



Article Late Luteal Subphase Food Craving Is Enhanced in Women with Obesity and Premenstrual Dysphoric Disorder (PMDD)

Ajna Hamidovic ^{1,*}, Shahd Smadi ², and John Davis ²

- Department of Pharmacy, College of Pharmacy, The University of Illinois at Chicago, Chicago, IL 60612, USA
 Department of Psychiatry, College of Medicine, The University of Illinois at Chicago, Chicago, IL 60612, USA;
- ssmadi2@uic.edu (S.S.); davisjm@uic.edu (J.D.)

Correspondence: ahamidov@uic.edu

Abstract: Dysregulated food craving is a complex weight-related behavior. To identify novel targets for enhancing the efficacy of weight loss interventions, we examined whether food craving varies across the menstrual cycle according to the abdominal obesity type and premenstrual dysphoric disorder (PMDD) diagnosis, and, if so, whether it is related to affective symptomatology. Reproductive-age women were classified into one of the four study groups according to whether they have abdominal obesity (AO) or are abdominally lean (AL), and the presence of PMDD: (1) AO:PMDD+ (n = 13), (2) AL:PMDD+ (n = 14), (3) AO:PMDD- (n = 15), and (4) AL:PMDD- (n = 16). Self-report measures as well as urinary luteinizing hormone (LH) tests were provided by the participants across 2–3 menstrual cycles. The ratings of food cravings were similar across the menstrual cycle, except the last, late luteal subphase as the AO:PMDD+ participants had the highest food craving rating. Irritability and depression were correlated with food cravings, but not in a distinctive manner across the menstrual cycle by group. Our study found that women with abdominal obesity and PMDD display a temporal vulnerability to a food-related behavior. The possibility of shared neurobiology between the two conditions is discussed and should be examined in future studies.

Keywords: appetite; food intake; PMDD; abdominal obesity; CRP



Citation: Hamidovic, A.; Smadi, S.; Davis, J. Late Luteal Subphase Food Craving Is Enhanced in Women with Obesity and Premenstrual Dysphoric Disorder (PMDD). *Nutrients* **2023**, *15*, 5000. https://doi.org/10.3390/ nu15235000

Academic Editor: Andrew John Hill

Received: 15 October 2023 Revised: 28 November 2023 Accepted: 30 November 2023 Published: 2 December 2023



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/).

1. Introduction

Premenstrual dysphoric disorder (PMDD) is a psychiatric condition affecting between 3 and 5% of reproductive-age women [1] who experience debilitating affective symptomatology in the luteal phase of the menstrual cycle. Symptom expression in PMDD is, among other factors, related to body fat accumulation, as childhood (pre-menarche) body mass index (BMI) is positively associated with the development of PMDD in adulthood [2], and women with obesity have an increased risk for PMDD [3].

The menstrual cycle may represent a trigger for the obesity and PMDD co-expression. The luteal phase of the menstrual cycle is marked by a natural mild anabolic state across reproductive-age women [4] and a small parallel increase in energy intake [5] to maintain energy balance. This luteal phase equilibrium, however, is distorted in women with PMDD as they report higher food cravings than the general population of reproductive-age women [6] who also report this increase (relative to the follicular phase of the menstrual cycle). Premenstrual food cravings are likely influenced by several factors, not only the interacting effects between abdominal obesity and PMDD status, as hypothesized here. For example, we previously [7] investigated hormonal influences on premenstrual food cravings using hierarchical linear regression modeling, showing that progesterone, but not estradiol, is inversely associated with premenstrual food cravings.

It is presently not known whether the enhanced premenstrual food cravings in women with PMDD is related to abdominal obesity. Hence, we prospectively evaluated food cravings across the entire menstrual cycle in relation to abdominal obesity and PMDD diagnosis. We next assessed if group and subphase effects on food cravings may be associated with depression and/or irritability across the menstrual cycle. We also collected high-sensitivity c-reactive protein (hs-crp) in a subset of women and preliminarily examined the relationship between hs-crp and food cravings in the late luteal subphase of the menstrual cycle in PMDD. We deemed this analysis necessary as the inflammatory protein is a marker of poor dietary intake [8], and it is unknown whether premenstrual crp is associated with PMDD.

