Effect of Ru Species on N$_2$O Decomposition over Ru/Al$_2$O$_3$ Catalysts
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Abstract: Ru is considered as an effective active species for N$_2$O decomposition; however, there is disagreement about which ruthenium species is key for catalytic activity. In order to understand the role of Ru species in N$_2$O decomposition, Ru/Al$_2$O$_3$ (Ru/Al$_2$O$_3$-H$_2$, Ru/Al$_2$O$_3$-NaBH$_4$, Ru/Al$_2$O$_3$-air) catalysts with different ratios of metallic Ru were prepared and evaluated for their catalytic activities. Various characterizations, especially in situ diffuse reflectance infrared Fourier transform spectroscopy (DRIFTS), were applied to investigate the relationship between activity and different Ru species. The results indicate that the N$_2$O conversion displayed a linear relationship with the amount of metallic Ru. The DRIFTS results of adsorption for N$_2$O show that metallic Ru was the active site. The catalytic processes are put forward based on metallic Ru species. The deactivation with increasing times used is due to the decrease in the amount of metallic Ru and agglomerates of Ru particles on the surface of catalysts.

Keywords: N$_2$O decomposition; metallic Ru; deactivation reason

1. Introduction

In recent years, N$_2$O has become one of the major pollutants for the destruction of ozone in the stratosphere and a mighty greenhouse gas because of its long life time (110–150 years) in the atmosphere and its 310-times higher greenhouse potential than CO$_2$ [1–5]. Now, N$_2$O atmospheric concentration is growing at a rate of about 0.5–1.0 ppbv (parts per billion by volume) per year as a consequence of anthropogenic activities, including the combustion of fossil fuels and biomass and the production of adipic and nitric acid in chemical plants [6]. Therefore, N$_2$O abatement is realized by control of N$_2$O emissions with end-of-pipe technologies from combustion and chemical processes. The catalytic decomposition of N$_2$O into N$_2$ and O$_2$ is a very efficient and economical way to reduce its negative environmental impact [7].

In recent years, many of the catalysts have been studied for the N$_2$O decomposition reaction, including noble metal (Au, Ru, Rh, Pd, Pt, Ir) and non-noble metal (Fe, Cu, Co, Ni) on a variety of supports, such as perovskites, metal oxides (Al$_2$O$_3$, SiO$_2$, CaO, TiO$_2$, CeO$_2$, Fe$_2$O$_3$), hydrotalcites, zeolites (ZSM-5, BEA, USY, ferrierite) and mesoporous materials (MCM-41, SBA-15) [8–22]. Li et al. [23] investigated the M/ZSM-5 catalysts and found that the catalytic activity for N$_2$O decomposition followed the order: Ru, Rh > Pd > Cu > Fe > Pt > Ni > Mn. Meanwhile, Ru was considered as having one of the best activities among these metals.
Ru is an effective catalyst for N=O bond dissociation, so that it promotes N₂O decomposition [24-27]. Benco’s [14] report confirmed the activation of the N₂O molecule by calculation using DFT over Ru. It was found that the activation energy of Ru was much lower than that of Co and Fe. Kawi et al. [28] investigated Ru on the MCM-41, showing far high activity for N₂O decomposition, and N₂O conversion reached 100% at 400 °C. The catalytic activity of Ru metal was influenced by size, support, interaction and O₂. Komvokis et al. [13] reported that Ru formed with sizes from 1–3 nm with high dispersion (70%), which showed much higher catalytic activity and stability for N₂O decomposition. In the case of Rh catalysts [29], Rh/MgO, Rh/SiO₂ with Rh sizes of 2.1–2.4 nm were more active than Rh/ CeO₂, Rh/Al₂O₃ and Rh/TiO₂ with small particles of 1.0–1.4 nm for the N₂O decomposition. For different supports [30], the strength of interaction between Ru and support was concluded to be MgO > TiO₂ > Al₂O₃ = SiO₂. However, catalytic activity was as follows: Ru/TiO₂ > Ru/Al₂O₃ > Ru/SiO₂ > Ru/MgO. Besides, the reducibility of the Ru metal particle was found to be a crucial factor. Komvokis reported that the catalytic activity of N₂O decomposition was much higher by H₂-pretreated catalyst (metallic Ru) because of the cyclic oxidation-reduction pathway of metallic Ru [31]. It was well known that desorption of O₂ represents the rate-determining step in N₂O decomposition. The presence of O₂ has an inhibitory effect on the N₂O decomposition and decreases reaction rates over supported noble metal catalysts [32]. Zhang et al. [30] reported that the sensitivity of noble metal to O₂ in feed gas was dependent on the reducibility of support material. It was concluded to be Ru/SiO₂ > Ru/Al₂O₃ > Ru/TiO₂ > Ru/CeO₂.

In fact, the catalytic performance and deactivation of supported Ru catalysts is still a complex issue for N₂O decomposition. In the present study, a series of Ru/Al₂O₃ catalysts as model catalysts is prepared by using different pretreatment methods, and their catalytic activity and stability in the decomposition of N₂O were investigated. Furthermore, the change of Ru species and the deactivation factor were suggested by combining various characterizations, including XPS, TEM, in situ diffuse reflectance infrared Fourier transform spectroscopy (DRIFTS), Temperature- programmed desorption of N₂O (N₂O-TPD), etc. Meanwhile, we put forward the reaction process of N₂O decomposition over Ru on the surface of Ru/Al₂O₃ catalysts.

