
<td>4.6 ± 0.1</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.6 ± 0.1</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>5.2 ± 0.3</td>
<td>6.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-Ketohexanoate</td>
<td>5.3 ± 0.3</td>
<td>5.9 ± 0.2</td>
<td></td>
<td>42</td>
<td>1.5 ± 0.1</td>
<td>15 ± 1</td>
<td>9.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-Ketopentanoate</td>
<td>11 ± 1</td>
<td>1.1 ± 0.1</td>
<td></td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>1.1 ± 0.1</td>
<td>27 ± 2</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-Methyl-2-ketopentanoate</td>
<td>9.6 (^c)</td>
<td>1.1 (^c)</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.7 ± 0.2</td>
<td>5.0 ± 0.2</td>
<td>10 ± 1</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-Methyl-2-ketopentanoate</td>
<td>1.6 (^b)</td>
<td>1.2 ± 0.1</td>
<td></td>
<td>16 ± 1</td>
<td>8.7 ± 0.5</td>
<td>22 ± 1</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-Ketobutanoate</td>
<td>4.1 ± 0.1</td>
<td>6.0 ± 0.1</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.49 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.49 ± 0.02</td>
<td>25 ± 1</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-Methyl-2-ketobutanoate</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phenylpyruvate</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.6 (^b) (^d)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.23 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.23 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.87 ± 0.02</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) Reactions were carried out in 100 mM KPO\(_4\) buffer containing 1 mM MgSO\(_4\) and 0.5 mM ThDP. Values are the mean of three independent determinations ± standard error;

\(^b\) NAD, no activity detected;

\(^c\) Data from Siegert et al. [29];

\(^d\) Specific activity determined at a substrate concentration of 20 mM.
2.2. Intermediate Distribution Analysis for Reaction of T377L/A460Y with Benzoylformate

To explore this possibility the microscopic rate constants for the BFDC T377L/A460Y variant were determined for the decarboxylation of both benzoylformate and pyruvate. These have been determined previously for the reaction of wtBFDC with benzoylformate [25]. In that study it was shown that the rate-limiting step was the formation of MTHDP by addition of the ThDP ylid to benzoylformate, and that BFDC carried out its decarboxylation step at least two orders of magnitude faster than any other ThDP-dependent enzyme [25].

All three major intermediates, unreacted ThDP, MTHDP, and 2-hydroxybenzyl-ThDP (HBzThDP), can be detected at steady-state when T377L/A460Y reacts with benzoylformate (Figure 1). While the MTHDP signal is close to the limits of resolution, it is clearly visible. Following correction for non-enzymatic decarboxylation of MTHDP [25] analysis reveals that ~37% of the active sites contain C2-unsubstituted ThDP and 3% contain MTHDP. The remaining 60% are occupied by HBzThDP. This is distinctly different to the result obtained with wtBFDC where a similar analysis provided values of 79%, 3% and 18% for ThDP, MTHDP and HBzThDP, respectively [25]. A comparison of the derived kinetic constants for wtBFDC and T377L/A460Y can be seen in Table 2. In wtBFDC addition of the ThDP ylid to benzoylformate (i.e., C-C formation, \( k'_4 \)) is clearly rate limiting. Conversely, protonation of the enamine/elimination of benzaldehyde \( (k'_3) \) has been reduced ~100-fold in the T377L/A460Y variant and has now become rate limiting. It is notable that for both variants decarboxylation of MTHDP \( (k'_3) \) is significantly faster than other steps in the reaction (Table 2). Further, even though the rate for T377L/A460Y has decreased considerably compared to that of the wild-type enzyme it is still faster than decarboxylation of LThDP by pyruvate-utilizing enzymes [20,31,32].