2. Materials and Method

2.1. Study Design

The present analysis is a part of the Premenstrual Hormonal and Affective State Evaluation (PHASE) project that is described in detail in Hamidovic et al. (2022) [9]. In summary, study participants were reproductive-age women with PMDD and healthy controls free of other current psychiatric conditions. They were non-smokers, did not take any prescription medications, including hormonal forms of birth control, and did not take illicit drugs as verified by a urine drug test. They also did not have other current psychiatric co-morbidities. The participants provided symptom ratings using the Daily Record of Severity of Problems (DRSP) [10] across two to three menstrual cycles. They completed these ratings between 8 PM and midnight on a daily basis. In the last menstrual cycle of the study, a subset of women from PHASE attended 8 clinic visits throughout the menstrual cycle to provide serum samples, complete tests of cognition and measures of heart rate variability. We analyzed hs-crp levels from the mid-follicular and late luteal subphase visits, which we present here.

2.2. Food Cravings and Anthropometric Measures

Food Cravings Measure. The Daily Record of Severity of Problems (DRSP) [10] is a validated instrument that measures affective, psychological, behavioral, and functional symptoms. They are rated on a scale of 1 (not at all) to 6 (extreme). The internal consistency and test-retest variability of the instrument are high [10]. The present analysis evaluated ratings of increased food cravings ("Had cravings for specific foods"). This daily rating of food cravings was calculated as the mean score for the following timepoints: (1) midfollicular—two days in the middle of the period from the menstrual cycle onset to the day of LH peak, (2) periovulatory—the day of LH peak and the next day, (3) early luteal—2 days which are at 1/4th of the period from LH peak to the onset of the subsequent menstrual cycle, (4) mid-luteal—2 days which are at 1/2 of the period from LH peak to the onset of the subsequent menstrual cycle, and (5) late luteal—the last two days prior to the onset of the next menstrual cycle. We did not include the early follicular timepoint (i.e., onset of menses) due to the possibility of the reporting bias brought on by the menses onset, which may result in inaccurate model estimates. For descriptive purposes, we provided food craving ratings of the 4 study groups across the specified 5 timepoints, as well as the early follicular subphase, in Supplementary Figure S1.

Anthropomorphic Measures. At the baseline visit, we measured waist circumference (WC) by palpating the hip area to locate the right ilium of the pelvis and positioning the tape (parallel to the floor) in a horizontal place at the level of the measurement mark [11]. For BMI, we collected the participants' height and weight measurements using a standard measuring board and a digital scale.

Irritability and Depression. We calculated two cardinal symptoms of PMDD from the DRSP questionnaire—depression (felt depressed, felt hopeless, felt worthless or guilty, slept more, had trouble sleeping, and felt overwhelmed) and irritability (anger and/or irritability and conflicts with people) [10,12]. Internal consistency for these measures was identified as 0.90 in a study by Endicott et al. (2006) with the intraclass correlation coefficient of 0.8 or greater [10].

High-Sensitivity C-Reactive Protein. Following standard procedure for serum separation, 1 mL of serum was frozen and sent on dry ice to ARUP laboratories, a CLIA-certified organization, for analysis. hs-crp levels were determined using quantitative immunoturbidimetry.

2.3. Group Categorization

We stratified the study participants into two categories: with abdominal obesity (AO) and abdominally lean (AL), according to the International Diabetes Federation [13] threshold for abdominal obesity (i.e., 80 cm or greater WC for women across ethnic backgrounds). We defined the PMDD diagnosis according to DSM-5-specified criteria [14] as prospective symptom ratings over 2 or more menstrual cycles. We identified PMDD+ participants as those with a 30% or greater increase in 5 or more symptoms, one of which had to be negative mood, relative to the range of the scale used by each woman during the week before compared with the week after menstruation [15]. Hence, the present analysis included 4 groups of participants: AO:PMDD+, AL:PMDD+, AO:PMDD-, and AL:PMDD-. Characteristics of study participants according to group are specified in Table 1. For descriptive purposes, we present premenstrual symptomatology according to the diagnosis in Supplementary Figure S2.

Table 1. Demographic characteristics according to study groups.