2. Results

2.1. Characterization of Prepared Samples

The Ru/Al₂O₃, the five-times used Ru/Al₂O₃ (after using Ru/Al₂O₃ five-times) and Al₂O₃ samples were studied by XRD in Figure 1. All of the reflections of the Ru/Al₂O₃ and five-times used Ru/Al₂O₃ catalysts present in the diffractogram match well with the cubic spinel structure of γ-Al₂O₃ (JCPDS PDF No. 10-0425) [33]. It was observed that neither metallic Ru nor RuO₂ species could be identified in the patterns of Ru/Al₂O₃ and five-times used Ru/Al₂O₃ catalysts, which indicated that ruthenium was represented by the mean of the high dispersion in these samples.

![Figure 1](image-url) **Figure 1.** XRD patterns of: (a) Al₂O₃; (b) Ru/Al₂O₃-H₂; (c) Ru/Al₂O₃-NaBH₄; (d) Ru/Al₂O₃-air; (e) five-times used Ru/Al₂O₃-H₂; (f) five-times used Ru/Al₂O₃-NaBH₄; (g) five-times used Ru/Al₂O₃-air catalysts.
TEM analysis was carried out over fresh (a–c) and five-times used (d–f) Ru/Al₂O₃-NaBH₄ catalysts shown in Figure 2. It can be seen that the Ru particle was not observed in fresh Ru/Al₂O₃-NaBH₄ samples, which should be due to the much smaller particle size of Ru (less than 1 nm). However, the five-times used Ru/Al₂O₃-NaBH₄ catalyst was found to have agglomerates of Ru particles. The particles had an average size of about 5–20 nm, while particles with a size up to 40 nm could also be found. The HRTEM image shows that the distance of two adjacent planes of the selected particle is about 0.203 nm, which is corresponding to the (101) lattice plane of the metal Ru.

Figure 2. TEM profiles of fresh Ru/Al₂O₃ (a–c) and five-times used Ru/Al₂O₃ (d–f).

In order to confirm the actual content of Ru, the chemical analysis (ICP-AES) was tested. It showed that the Ru content was 0.50 ± 0.02 wt % for the Ru/Al₂O₃ and used Ru/Al₂O₃ catalysts, which was close to the theoretical content (0.50 wt %) in Table 1. The BET surface area of Ru/Al₂O₃, used Ru/Al₂O₃ and Al₂O₃ are summarized in Table 1. The surface areas of Ru/Al₂O₃ and used Ru/Al₂O₃ catalysts were almost close to 196–201 m²/g, which were slightly lower than those of Al₂O₃ catalyst (204 m²/g).

Table 1. Physicochemical properties of the catalysts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catalysts</th>
<th>Surface Area (m²/g)</th>
<th>Metallic Ru (eV)</th>
<th>Ru⁴⁺ (eV)</th>
<th>Metallic Ru/Ru⁴⁺ (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3d⁵/₂</td>
<td>3d³/₂</td>
<td>3d⁵/₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ru/Al₂O₃-H₂</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>279.8</td>
<td>284.0</td>
<td>285.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-time used Ru/Al₂O₃-H₂</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>280.4</td>
<td>284.5</td>
<td>285.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-times used Ru/Al₂O₃-H₂</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>280.4</td>
<td>284.3</td>
<td>285.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ru/Al₂O₃-NaBH₄</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>280.0</td>
<td>284.1</td>
<td>285.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-time used Ru/Al₂O₃-NaBH₄</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>278.8</td>
<td>283.0</td>
<td>284.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-times used Ru/Al₂O₃-NaBH₄</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>280.0</td>
<td>284.0</td>
<td>285.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ru/Al₂O₃-air</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>280.0</td>
<td>284.0</td>
<td>285.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-time used Ru/Al₂O₃-air</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>280.0</td>
<td>283.5</td>
<td>285.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-times used Ru/Al₂O₃-air</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>280.5</td>
<td>284.6</td>
<td>285.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>used Ru/Al₂O₃-NaBH₄ (cool under He)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>280.0</td>
<td>283.7</td>
<td>285.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Peaks were attributed to the 3d⁵/₂ and 3d³/₂ of metallic Ru and Ru⁴⁺ species; b the relative amounts of metallic Ru and Ru⁴⁺ species were calculated from the areas of the fitted Gaussian–Lorentzian components of Ru3d.

To better understand the chemical state of Ru on the catalysts surface, the Ru/Al₂O₃ catalysts were investigated by the XPS technique. Figure 3 presents the XPS and the peak fitting results of Ru3d for the fresh and used Ru/Al₂O₃-H₂, Ru/Al₂O₃-NaBH₄ and Ru/Al₂O₃-air catalysts. The peaks at 280.2 and
285.0 eV were attributed to the 3d5/2 of metallic Ru and Ru4+ species, respectively, and corresponding to the 3d3/2 binding energies at 284.4 (metallic Ru) and 289.1 eV (Ru4+) [34,35]. The relative amounts of metallic Ru and Ru4+ species were calculated from the areas of the fitted Gaussian–Lorentzian components of Ru3d shown in Table 1. It was noteworthy that the number of metallic Ru species was different on the surface of these catalysts. The amount of the metallic Ru was about 60%, 51% and 29% for the Ru/Al2O3-H2, Ru/Al2O3-NaBH4 and Ru/Al2O3-air, respectively. To determine the change of Ru chemical state and surface composition, XPS studies were also carried out over the used Ru/Al2O3 catalysts. The amount of the metallic Ru was about 38% and 26% for one-time used Ru/Al2O3-H2 and five-times used Ru/Al2O3-H2, respectively, which indicated that the number of metallic Ru species on the surface of used Ru/Al2O3-H2 catalyst was less than that of the fresh catalyst (Table 1); moreover, it gradually decreased with the increase in times used. Similarly, the amount of metallic Ru species also decreased with the repeated times used for Ru/Al2O3-NaBH4 (51%, 47% and 15% for fresh, one-time used time and five-times used times) and Ru/Al2O3-air catalysts (29%, 21% and 8% for fresh, one-time used time and five-times used times). The above results reveal that the Ru/Al2O3 catalysts present different Ru species (metallic Ru and Ru4+), and the valence state of the Ru species increased with use time.

