Spatial Up-Scaling Correction for Leaf Area Index Based on the Fractal Theory
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Abstract: The scaling effect correction of retrieved parameters is an essential and difficult issue in analysis and application of remote sensing information. Based on fractal theory, this paper developed a scaling transfer model to correct the scaling effect of the leaf area index (LAI) estimated from coarse spatial resolution image. As the key parameter of the proposed model, the information fractal dimension \( D \) of the up-scaling pixel was calculated by establishing the double logarithmic linear relationship between \( D -2 \) and the normalized difference vegetation index (NDVI) standard deviation \( \sigma_{NDVI} \) of the up-scaling pixel. Based on the calculated \( D \) and the fractal relationship between the exact LAI and the approximated LAI estimated from the coarse resolution pixel, a LAI scaling transfer model was established. Finally, the model accuracy in correcting the scaling effect was discussed. Results indicated that the \( D \) increases with increasing \( \sigma_{NDVI} \), and the \( D -2 \) was highly linearly correlated with \( \sigma_{NDVI} \) on the double logarithmic coordinate axis. The scaling transfer model corrected the scaling effect of LAI with a maximum value of root-mean-square error (RMSE) of 0.011. The maximum absolute correction error (ACE) and relative correction error (RCE) were only 0.108% and 8.56%, respectively. The spatial heterogeneity was the primary cause resulting in the scaling effect and the key influencing factor of correction effect. The results indicated that the developed method based on fractal theory could effectively correct the scaling effect of LAI estimated from the heterogeneous pixels.
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1. Introduction

Spatial scaling correction is an essential and difficult issue in analysis and application of remote sensing information. Scale effect are highly correlated with many issues, such as parameters inversion [1,2], objects classification [3,4], agricultural and ecological data assimilation [5,6], remote sensing product validation [7,8], and data fusion [9]. Therefore, it is necessary to comprehensively explore the scaling effect correction. Leaf area index (LAI) is an important land surface parameter in running both land surface and global atmospheric circulation models [10–13]. The scaling effect of LAI estimated from remote sensing data is deeply studied in the aspect of phenomenon description, cause analysis, and establishment of the scale transformation relationships. Previous studies have shown that the spatial resolutions correlated nonlinearly with the LAIs estimated at different spatial resolutions.
resolutions [14,15]. Compared with the nonlinearity of the LAI retrieval models or algorithms, the spatial heterogeneity is the main factor resulting in the phenomenon [16–18]. In order to quantitatively describe and reduce (or eliminate) the scaling effect, some empirically linear or nonlinear transformation relationships among LAIs at different resolutions have been established with statistical methods [19–23]. However, since these empirical models were established using a large amount of sample data and lacked a clear theoretical interpretation, several general models were proposed based on mathematical theory or the biophysical mechanism of scaling effect [24–28]. For instances, Hu and Islam suggested a method by establishing the relationship between variance, covariance and the mean values of parameters at the pixel scale [24]. On the basis of this method proposed by Hu and Islam, Garrigues et al. extended the Taylor expansion to correct the scaling effect using a multivariate transfer function [25]. A spatialization model named computational geometry method (CGM) was developed by Raffy to reduce the scaling effect [26].