Demographic Variable	Category	Diagnostic Category				
		AL:PMDD- (n = 16)	AL:PMDD+ (n = 14)	AO:PMDD- (n = 15)	AO:PMDD+ (n = 13)	<i>p</i> Value
Age		25.12 (4.68)	26.57 (5.48)	27.26 (5.22)	25.07 (4.53)	0.56
Race	White	6	4	7	3	0.76
	Black or African American	3	2	2	2	
	American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0	0	2	
	Asian	7	7	4	5	
	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0	
	More than one race	0	0	1	1	
	Unknown/Do not want to specify	0	1	1	0	
Ethnicity	Hispanic	1	2	4	2	0.77
	Non-Hipanic	14	11	10	11	
	Unknown/Do not want to specify	0	1	1	0	
Student	Yes	5	7	5	8	0.33
Status	No	11	7	10	5	
	Single	15	11	13	12	0.80
Marital	Married	1	2	2	1	
Status	Divorced	0	1	0	0	
	Widowed	0	0	0	0	
Income	Less than \$20,000	10	6	5	6	0.51
	\$20,000-\$34,999	2	2	5	0	
	\$35,000-\$49,999	1	3	1	3	
	\$50,000-\$74,999	2	1	3	3	
	75,000 or more	1	2	1	1	

D	Category		Diagnostic Category			
Variable		AL:PMDD- (n = 16)	AL:PMDD+ (n = 14)	AO:PMDD- (n = 15)	AO:PMDD+ (n = 13)	<i>p</i> Value
Age of Menarche		11.92 (1.40)	12.30 (0.94)	12.36 (1.56)	11.83 (1.52)	0.75
BMI		21.47 (1.45)	20.88 (2.32)	27.80 (3.53)	27.42 (3.98)	< 0.001
Waist Circumference		71.30 (3.89)	72.37 (5.05)	89.74 (6.31)	91.63 (13.61)	< 0.001

Table 1. Cont.

Continuous variables are summarized as means (standard deviations). Data for categorical variables are presented as Ns. AL:PMDD- = abdominally lean without PMDD; AL:PMDD+ = abdominally lean with PMDD; AO:PMDD- = with abdominal obesity and without PMDD; AO:PMDD+ = with abdominal obesity and with PMDD.

2.4. Statistical Analysis

We compared the demographic characteristics of the study groups using the Chi Square (or Fisher Exact) test for categorical, and the analysis of variance (ANOVA) for continuous variables (Table 1). Following the inverse transformation of the food craving data (outcome variable), we implemented the linear mixed-effect (LME) models with subphase, group and their interaction as predictor variables. The following were the codes menstrual cycle subphases: MF (mid-follicular), PO (periovulatory), early luteal (EL), midluteal (ML), and late luteal (LL). The food cravings outcome was a continuous variable, while group and subphase were factors. In this unadjusted model, the AL:PMDD- group and the MF subphase were set as references. Visual qq plot examination of standardized residuals vs. fitted values indicated a normal distribution (Supplementary Figure S3). We obtained group difference estimates at each subphase using the "emmeans" function in R. In the adjusted model, we adjusted for age due to its inverse association with food cravings [16], age of menarche due to its inverse association with PMDD risk [17], and BMI to ensure that the observed effect is associated with abdominal adiposity, and not overall obesity. Hence, in the adjusted model, these measures were included as continuous variables. We assessed group*subphase*irritability and group*subphase*depression as separate predictive models of food cravings. Finally, we analyzed hs-cpr using general linear modeling (glm) (gaussian family). We modeled premenstrual food cravings as the outcome, and diagnosis by hs-cpr as the predictor. Only diagnosis (PMDD+ vs. PMDD-), and not AO, was modeled as this analysis was performed on a more limited number of 15 PMDD- and 7 PMDD+ participants.

3. Results

3.1. Food Cravings According to Subphase and Group

Analysis of the unadjusted model revealed main effects of subphase (F = 13.48; $p = 2.62 \times 10^{-10}$), group (F = 4.01; p = 0.01), and subphase*group interaction (F = 3.28; p = 0.0001) (Figure 1). Relative to the reference MF subphase and AL:PMDD– group, food cravings significantly increased in the LL subphase (*t*-value =2.50; $p \le 0.01$), and the AL: PMDD+ group had higher food cravings in the LL subphase (*t*-value = 3.34; $p \le 0.001$) (Supplementary Table S1). Analysis of the adjusted model revealed that the significant effects of the unadjusted model remained such, with increased food cravings in the LL subphase (*t*-value = 2.54; $p \le 0.05$) and increased LL food cravings in the AO: PMDD+ group (*t*-value = 2.37; $p \le 0.05$). The analysis contrasting groups within each subphase showed that the AO: PMDD+ group had a highly significant increase in food cravings relative to all three study groups in the LL subphase: the AL: PMDD– group (*t*-ratio = 5.27; $p \le 0.001$), the AL: PMDD+ group (*t*-ratio = 4.78; $p \le 0.0001$), and the AO: PMDD– group (*t*-ratio = 4.87; $p \le 0.0001$) (Table 2). No other contrasts were significant.