![Figure 1](image-url)  
*Figure 1.* The 2'-CH₃ and 4-CH₃ singlet signals of thiamin diphosphate (ThDP) and of benzoylformate-conjugated covalent intermediates were used for the assignment and quantitative analysis of the following intermediates: ThDP (2.64 and 2.57 ppm), mandelyl-ThDP (MTHDP) (2.48 and 2.41 ppm), and 2-hydroxybenzyl-ThDP (HBzThDP) (2.45 and 2.40 ppm). Intermediates and cofactor were isolated from the protein by acid quench after a reaction time of 1 s and analyzed by ¹H NMR at pH 0.75 at 25 °C as described in Materials and Methods. Relative concentrations of intermediates were determined from the relative integrals of the corresponding signals, which were used to calculate all microscopic rate constants of the catalytic cycle.

2.3. Intermediate Distribution Analysis for Reaction of T377L/A460Y with Pyruvate

The microscopic rate constants for reaction of wtBFDC with pyruvate could not be determined as only trace amounts of the reaction intermediates were observable at steady-state using the NMR-based
approach. This is not surprising as activity of wtBFDC with pyruvate could only be measured under V/K conditions [30]. The lack of any observable reaction intermediates, coupled with a pyruvate $K_m$ value in excess of 100 mM, indicates that the rate-limiting step is the initial formation of the Michaelis complex and/or the subsequent C–C bond formation ($k_r$) that provides LThDP.

For T377LA460Y the steady-state distribution of intermediates showed that, when pyruvate is used as substrate (Figure 2), all the key catalytic intermediates are present: ThDP, 2-lactyl-ThDP (LThDP) and 2-hydroxyethyl-ThDP (HETHDP). This finding indicates that all major steps of catalysis are on the same time scale. In addition to those anticipated intermediates, a minor fraction of 2-acetolactate-ThDP (ALThDP) was observed [31].

![Figure 2](https://example.com/figure2.png)

**Figure 2.** The C6′-H singlet signals of ThDP (thiamin diphosphate) and of pyruvate-conjugated covalent intermediates were used for the assignment and quantitative analysis of the following intermediates: ThDP (8.01 ppm), LThDP (lactyl-ThDP) (7.27 ppm), HETHDP (2-hydroxyethyl-ThDP) (7.34 ppm) and ALThDP (2-acetolactate-ThDP) (7.30 ppm). Intermediates and cofactor were isolated by acid quench after a reaction time of 8 s and analyzed by $^1$H NMR at pH 0.75 at 25 °C as described in Materials and Methods. Relative concentrations of intermediates were determined from the relative integrals of the corresponding signals, which were used to calculate all microscopic rate constants of the catalytic cycle.

**Table 2.** Net rate constants (s$^{-1}$) for reaction of BFDC and PDC variants with benzoylformate and pyruvate.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Enzyme Variant</th>
<th>Substrate</th>
<th>$k_m$ (mM)</th>
<th>C–C ($k_r$)</th>
<th>Decarboxylation ($k_r$)</th>
<th>Release ($k_r$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>wtBFDC</td>
<td>BF</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>16,000</td>
<td>2400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BFDC T377L/A460Y</td>
<td>BF</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pyruvate</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>294</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ScPDC</td>
<td>Pyruvate</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>2650</td>
<td>397</td>
<td>265</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ Values for wtBFDC with BF were obtained from Bruning et al. [25]; $^b$ Value for wtBFDC with pyruvate was obtained from Yep and McLeish [30]; $^c$ Not determined; $^d$ Values for ScPDC were obtained from Joseph et al. [33]; $^e$ Values for ZnPDC were obtained from Tittmann et al. [24].

ALThDP arises during an off-pathway reaction in which a second molecule of pyruvate acts as the electron acceptor for the post decarboxylation carbanion intermediate. ALThDP has previously been observed during the determination of the microscopic rate constants for acetoxyhydroxyacid acid synthase [34–36]. The presence of ALThDP during the reaction cycle of the BFDC T377L/A460Y variant suggests that the reaction may generate acetolactate. However, the only carboligation product detected was acetoin. While this seems at odds with the presence of ALThDP, Beigi et al. [37] recently
described an α-hydroxy-β-keto acid rearrangement-decarboxylation reaction in which acetolactate spontaneously decarboxylates to yield acetoin. Currently, it is unclear if ALThDP decarboxylates to yield acetoin or if the decarboxylation of acetolactate occurs after it is released. Regardless, it would seem that the longer lifetime of the pyruvate-derived carbanion/enamine results in an increase in formation of a carboligation product.