Figure 3. XPS of Ru3d for fresh and used (a) Ru/Al2O3-H2; (b) Ru/Al2O3-NaBH4; (c) Ru/Al2O3-air catalysts (black line: original data line; red line: fitting curve; pink line: the characteristic peak of C; blue line: the characteristic peak of metallic Ru; green line: the characteristic peak of Ru4+).

2.2. Catalytic Activity Studies

Figure 4 shows the dependence of N2O conversion on the reaction temperature from 250 °C–450 °C in direct N2O decomposition over the Ru/Al2O3 and Al2O3 catalysts. As shown in Figure 4, there was no activity for N2O decomposition over Al2O3 in the tested temperature range. N2O conversion was below 40% at 350 °C over the Ru/Al2O3-air; meanwhile, Ru/Al2O3-NaBH4 and Ru/Al2O3-H2 catalysts exhibited above 48% and nearly 62% conversion of N2O at 350 °C, respectively. In Figure 4, it was obvious that the catalytic activity was different below 400 °C among the Ru/Al2O3 catalysts, and the order of N2O conversion was followed as: Ru/Al2O3-H2 > Ru/Al2O3-NaBH4 > Ru/Al2O3-air > Al2O3. However, it can be clearly seen that the difference in the catalytic activities was smaller and smaller with the increase in reaction temperature above 375 °C among the Ru/Al2O3-H2, Ru/Al2O3-NaBH4 and Ru/Al2O3-air catalysts, and the N2O conversions were nearly 95% over these catalysts at 425 °C. In the literature [13,27,30,31,36–40], the catalytic activity of catalysts was different for N2O decomposition, as shown in Table S1. Ru/r-TiO2 exhibited better activity than our prepared catalysts; however, the Ru content in Ru/r-TiO2 was far higher than 0.5%. The temperature of 50% conversion to N2O for Ru/Al2O3 was lower than that for other Ru-based catalysts. Compared
with other catalysts (Rh, Pt, Pd, Ir), the catalytic activity of Ru/Al₂O₃ was higher at almost the same gas hourly space velocity (GHSV).

---

**Figure 4.** N₂O conversion as a function of reaction temperature over fresh Ru/Al₂O₃ catalysts (reaction condition: [N₂O] = 5000 ppm and gas hourly space velocity (GHSV) = 11,000 h⁻¹).

To confirm the repeated practicability of the catalyst, each of the Ru/Al₂O₃-H₂, Ru/Al₂O₃-NaBH₄ and Ru/Al₂O₃-air catalysts was tested continually repeating five-times from 250 °C–475 °C. Figure 5 shows the N₂O conversion for each of fresh and used Ru/Al₂O₃ catalysts. In Figure 5a, the Ru/Al₂O₃-H₂ catalyst exhibited instable activity that decreased with increasing used times. Obviously, the phenomenon was found that the N₂O conversion decreased from 250 °C–400 °C and dropped from 86% down to 66% at 375 °C after using 5 times. In Figure 5b,c, the Ru/Al₂O₃-NaBH₄ and Ru/Al₂O₃-air catalysts also show deactivation with the repeated use, and the N₂O conversion dropped from 81% down to 41% and 69% down to 45% at 375 °C after using five times, respectively. It was concluded that the catalytic activities of the Ru/Al₂O₃ catalysts were different and decreased with the increase in the evaluated times.

---

**Figure 5.** N₂O conversion as a function of reaction temperature over: (a) Ru/Al₂O₃-H₂; (b) Ru/Al₂O₃-NaBH₄; (c) Ru/Al₂O₃-air catalysts (reaction condition: [N₂O] = 5000 ppm and gas hourly space velocity (GHSV) = 11,000 h⁻¹).
It is possible that there are some certain relationships between the N\textsubscript{2}O conversion and the amount of surface metallic Ru. As shown in Figure 6, N\textsubscript{2}O conversion increased with the amount of metallic Ru, which demonstrates that metallic Ru plays a key role in the conversion of N\textsubscript{2}O. Furthermore, it can be clearly seen that there was no obvious difference in the N\textsubscript{2}O conversion with the increase in the amount of metallic Ru at high temperature (above 425 °C), which was due to a high reaction rate. On the whole, the metallic Ru is the active site in the catalytic decomposition of N\textsubscript{2}O.

![Graph showing N\textsubscript{2}O conversion vs. reaction temperature]

**Figure 6.** Relationship between N\textsubscript{2}O conversion and the amount of surface metallic Ru.

The effect of time-on-stream on activity was also tested over the catalyst. Figure 7 shows the N\textsubscript{2}O conversion versus time-on-stream curves for Ru/Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}-NaBH\textsubscript{4} catalyst. It can be seen that N\textsubscript{2}O conversion was 100% and did not decrease for 700 min at 450 °C. After decreasing the reaction temperature to 20 °C and then increasing to 450 °C, the N\textsubscript{2}O conversion did not change and was also 100%. It was noticed that the N\textsubscript{2}O conversion was about 80% and slightly increased for 700 min at 375 °C. However, the deactivation phenomenon was found that the N\textsubscript{2}O conversion dropped to 65% when the temperature was reduced to 20 °C and then increased to 375 °C. Then, the N\textsubscript{2}O conversion slightly increased with the increase in reaction time. Nonetheless, it was less than that of before 700 min. The Ru/Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} catalyst could maintain stable activity during the evaluated time. However, the catalytic activities of used Ru/Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} catalysts decreased with the increase in the times used. This is consistent with Figure 5.