Figure 1. Food craving ratings from the mid-follicular to the late luteal subphase of the menstrual cycle according to the study groups. In the late luteal subphase, the AO:PMDD+ group rated food cravings higher than the remaining three groups (AO:PMDD+ with abdominal obese and PMDD; AO:PMDD– with abdominal obesity and without PMDD, AL:PMDD+ abdominally lean with PMDD; AL:PMDD– abdominally lean without PMDD).

3.2. Food Cravings According to Group, Subphase and Affective Symptomatology

The irritability analysis showed a main effect of irritability (F = 18.92; $p \le 0.001$), group (F = 3.04; $p \le 0.05$) and irritability by group interaction (F = 2.76; $p \le 0.05$) on food cravings. Subphase (F = 1.42; p = ns), irritability*subphase (F = 0.10, p = ns), group*subphase (F = 1.04, p = ns), and irritability*group*subphase (F = 1.03; p = ns) were not significant. The depression analysis showed a main effect of depression (F = 39.79; $p \le 0.001$) and subphase (F = 2.63; $p \le 0.05$) on food cravings. Group (F = 0.10; p = ns), depression*group (F = 0.41, p = ns), depression*subphase (F = 2.20, p = ns), group*subphase (F = 0.78; p = ns) and depression*group*subphase (F = 0.88; p = ns) were not significant.

3.3. Association between Food Cravings and hs-crp in the LL Subphase in PMDD

hs-crp was significantly associated with food cravings (*t*-value = 2.17, $p \le 0.05$), and the interaction between diagnosis and hs-crp was significantly predictive of premenstrual food cravings (*t*-value = 2.30, $p \le 0.05$) (Figure 2). This finding indicates that the correlation between premenstrual food cravings and hs-crp was significantly different (i.e., positive) in the PMDD+ group relative to the PMDD– group.

Contrast	Estimate	SE	df	t Ratio	<i>p</i> Value		
Subphase = Mid-Follicular							
AL:PMDD- vs. AL:PMDD+	-0.12325	0.0759	189	-1.625	0.3673		
AL:PMDD- vs. AO:PMDD-	-0.07334	0.076	200	-0.965	0.7695		
AL:PMDD- vs. AO:PMDD+	-0.11472	0.0776	190	-1.478	0.453		
AL:PMDD+ vs. AO:PMDD-	0.04991	0.0778	194	0.642	0.9182		
AL:PMDD+ vs. AO:PMDD+	0.00853	0.0794	186	0.107	0.9996		
AO:PMDD- vs. AO:PMDD+	-0.04138	0.0795	196	-0.521	0.954		
Subphase = Periovulatory							
AL:PMDD- vs. AL:PMDD+	-0.03927	0.0753	185	-0.521	0.9539		
AL:PMDD- vs. AO:PMDD-	0.0462	0.076	200	0.608	0.9295		
AL:PMDD- vs. AO:PMDD+	-0.12472	0.0776	190	-1.606	0.3775		
AL:PMDD+ vs. AO:PMDD-	0.08547	0.0772	191	1.107	0.6857		
AL:PMDD+ vs. AO:PMDD+	-0.08545	0.0788	182	-1.084	0.6998		
AO:PMDD- vs. AO:PMDD+	-0.17093	0.0795	196	-2.151	0.141		
Subphase = Early Luteal							
AL:PMDD- vs. AL:PMDD+	-0.07575	0.0753	185	-1.006	0.7463		
AL:PMDD- vs. AO:PMDD-	-0.02933	0.076	200	-0.386	0.9804		
AL:PMDD- vs. AO:PMDD+	-0.14036	0.0783	195	-1.792	0.2803		
AL:PMDD+ vs. AO:PMDD-	0.04642	0.0772	191	0.601	0.9316		
AL:PMDD+ vs. AO:PMDD+	-0.0646	0.0795	187	-0.813	0.8485		
AO:PMDD- vs. AO:PMDD+	-0.11103	0.0801	201	-1.385	0.51		
Subphase = Mid-Luteal							
AL:PMDD- vs. AL:PMDD+	-0.15419	0.0753	185	-2.047	0.1748		
AL:PMDD- vs. AO:PMDD-	0.01936	0.076	200	0.255	0.9942		
AL:PMDD- vs. AO:PMDD+	-0.06117	0.0776	190	-0.788	0.8599		
AL:PMDD+ vs. AO:PMDD-	0.17354	0.0772	191	2.247	0.1144		
AL:PMDD+ vs. AO:PMDD+	0.09302	0.0788	182	1.18	0.6403		
AO:PMDD- vs. AO:PMDD+	-0.08052	0.0795	196	-1.013	0.742		
Subphase = Late Luteal							
AL:PMDD- vs. AL:PMDD+	-0.03196	0.0746	177	-0.429	0.9735		
AL:PMDD- vs. AO:PMDD-	-0.01896	0.0759	196	-0.25	0.9945		
AL:PMDD- vs. AO:PMDD+	-0.40446	0.0767	182	-5.275	< 0.0001		
AL:PMDD+ vs. AO:PMDD-	0.013	0.077	187	0.169	0.9983		
AL:PMDD+ vs. AO:PMDD+	-0.3725	0.0778	174	-4.789	<.0001		
AO:PMDD- vs. AO:PMDD+	-0.3855	0.079	191	-4.878	< 0.0001		