If this off-pathway reaction is ignored, then the calculation of the forward net rate constants is possible. These are provided in Table 2. Examination of those rate constants shows that C–C bond formation ($k_{2}''$) is the slowest step in the reaction of BFDC T377L/A460Y with pyruvate. Further, while the variant is clearly more efficient in binding pyruvate, the rate constant for the formation of the LThDP (6 s$^{-1}$) is still 50- to 400-fold lower than those of the true PDCs. By comparison, decarboxylation of the LThDP intermediate ($k_{3}''$) is ca. four-fold faster, and the subsequent steps involving enamine protonation/product release ($k_{4}''$) are, at best, partially rate-limiting. These results are in sharp contrast with those found in previous studies with ZmPDC [24] and ScPDC [33] where formation of LThDP was the fast step and both decarboxylation and product release were appreciably slower (Table 2). That said, it is not without precedent, as initial C–C bond formation ($k_{2}''$) is the slow step in the reaction of acetohydroxyacid synthase (AHAS) with pyruvate [32]. Finally, it is notable that decarboxylation of LThDP catalyzed by BFDC T377L/A460Y is the fast step in the overall process, and only approximately four-fold slower when compared to ScPDC. It seems that, even with a relatively poor substrate, the active site of BFDC seems to favor decarboxylation over the other reaction steps.

2.4. X-ray Structure of BFDC T377L/A460Y

In an attempt to understand how the BFDC T377L/A460Y variant resulted in a better binding site for pyruvate and, potentially, to explain the decrease in the rate of decarboxylation of benzoylformate, the X-ray structure for T377L/A460Y was determined. The structure was solved to a resolution of 1.56 Å and the coordinates have been deposited with the Protein Data Bank as PDB ID 4MZX. The refinement statistics are provided in Table 3.

The overall fold was found to be almost identical to that of wtBFDC holoenzyme, and both the space group and cell dimensions were also the same. There was a RMSD deviation of 0.28 Å between the BFDC T377L/A460Y variant and wtBFDC enzyme for the Cα atoms of a single monomer. As previously observed with BFDC structures, the region comprising residues 461–470 had the highest B-factors found in the protein.

During the initial rounds of refinement, positive electron density adjacent to the C2 atom of the thiazolium ring was observed when ThDP was modeled into the active site. This was indicative of the oxidation of ThDP to thiamin thiazolone diphosphate (TZD). Consequently TZD was modeled into the active site during subsequent rounds of refinement. This oxidation of ThDP to TZD has been observed previously in BFDC, as well as other ThDP-dependent enzymes, and has been attributed to radiation damage [38,39]. As seen in several earlier BFDC structures, the diphosphate tail of TZD is coordinated to Ca$^{2+}$, rather than Mg$^{2+}$. Presumably due to its high concentrations in the crystallization buffer, Mg$^{2+}$ is often displaced by Ca$^{2+}$ during the crystallization of BFDC [17,38]. In addition to the cofactors, electron density indicative of two glycerol molecules was also observed in the asymmetric unit. One glycerol was H-bonded to the side chains of Arg294 and Asp312, while the second was coordinated to the oxygen atoms of the backbone carbonyl of Asp477 and the side chain of Gln443. Finally, a sodium ion was found coordinated between the main chain carbonyl oxygens of Leu118, Arg120, and Asn117 from two separate monomers at the dimer–dimer interface. The BFDC T377L/A460Y structure retained the backbone conformation of the wtBFDC enzyme at the points of the mutations, but, not surprisingly, additional electron density was seen in the difference maps. This density corresponded to leucine and tyrosine residues at positions 377 and 460, respectively.

Comparison of the active sites of the wtBFDC and the T377L/A460Y variant showed that the side chain of His281 is displaced by more than 1 Å compared to the wtBFDC holoenzyme (Figure 3). The only other notable change was Leu110 being found in two rotamer conformations, one of which
had not previously been observed in BFDC or its variants [17,18,25,38,40,41]. The net result was that the active site was reduced in volume by ~20%.