![Graph showing N\textsubscript{2}O conversion vs. time-on-stream]

**Figure 7.** N\textsubscript{2}O conversion versus time-on-stream over Ru/Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}-NaBH\textsubscript{4} catalyst (reaction condition: [N\textsubscript{2}O] = 5000 ppm and gas hourly space velocity (GHSV) = 11,000 h\textsuperscript{-1}).

Effects of gas hourly space velocity (GHSV) on the catalytic activity examined for the Ru/Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} catalysts are displayed in Figure 8. It is clear that the temperature slightly shifted to a higher value for
the same N₂O conversion with increasing GHSV from 11,000–44,000 h⁻¹. The difference of temperature at a 50% conversion of N₂O is about 25 °C for GHSV 11,000 and 44,000 h⁻¹, which indicates that Ru/Al₂O₃ catalysts can be operated at a relative high GHSV.

2.3. DRIFTS Studies

The N₂O adsorption on the Ru/Al₂O₃ catalysts had been studied by in situ DRIFTS in order to recognize the adsorbed species. Figure 9 shows the DRIFTS recorded with the support Al₂O₃ and Ru/Al₂O₃ under N₂O atmospheres. Generally, the N–N stretching frequency of adsorbed N₂O on metal oxides was reported within a wide range of frequencies between 2400 and 2150 cm⁻¹, and typical bands of the nitrites, nitrates and nitro species were located below 1700 cm⁻¹ [41–45]. In Figure 9a, two quite intense absorption bands were observed at 2237 and 2212 cm⁻¹, which could be assigned to the N–N stretching of adsorbed N₂O [46]. The spectra of N₂O adsorption over Al₂O₃ support under N₂O flow showed some bands at 1300–1700 cm⁻¹ that was assigned to monodentate nitrates, monodentate nitrates and nitro species, implying that the N₂O can adsorbed on the surface of the support Al₂O₃ [45]. Figure 9b shows the DRIFTS of adsorbed N₂O over Ru/Al₂O₃-NaBH₄. Four intense peaks at 1871, 1650, 1533 and 1410 cm⁻¹ were observed along with characteristic peaks of gas-phase N₂O at 2237 and 2212 cm⁻¹. The bands at 1650, 1533 and 1410 cm⁻¹ can be assigned to nitrate and nitrite species, monodentate nitrate species and nitro species, respectively [41–48]. The band at 1871 cm⁻¹ can be attributed to the Ru–ON species [49]. Figure 9c,d show the DRIFTS of adsorbed N₂O over Ru/Al₂O₃-H₂ and Ru/Al₂O₃-air. The gas-phase N₂O, nitrate and nitrite species were also observed over Ru/Al₂O₃-H₂ and Ru/Al₂O₃-air catalysts. Simultaneously, the peak assigned to Ru–ON was detected at 1871 cm⁻¹ over Ru/Al₂O₃-H₂; however, the corresponding peak was not found over Ru/Al₂O₃-air. This result should be due to the amount of metallic Ru on the surface of Ru/Al₂O₃-air catalyst being much less than that of Ru/Al₂O₃-H₂ and Ru/Al₂O₃-NaBH₄. The XPS results in Table 1 show that the amount of metallic Ru species is 60%, 51% and 29% for Ru/Al₂O₃-H₂, Ru/Al₂O₃-NaBH₄ and Ru/Al₂O₃-air, respectively. A much lower amount of metallic Ru leading to the formation of
Ru–ON species was on the surface of Ru/Al₂O₃-air. Therefore, the amount of Ru–ON species was so small that the peak did not form in Figure 9d.

![DRIFTS spectra](image)

**Figure 9.** DRIFTS of N₂O adsorption on: (a) Al₂O₃; (b) Ru/Al₂O₃-NaBH₄; (c) Ru/Al₂O₃-H₂; (d) Ru/Al₂O₃-air at 50 °C. Condition: [N₂O] = 5000 ppm.

In order to understand the reaction process, DRIFTS studies have been carried out under flowing N₂O from 50 °C–375 °C over the Ru/Al₂O₃ catalysts displayed in Figure 10. In Figure 10a, the peak at 1650 cm⁻¹ gradually disappeared from 50 °C–200 °C, which indicates the nitrate or nitrite species can easily decompose at a low temperature over the Ru/Al₂O₃-NaBH₄ catalyst. At the same time, the Ru–ON peak was clearly visible in the range of experimental temperatures. It was noted that the peak intensity of Ru–ON species increased by degrees from 50 °C–200 °C, which may be attributed to the formation of more Ru–ON species with increasing temperature. However, it became weak in the range of 250–375 °C, which should be related to the transformation or decomposition of Ru–ON species above 250 °C. It was found that N₂O decomposition occurred from 250 °C in Figure 4. Meanwhile, the intensity of the peak at 1533 cm⁻¹ started increasing above 100 °C, which was due to the formation of the monodentate nitrates species on the surface of Ru/Al₂O₃-NaBH₄, and it was difficult to be decomposed and desorbed (Figure 10a). Figure 10b,c shows the DRIFTS of N₂O during temperature ramping on Ru/Al₂O₃-H₂ and Ru/Al₂O₃-air. The change of nitrate or nitrite specie (1650 cm⁻¹) species and monodentate nitrates...
(1533 cm\(^{-1}\)) with increasing temperature was similar to that of Ru/Al\(_2\)O\(_3\)-NaBH\(_4\). However, it was noteworthy that the peak assigned to the Ru–ON species at 1871 cm\(^{-1}\) almost disappeared above 250 °C for Ru/Al\(_2\)O\(_3\)-H\(_2\), which is different with the Ru/Al\(_2\)O\(_3\)-NaBH\(_4\). Combined with the XPS results, it is found that the amount of metallic Ru species decreases quickly to 38% from 60% after the first use for Ru/Al\(_2\)O\(_3\)-H\(_2\), while it only reduced 4% (from 51% down to 47%) for Ru/Al\(_2\)O\(_3\)-NaBH\(_4\) in this process. It is obvious to find the existence of more metallic Ru species on the surface of one-time used Ru/Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) compared with one-time used Ru/Al\(_2\)O\(_3\)-H\(_2\), which is the advantage of the formation of Ru–ON species. Therefore, these results also confirm that the Ru–ON species is formed on the surface of the metallic Ru species of the Ru/Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) catalysts.

