



Low-Voltage-Driven SnO₂-Based H₂S Microsensor with Optimized Micro-Heater for Portable Gas Sensor Applications

Dong Geon Jung, Junyeop Lee 🔍, Jin Beom Kwon, Bohee Maeng, Hee Kyung An and Daewoong Jung * 🔍

Advanced Mechatronics R&D Group, Korea Institute of Industrial Technology (KITECH), Seoul 31056, Korea

* Correspondence: dwjung@kitech.re.kr

Abstract: To realize portable gas sensor applications, it is necessary to develop hydrogen sulfide (H₂S) microsensors capable of operating at lower voltages with high response, good selectivity and stability, and fast response and recovery times. A gas sensor with a high operating voltage (>5 V) is not suitable for portable applications because it demands additional circuitry, such as a charge pump circuit (supply voltage of common circuits is approximately 1.8–5 V). Among H₂S microsensor components, that is, the substrate, sensing area, electrode, and micro-heater, the proper design of the micro-heater is particularly important, owing to the role of thermal energy in ensuring the efficient detection of H₂S. This study proposes and develops tin (IV)-oxide (SnO₂)-based H₂S microsensors with different geometrically designed embedded micro-heaters. The proposed micro-heaters affect the operating temperature of the H₂S sensors, and the micro-heater with a rectangular mesh pattern exhibits superior heating performance at a relatively low operating voltage (3–4 V) compared to those with line (5–7 V) and rectangular patterns (3–5 V). Moreover, utilizing a micro-heater with a rectangular mesh pattern, the fabricated SnO₂-based H₂S microsensor was driven at a low operating voltage and offered good detection capability at a low H₂S concentration (0–10 ppm), with a quick response (<51 s) and recovery time (<101 s).

Keywords: gas sensor; tin oxide; micro-heater; MEMS; hydrogen sulfide

1. Introduction

Hydrogen sulfide (H_2S), which is a toxic, harmful, corrosive, and colorless gas, is produced by oil deposits, as well as biogas and natural gas fields. Thus, developing an H₂S sensor with excellent performances, such as good response, selectivity, stability, and a fast response and recovery time, is crucial for the health and safety of industrial workers and the general population. With the advent of the internet of things era, high-performance H_2S sensors driven at a low voltage and low power have been examined with semiconducting metal oxide (SMO) as the sensing material [1-3]. In particular, SMOs such as tin dioxide (SnO₂), zinc oxide (ZnO), tungsten trioxide (WO₃), nickel oxide (NiO), and copper oxide (CuO) have been identified as the most promising H_2S sensing materials. Among these, SnO₂ is most widely utilized as an H₂S-sensing material in SMO-based gas sensors because of its excellent gas-detection properties (a good compromise between price, stability, and reliability of material, together with a relatively low operating temperature, and a fast response and recovery time) and numerous fabrication advantages; that is, low-cost, simple fabrication, and good compatibility with the micro-electromechanical (MEMS) process [4–7]. SnO_2 has been applied to H_2S gas sensors in various forms, such as thin films, thick films, pellets, and hot-wire type. SnO₂ is an n-type semiconductor and an H_2S -sensor, based on its utilization of resistance-change mechanisms wherein there is an induced variation of the depletion region, owing to the adsorption of ionized oxygen species (O_2^-, O^- , and O^{2-}) on the SnO₂ surface, as shown in Figure 1. The oxygen-related gas-sensing mechanism involves the absorption of oxygen molecules on the SnO₂ surface to generate chemisorbed



Citation: Jung, D.G.; Lee, J.; Kwon, J.B.; Maeng, B.; An, H.K.; Jung, D. Low-Voltage-Driven SnO₂-Based H₂S Microsensor with Optimized Micro-Heater for Portable Gas Sensor Applications. *Micromachines* **2022**, *13*, 1609. https://doi.org/10.3390/ mi13101609

Academic Editors: Changhwan Choi, Moongyu Jang, Won Seok Chang, Junhong Min and Dukhyun Choi

Received: 28 August 2022 Accepted: 24 September 2022 Published: 27 September 2022

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/).



oxygen species (O_2^- , O^- and O^{2-}) by capturing electrons from the conductance band, which makes the SnO₂ surface highly resistive.