Table 3. Data, model, and crystallographic statistics for BFDC T377L/A460Y structure.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data Collection Statistics BFDC T377L/A460Y (PDB 4MZx)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Beam line</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wavelength</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Space group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cell constants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total reflections</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unique reflections</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resolution limit (Å)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completeness (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Redundancy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I/σI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rmerge (%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Refinement statistics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Resolution range (Å)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rfree test set size</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rcryst (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rfree (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. Atoms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ramachandran</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Favored</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allowed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outliers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B-factors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ligand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solvent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R.m.s. deviations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bond lengths (Å)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bond angles (°)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* * * Value in parentheses are for the highest-resolution shell.

Figure 3. Superposition of the active sites of wtBFDC (yellow) and the BFDC T377L/A460Y variant (cyan) reveals a shift in the position of His281 accompanied by multiple conformations of Leu110. In addition, ThDP was oxidized to thiamin thiazolone diphosphate (TZD). Figure prepared using PyMOL (Schrödinger, Inc., Portland, OR USA) using data from PDB ID 1BFD (wtBFDC) and 4MZx (BFDC T377L/A460Y).
The data in Table 1 show that the $K_m$ value of $wt$BFDC for pyruvate was in excess of 100 mM, and for the T377L/A460Y variant, the $K_m$ value was reduced to <5 mM. Clearly the latter must have a binding site much better able to accommodate pyruvate. To see how this was achieved we compared the binding of the LTHDP to PDC and to BFDC T377L/A460Y. Previously, the ZmPDC E473D structure in complex with LThDP was solved [42]. In this structure the methyl substituent of the lactyl moiety is within 5 Å of 11 atoms evenly distributed across seven different residues.

Superimposition of the LThDP intermediate on ThDP in the active site of $wt$BFDC revealed only three atoms within 5 Å of the methyl group (Figure 4A). By contrast, superimposition of LThDP on TZD in the T377L/A460Y structure (Figure 4B) shows that this variant now has 10 atoms contributing to the methyl-binding site. Thus the active site has become more like that of ZmPDC. There were some differences for, unlike the evenly distributed interactions which make up the ZmPDC methyl-binding pocket, 7 of the 10 potential interactions in the BFDC T377L/A460Y variant arise from the engineered residues, Leu377 and Tyr460. This suggests that changes in other active site residues may be able to further improve pyruvate binding and, potentially, in positioning the “new” substrate for decarboxylation and subsequent steps.

While this relatively simplistic modeling of LThDP offers an explanation for how the active site of the T377L/A460Y variant has improved pyruvate binding, there are still several issues to be addressed. For example, while the active site volume has been reduced by 20% from $wt$BFDC, the $K_m$ value for benzoylformate is only increased by a factor of two. Indeed, at 0.45 mM, it remains 10-fold lower than $wt$. For instance, the active site volume has been reduced by 20% from $wt$BFDC, the $K_m$ value for benzoylformate is only increased by a factor of two. Indeed, at 0.45 mM, it remains 10-fold lower than $wt$. For instance, at 0.45 mM, it remains 10-fold lower than $wt$.

This simple model indicates a potentially severe steric clash between the phenyl group of the inhibitor and the engineered mutations (Figure 5). The $\gamma$-methyl of Leu377 is within <2.5 Å of several carbon atoms of the phenyl ring of MBP, whereas Tyr460 has a potential edge-to-edge contact with MBP that is also within <2.5 Å. While this appears to be at odds with the kinetic data indicating only a modest effect on $K_m$ value for benzoylformate, there is structural evidence that $wt$BFDC is able to accommodate unnaturally large substrates by slight rotations of active site residues [43]. Therefore it is not unreasonable to suggest that the side chains of Tyr460 and Leu377 could rotate to avoid or, at least, ameliorate unfavorable interactions with the MThDP. Equally plausible is that the phenyl group of the
MThDP could rotate to prevent steric clash with these residues. Either scenario provides a rationale for the binding of benzoylformate being relatively unaffected.