![Figure 10](image.png)

**Figure 10.** DRIFTS of 0.5% N\(_2\)O during temperature ramping on: (a) Ru/Al\(_2\)O\(_3\)-NaBH\(_4\); (b) Ru/Al\(_2\)O\(_3\)-H\(_2\); (c) Ru/Al\(_2\)O\(_3\)-air. Condition: [N\(_2\)O] = 5000 ppm.

The oxygen species is considered as an intermediate derived from the adsorption and decomposition of N\(_2\)O on the surface of the catalyst. Here, NO was used as a probe molecule to detect the formation of the oxygen species on the surface of the Ru/Al\(_2\)O\(_3\)-NaBH\(_4\) catalyst, which was pretreated by N\(_2\)O for 1 h at 300 °C. In Figure 11, NO was fed inside the cell; four intense peaks at 1628, 1523, 1411 and 1353 cm\(^{-1}\) were observed, which were related to nitrate and nitrite species. The peaks at 1411 and 1353 cm\(^{-1}\) were assigned to adsorbed monodentate nitrite [50]. Interestingly, two intense absorption bands at 1523 and 1628 cm\(^{-1}\) related to adsorbed NO\(_2\) were observed [46,51]. This means that the O interacted with NO to become NO\(_2\). In order to confirm that O was derived from N\(_2\)O or Al\(_2\)O\(_3\), the DRIFTS of NO adsorption on Ru/Al\(_2\)O\(_3\)-NaBH\(_4\) and Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) catalysts, which were not pretreated by N\(_2\)O, are displayed in Figure S1 (Supporting Information). It can be seen that two intense absorption bands at 1523 and 1628 cm\(^{-1}\) were not observed for the b and c lines. Thus, it suggested that the Ru/Al\(_2\)O\(_3\)-NaBH\(_4\) catalyst was pretreated by N\(_2\)O, resulting in the production of the new species α-O, which can interact with NO becoming NO\(_2\) adsorbed on the surface of the catalyst. On the whole, this illustrates that the adsorbed N\(_2\)O species can be dissociated to the O atom adsorbed on the Ru species to form the α-O species, which was not desorbed.
Catalysts were conducted to understand the decomposition of partial N\textsubscript{2}O. The N\textsubscript{2}O-TPD-MS was studied for Ru/Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} pretreated by 0.5\% N\textsubscript{2}O for 1 h at 300 °C, with exposure to NO (0.5\% in He, 20 mL/min) at 300 °C. Condition: [N\textsubscript{2}O] = 5000 ppm, [NO] = 5000 ppm.

The N\textsubscript{2}O-TPD-MS was studied for Ru/Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}-NaBH\textsubscript{4}, displayed in Figure 12. The additional MS signals, such as O\textsubscript{2}, N\textsubscript{2} and N\textsubscript{2}O, were also tracked for monitoring. It can be seen that a large amount of N\textsubscript{2}O molecule gradually desorbed from 140 °C, and the peaks were observed at 164 °C, 370 °C and 425 °C. In addition, the peak of the N\textsubscript{2} molecule was observed at 227 °C accompanying the desorption of N\textsubscript{2}O. Moreover, a small amount of O\textsubscript{2} was also observed at 300 °C. This suggested that the decomposition of partial N\textsubscript{2}O occurred along with the desorption of N\textsubscript{2}O.