Figure 1. H₂S-sensing mechanism of SnO₂.

When the SnO₂ surface is exposed to a reductive gas (H₂S), the reductive gas (H₂S) upon reacting with the oxygen species (O_2^- , O^- , and O^{2-}) reduces the concentration of the oxygen species on this surface, thereby increasing the electron concentration [8–18]. Oxygen species with different forms (O_2^- , O^- and O^{2-}), which are adsorbed on the SnO₂ surface, are reliant on sensing temperature; therefore, controlling the temperature of H₂S sensor is vital. In general, the adsorption of O_2^- is dominant in the range of 150–200 °C (1), and the adsorption of O^- dominates above 200 °C (2). A further increase in temperature above 400 °C tends to result in the domination of the adsorption of O^{2-} (3) [19]. The process flow is detailed as follows:

$$O_2$$
 (gas) $\rightarrow O_2$ (physisorption) $\rightarrow O_2^-$ (chemisorption) $\rightarrow 2O^-$ (chemisorption)

$$2H_2S + 3O_2^- \to 2SO_2 + 2H_2O + 3e^-$$
(1)

$$H_2S + 3O^- \rightarrow SO_2 + H_2O + 3e \tag{2}$$

$$H_2S + 3O^{2-} \rightarrow SO_2 + H_2O + 6e^-$$
 (3)

As mentioned above, the SnO₂ interacts well with H₂S in a wide range of temperatures; however, it is not suitable for the selective detection of H_2S . This is because various reducing gases, such as hydrogen, carbon monoxide, ammonia, and others, interact with SnO_2 in similar ways. Despite the many advantages of SnO_2 , the pristine SnO_2 gas sensor usually suffers from poor selectivity. Consequently, diverse effective approaches have been conducted to improve the selectivity of SnO₂-based gas sensors [19,20], such as noble metal doping, composite hetero-structure design, and controlling the reaction temperature. Among these methods, controlling the optimal reaction temperature is the simplest and most effective method. In general, pristine SnO_2 has an excellent response and a good selectivity at 150-200 °C for H₂S. Thus, developing an SnO₂-based H₂S microsensor which operates well at 150–200 °C is important [21–23]. Microsensors for detecting H₂S comprise a micro-heater, inter-digitated electrode (IDE), and sensing material. The micro-heater (which elevates temperature) embedded in the gas sensor has an important role to play, as aforementioned, in improving the performance of an H₂S microsensor. It supplies sufficient thermal energy for the reaction between the target gas (H₂S), oxygen species (O_2^- , $O^$ and O^{2-}), and sensing material, thereby boosting the H₂S microsensor performance. To apply the fabricated H₂S microsensor with a built-in micro-heater to portable applications, a well-designed sensor interface circuit that can supply an appropriate voltage to the sensor is essential. Common sensor interface circuits utilized in commercial portable application supply voltage in the range of 1.8–5 V [24–26]. However, additional circuitry, such as a charge pump circuit, is required to supply a high voltage when an H_2S microsensor with a high operating voltage is used. This results in additional power consumption and a larger footprint. Therefore, it is important to develop a low-voltage-driven H_2S microsensor. In

this study, low-voltage-driven SnO₂-based H₂S microsensors with an optimized microheater were designed, fabricated, and characterized based on experimental requirements. To investigate the relationship between the H₂S-detection performance and the heating performance influenced by the geometric design of the micro-heater, micro-heaters with different patterns were fabricated in the proposed H₂S microsensor platform and characterized. Finally, a low-voltage-driven (3–4 V) SnO₂-based H₂S microsensor with an optimized micro-heater was developed, and it was used to detect H₂S at a low concentration.

2. Design and Fabrication of Micro-Heater Embedded in SnO₂-Based H₂S Microsensor

The performance of a micro-heater utilizing Joule heating is affected by various factors, such as electrical, mechanical, and material properties, as well as its geometric design. Materials used for a micro-heater are primarily metallic because of their high electrical conductivity, satisfactory specific heat capacity, and good compatibility with the MEMS process. Recently, with the majority of gas sensors being minimized for real-time monitoring and portable applications, the area wherein the micro-heater is fabricated has been limited and minimized as well. Therefore, an optimal geometric design of a micro-heater is certain to improve the heating performance; developing such a design, with an excellent heating performance in a small area, is critical. In this study, SnO₂-based H₂S microsensors with three types of micro-heaters were proposed and designed as shown in Figure 2.