During the commonly used methods for scale transformation, fractal theory performs well in describing how the study objects evolved with scale changing [29–31]. The essence of fractal theory is scale transformation. Fractal theory is used to describe and study an object with the following characteristics: (1) the part and the whole of the object are similar (self-similarity); and (2) the characteristic length of the object does not exist, namely it is scale-free. Li et al. pointed out that fractal (or similar fractal) relationship is one of the three different transformation tendencies that are used for describing scaling effect [32]. As a classic scale transformation method, fractal could quantitatively describe the transformation law of the object on a continuous scale [30,31]. In addition, the fractal method is more general compared with the empirical method. Compared with the biophysical model, the fractal method has the potential, namely introducing less parameters to provide a more concise and clear description about the spatial-temporal variation of the object, and thereby it generates a more practical model for scale transformation [33,34]. Several studies for scaling effect correction based on fractal theory have been carried out. Liu [35] and Jiang et al. [36] found that the high frequency coefficients, acquired by Haar wavelet decomposition, of LAIs retrieved at finer spatial resolution and the scaling bias of neighboring scales obey a fractal relationship. However, the proposed fractal relationship could only be suitable for neighboring scales, possibly due to the dyadic property of the Haar wavelet. Zhang et al. defined the information fractal of remote sensing products and applied it to describe the scale transformation law of the LAIs estimated from different resolution images [7]. Although the information fractal is an efficient tool for the description of scaling effect, the proposed method based on information fractal in reference [7] was neither suitable for LAI calculation at different resolutions nor for scaling effect correction. Through extending the method of Zhang et al. [7], the authors established an image-based LAI scaling transfer model by using the information fractal dimension ($D$) [37]. The LAI scaling transfer model was developed by establishing the double logarithmic linear relationship between the scale (spatial resolution) and average LAIs of the image at different scales. However, this model could only calculate the average LAIs of the entire image at different spatial resolutions and evaluate the scaling effect of them. In practical applications, we aim to correct the LAI scaling effect of the coarse resolution image pixel by pixel.

The objective of this paper is to correct the scaling bias (errors due to scaling) of the LAI retrieved from the coarse resolution pixels based on fractal theory, through comparing the images with different resolutions (fine and coarse resolutions). This proposed method in this paper could efficiently realize the scaling effect correction of the estimated LAI at different spatial resolutions with high accuracy. Inspired by the image-based model in reference [37], a pixel-based LAI spatial scaling transfer model using the information fractal dimension $D$ was developed in the current study. In this method, each up-scaling pixel was considered an “image” for using the image-based model to correct the LAI scaling effect of the up-scaling pixel. The key of the idea was the $D$ (the coefficient of the pixel-based model) calculation of each up-scaling pixel. The $D$ of the up-scaling pixel was calculated by establishing the double logarithmic linear relationship between $D$-2 and the normalized difference vegetation index (NDVI) standard deviation ($\sigma_{\text{NDVI}}$) of the up-scaling pixels. Therefore, based on the calculated $D$
and the fractal relationship between the exact LAI (corresponding to the LAI estimated from the fine spatial resolution pixels) and the approximated LAI (corresponding to the LAI derived from the coarse resolution pixels), this new model established the transformation relationship between the exact LAI and the approximated LAI of the up-scaling pixel, and hence it could be used to correct the scaling effect of the LAI estimated from the heterogeneous pixels in the coarse resolution image.

This paper is organized as follows. Section 2 briefly introduces the remote sensing image and ground datasets, and provides a detailed description of the proposed pixel-based LAI spatial scaling transfer model. In Section 3, experimental results of scaling effect correction and the validation using MODIS data are provided to demonstrate the applicability of the new method. Section 4 further discusses the proposed method, followed by conclusions in Section 5.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Materials

The study area (44°01′21″N, 125°03′06″E) is located in a suburb of Changchun City, Jilin Province, China, with a flat terrain. Farmland is the main land-cover class with scattered water bodies, roads, and buildings (Figure 1). Single precocity rice is the dominant crop of the farmlands in this area. In this study, remotely sensed data with a size of 512 × 512 pixels, namely, HJ-1 charge-coupled device (CCD) image, were acquired from the Environment and Disaster Reduction Small Satellites on 29 July 2009, which were successfully launched on 6 September 2008 for monitoring the environment and natural disasters. The CCD cameras in the HJ-1 satellite can capture CCD images with a ground swath width of 700 km and a revisit cycle of 2 days. The CCD image possesses a ground-projected instantaneous field of view (GIFOV) of 30 m and is acquired in four spectral wavebands that mirror those of the IKONOS satellite sensor. These wavebands range from 0.45 μm to 0.52 μm (blue waveband), 0.52 μm to 0.60 μm (green waveband), 0.63 μm to 0.69 μm (red waveband), and 0.76 μm to 0.9 μm (near-infrared waveband). The radiometric calibration, atmospheric correction and geometric rectification for the CCD image were carried out before data application. The radiometric calibration for the CCD image was achieved using the calibration coefficient of each band provided by the China Centre for Resources Satellite Data and Application. Then, the geometric rectification of the CCD image using a rectified TM image was also conducted and the geolocation error of the CCD image was less than one pixel. Finally, the 6S atmospheric radiative transfer model was used for the atmospheric correction. The MODIS image (spatial resolution: 250 m) of the same area was adopted to estimate the MODIS LAI for the validation of the proposed LAI scaling transfer model.

