

Supplementary file S6 - Risk of bias in individual studies













	Study participation	Study attrition	Prognostic factor measurement	Outcome measurement	Study confounding	Statistical analysis and reporting
Lambruschini 2005						
Muntau 2021						

Table S6.1. Risk of bias in individual studies for neurocognitive outcome, assessed by the Quality In Prognosis Studies (QUIPS) tool. Each possible area of bias was scored as low risk of bias (green), moderate risk of bias (orange) or high risk of bias (red).











































	Study participation	Study attrition	Prognostic factor measurement	Outcome measurement	Study confounding	Statistical analysis and reporting
Lambruschini 2005						
Singh 2010						
Aldámiz-Echevarría 2013						
Tansek 2016						
Scala 2015						
Evers 2018						
Muntau 2021						

Table S6.2. Risk of bias in individual studies for anthropometric measurements, assessed by the Quality In Prognosis Studies (QUIPS) tool. Each possible area of bias was scored as low risk of bias (green), moderate risk of bias (orange) or high risk of bias (red).































	Study participation	Study attrition	Prognostic factor measurement	Outcome measurement	Study confounding	Statistical analysis and reporting
Lambruschini 2005						
Singh 2010						
Tansek 2016						
Brantley 2018						
Evers 2018						

Table S6.3. Risk of bias in individual studies for nutritional biomarkers, assessed by the Quality In Prognosis Studies (QUIPS) tool. Each possible area of bias was scored as low risk of bias (green), moderate risk of bias (orange) or high risk of bias (red).

























	Study participation	Study attrition	Prognostic factor measurement	Outcome measurement	Study confounding	Statistical analysis and reporting
Ziesch 2012						
Demirdas 2013						
Douglas 2013						
Feldmann 2017						

Table S6.4. Risk of bias in individual studies for quality of life, assessed by the Quality In Prognosis Studies (QUIPS) tool. Each possible area of bias was scored as low risk of bias (green), moderate risk of bias (orange) or high risk of bias (red).