Figure 2. Schematic of the proposed SnO₂-based H₂S microsensor with three types of micro-heaters.

The micro-heater types #1–#3 had patterns of meander, rectangular, and rectangular mesh, respectively. The proposed SnO₂-based H₂S microsensor comprised micro-heaters (types #1–#3), a temperature sensor, an IDE, and a sensing material (SnO₂). The sensor and sensing area measured 3 mm \times 3 mm and 100 μ m \times 100 μ m, respectively. The width and thickness of a micro-heater, temperature sensor, and IDE were 20 μ m and 200 nm, respectively. To minimize the loss of thermal energy produced by micro-heaters, a quartz wafer was used as the sensor substrate. Platinum (Pt), which exhibits a linear relationship between temperature and resistance, was used to fabricate the micro-heater

and temperature sensor. Gold (Au) and SnO_2 with thickness of 50.3 nm each were utilized as the IDE and sensing material, respectively.

Figure 3 shows the fabrication process of the proposed SnO_2 -based H_2S microsensor. First, the quartz wafer (sensor substrate) was cleaned with an acetone and methanol solution for 10 min. Then, the proposed micro-heaters of three types and the temperature sensor were fabricated through photolithography (for the patterning of the desired geometric designs) and e-beam evaporation (Pt deposition) processes. Silicon nitride (Si₃N₄) was deposited via a plasma-enhanced chemical-vapor-deposition process. Subsequently, the deposited Si₃N₄ was used for electrical insulation and passivation. The IDE was fabricated through photolithography and e-beam evaporation processes for Au deposition. Finally, SnO₂, used as the H₂S-sensing material, was deposited via a sputtering process, and Si₃N₄ was etched to fabricate the electrical pads of the micro-heaters and the temperature sensor. Figure 4a,b show the fabricated SnO₂-based H₂S microsensor.



Figure 3. Fabrication process of the proposed SnO₂-based H₂S microsensor with micro-heaters of three types.



Figure 4. (a) Photographic and (b) microscopic images of the fabricated SnO₂-based H₂S microsensor with three types of micro-heaters.

3. Characterization of Micro-Heater Embedded in SnO₂-Based H₂S Microsensor

The fabricated sensing material (SnO₂) for detecting H₂S was examined via X-ray diffraction (XRD), and the XRD curves are shown in Figure 5. The results of the diffraction peaks in the 2-theta range from 10° to 90° verified that the crystal structure of the sensing material (SnO₂) with a varying thickness is the standard tetragonal–rutile crystal phase of SnO₂. The observed peaks of the deposited SnO₂ matched well with the standard JCPDS data of SnO₂.



Figure 5. XRD patterns as a function of SnO₂ depositing time.

The performance of the fabricated temperature sensor and micro-heaters with different geometric designs was verified before the SnO₂-based H₂S microsensor was characterized, along with the H₂S concentration. The fabricated temperature sensor's resistance was measured by modulating the gas chamber temperature. The measured resistance values of the temperature sensor at 30, 100, 200, and 300 °C were approximately 70, 83, 101, and 115 ohm, respectively. These measured resistance values of the temperature sensor were linearly increased by increasing the gas chamber temperature, as shown in Figure 6a. This implied that the heating performance of the micro-heaters embedded in the H₂S microsensor could be estimated in real time. Next, various input voltage values were applied to the micro-heaters and their heating performance was characterized by measuring the resistance of the temperature sensor (closely fabricated to the micro-heaters). The fabricated micro-heaters of types #1–#3 exhibited different heating performances, implying that the generated thermal energy differed based on the geometric design of a microheater for the same input voltage applied to the micro-heaters, as shown in Figure 6b. As the heating performance of a micro-heater was affected by Joule heating, which is closely related to the current traveling through the micro-heater, increasing the current traveling through the micro-heater was very important. The measured initial resistance value of micro-heaters of types #1-#3 were 107.44, 30.27, and 22.35 ohm, respectively. The micro-heater of type #3 exhibited the lowest initial resistance value, thereby enabling a greater flow of current at an equal input voltage of the micro-heater. Therefore, the micro-heater with the rectangular mesh pattern (Type #3) produced more heat energy than the others employing different patterns (Type #1-#2) (for the equal input voltage value being applied). Thus, the micro-heater with the rectangular mesh pattern can produce thermal energy effectively. Simultaneously, the heating performance, along with the microheater's pattern, was also confirmed by estimating the H_2S -detection performance of the SnO₂-based H₂S microsensor.