![Figure 1](image-url) Location of the study area and the charge-coupled device (CCD) image used in this study. The CCD image (RGB = G (0.52 μm to 0.60 μm), NIR (0.76 μm to 0.90 μm), and R (0.63 μm to 0.69 μm)) is of the study area in Changchun, China. The sample sites for modeling are shown as red stars.
As an efficient indicator of vegetation abundance, the NDVI was adopted for LAI estimation in this study [38]. Twenty sampling sites were selected in the study area (see the red stars in Figure 1). The LAI values of each site (30 m × 30 m) were measured at five points by using LAI-2000 on 30 July 2009, and the average measurements was used to represent the LAI value in each site. The starting point was randomly selected and then the next four points were determined randomly with a distance of 5 m along to the former point. Thereafter, an empirical regression function \( f \) (Equation (1)) (Figure 2a) relating LAI to NDVI with a determination coefficient \( (R^2) \) of 0.814 was established to generate the LAI map (Figure 2c) from NDVI image (Figure 2b).

\[
f : \quad \text{LAI} = 0.2258 e^{3.727NDVI}
\]

(1)

Figure 2. Mapping of leaf area index (LAI) from CCD image: (a) empirical regression function \( f \) relating LAI to normalized difference vegetation index (NDVI); (b) NDVI data generated from CCD image; and (c) LAI distributions mapped from NDVI data.

In this study, the empirical regression function \( f \) was specifically applied to investigate the scaling effect of the LAI estimated from the heterogeneous pixels and hence the LAI estimation accuracy is not the focus. The pixels with high spatial resolution (defined as a pixel size of 30 m in this study) were considered homogeneous in this study. Therefore, the regression function \( f \) was assumed to be without any scaling effect at high spatial resolution.

2.2. Methods

According to reference [25], the two up-scaling methods of LAI retrieval are shown in Figure 3. As shown in path 1 of Figure 3, the calculation of the exact LAI value \( (\text{LAI}_{\text{exa}}) \) of a coarse resolution pixel includes the following steps: (1) calculating the corresponding LAI value \( (\text{LAI}_i) \) of each original high resolution pixel by applying the empirical regression function \( f \) to the vegetation index \( (\text{VI}_i) \) of the original high resolution pixel; and (2) averaging all the \( \text{LAI}_i \) results of the original high resolution pixels within the coarse resolution pixel. The calculation of the approximated LAI value \( (\text{LAI}_{\text{app}}) \) of a
coarse resolution pixel includes the opposite steps (path 2 in Figure 3): (1) averaging all the VI results (\(VI_m\)) of the original high resolution pixels within the coarse resolution pixel; and (2) applying \(f\) to \(VI_m\) of the coarse resolution pixel. The difference between \(LAI_{exa}\) and \(LAI_{app}\) is defined as scaling bias on account of both the spatial heterogeneity of the coarse resolution pixel and the nonlinearity of the empirical regression function \(f\).

![Figure 3](image_url)

**Figure 3.** Two up-scaling methods of LAI retrieval (cited from [25]); \(f\) is an empirical regression function relating LAI to the vegetation index (VI); \(VI_i\) \((i = 1, 2, 3, 4)\) is the VI value of the original high resolution pixel and \(LAI_i\) is the corresponding LAI value; \(LAI_{exa}\), the exact LAI value of the coarse resolution pixel, is calculated by averaging all the \(LAI_i\) results within the coarse resolution pixel \((i = 1, 2, 3, 4)\); \(VI_m\) \((i.e.,\) the average of \(VI_i)\) represents the VI value of the coarse spatial resolution pixel; and \(LAI_{app}\), calculated by applying \(f\) to \(VI_m\), is the approximated LAI value of the coarse resolution pixel.