 Table 2. Abdominal obesity and diagnosis group contrasts according to menstrual cycle subphase.



Figure 2. Association between premenstrual food cravings and hs-crp according to diagnosis. The association in the PMDD+, but not the PMDD– group, was statistically significant ($p \le 0.05$).

4. Discussion

Food craving, which increases premenstrually [5,6], is a complex behavior regulated by intricate physiological and psychological processes that, if disturbed, may contribute to weight gain [18]. In the present study, we show that women with abdominal obesity and PMDD rate their food cravings higher in the LL subphase of the menstrual cycle compared to lean women with PMDD or women without PMDD, whether they are lean or have abdominal obesity. These differences persisted after adjusting for age, age at menarche, and BMI. Food craving ratings were not different across the study groups at any of the remaining menstrual cycle subphases. The enhanced rating of food cravings was not distinctively related to affective symptomatology in the AO:PMDD+ group relative to the other groups. Finally, hs-crp was significantly correlated with food cravings in the LL subphase in the PMDD group, which may mean that food craving results in an enhanced food intake in PMDD, and the resulting increase in this inflammatory marker.

Several epidemiologic studies have demonstrated a bi-directional relationship between obesity and PMDD. A cross-sectional study by Masho et al. [5] found a nearly three-fold increase in risk in PMDD in women with vs without obesity, while an analysis of the prospective data from the Nurses' Health Study 2 by Bertone-Johnson et al. [19] demonstrated that the risk for PMDD increases by 3% for every 1 kg per meter square increase in BMI. The correlation between obesity and PMDD in adult women also extends to adolescent girls [20] and non-Western populations [21]. Investigators from the Growing Up Today Study (1996–2013) [2] used prospective data to clarify the relationship between childhood BMI and subsequent risk of premenstrual disorders (premenstrual syndrome—the less severe form of PMDD, and PMDD). The results showed that baseline childhood (i.e., prior to menarche) body size is associated with an increased risk for developing PMDD in young adulthood, suggestive of shared physiological mechanisms are expressed behaviorally in a temporal fashion in the late luteal subphase of the menstrual cycle.

In addition to experiencing the core, affective symptoms of the disorder, women with PMDD self-report heightened food cravings. These symptoms are, in fact, mildly elevated in the luteal phase across reproductive-age women; however, they are more pronounced in women with PMDD. Hartlage et al. [6], for example, found that increases in self-reported appetite and food cravings in the late luteal vs. the mid-follicular subphase of the menstrual cycle were 15% and 24% in reproductive-age women, and 92% and 85% in a separate cohort of women with PMDD, respectively. Furthermore, summarizing findings from 19 studies, Buffenstein et al. [22] showed a significant energy intake increase of approximately 10% (240 kcal) on average in the luteal relative to the follicular phase in healthy reproductive-age women, and concluded that "shifts in energy expenditure during the menstrual cycle imply that energy intake should change in parallel if women are to maintain energy balance". Indeed, resting energy expenditure (REE) significantly increases in the luteal relative to the follicular phase [4]. Hence, the luteal phase increase in food intake and craving in healthy reproductive-age women is a natural process for maintaining energy balance.