Figure 6. Graphs of (**a**) measured resistance of temperature sensor as a function of temperature change and (**b**) measured resistance of temperature as a function of micro-heater input voltage.

To characterize the fabricated SnO_2 -based H_2S microsensor with micro-heaters (types #1–#3), it was placed in the prepared gas chamber and H_2S gas was injected at a concentration of 0 to 10 ppm. The operating temperature of the H_2S microsensor can be estimated via the measured resistance of the temperature sensor, along with the input voltage applied to micro-heaters. Table 1 presents the expected operating temperature and power consumption along with the input voltage applied to micro-heaters.

Output current (nA)

Type of Micro-Heater	Input Voltage Applied to Micro-Heater (V)	Expected Temperature of Micro-Heater (°C)	Expected Power Consumption of Micro-Heater (mW)
Type #1 (meander pattern)	5 V, 6 V, 7 V	108.84 °C, 132.97 °C, 157.8 °C	179 mW, 239.88 mW, 304.5 mW
Type #2 (rectangular pattern)	3 V, 4 V, 5 V	116.59 °C, 165.32 °C, 214.68 °C	233.76 mW, 375.8 mW, 507.05 mW
Type #3 (rectangular mesh pattern)	3 V, 3.5 V, 4 V	139.41 °C, 168.29 °C, 196.47 °C	278.23 mW, 340.65 mW, 428.4 mW

Table 1. Expected temperature and power consumption of micro-heaters with various geometric designs as a function of the applied input voltage of micro-heaters.

The expected operating temperature was derived by using the relationship between the temperature and measured resistance of temperature sensor, whereas expected power consumption was derived using the power consumption formula ($P = V^2/R$). The temperature at which an excellent performance (high response and good selectivity) of SnO₂ for H₂S is ensured is 150–200 °C, as mentioned above. Therefore, the input voltage was applied to the fabricated micro-heaters (#1–#3) to elevate the optimal temperature (150–200 °C) and initiate a reaction between H₂S and SnO₂. The resistance of SnO₂ used as an H₂S-sensing material changed when it was exposed to H₂S, as mentioned above. The output current of the fabricated H₂S microsensor was measured by injecting H₂S gas in the range of 0 to 10 ppm, as shown in Figure 7.



Figure 7. (a) Experimental setup for characterization of SnO_2 -based H_2S microsensor with microheaters of different geometric designs, and measured output currents of SnO_2 -based H_2S microsensor with microheaters of (b) type #1, (c) type #2, and (d) type #3 as a function of H_2S concentration.

The variation in the output current was closely related to the chemical properties of the fabricated SnO_2 surface oxygen. Oxygen was absorbed on the SnO_2 surface in different forms depending on the operating temperature, and it was converted into molecular (physisorption) or dissociative (chemisorption) forms by the increasing operating temperature. The oxygen species with different forms (O_2^- , O^- and O^{2-}) generated on the SnO_2 surface induced an electron-depletion layer, resulting in the decrease in carrier concentration and increase in resistance on the SnO_2 surface. Output currents of SnO_2 -based H₂S microsensors increased when H₂S was injected into the chamber because the oxygen species adsorbed on the SnO_2 -sensing material surface were consumed by the chemical reaction and the electrons donated back to the SnO_2 surface, resulting in a decreased electrical resistance. The response of an SnO_2 -based H₂S microsensor is typically defined as

$$S(Response) = R_{air}/R_{gas} = I_{gas}/I_{air},$$
(4)