As shown in Figure 3, the LAI values of the same object retrieved by the same empirical regression function change with the change of observation scales (spatial resolutions). This phenomenon is similar to the case that the coastline lengths of England are varied when different scales are used [39], which indicates the remotely sensed products are dependent on the pixel scales and the characteristic length of the remotely sensed products does not exist, namely the scale-free phenomenon [7]. Besides, Penland et al. pointed out that the remote sensing images possess the self-similarity characteristics [40]. Scale-free and self-similarity are the foundation of fractal theory established by Mandelbrot [41]. Therefore, fractal theory could be considered as an effective tool to correct the scaling effect of retrieved parameters.

In accordance with fractal theory, the measurements of the study object perform power function correlation with the scales [42]. Consequently, for the \(j\)th coarse pixel of an up-scaling remote sensing image with a coarse resolution of \(n \times 30\) m, the LAI value \(LAI_{m,j}\) of the \(j\)th coarse pixel (Equation (2)) calculated using scale \(m\) \((m = 1, 2, \ldots, n)\) is a power function of scale \(m\) (Equation (3)), \(i.e.,\)

\[
LAI_{m,j} = \frac{1}{n^2} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{m^2} f \left( \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m^2} NDVI_{i,g} \right) \right)
\]

\[
LAI_{m,j} = K \times m^{d_{mi}}
\]
where \( j \) is the number of coarse resolution pixels within the up-scaling image (\( j = 1, 2, \ldots, (N/m)^2 \)), and the size of the remote sensing image with a high spatial resolution is \( N \times N \) original pixels; \( g \) is the number of pixels with a size of \( m \times m \) original pixels within the \( j \)th coarse resolution pixel (\( g = 1, 2, \ldots, (n/m)^2 \)); \( i \) is the number of the original pixels within the \( g \)th pixel with a size of \( m \times m \) original pixels (\( i = 1, 2, \ldots, m^2 \)); \( \text{NDVI}_{i,g} \) is the \( i \)th high spatial resolution NDVI value within the \( g \)th pixels with a size of \( m \times m \) original pixels; and \( d_{n,j} \), the slope of the linear fitting curve of the scale \( m \) versus the LAI value \( \text{LAI}_{n,j} \) on the double logarithmic coordinate axis, is the scaling parameter related to the information fractal dimension \( D \) of the \( j \)th coarse pixel. The \( D \) of the up-scaling pixel is given by Equation (4) [41].

\[
D = 2 - d
\]  

According to the definition of \( \text{LAI}^{exa} \) in Figure 3, \( \text{LAI}_{1,j} \) is the same as the exact LAI value \( \text{LAI}^{exa}_{n,j} \) of the \( j \)th coarse resolution pixel when scale \( m \) equals 1 (Equation (5)), which is calculated by first applying \( f \) to all high spatial resolution NDVI values within the \( j \)th coarse pixel and then aggregating the results of the corresponding LAI. Meanwhile, \( \text{LAI}_{1,j} \) equals \( K \) according to Equation (3); therefore, \( K \) is the same as \( \text{LAI}^{exa}_{n,j} \). Similarly, according to the definition of \( \text{LAI}^{app} \) in Figure 3, \( \text{LAI}_{n,j} \) is the same as the approximated LAI value \( \text{LAI}^{app}_{n,j} \) of the \( j \)th coarse resolution pixel when scale \( m \) equals \( n \) (Equation (6)), which is calculated by first averaging all high spatial resolution NDVI values within the \( j \)th coarse pixel and then applying \( f \) to the average NDVI of the \( j \)th coarse resolution pixel. Therefore, the transformation of Equation (3) is as follows (Equation (7)):