Results of the present study show that this natural process in women with PMDD and obesity is dysregulated, and does not appear to be associated with PMDD symptomatology. Instead, it may be the result of the shared neurocircuitry between the two conditions. Considering the cyclical and menstrual cycle phase-dependent involvement of eating-related symptoms in PMDD, it is significant that many of the neurocircuit changes resulting from various aspects of appetitive food imagery processing in the orbitofrontal cortex (OFC), insula, amygdala, ventromedial prefrontal cortex (vm PFC), and striatal–pallidal neurocircuits [23,24] are also observed in PMDD in a phase specific manner [25]. For example, women with PMDD displayed a higher mid-insula activity in the luteal vs. follicular phase of the menstrual cycle on a response inhibition task—an effect opposite from the healthy controls who decreased their mid-insula activity in the luteal phase [26]. A hub for primary convergence of interoceptive signals [27], the mid-insula is reactive to food imagery; thus, the sensorimotor integration functions of this subregion may be sensitive

to premenstrual changes [28] and linked to interoceptive aspects of food cravings. In fact, a recent meta-analysis [29], evaluating brain regions that exhibit disrupted activation during interoception across different psychiatric conditions, identified altered mid-insula activity as a common, transdiagnostic neural locus for detecting and regulating the body's internal state, and a potential neural marker of psychopathology. This and other potential neurobiological overlaps between the two conditions should be carefully examined in future studies.

Abdominal obesity is related to the excess accumulation of visceral fat that significantly contributes to systemic inflammation by producing large amounts of inflammatory adipokines such as tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF α), hs-crp, interferon gamma (IFN Υ), interleukin-6 (IL-6), interleukin 1 beta (IL1 β) and many others [30]. These adipokines correlate with the volume of visceral fat and significantly predict several cardiometabolic risk parameters. They appear to correlate with premenstrual symptoms. For example, analyzing data from 2939 reproductive-age women in the longitudinal Study of Women's Health Across the Nation (SWAN), Gold et al. [31] identified a positive association between food cravings/weight gain/bloating and hs-crp. As this analysis did not specifically evaluate premenstrual food cravings or the official PMDD DSM-5 diagnosis, and data in the literature on this topic are lacking, we preliminarily examined the relationship between premenstrual levels of hs-crp and food cravings according to group (PMDD+ vs. PMDD-), showing a significant positive correlation between the two in the PMDD+, but not the PMDD– study participants. Our analysis was underpowered to detect abdominal obesity*PMDD diagnosis interaction; nonetheless, it preliminarily shows late luteal association between hs-crp and PMDD that may predispose this segment of reproductive-age women to cardiometabolic risk.

Food craving is a complex behavior regulated by intricate physiological and psychological processes. Its disruption contributes to excessive energy intake and weight gain [32], and women with PMDD are at risk for weight gain. We here show that the correlation between obesity and PMDD does not appear to be related to the affective symptomatology in PMDD. Nonetheless, we consider the present findings to be hypothesis-generating due to the small sample size and the self-reported nature of food cravings. The results presented here will ideally need to be confirmed using more precise methods, such as repeated sampling of dietary intake in real time and in context. Also, modern approaches, such as functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI), will be critical in identifying mechanisms of the observed effect. Finally, our findings would have been more robust if we controlled for any potential dieting.

In summary, women with abdominal obesity and PMDD reported higher late luteal phase increases in food craving relative to both women with abdominal obesity and abdominally lean women without PMDD, as well as abdominally lean women with PMDD. This finding is suggestive of a biological process which predisposes this segment of population to a future cardiometabolic risk. Given the temporal nature of the observed effect in the late luteal (i.e., premenstrual) subphase of the menstrual cycle, should the present finding be replicated, targeted interventions may be developed to improve health in this at-risk population.

Supplementary Materials: The following supporting information can be downloaded at: https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/nu15235000/s1.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, A.H.; methodology, A.H.; software, A.H.; validation, A.H.; formal analysis, A.H.; investigation, A.H.; resources, A.H.; data curation, A.H.; writing—original draft preparation, A.H.; writing—review and editing, A.H., J.D. and S.S.; supervision, A.H.; project administration, A.H.; funding acquisition, A.H; All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This work was supported by the National Institute of Mental Health/National Institutes of Health, grant number R21MH119642.

Institutional Review Board Statement: The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and approved by the Institutional Review Board (or Ethics Committee) of the University of Illinois at Chicago (UIC) (protocol code #2018-1533 and 15 September 2019).

Informed Consent Statement: Informed consent was obtained from all subjects involved in the study.

Data Availability Statement: The data presented in this study are available on request from the corresponding author. The data are not publicly available due to preserve scientific integrity of research methodology.