where R_{air} and R_{gas} are the resistances and I_{air} and I_{gas} are the conductance values of the sensor regarding air and reducing gas (H₂S), respectively. The response dramatically improved when the operating temperature was increased by increasing the input voltage of the micro-heater. This is because increasing the operating temperature causes the oxidation of several H_2S molecules by producing a multitude of electrons. Therefore, the output current varies greatly, thus indicating a significant improvement in the response for H₂S detection. However, the response is not constantly improved by the increasing operating temperature. In Figure 7b–d, the measured response of the fabricated H_2S microsensor slightly increased or saturated because the oxygen species were desorbed from the SnO_2 surface [27]. In addition, at a higher operating temperature, the carrier concentration increased, owing to the intrinsic thermal excitation while the Debye length decreases. These are primarily responsible for the decrease in gas response at higher temperatures [28]. The micro-heater of type #3 exhibited a superior H₂S-detecting performance at a lower operating voltage compared to micro-heaters of type #1 and #2 because of its lower resistance value, which aided in increasing the current traveling through micro-heater type #3. Thus, the micro-heater of type #3 operated at a relatively lower input voltage, had a superior heating performance, and actively provided sufficient energy for the reaction between H_2S and the oxygen species $(O_2^-, O^-, and O^{2-})$ on the SnO₂ surface.

Figure 8a–c show the measured response and recovery time of the H₂S microsensor for micro-heaters of types #1–#3. The response time is defined as the time required for decreasing the H₂S sensor resistance or increasing the H₂S sensor conductance by 90% of the total decrease (R_{air} - R_{gas}) or total increase (I_{gas} - I_{air}). In contrast, the recovery time is defined as the time required to recover the H₂S sensor resistance or increase the H₂S sensor conductance by 90% of the total decrease (R_{air} - R_{gas}) or total increase (I_{gas} - I_{air}) when the H₂S injection is stopped and air is injected into the chamber.

Response and recovery times considerably decreased with the increase in operating temperature, which is controlled by the input voltage of the micro-heater. At a lower input voltage, the micro-heater of type #3 had a shorter response and recovery time compared to that of type #1 and #2, as it produced a more adequate thermal energy for an active and rapid reaction between H_2S and oxygen species (O_2^- , O^- and O^{2-}) on the SnO_2 surface, owing to its superior heating ability. To initiate a reaction between molecules, they must be close to each other, and each molecule must have an energy greater than the energy required for the reaction (i.e., activation energy, *Ea*). The activation energy for the reaction between H_2S and the surface-adsorbed oxygen species (O_2^- , O^- and O^{2-}) decreased with the increasing operating temperature. This decreased activation energy rapidly induced the reaction between H_2S and oxygen species (O_2^-, O^- and O^{2-}) with an increasing operating temperature. It was confirmed that the fabricated SnO₂-based H₂S microsensors with micro-heaters of type #1-#3 actively react with H_2S at the operating temperature of approximately 170–180 °C; however, the SnO₂-based H_2S microsensor's response for H_2S was saturated or degraded when the operating temperature was further increased above 180 °C, as shown in Figure 9. In the high response region, the SnO_2 -based

 H_2S microsensor with a micro-heater of type #3 exhibited a low driven voltage (3.5 V) and a low power consumption (340.65 mW), while offering a high performance (response: 6.52, response time: 51 s, recovery time: 101 s) for portable gas sensor applications, as shown in Figure 9. However, other H_2S microsensors with micro-heaters of type #1–#2 must be supplied with a higher operating voltage and require a greater power consumption to exhibit a similar H_2S -detection ability. This limits their applicability in portable gas sensor applications.



Figure 8. Measured response and recovery time of the SnO₂-based H₂S microsensor with microheaters of (**a**) type #1, (**b**) type #2, and (**c**) type #3 as a function of input voltage of microheater.



Figure 9. Measured response and expected operating temperature of the SnO2-based H2S microsensor with micro-heaters of type #1–#3 as a function of power consumption.

To develop an H_2S gas sensor that requires a lower-driven voltage and power consumption than the developed H_2S microsensor, a membrane structure must be fabricated. This must be considered depending on applicable fields, as a gas sensor with a membrane structure can incur high costs, encounter difficulties in fabrication, and possess weak mechanical properties.