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{LAI}_{1,j} &= \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{g=1}^{n^2} (\frac{1}{m^2} \sum_{i=1}^{m^2} \text{NDVI}_{i,g}) = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{g=1}^{n^2} f(\text{NDVI}_{i,g}) = \text{LAI}^{exa}_{n,j} \\
\text{LAI}_{n,j} &= \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{g=1}^{n^2} (\frac{1}{m^2} \sum_{i=1}^{m^2} \text{NDVI}_{i,g}) = f(\frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^{m^2} \text{NDVI}_{i,g}) = \text{LAI}^{app}_{n,j} \\
\text{LAI}^{app}_{n,j} &= \text{LAI}^{exa}_{n,j} \times n^{2-D_{n,j}}
\end{align*}
\]  

The key of scaling effect correction using Equation (7) is the acquisition of the \( D \). The geometric significance of \( D \) computed by Equation (7) is that it can reflect the heterogeneity characteristic of the LAI spatial distribution. The more homogeneous the land surface is, the smaller the fractal dimension is. Obviously, the \( D \) of the up-scaling pixel is 2 when the land cover type is homogeneous. The NDVI standard deviation \( \sigma_{\text{NDVI}} \) of the up-scaling pixel can represent the spatial heterogeneity of the up-scaling mixed pixel, and hence a correlation should exist between \( D \) and \( \sigma_{\text{NDVI}} \). Therefore, the \( D \) of each up-scaling pixel can be acquired by establishing the relationship between the \( D \) (or transformation form of \( D \)) and the \( \sigma_{\text{NDVI}} \) of the up-scaling pixel. Based on the calculated \( D \) and the fractal relationship between the exact LAI \( \text{LAI}^{exa}_{n,j} \) and the approximated LAI \( \text{LAI}^{app}_{n,j} \) (Equation (7)), \( \text{LAI}^{app}_{n,j} \) retrieved from a coarse spatial resolution pixel can be corrected to the corrected LAI \( \text{LAI}^{corr}_{n,j} \) using the proposed LAI scaling transfer model in this study (Equation (8)).

\[
\text{LAI}^{corr}_{n,j} = \text{LAI}^{app}_{n,j} \times n^{D_{n,j} - 2}
\]  

3. Results and Analysis

3.1. Calculation of Information Fractal Dimension

As mentioned in Section 2.2, a correlation should exist between \( D \) and \( \sigma_{\text{NDVI}} \). Considering the up-scaling pixels of sizes \( 4 \times 4, 8 \times 8, 16 \times 16, \) and \( 32 \times 32 \) original pixels for example in this study,
the CCD image of size 512 \times 512 \text{ original pixels} was divided into 16,384, 4,096, 1024, and 256 up-scaling pixels of sizes $4 \times 4$, $8 \times 8$, $16 \times 16$, and $32 \times 32 \text{ original pixels}$, respectively. The relationships between $D-2$ (in Equation (4)) and $\sigma_{\text{NDVI}}$ of the up-scaling pixels at four different spatial resolutions were established, respectively (Figure 4). From Figure 4, regardless of the size of the up-scaling pixels, the $D$ of the up-scaling pixels increased with increasing $\sigma_{\text{NDVI}}$, and $D-2$ had power law dependence at $\sigma_{\text{NDVI}}$. Therefore, a linear empirically determined function (Equation (9)) that is used to estimate $D$ was developed by applying a log transformation on both $D-2$ and $\sigma_{\text{NDVI}}$ (Figure 5). The $R^2$ were almost equal to 1 at four different spatial resolutions, thereby indicating the high correlation between $D-2$ and $\sigma_{\text{NDVI}}$. Hence, based on the known $\sigma_{\text{NDVI}}$ of the up-scaling pixels and the linear empirically determined function, the $D$ of the up-scaling pixels could be calculated, which provides the foundation for scaling effect correction using the LAI scaling transfer model (Equation (8)). Although establishing the empirically determined function using all pixels of an image is unrealistic in practical application, the $R^2$ of the function were almost equal to 1. Thus, the difference of fitting parameters ($a$ and $b$ in Equation (9)) between the calculation using all pixels and the calculation using part of all pixels was insignificant. Selecting representative sample data within the range of $\sigma_{\text{NDVI}}$ to establish the function for calculating information fractal dimension $D$ is therefore feasible.