Figure 5. BFDC T377L/A460Y with MBP-ThDP (black) placed into the active site. Red lines indicate distances of <$2.5$ Å. Superposition carried out with PyMOL as described in Materials and Methods using MBP-THDP data from PDB ID 3FSJ [41]

Figure 5 may also provide a partial explanation for the reduction in net rate constants for the decarboxylation of benzoylformate (Table 2). It is clear that some active site rearrangements will be necessary to avoid potential steric clashes and permit substrate binding. Conceivably, these may make it more difficult for the ThDP ylid to attack the carbonyl of benzoylformate, thereby explaining the observed 12-fold decrease in the rate of formation of the MThDP intermediate ($k_3'$).

Figure 3 shows that the mutations have caused modest, but potentially significant changes in the orientation of Leu110 and His281. Together these changes provide some insight into the considerable decrease in the observed rate for the decarboxylation of the MThDP intermediate ($k_3'$), as well as the release of benzaldehyde (Scheme 1). While its precise role in catalysis is not obvious, mutagenesis and crystallographic data suggest that Leu110 plays a vital role in the mechanism of BFDC. The L110A mutation results in greater than four-orders of magnitude decrease in $k_{cat}/K_m$, due to a simultaneous increase in $K_m$ and decrease in $k_{cat}$ values [44]. Analysis of the structure of wtBFDC in complex with MBP indicates that Leu110 may be responsible for positioning the glyoxylate moiety of benzoylformate [25,41]. In the T377L/A460Y variant, Leu110 adopts multiple conformations potentially reducing its ability to lock the glyoxylate moiety into the perpendicular arrangement of the carboxylate group to the thiazolium-C2α bond. This geometry is thought to promote decarboxylation by allowing maximum overlap of $\pi$ electrons of the thiazolium ring and the $p$-orbital of the scissile bond [25,45]. The conformation has been observed in the X-ray structures of all the first tetrahedral intermediates, and intermediate analogues [25,42,46–48]. Further, Ser26 has been proposed to assist in the decarboxylation step by carrying out a nucleophilic attack on carboxylate group of MThDP [40]. In wtBFDC, not only does the perpendicular arrangement assure maximum orbital overlay, but also it positions the hydroxyl group of Ser26 within striking distance of the carboxylate group. Taken together, the alternative conformations of Leu110 observed may help explain the decrease in the rate of MThDP decarboxylation ($k_3'$).

The net rate constant associated with product release ($k_4'$) incorporates the protonation of the enamine/carbanion intermediate, as well as the breakdown of the resultant tetrahedral intermediate and subsequent release of benzaldehyde. The T377L/A460Y variant exhibits a 100-fold decrease in $k_4'$, i.e., the largest decrease of all net rate constants. Mutagenesis studies suggest that His281 is involved in the protonation of the enamine/carbanion intermediate [18], whereas His70 has been implicated in the abstraction of the proton from the HBzThDP intermediate, thereby facilitating product release [18,19].
Since these two steps of the mechanism are incorporated into this single net rate constant, it is difficult to say which step has been more affected in the T377L/A460Y variant. The X-ray structure shows that the position of His70 is largely unaffected by the mutations but that there is a 1 Å displacement of the imidazole ring of His281 (Figure 3). It is conceivable that this change could reduce proton transfer efficiency, resulting in an increase in the lifetime of the carbanion/enamine. It is well known that the BFDC H281A variant has been associated with an improvement in carboligation efficiency [49–51], which was attributed to the longer lifetime of the enamine. It is also notable that an increase in the lifetime of the carbanion/enamine in ZmPDC gave rise to formation of acetoin/acetolactate [52]. Given that the ¹H NMR spectrum of the intermediates in the reaction of T377L/A460Y with pyruvate (Figure 2) showed the presence of 2-acetolactate-ThDP, it is reasonable to speculate that displacement of His281 is contributing to the decrease in $k_4'$. That said, there is a clear increase in the steady-state concentration of HBzThDP, which certainly indicates that its breakdown and product release—i.e., $k_3'$ (Scheme 1)—also must be greatly reduced.