For practical applications, gas sensors should exhibit a strong response, as well as good selectivity, toward the targeted gas (H_2S in this study). To estimate the selectivity of

the proposed SnO₂-based H₂S microsensor with micro-heater type #3 (input voltage is 3.5 V, power consumption is 340.65 mW), the fabricated H₂S microsensor was exposed to different types of gases, including ammonia (NH₃), hydrogen (H₂), and carbon monoxide (CO) gases. As shown in Figure 10, the fabricated H₂S microsensor displayed great selectivity toward H₂S. In case of an increasing operating temperature at the higher input voltage (>3.5–4 V), the fabricated H₂S microsensor with the micro-heater of type #3 actively reacted with different types of gases, as mentioned in Section 1. Thus, the selectivity of fabricated H₂S microsensors is poor, and unnecessary power consumption is required. Based on the experimental results, we confirmed that it is important to provide sufficient thermal energy to reach an optimum operating temperature (170–180 °C) for the reaction between H₂S and oxygen species (O₂⁻, O⁻ and O²⁻) on the SnO₂ surface using the micro-heater that exhibits a superior heating performance.



Figure 10. Measured responses of the SnO₂-based H₂S microsensor with micro-heater of type #3 to different tested gases.

In summary, the H_2S -detection ability of the proposed SnO_2 -based H_2S microsensor can be significantly improved by supplying thermal energy, utilizing fabricated microheaters embedded in the H_2S sensor. Further, the applied input voltage and power consumption can be minimized by optimizing the micro-heater design.

4. Conclusions

This study proposed and fabricated an SnO₂-based H₂S microsensor with microheaters of different geometric designs. An H₂S sensor using semiconducting metal oxide as the sensing material generally comprises a substrate, sensing material, IDE, and a microheater. The microheater, embedded in the gas sensor, has an important role to play because the reaction between H₂S and oxygen species (O₂⁻, O⁻ and O²⁻) on the SnO₂ surface is affected by the operation temperature of the sensor. The development of a microheater producing more thermal energy by minimizing the operating voltage and power consumption is necessary to realize more viable real-time monitoring and portable sensor applications. To meet this requirement, microheaters with different geometric designs (meander, rectangular, and rectangular mesh patterns) have been proposed, and their heating performances were characterized by estimating the H₂S-detection ability of the sensor. This was accomplished by applying a sufficient input voltage and then measuring the resistance of the temperature sensor. Based on the experimental results, we confirmed that the microheater with a rectangular mesh pattern produced thermal energy

more effectively. Therefore, the SnO₂-based H₂S microsensor with the micro-heater with a rectangular mesh pattern displayed a superior H₂S-detection ability. Its responses (I_{gas}/I_{air}) were 4.37 (2 ppm), 5.64 (4 ppm), 6.56 (6 ppm), 7.31 (8 ppm), and 8.72 (10 ppm) at an applied input voltage of 3.5 V to the micro-heater. Furthermore, it had a shorter response time (<51 s) and recovery time (<101 s) compared to H₂S microsensors with micro-heaters with meander and rectangular patterns. H₂S is a toxic and harmful gas, even at concentrations as low as hundreds of parts per million, and is mainly produced by oil deposits, biogas, and natural gas fields. Thus, developing an H₂S sensor with good selectivity and a fast response time is crucial for the health and safety of industrial workers and the general population. Therefore, the developed and optimized H₂S sensor proposed in this study is suitable for practical real-time monitoring and portable sensor applications.

Author Contributions: D.G.J., D.J. and H.K.A. conceived the idea and designed the experiment. D.G.J., J.L., J.B.K. and B.M. fabricated the experimental sensor. D.G.J. and J.L. estimated the characteristics of the sensor. D.G.J. and J.B.K. analyzed the experimental results. D.G.J. and D.J. wrote the paper and discussed the contents. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

Acknowledgments: This study was supported by the Korea Institute of Industrial Technology under "Development of color/light-emitting textile products for detection of industrial harmful materials and prevention of danger (Kitech EH-22-0004)," the Institute of Civil Military Technology Cooperation, funded by the Defense Acquisition Program Administration and Ministry of Trade, Industry and Energy of the Korean government, under Grant No. 21-SF-BR-05, and the Korea Innovation Foundation (INNOPOLIS) Grant funded by the Korean government (MSIT) (2020-DD-UP-0348).