$$\log_n (D - 2) = a \times \log_n (\sigma_{\text{NDVI}}) + b$$  

(9)

**Figure 4.** Relationships between the transformation form of the information fractal dimension $D-2$ and the NDVI standard deviation $\sigma_{\text{NDVI}}$ of the up-scaling pixels with different sizes. The sizes of the pixels are: (a) $4 \times 4$; (b) $8 \times 8$; (c) $16 \times 16$; and (d) $32 \times 32 \text{ original pixels}$.
Figure 5. Double logarithmic diagram of the transformation form of the fractal dimension $D$ (or $D^2$) and the NDVI standard deviation $\sigma_{\text{NDVI}}$ of the up-scaling pixels with different sizes. The sizes of the up-scaling pixels are: (a) 4 × 4; (b) 8 × 8; (c) 16 × 16; and (d) 32 × 32 original pixels.

3.2. Correction of Scaling Effect

According to previous studies [18,25], the scaling bias of the LAI derived from the up-scaling pixel is closely correlated with the spatial heterogeneity of the up-scaling mixed pixel. The scatterplots between $\sigma_{\text{NDVI}}$ (or $D$) and absolute scaling bias (ASB) (Equation (10)) (or relative scaling bias (RSB) (Equation (11))) were drawn to analyze the influence of spatial heterogeneity on scaling effect (Figure 6). Figure 6 shows that the ASB (or RSB) of LAI inversion increased with an increase in $\sigma_{\text{NDVI}}$ (or $D$) of the up-scaling mixed pixel. Strongly, linear dependence of RSB on $D$ was observed. This proved that the spatial heterogeneity of land surface is the primary source of the scaling effect of LAI. From Figure 6, the maximum RSB was almost to the point of 25%, and therefore the scaling effect of LAI caused by the spatial heterogeneity could not be ignored and should be corrected.

Figure 6. Analysis of the absolute scaling bias (ASB) and the relative scaling bias (RSB) of the up-scaling pixels (e.g., the up-scaling pixels of size 16 × 16 original pixels): (a) the relationship between ASB and $\sigma_{\text{NDVI}}$ (or $D$); and (b) the relationship between RSB and $\sigma_{\text{NDVI}}$ (or $D$).
By using the $D$ derived from Equation (9), the LAI correction value $LAI^{corr}$ was computed by the LAI scaling transfer model (Equation (8)). By comparing the $LAI^{corr}$, the exact LAI $LAI^{exa}$, and the approximated LAI $LAI^{app}$ (Figure 7), LAI values were distributed in the lower right of the scatterplot before correction, thereby indicating the underestimation of the LAI retrieved from the remote sensing image with a coarse spatial resolution. After correction, the root-mean-square error (RMSE) significantly decreased, with a maximum value of only 0.011 at four different spatial resolutions. The two values of $LAI^{corr}$ and $LAI^{exa}$ were close. Accordingly, the developed method for LAI spatial scaling effect correction based on fractal theory is effective.

$$ASB = LAI^{exa} - LAI^{app} \quad (10)$$

$$RSB = \frac{LAI^{exa} - LAI^{app}}{LAI^{exa}} \quad (11)$$

**Figure 7.** Correction of the scaling effect of the LAI estimated from the coarse remote sensing images at different spatial resolutions. The sizes of the pixels are: (a) 4 × 4; (b) 8 × 8; (c) 16 × 16; and (d) 32 × 32 original pixels. $LAI^{exa}$ is the exact LAI; $LAI^{app}$ is the approximated LAI before correction; and $LAI^{corr}$ is the corrected LAI.