Acknowledgments: We would like to thank the subjects who provided the data for this research study.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Hantsoo, L.; Epperson, C.N. Premenstrual Dysphoric Disorder: Epidemiology and Treatment. *Curr. Psychiatry Rep.* 2015, 17, 87. [CrossRef]
- Lu, D.; Aleknaviciute, J.; Kamperman, A.M.; Tamimi, R.M.; Ludvigsson, J.F.; Valdimarsdóttir, U.A.; Bertone-Johnson, E.R. Association Between Childhood Body Size and Premenstrual Disorders in Young Adulthood. *JAMA Netw. Open* 2022, 5, e221256. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Masho, S.W.; Adera, T.; South-Paul, J. Obesity as a risk factor for premenstrual syndrome. J. Psychosom. Obstet. Gynaecol. 2005, 26, 33–39. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 4. Benton, M.J.; Hutchins, A.M.; Dawes, J.J. Effect of menstrual cycle on resting metabolism: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS ONE* **2020**, *15*, e0236025. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Gorczyca, A.M.; Sjaarda, L.A.; Mitchell, E.M.; Perkins, N.J.; Schliep, K.C.; Wactawski-Wende, J.; Mumford, S.L. Changes in macronutrient, micronutrient, and food group intakes throughout the menstrual cycle in healthy, premenopausal women. *Eur. J. Nutr.* 2016, 55, 1181–1188. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Hartlage, S.A.; Freels, S.; Gotman, N.; Yonkers, K. Criteria for premenstrual dysphoric disorder: Secondary analyses of relevant data sets. Arch. Gen. Psychiatry 2012, 69, 300–305. [CrossRef]
- Hamidovic, A.; Soumare, F.; Naveed, A.; Davis, J. Mid-Luteal Progesterone Is Inversely Associated with Premenstrual Food Cravings. *Nutrients* 2023, 15, 1097. [CrossRef]
- 8. Kuczmarski, M.F.; Mason, M.A.; Allegro, D.; Zonderman, A.B.; Evans, M.K. Diet quality is inversely associated with C-reactive protein levels in urban, low-income African-American and white adults. *J. Acad. Nutr. Diet.* **2013**, *113*, 12. [CrossRef]
- 9. Hamidovic, A.; Soumare, F.; Naveed, A.; Davis, J.; Sun, J.; Dang, N. Reduced Dehydroepiandrosterone-Sulfate Levels in the Mid-Luteal Subphase of the Menstrual Cycle: Implications to Women's Health Research. *Metabolites* **2022**, *12*, 941. [CrossRef]
- 10. Endicott, J.; Nee, J.; Harrison, W. Daily Record of Severity of Problems (DRSP): Reliability and validity. *Arch. Womens Ment. Health* **2006**, *9*, 41–49. [CrossRef]
- 11. National Institutes of Health. *The Practical Guide Identification, Evaluation, and Treatment of Overweight and Obesity in Adults;* National Institutes of Health: Bethesda, MD, USA, 2000.
- Yonkers, K.A.; Kornstein, S.G.; Gueorguieva, R.; Merry, B.; Van Steenburgh, K.; Altemus, M. Symptom-Onset Dosing of Sertraline for the Treatment of Premenstrual Dysphoric Disorder: A Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA Psychiatry* 2015, 72, 1037–1044. [CrossRef]
- 13. Alberti KG, M.M.; Zimmet, P.; Shaw, J. Metabolic syndrome--a new world-wide definition. A Consensus Statement from the International Diabetes Federation. *Diabet. Med. J. Br. Diabet. Assoc.* 2006, 23, 469–480. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 14. American Psychiatric Association. *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders*, 5th ed.; American Psychiatric Association: Washington, DC, USA, 2013. [CrossRef]
- Hamidovic, A.; Davis, J.; Soumare, F.; Naveed, A.; Ghani, Y.; Semiz, S.; Khalil, D.; Wardle, M. Allopregnanolone Is Associated with a Stress-Induced Reduction of Heart Rate Variability in Premenstrual Dysphoric Disorder. *J. Clin. Med.* 2023, *12*, 1553. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 16. Abdella, H.M.; El Farssi, H.O.; Broom, D.R.; Hadden, D.A.; Dalton, C.F. Eating Behaviours and Food Cravings; Influence of Age, Sex, BMI and FTO Genotype. *Nutrients* **2019**, *11*, 377. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 17. Lu, D.; Aleknaviciute, J.; Bjarnason, R.; Tamimi, R.M.; Valdimarsdóttir, U.A.; Bertone-Johnson, E.R. Pubertal development and risk of premenstrual disorders in young adulthood. *Hum. Reprod. Oxf. Engl.* **2021**, *36*, 455–464. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 18. Boswell, R.G.; Kober, H. Food cue reactivity and craving predict eating and weight gain: A meta-analytic review. *Obes. Rev. Off. J. Int. Assoc. Study Obes.* **2016**, *17*, 159–177. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 19. Bertone-Johnson, E.R.; Hankinson, S.E.; Willett, W.C.; Johnson, S.R.; Manson, J.E. Adiposity and the development of premenstrual syndrome. *J. Womens Health* 2002 **2010**, *19*, 1955–1962. [CrossRef]
- 20. Mizgier, M.; Jarzabek-Bielecka, G.; Jakubek, E.; Kedzia, W. The relationship between body mass index, body composition and premenstrual syndrome prevalence in girls. *Ginekol. Pol.* **2019**, *90*, 256–261. [CrossRef]