3. Materials and Methods

3.1. Materials

Plasmids containing genes for recombinant alcohol dehydrogenase from *Equus caballus* (HLADH) and C-terminally His₆-tagged pyruvate decarboxylase from *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* were kind gifts from Bryce Plapp (University of Iowa) and Frank Jordan (Rutgers University), respectively. NADH, IPTG, yeast alcohol dehydrogenase (YADH), and the various 2-keto acids were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St Louis, MO, USA). Other assay reagents were purchased from either Sigma-Aldrich or Fisher Scientific (Waltham, MA, USA) and were of the highest commercially available grade.

3.2. Protein Expression and Purification

Expression and purification of wtBFDC and the BFDC T377L/A460Y variant was carried out as described in Yep et al. [30]. The protein was exchanged into storage buffer (100 mM KPO₄, 1 mM MgSO₄, 0.5 mM ThDP, pH 6.0, 10% glycerol v/v), and concentrated using EMD Millipore Amicon Ultra filters (Fisher Scientific). Expression and purification of ScPDC was carried out in a similar manner. In all cases purity was assessed by SDS-PAGE, and the protein concentration was determined by the Bradford method [53] using BSA as the standard.

3.3. Analysis of Substrate Spectrum

The activity assays with the purified enzymes have been described in detail elsewhere [30]. The assay contained the appropriate 2-keto acid substrate, NADH, and HLADH (YADH for short straight-chain aliphatic substrates) in 100 mM potassium phosphate buffer (pH 6.0) with a final volume of 1 mL. The reaction was carried out at 30 °C and was initiated by the addition of the enzyme. Kinetic data were fitted to the Michaelis-Menten equation using SigmaPlot 9.0.1.

3.4. Steady State Analysis of Reaction Intermediates by Acid Quench/NMR Spectroscopy

Prior to the chemical quench/NMR studies, excess ThDP was removed from the BFDC T377L/A460Y sample by dialysis overnight against 50 mM potassium phosphate buffer, pH 6.5, supplemented with 2.5 mM MgSO₄. Enzyme concentrations were adjusted to 15 mg/mL and reacted with one equivalent volume of either 50 mM benzoylformate or 100 mM pyruvate prepared in the same buffer as the enzyme. After 1–10 seconds the reaction was quenched by manual mixing with the addition of 1 volume of an acidic solution comprising 65% (v/v) D₂O, 25% (v/v) of trichloroacetic acid and 10% (v/v) concentrated HCl [24,25]. Samples were then centrifuged and the supernatant passed through a 0.22 µm filter. The filtrate, containing the intermediates, substrates and products, was analyzed by ¹H NMR.
spectroscopy. NMR data collection, assignment of peaks, and calculation of net forward unimolecular rate constants was performed as previously described [24,25].

3.5. Crystallization of BFDC T377L/A460Y

Crystals of the BFDC T377L/A460Y variant were grown by the hanging drop diffusion method under the conditions used for the crystallization of wtBFDC [17]. Storage buffer was exchanged for crystallization buffer (0.1 mM MgCl$_2$, 0.2 mM ThDP, 25 mM NaHEPES pH 7.0). The well solution consisted of 0.1 M Tris (pH 8.5), 0.15 M CaCl$_2$ and 22% PEG 400 ($v/v$). Equal volumes of protein solution (10 mg/mL) and well solution were pipetted onto a silanized glass slide and mixed. A heavy precipitate quickly formed and single crystals emerged from the precipitate within days. Crystals were transferred to fresh crystallization buffer containing 36% glycerol ($v/v$) as a cryoprotectant and mounted on Hampton CryoLoops immediately prior to being flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen.