### 3.3. Analysis of Correction Error

To analyze the correction effect using the proposed method, two indicators of absolute correction error (ACE) (Equation (12)) and relative correction error (RCE) (Equation (13)) were defined, and the scatterplots between two indicators and $\sigma_{NDVI}$ were drawn (Figure 8). From Figure 8, the ACE and the RCE generally increased with increasing $\sigma_{NDVI}$, which means that the correction effect is relatively insignificant when $\sigma_{NDVI}$ is large. The maximum ACE and RCE corresponded to the low and medium LAI values at four different resolutions (Table 1). Particularly, the LAI values corresponding to the maximum RCE were all less than 2. The reasons are as follows: (1) the spatial heterogeneity ($\sigma_{NDVI}$) of the low LAI value of a large scale mixed pixel in the study area is generally high (Figure 9); and (2) for the part of the mixed pixels with medium LAI values, although the average values of LAI are slightly large, the spatial distribution of vegetation within the mixed pixel is not homogeneous, which leads to a high spatial heterogeneity. To summarize, $\sigma_{NDVI}$ (spatial heterogeneity) is the key influencing factor
of the correction effect. Compared with the ASB and the RSB before correction, the ACE and the RCE after correction are greatly decreased (Table 1). Although the ACE and the RCE generally increased with increasing $\sigma_{\text{NDVI}}$, the maximum ACE and RCE were only 0.108% and 8.56%, respectively, for the up-scaling pixels with four different resolutions. The aforementioned results indicate that the proposed LAI scaling transfer model based on fractal theory in this study is feasible.

$$ACE = |LAI^{\text{exa}} - LAI^{\text{corr}}|$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)

$$RCE = \frac{|LAI^{\text{exa}} - LAI^{\text{corr}}|}{LAI^{\text{exa}}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)

Figure 8. Relationships between the absolute correction error (ACE) (or relative correction error (RCE)) and $\sigma_{\text{NDVI}}$ of the up-scaling pixels with different sizes. The sizes of the up-scaling pixels are: (a,b) $4 \times 4$; (c,d) $8 \times 8$; (e,f) $16 \times 16$; and (g,h) $32 \times 32$ original pixels.
Table 1. Maximum ASB and RSB before correction and Maximum ACE and RCE after correction.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scale/Pixels</th>
<th>Before Correction</th>
<th>After Correction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Maximum ASB</td>
<td>Corresponding LAI exa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 × 4</td>
<td>0.353 2.407</td>
<td>19.35 1.265</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 × 8</td>
<td>0.576 2.595</td>
<td>22.21 2.595</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 × 16</td>
<td>0.591 2.514</td>
<td>23.52 2.514</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32 × 32</td>
<td>0.454 3.499</td>
<td>14.88 2.533</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 8. Relationships between the absolute correction error (ACE) (or relative correction error (RCE)) and $\sigma_{\text{NDVI}}$ of the up-scaling pixels with different sizes. The sizes of the up-scaling pixels are: (a) 4 × 4; (b) 8 × 8; (c) 16 × 16; and (d) 32 × 32 original pixels.

Figure 9. Relationships between the exact LAI value $\text{LAI}_{\text{exa}}$ and $\sigma_{\text{NDVI}}$ of the up-scaling pixels with different sizes. The sizes of the up-scaling pixels are: (a) 4 × 4; (b) 8 × 8; (c) 16 × 16; and (d) 32 × 32 original pixels.