To further understand the reaction of N\textsubscript{2}O decomposition, a simulation experiment was conducted on the conditions of the dynamics by temperature programming and mass spectrometry monitoring online. The temperature programmed surface reaction with N\textsubscript{2}O (N\textsubscript{2}O-TPSR) was studied for the Ru/Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}-NaBH\textsubscript{4} catalyst. Figure 13 shows N\textsubscript{2}, O\textsubscript{2} and N\textsubscript{2}O signals in the MS. It can be seen that N\textsubscript{2}O molecule gradually decomposed from 325 °C. The N\textsubscript{2} and O\textsubscript{2} peak was observed along with N\textsubscript{2}O molecule decomposition. Additionally, the intensity of N\textsubscript{2} was higher than about 2 times that of O\textsubscript{2}, and it is similar to the intensity of N\textsubscript{2}O. Therefore, it confirms that the N\textsubscript{2} and O\textsubscript{2} were produced in N\textsubscript{2}O decomposition.
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2016 surface of the catalyst. In addition, RuO
it could be seen that the particle size of Ru was small. However, the five-times used Ru/Al2O3 catalyst showed agglomerates of Ru particles. The particles had an average size of about 5–20 nm, while particles with a size up to 40 nm could also be found. It was well known that the catalytic activity of metal is strongly dependent on the size. Therefore, the agglomeration of Ru particles was one of the deactivation reasons for the used Ru/Al2O3 catalysts. XPS results (Figure 3) confirmed the existence of the metallic Ru and Ru4+ species on the surface of the catalysts. The ratio of metallic Ru to Ru4+ was the difference on the surface of fresh and used catalysts and decreased with times used. From the relationship between the N2O conversion and the amount of metallic Ru species (Figure 6), we think the metallic Ru plays a key role in conversion to N2O. In addition, the effect of temperature is related to oxygen desorption, which also governs the deN2O rate [52]. All catalysts display similar behavior, which may be due to the oxygen desorbed from RuO2 promptly and Ru4+ going back to metallic Ru at high temperature. In order to verify the above idea, O2-TPD-MS was studied for used Ru/Al2O3-NaBH4, displayed in Figure 14. It can be seen that a large amount of O2 molecule desorbed was observed between 100 °C and 250 °C, which was assigned to the desorption of adsorbed oxygen on Al2O3. However, desorption of the O2 molecule in the range from 250 °C–450 °C was not found for used Ru/Al2O3-NaBH4 catalyst. Therefore, oxygen desorbed from RuO2 and Ru4+ transformed to metallic Ru was not taking place on the surface of the catalyst at high temperatures. In order to further understand the change of the Ru chemical state on the surface of Ru/Al2O3-NaBH4 at high temperatures, the used Ru/Al2O3-NaBH4 catalyst cooled under the atmosphere of He and was investigated by the XPS technique. Figure 15 presents the XPS and the peak fitting results of Ru3d for used Ru/Al2O3-NaBH4 catalyst under He gas cooling. The peaks at 280.2 and 285.0 eV were attributed to the 3d5/2 of metallic Ru and Ru4+ species, respectively, and corresponding to the 3d3/2 binding energies at 284.4 (metallic Ru) and 289.1 eV (Ru4+) [34,35]. The relative amounts of metallic Ru and Ru4+ species were calculated from the areas of the fitted Gaussian–Lorentzian components of Ru3d shown in Table 1. It was noteworthy that the amount of the metallic Ru was about 40% for used Ru/Al2O3-NaBH4 under the He gas cooling, which was lower than that of fresh Ru/Al2O3-NaBH4 (51%). The results reveal that the used Ru/Al2O3-NaBH4 catalyst under the He gas cooling presents different Ru species (metallic Ru and Ru4+), and the valence state of the Ru species increased after use. In addition, RuO2 transforming to metallic Ru due to desorption of oxygen did not occur on the surface of the catalyst.

![Figure 13](image)

Figure 13. N2O-TPSR profiles of Ru/Al2O3-NaBH4. Detection signals: 28 (N2), 32 (O2) and 44 (N2O).

3. Discussion

As shown in Figure 4, there were different catalytic activities among the Ru/Al2O3-H2, Ru/Al2O3-NaBH4 and Ru/Al2O3-air catalysts. In Figures 5 and 7, Ru/Al2O3 catalysts showed instable catalytic activity that decreased with the increase in times used, but the N2O conversion was not affected at high temperatures. In Figure 2 (TEM), the Ru particle in the fresh Ru/Al2O3-NaBH4 samples was not observed. This suggests that the particle size of Ru was small. However, the five-times used Ru/Al2O3-NaBH4 catalyst showed desorption of RuO2 molecule in the range from 250 °C–450 °C, which also governs the deN2O rate [52].
was mainly due to the high reaction rate, which was not the transformation of different species. 

Form A-O species and Nπ for adsorbed N. Following that, the reaction processes were brought out for Ru combined characterizations of the catalysts. In the DRIFTS of N2O and oxidized nitrogen species, like nitrites or nitrates, were observed. The oxidized nitrogen species become greatly unstable and is easy to fracture and decompose of A-ON2 species (Equation (2)). Then, two active mono-oxygen species (A-O) were observed. The oxidized nitrogen species were not reaction intermediates of N2O decomposition, but they are just starters. 

Ru was an active site; the nitrites, nitrates and nitro compounds were observed along with peaks characteristic for adsorbed N2O. The N2O molecule adsorbed on the active center Ru through its O side to form the A-O2N (Equation (1)). As reported in the literature [50,53], the HOMO of N2O is a π-orbital associated with the O-N antibond and N-N bond, whereas the LUMO of N2O is a π*-orbital with the antibonding character for both the O-N bond and N-N bond. A charge transfer occurs from HOMO of N2O to Ru in the adsorption of N2O. Meanwhile, the charge shift can also occur from the 4d of Ru to the LUMO of N2O by the strong interaction with the feedback π bond, for which the charge transfers from the Ru to the middle N of N2O [53]. Therefore, the first N2O molecule adsorbs on the surface of the Ru catalyst to form A-ON2 species, as demonstrated by the in situ DRIFTS experiments (Equation (1)).

Furthermore, the O-N bond of A-ON2 species becomes greatly unstable and is easy to fracture and form A-O species and N2 due to the strong interaction between Ru active sites and N2O (Equation (1)).
From DRIFTS in Figure 11, it is proven that N$_2$O adsorbed on Ru/Al$_2$O$_3$-NaBH$_4$ can result in adsorbed oxygen species (A-O) on the surface of catalyst that is derived from the decomposition of A-ON$_2$ species (Equation (2)). Then, two active mono-oxygen species (A-O) diffuse on the surface of the catalyst and recombine into the gas-phase oxygen according to the Langmuir–Hinshelwood mechanism, and the whole catalytic cycle is closed (Equation (3)) [54,55]. On the other hand, A-O species also may react with another N$_2$O molecule by the Eley–Rideal mechanism. A-O, being a charge acceptor, interacts with the HOMO of another N$_2$O molecule as a charge donor to form O-A-ON$_2$ species on the surface of catalysts (Equation (4)) [56]. Meanwhile, the charges can also be transferred from the active site to the middle N of N$_2$O, resulting in facilitating the bond fracture of O–N$_2$, producing N$_2$ and bi-atomic oxygen species (O-A-O) (Equation (5)). The O-A-O species as the charge donors’ intermediates can reorganize into another bi-atomic one (A-O$_2$), and then, O$_2$ can be desorbed from the A-O$_2$ by restoring of the active species (Equation (6)) [7,57–61].