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Pandey, S.K.; Kim, K.-H.; Tang, K.-T. A review of sensor-based methods for monitoring hydrogen sulfide. *TrAC Trends Anal. Chem.* 2012, 32, 87–99. [CrossRef]
- Van Tong, P.; Hoa, N.D.; Nha, H.T.; Van Duy, N.; Hung, C.M.; Van Hieu, N. SO₂ and H₂S sensing properties of hydrothermally synthesized CuO nanoplates. J. Electron. Mater. 2018, 47, 7170–7178. [CrossRef]
- 3. Girardin, D.; Berger, F.; Chambaudet, A.; Planade, R. Modelling of SO₂ detection by tin dioxide gas sensor. *Sens. Actuator B* **1997**, 43, 147–153. [CrossRef]
- 4. Choi, K.-I.; Kim, H.-J.; Kang, Y.C.; Lee, J.-H. Ultraselective and ultrasensitive detection of H₂S in highly humid atmosphere using CuO-loaded SnO₂ hollow spheres for real-time diagnosis of halitosis. *Sens. Actuators B* **2014**, *194*, 371–376. [CrossRef]
- Kida, T.; Fujiyama, S.; Suematsu, K.; Yuasa, M.; Shimanoe, K. Pore and particle size control of gas sensing films using SnO₂ nanoparticles synthesized by seed-mediated growth: Design of highly sensitive gas sensor. *J. Phys. Chem. C* 2013, 117, 17574–17582. [CrossRef]
- Choi, S.W.; Katoch, A.; Sun, G.J.; Kim, J.H.; Kim, S.H.; Kim, S.S. Dual functional sensing mechanism in SnO₂-ZnO core-Shell nanowires. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2014, 6, 8281–8287. [CrossRef]
- Kim, H.; An, S.; Jin, C.; Lee, C. Structure and NO₂ gas sensing properties of SnO₂-core/In₂O₃-shell nanobelts. *Curr. Appl. Phys.* 2012, 12, 1125–1130. [CrossRef]
- Degler, D.; Wicker, S.; Weimar, U.; Barsan, N. Identifying the active oxygen species in SnO₂ based gas sensing materials: An operando IR spectroscopy study. J. Phys. Chem. C 2015, 119, 11792–11799. [CrossRef]
- Hahn, S.H.; Bârsan, N.; Weimar, U.; Ejakov, S.G.; Visser, J.H.; Soltis, R.E. CO sensing with SnO₂ thick film sensors: Role of oxygen and water vapour. *Thin Solid Films* 2003, 436, 17–24. [CrossRef]
- Hubner, M.; Pavelko, R.G.; Barsan, N.; Weimar, U. Influence of oxygen backgrounds on hydrogen sensing with SnO₂ nanomaterials. Sens. Actuators B 2011, 154, 264–269. [CrossRef]
- 11. Bârsan, N.; Hübner, M.; Weimar, U. Conduction mechanisms in SnO₂ based polycrystalline thick film gas sensors exposed to CO and H₂ in different oxygen backgrounds. *Sens. Actuators B* **2011**, *157*, 510–517. [CrossRef]
- 12. Zhu, S.; Shi, S.; Zheng, X.; Wang, X.; Yu, G.; Jiang, Y.; Feng, J.; Zhu, L.; Zhang, G. Enhanced oxygen vacancies in Ce-doped SnO₂ nanofibers for highly efficient soot catalytic combustion. *Catalysts* **2022**, *12*, 596. [CrossRef]
- Tabata, K.; Kawabe, T.; Yamaguchi, Y.; Nagasawa, Y. Chemisorbed oxygen species over the (110) face of SnO₂. *Catal. Surv. Asia* 2003, 7, 251–259. [CrossRef]