- Thakur, H.; Pareek, P.; Sayyad, M.G.; Otiv, S. Association of Premenstrual Syndrome with Adiposity and Nutrient Intake Among Young Indian Women. Int. J. Womens Health 2022, 14, 665–675. [CrossRef]
- 22. Buffenstein, R.; Poppitt, S.D.; McDevitt, R.M.; Prentice, A.M. Food intake and the menstrual cycle: A retrospective analysis, with implications for appetite research. *Physiol. Behav.* **1995**, *58*, 1067–1077. [CrossRef]
- Siep, N.; Roefs, A.; Roebroeck, A.; Havermans, R.; Bonte, M.L.; Jansen, A. Hunger is the best spice: An fMRI study of the effects of attention, hunger and calorie content on food reward processing in the amygdala and orbitofrontal cortex. *Behav. Brain Res.* 2009, *198*, 149–158. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 24. Simmons, W.K.; Martin, A.; Barsalou, L.W. Pictures of appetizing foods activate gustatory cortices for taste and reward. *Cereb. Cortex N. Y. N 1991* **2005**, *15*, 1602–1608. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 25. Stickel, S.; Wagels, L.; Wudarczyk, O.; Jaffee, S.; Habel, U.; Schneider, F.; Chechko, N. Neural correlates of depression in women across the reproductive lifespan—An fMRI review. J. Affect. Disord. 2019, 246, 556–570. [CrossRef]
- Bannbers, E.; Gingnell, M.; Engman, J.; Morell, A.; Comasco, E.; Kask, K.; Garavan, H.; Wikström, J.; Poromaa, I.S. The effect of premenstrual dysphoric disorder and menstrual cycle phase on brain activity during response inhibition. *J. Affect. Disord.* 2012, 142, 347–350. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 27. Craig, A.D. Interoception: The sense of the physiological condition of the body. *Curr. Opin. Neurobiol.* **2003**, *13*, 500–505. [CrossRef]
- Simmons, W.K.; Burrows, K.; Avery, J.A.; Kerr, K.L.; Bodurka, J.; Savage, C.R.; Drevets, W.C. Depression-Related Increases and Decreases in Appetite: Dissociable Patterns of Aberrant Activity in Reward and Interoceptive Neurocircuitry. *Am. J. Psychiatry* 2016, 173, 418–428. [CrossRef]
- 29. Nord, C.L.; Lawson, R.P.; Dalgleish, T. Disrupted Dorsal Mid-Insula Activation During Interoception Across Psychiatric Disorders. *Am. J. Psychiatry* **2021**, *178*, 761–770. [CrossRef]
- Ali, M.M.; Hassan, C.; Masrur, M.; Bianco, F.M.; Naquiallah, D.; Mirza, I.; Frederick, P.; Fernandes, E.T.; Giulianotti, C.P.; Gangemi, A.; et al. Adipose Tissue Hypoxia Correlates with Adipokine Hypomethylation and Vascular Dysfunction. *Biomedicines* 2021, 9, 1034. [CrossRef]
- 31. Gold, E.B.; Wells, C.; Rasor, M.O. The Association of Inflammation with Premenstrual Symptoms. *J. Womens Health* 2002 **2016**, 25, 865–874. [CrossRef]
- 32. Myers, C.A.; Martin, C.K.; Apolzan, J.W. Food cravings and body weight: A conditioning response. *Curr. Opin. Endocrinol. Diabetes Obes.* **2018**, *25*, 298–302. [CrossRef]

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.