In the DRIFTS of N$_2$O adsorption over Ru/Al$_2$O$_3$ (Figure 9), the band appearing at 1871 cm$^{-1}$ was assigned to Ru–ON. This means the bond fracture of N–N producing Ru–ON and N after the N$_2$O molecule adsorbs on the surface of the Ru catalyst to form A-ON$_2$ species (Equation (7)). In the literature [62,63], the A–ON species was also captured by DRIFTS and DFT calculation; the reaction routes of N$_2$O decomposition are concluded as Equation (7). Then, two N diffuse on the surface of catalyst and recombine into the gas-phase N$_2$. In Figure 10a,b, the peak intensity of Ru–ON species became weak in the range of 250–375 $^\circ$C. This suggests that the Ru–ON species was transformed or decomposed. Thus, the charges were transferred from the active site to the N of A-ON, resulting in facilitating the bond fracture of O–N producing A-O and N (Equation (8)). Then, two active mono-oxygen species (A-O) diffuse on the surface of the catalyst and recombine into the gas-phase oxygen according to the Langmuir–Hinshelwood mechanism, and the whole catalytic cycle is closed (Equation (9)) [55,56].

Moreover, XPS results indicate that the ratio of metallic Ru on the surface of catalyst decreases, and the Ru$^{4+}$ amount presents the opposite change with increasing times used (Table 1). This is attributed to that part of the O species cannot desorb from the surface of catalysts, leading to the oxidation of metallic Ru to the high valence state of Ru species (Ru$^{4+}$). Thus, Equations (3), (6) and (9), which do not occur completely, will result in the increase in the oxidation state of Ru species.

The process can be described over Ru as follows:

$$\text{N}_2\text{O} \ (g) + \text{A} \leftrightarrow \text{A-ON}_2 \quad (1)$$
$$\text{A-ON}_2 \rightarrow \text{A-O} + \text{N}_2 \quad (2)$$
$$2\text{A-O} \rightarrow 2\text{A} + \text{O}_2 \quad (3)$$
$$\text{A-O} + \text{N}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{O-A-ON}_2 \quad (4)$$
$$\text{O-A-ON}_2 \rightarrow \text{O-A-O} + \text{N}_2 \quad (5)$$
$$\text{O-A-O} \rightarrow \text{A} + \text{O}_2 \quad (6)$$
$$\text{A-ON}_2 \rightarrow \text{A-ON} + \text{N} \quad (7)$$
$$\text{A-ON} \rightarrow \text{A-O} + \text{N} \quad (8)$$
$$2\text{A-O} \rightarrow 2\text{A} + \text{O}_2 \quad (9)$$

In summary, a linear relationship is displayed between the N$_2$O conversion and amount of metallic Ru. The decrease in the amount of metallic Ru on the surface of catalysts leads to the deactivation with increasing times used. From the characterization results, the deactivation reason can be attributed to the formation of Ru$^{4+}$ species that is derived from the oxidation of the metallic Ru by oxygen species obtained in N$_2$O adsorption and decomposition. Additionally, agglomeration of Ru particles was another reason for deactivation.
4. Experimental Section

4.1. Materials

Ruthenium chloride (RuCl₃·xH₂O, 99.9%) was purchased from the Trace Elements Institute of Tongji University, Shanghai, China. Aluminum oxide (Al₂O₃) was purchased from Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd., Shanghai, China. Sodium borohydride was purchased from Aoran Fine Chemical Industry, Tianjin, China. Nitric oxide (NO, 99.9%), nitrous oxide (N₂O, 99.9%) and hydrogen (H₂, 99.999%) gases were purchased from Guangming Gas Chemical Industry Research Institute, Dalian, China. Helium (He, 99.999%) was purchased from Haipu Beifen Gas Industry Co., Ltd., Beijing, China. All chemicals were used as received without any further purification.

4.2. Catalyst Preparation

Ru/Al₂O₃-NaBH₄ was prepared as follows: Firstly, 10 mL RuCl₃ solution (5.00 mmol/L), 80 mL deionized water and 1.000 g commercial γ-Al₂O₃ were mixed under stirring at room temperature. Then, NaBH₄ (0.032 g) dissolved in 10 mL deionized water was dropwise added into the above mixture. The obtained mixture was stirred for 4 h at room temperature and then was filtered. The precipitate was washed with deionized water (about 1000 mL) till there was no chloride ion tested by AgNO₃ and dried at 110 °C overnight to obtain sample Ru/Al₂O₃-NaBH₄. Ru/Al₂O₃-H₂ and Ru/Al₂O₃-air were prepared according to the above process, except no addition of NaBH₄. The dry precipitate was calcined in air at 500 °C for 3 h to obtain sample Ru/Al₂O₃-air, while in pure H₂ at 300 °C for 1 h to get sample Ru/Al₂O₃-H₂.