- Wang, X.; Ma, W.; Sun, K.M.; Hu, J.F.; Qin, H.W. Sensing mechanism of SnO₂(110) surface to NO₂: Density functional theory calculations. *Mater. Sci. Forum* 2017, 898, 1947–1959.
- 15. Shao, H.; Huang, M.; Fu, H.; Wang, S.; Wang, L.; Lu, J.; Wang, Y.; Yu, K. Hollow WO₃/SnO₂ hetero-nanofiber: Controlled synthesis and high efficiency of acetone vapor detection. *Front. Chem.* **2019**, *7*, 785. [CrossRef]
- 16. Dontsova, T.A.; Nagirnyak, S.V.; Zhorov, V.V.; Yasiievych, Y.V. SnO₂ nanostructures: Effect of processing parameters on their structural and functional properties. *Nanoscale Res. Lett.* **2017**, *12*, 332. [CrossRef]
- 17. Chen, W.G.; Li, Q.Z.; Gan, H.L.; Zeng, W. Study of CuO-SnO₂ heterojunction nanostructures for enhanced CO gas sensing properties. *Adv. Appl. Ceram.* **2013**, *110*, 139–146.
- Samà, J.; Barth, S.; Domènech-Gil, G.; Prades, J.; López, N.; Casals, O.; Gràcia, I.; Cané, C.; Romano-Rodríguez, A. Site-selectively grown SnO₂ NWs networks on micromembranes for efficient ammonia sensing in humid conditions. *Sens. Actuators B* 2016, 232, 402–409. [CrossRef]
- Rajaji, U.; Eva Gnana Dhana Rani, S.E.D.; Chen, S.M.; Rajakumar, K.; Govindasamy, M.; Alzahrani, F.M.; Alsaiari, N.S.; Ouladsmane, M.; Sharmila, L.I. Synergistic photocatalytic activity of SnO₂/PANI nanocomposite for the removal of direct blue 15 under UV light irradiation. *Ceram. Int.* 2021, 47, 29225–29231. [CrossRef]
- Govindasamy, M.; Sriram, B.; Wang, S.F.; Chang, Y.J.; Rajabathar, J.R. Highly sensitive determination of cancer toxic mercury ions in biological and human sustenance samples based on green and robust synthesized stannic oxide nanoparticles decorated reduced graphene oxide sheets. *Anal. Chim. Acta* 2020, 1137, 181–190. [CrossRef]
- Shaposhnik, A.V.; Moskalev, P.V.; Zviagin, A.A.; Duykova, M.V.; Ryabtsev, S.V.; Ghareeb, D.A.; Vasiliev, A.A. Selective determination of hydrogen sulfide using SnO₂-Ag sensor working in nonstationary temperature regime. *Sensors* 2021, 9, 203. [CrossRef]
- Chowdhuri, A.; Sharma, P.; Gupta, V.; Sreenivas, K.; Rao, K.V. H2S gas sensing mechanism of SnO₂ films with ultrathin CuO dotted islands. *J. Appl. Phys.* 2002, 92, 2172–2180. [CrossRef]
- Zhu, L.Y.; Yuan, K.P.; Yang, J.H.; Hang, C.Z.; Ma, H.P.; Ji, X.M.; Devi, A.; Lu, H.L.; Zhang, D.W. Hierarchical highly ordered SnO₂ nanobowl branched ZnO nanowires for ultrasensitive and selective hydrogen sulfide gas sensing. *Microsyst. Nanoeng.* 2020, *6*, 30. [CrossRef]
- Park, S.; Yoon, I.; Lee, S.; Kim, H.; Seo, J.W.; Chung, Y.; Unger, A.; Kupnik, M.; Lee, H.J. CMUT-based resonant gas sensor array for VOC detection with low operating voltage. *Sens. Actuators B* 2018, 273, 1556–1563. [CrossRef]
- Kim, J.H.; Mirzaei, A.; Kim, H.W.; Kim, S.S. Low-voltage-driven sensors based on ZnO nanowires for room-temperature detection of NO₂ and CO gases. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2019, 11, 24172–24183. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 26. Ahmad, M.; Malik, S.; Patel, H.; Baghini, M.S. A portable low-voltage low-power ppm-level resistive sensor measurement system. *IEEE Sens. J.* **2021**, *22*, 2338–2346. [CrossRef]
- 27. Windischmann, H.; Mark, P. A model for the operation of a thin-film SnOx conductance-modulation carbon monoxide sensor. *J. Electrochem. Soc.* **1979**, *126*, 627–633. [CrossRef]
- 28. Mizsei, J. How can sensitive and selective semiconductor gas sensors be made? Sens. Actuators B 1995, 23, 173–176. [CrossRef]