3.6. X-ray Data Collection

Diffraction experiments were carried out at 100 K on the 23-ID-B beamline administered by GM/CA-CAT at the Advanced Photon Source at Argonne National Laboratory. Datasets for the BFDC T377L/A460Y variant were scaled to the $I_{222}$ space group. Data reduction and processing of dataset was done with HKL2000 software package and the CCP4 suite of programs [54]. Molecular replacement was performed using the search model wtBFDC (PDB 1BFD) with metals and waters removed. The asymmetric unit for each variant contained a single monomer.

3.7. Structure Solutions and Refinements

Refinement was performed using Phenix.refine [55]. After each round of refinement, the electron density was manually inspected and models were built using Coot [56]. Model refinement continued until the free $R$ factor and the crystallographic $R$ factor had converged. Validity of the model was checked using the Molprobity server [57,58]. All images were generated with PyMOL (Schrödinger Inc.).

3.8. Modeling of Reaction Analogues and Intermediates

Using PyMOL and the coordinates from PDB ID 3OE1, the lactyl-ThDP intermediate was placed into the active sites of both wtBFDC (PDB ID: 1BFD) and the T377L/A460Y structure. Further, using the coordinates from PDB ID 3FZN, the phosphonomandelyl-ThDP (MBP-ThDP) was also placed into the active site of the T377L/A460Y structure. In this process, the C$_\alpha$ atoms of the protein structures were first superimposed. Next, the atoms corresponding to the ThDP moiety of lactyl-ThDP and MBP-ThDP were aligned with the corresponding atoms of either ThDP, found in wtBFDC, or the thiamin thiazolone diphosphate (TZD) found in the BFDC T377L/A460Y structure. No energy minimization was carried out.

4. Conclusions

The BFDC variant T377L/A460Y effectively acts as a “pseudo” PDC. This is reflected in a broad substrate spectrum more akin to that of ScPDC than wtBFDC. NMR-based analysis of the steady-state reaction intermediates for the reaction of BFDC T377L/A460Y with pyruvate revealed that the rate-limiting step for this variant is the formation of the LThDP intermediate. This is in contrast to the reactions catalyzed by ZmPDC and ScPDC, where product release is rate-limiting. Comparing the methyl-binding pocket of T377L/A460Y to ZmPDC suggests that both are likely to have a similar number of interactions with the lactyl moiety. However, the contacts within the ZmPDC methyl-binding pocket are evenly distributed across seven residues compared to only four residues in T377L/A460Y. Further, the seven residues of ZmPDC are arranged to surround the methyl group thereby providing steric restraints from every direction. Presumably this arrangement would also
allow for the maximum orbital overlap, thereby permitting attack of the ThDP ylid and facilitating the subsequent decarboxylation of the LThDP intermediate. On the other hand, the four residues comprising the T377L/A460Y methyl binding pocket are unevenly arranged and do not provide the precise substrate positioning seen in a native PDC. This likely accounts for the higher $K_m$ value for pyruvate, and the lower rate of formation of LThDP observed with the BFDC variant. Given that several aliphatic 2-keto acids are better substrates than pyruvate for T377L/A460Y, it is reasonable to predict that further evolution could well bring the properties of the “pseudo” PDC significantly closer to those of the native enzyme.

Over the years there have been many attempts to interconvert or otherwise alter substrate specificity in ThDP-dependent enzymes. This has been met with a resounding lack of success [1]. The results here provide some rationale for the difficulty. They highlight the fact that, in spite of the similarity in overall mechanism, ThDP-dependent enzymes often have different rate-determining steps. This means that the enzymes have evolved so that individual residues will provide an optimal alignment for (effectively) several substrates (intermediates) in the catalytic cycle. Thus, making a change that may be expected to improve binding for a new substrate may have a deleterious effect on another step in the mechanism. In the BFDC T377L/A460Y variant, for example, not only do we see a new ability to catalyze pyruvate decarboxylation, but it is done without greatly affecting its ability to bind benzoylformate. Rather, the decrease in $k_{cat}$ value for reaction with benzoylformate is due primarily to a change in rate-determining step. This result implies that structure-based redesign of ThDP-dependent enzymes will prove difficult. Rather, a more random approach will be required, probably involving saturation mutagenesis at a combination of sites identified on the basis of X-ray structures or homology models.
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