4.3. Catalyst Characterization

The BET surface area of the samples was measured from the N₂ adsorption and desorption isotherms at −196 °C using a Micromeritics Tristar II 3020 analyzer. Ru content (wt %) was determined by inductive-coupled plasma-atomic emission spectroscopy (ICP-AES) (Optima 7000 DV, Perkin Elmer) (Perkin Elmer Limited, Waltham Mass, Waltham, MA, USA). The sample preparation for ICP-AES analysis was that certain samples were dissolved into the mixture of HF-HNO₃, which was made into a mixture concentration of 20–40 mg/L solution. X-ray diffraction (XRD) was performed by using a Bruker D8 Advance X-ray diffractometer with Cu Kα (λ = 1.5418 Å) radiation (40 kV, 40 mA). The diffraction patterns were scanned in the 2θ range of 10-80° with steps of 0.02°. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) measurements were taken on a JEM-2100 electron microscope (JEOL, Tokyo, Japan) operating at 200 kV. XPS was made on an AXIS ULTRA DLD X spectrometer (Kratos Analytical Limited, Kyoto, Japan) using Al Kα radiation as the excitation source. The analysis range was 300 × 700 nm. In addition to a survey spectrum, detailed scans of the Ru (3d) and C (1s) regions were acquired for each sample. N₂O-TPD-MS or TPSR was carried out by using the AutoChem TP5080 chemisorption analyzer (Xianquan, Tianjin, China) and mass spectra (QIC-20) online analysis (HIDEN, Warrington, UK). The signals with m/z equal to 28 (N₂), 32 (O₂) and 44 (N₂O) were monitored during the N₂O-TPD measurements. In the typical experiment, catalyst (0.100 g) was placed in a quartz reactor and preconditioned in flowing He (25 mL/min) for 1 h at 300 °C, followed by cooling to room temperature. Then, the sample was heated to 600 °C at a rate of 10 °C/min in N₂O to record the TPD spectra with MS, completing N₂O-TPSR. Another the sample was saturated with 0.5% N₂O at a flow rate of 25 mL/min for 1 h at atmospheric pressure. After, the sample was swept with He at a rate of 30 mL/min for 1 h in order to remove the residual N₂O. Finally, the sample was heated at a rate of 10 °C/min from 20 °C–850 °C under a He flow of 30 mL/min. In situ DRIFTS were recorded with a Nicolet 6700 spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) with an in situ diffuse reflectance cell (Harrick) and high sensitivity Mercury Cadmium Telluride (MCT) detector, which was cooled by liquid N₂. The DRIFTS cell was fitted with ZnSe windows and a heating cartridge that allowed samples to be heated to below 450 °C. The catalyst was heated to 300 °C under N₂ flow of 40 mL/min for 60 min to remove adsorbed impurities and then cooled to 50 °C.
The background spectrum was collected under a N$_2$ atmosphere and was subtracted from the sample spectra. The spectra were collected at 50 °C in the presence of a gas N$_2$O or NO (pretreated by N$_2$O) flow of 20 mL/min. The DRIFTS cell (flowing N$_2$O) was heated to different temperatures, and the spectra were collected after getting 10 min of steady state. The DRIFTS were recorded by accumulating 32 scans with a resolution of 4 cm$^{-1}$. The total flow rate of the feeding gas was kept at 40 mL/min. The IR spectra are presented as obtained without smoothing.

4.4. Catalytic Activity Test

Direct decomposition of N$_2$O was performed with a fixed-bed quartz-glass reactor with a 6 mm-diameter quartz glass tube. Gaseous mixtures of 0.5% N$_2$O with He were fed to the catalyst bed. In each experiment, 0.100 g of catalyst (40–60 mesh) without dilution were set in the reactor by using quartz wool. The feed flow rate was equal to 25 mL/min. At each examined temperature, the reaction was carried out for 60 min before measurements. The catalytic activity was tested in a temperature range of 250–450 °C. After the temperature went down to room temperature, repetitive experiments were also tested in a temperature range of from 250 °C–450 °C according to the above process. The concentration measurements of reaction products and remaining N$_2$O at the reactor outlet were performed by gas chromatography (Beifen Analytical Limited, Beijing, China) equipped with a 5A molecular sieve and Porapak Q columns. The activity of N$_2$O decomposition was evaluated by the following equations:

$$X_{\text{N}_2\text{O}} = \left( \frac{X_{\text{N}_2\text{O}_\text{in}} - X_{\text{N}_2\text{O}_\text{out}}} {X_{\text{N}_2\text{O}_\text{in}}} \right) \times 100\%$$

where $X_{\text{N}_2\text{O}}$ is the conversion to N$_2$O; $X_{\text{N}_2\text{O}_\text{in}}$ is the concentration of N$_2$O measured before the reaction; $X_{\text{N}_2\text{O}_\text{out}}$ is the concentration of N$_2$O measured after the reaction.

5. Conclusions

In this study, the Ru/Al$_2$O$_3$ (Ru/Al$_2$O$_3$-H$_2$, Ru/Al$_2$O$_3$-NaBH$_4$, Ru/Al$_2$O$_3$-air) catalysts with different amounts of metallic Ru have been prepared by changing the preparation process. The order of N$_2$O conversion was followed as: Ru/Al$_2$O$_3$-H$_2$ > Ru/Al$_2$O$_3$-NaBH$_4$ > Ru/Al$_2$O$_3$-air. The catalytic activities of the Ru/Al$_2$O$_3$ catalysts decrease with the increase in times used. The N$_2$O conversion displays a linear relationship with the amount of metallic Ru. Combining various characterizations, we think that the metallic Ru is the active site in N$_2$O decomposition. In situ DRIFTS studies confirm the reaction process of N$_2$O decomposition in the metallic Ru site. In the adsorption and decomposition of N$_2$O, oxygen species are not desorbed from metallic Ru, leading to the formation of the high valence state of Ru species (Ru$^{4+}$), resulting in deactivation. Therefore, one of the deactivation reasons is attributed to the decrease in the amount of metallic Ru on the surface of the Ru/Al$_2$O$_3$ catalysts with increasing times used. It was also found that the size of Ru particles increased for used catalyst. Thus, agglomeration of Ru particles was another reason for the deactivation.

**Supplementary Materials:** The following are available online at www.mdpi.com/2073-4344/6/11/173/s1, Figure S1: DRIFTS of NO adsorption on: (a) Ru/Al$_2$O$_3$-NaBH$_4$ pretreated by 0.5% N$_2$O for 1 h at 300 °C; (b) Ru/Al$_2$O$_3$-NaBH$_4$; (c) Al$_2$O$_3$ at 300 °C, Table S1: Conversion of N$_2$O over different catalysts.
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