3.4. Validation of LAI Scaling Transfer Method

For the further validation of the availability of the proposed method, the correction of the LAI estimated from the MODIS image (spatial resolution: 250 m) using the developed model was performed. For the spatial one–one correspondence between the up-scaling pixels of CCD image and the original ones of MODIS image, the MODIS image was reprojected and resampled to 240 m, and then the geometric rectification of the MODIS image using the CCD image was conducted. The MODIS $\text{LAI}_{\text{app}}$ at the coarse resolution of 240 m was calculated using Equation (1) and then the corrected MODIS $\text{LAI}_{\text{corr}}$ was obtained using the proposed LAI scaling transfer model. By comparing the $\text{LAI}_{\text{corr}}$, the $\text{LAI}_{\text{exa}}$, and the $\text{LAI}_{\text{app}}$ (Figure 10), the same as with the simulation CCD data in Figure 7, the $\text{LAI}_{\text{app}}$ retrieved from the MODIS image were underestimated. Although the correction accuracy of MODIS LAI was low compared with that of the simulation data, the RMSE between the exact LAI $\text{LAI}_{\text{exa}}$ estimated from CCD image and the LAI estimated from MODIS image also significantly decreased from 0.652 to 0.278 after correction. Accordingly, the developed method for LAI spatial scaling effect correction based on fractal theory is effective for MODIS LAI correction.
In this study, the influence of the spatial heterogeneity of NDVI on the scaling effect of LAI products was mainly considered. However, the spatial scaling effect is caused not only by the spatial heterogeneity of remote sensing data, but also by the nonlinearity of LAI retrieval model [43]. Even if the relationship between the vegetation index (VI) and the LAI were linear, and the spatial distribution of the objects were heterogeneous, there would not be the scaling bias among the LAIs estimated from the different resolution pixels. Furthermore, the scaling bias changes when the nonlinearity type of the empirical regression function used is different [36]. Because the LAI value of the heterogeneous pixel would be overestimated (with the logarithmic regression function), underestimated (with the exponential regression function) or not be affected (with the linear regression function), and therefore it leads to the difference among the scaling bias generated from the same heterogeneous pixel. Therefore, the proposed methodology in this study also depends on the empirical regression function type of the VI and the LAI. In this study, only the exponential function for LAI estimation was analyzed according to the relationship between the measured LAI values and the NDVI values on the sample sites of the study area. The effects of the LAI retrieval model type on LAI scale transformation modeling with fractal theory should be considered in our future work. Besides, the nonlinearity relationship between NDVI and the reflectance of red and near-infrared bands (scaling effect of NDVI) also contributes to the scaling effect of LAI products [31,44–46]. Our future work will also further investigate this issue.

Remote sensing products have a property of information fractal [7]. In geometric fractal, the dimension of the ruler or square plane is the same as that of the measured curve or curved surface for computing the geometric fractal dimension [47–49]. However, in information fractal, the dimension of the pixel area is not identical to the dimension of the remote sensing products, such as NDVI or LAI value. To express the difference among remote sensing products at different spatial resolutions caused by the scaling effect, we used the remote sensing retrieval results at different spatial resolutions to calculate the information fractal dimension $D$, which can solve the problem of different dimensions. Given the difference in the computing methods, the ranges of the two types of fractal dimensions are different. For example, the information fractal dimension of the entire CCD image is 2.0088, whereas the geometric fractal dimension of that calculated by the fractal Brownian motion method (FBM) [47] is 2.0552. Although the information fractal dimension of the CCD image is not as large as the geometric fractal dimension, its insignificant variation can cause a great variation in LAIs at different scales. For example, the maximum information fractal dimension of the up-scaling
pixel at scale 16 is only 2.0967, whereas the exact LAI value is 1.24 times as large as the approximated LAI value estimated from the coarse resolution pixel (Figure 7).

In this study, the coarse spatial resolution pixels were acquired by aggregating the original high spatial resolution pixels, which means that the up-scaling images share the common imaging characteristics with the original high resolution image. However, the different spatial resolution images captured by different sensors possess the different imaging parameters in reality. Therefore, it is necessary to normalize the imaging parameters of the remote sensing images from different sensors when correcting the LAI scaling effect by using the scaling transfer model in further exploration and study.

5. Conclusions

In analysis and application of remote sensing information, it is necessary to correct the scaling effect of the LAI retrieved from the heterogeneous pixel. Fractal theory offers an efficient and simple tool for spatial scaling effect correction. This study developed a pixel-based LAI scaling transfer model based on fractal theory for correcting the LAI scaling effect. Results indicated that the information fractal dimension $D$ of the up-scaling pixel was closely related to the NDVI standard deviation $\sigma_{\text{NDVI}}$ of the up-scaling pixel. The LAI scaling transfer model that was established based on the aforementioned relationship performed well in estimating the exact LAI value, with a maximum value of RMSE equaling to 0.011 at different spatial resolutions. The maximum ACE and RCE were only 0.108% and 8.56%, respectively. The standard deviation $\sigma_{\text{NDVI}}$ (spatial heterogeneity) was the primary cause of the scaling effect and the key influencing factor of the correction effect. The results suggested that the developed method performs well in LAI spatial scaling correction and provides a new perspective for correcting the scaling effect of the other remote sensing products.
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