



Letter Discernibility of Burial Mounds in High-Resolution X-Band SAR Images for Archaeological Prospections in the Altai Mountains

Timo Balz ^{1,2,*}, Gino Caspari ³, Bihong Fu ⁴ and Mingsheng Liao ^{1,2}

- State Key Laboratory of Information Engineering in Surveying, Mapping and Remote Sensing, Wuhan University, Wuhan 430072, China; liao@whu.edu.cn
- ² Collaborative Innovation Center for Geospatial Technology, Wuhan University, Wuhan 430072, China
- ³ Institute of Archaeology, University of Hamburg, Hamburg 20146, Germany; gino.caspari@gmx.net
- ⁴ Institute of Remote Sensing and Digital Earth, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100094, China; fubh@radi.ac.cn
- * Correspondence: balz@whu.edu.cn; Tel.: +86-27-6877-9986

Academic Editors: Kenneth L. Kvamme, Zhong Lu, Richard Gloaguen and Prasad S. Thenkabail Received: 30 June 2016; Accepted: 24 September 2016; Published: 30 September 2016

Abstract: The Altai Mountains are a heritage-rich archaeological landscape with monuments in almost every valley. Modern nation state borders dissect the region and limit archaeological landscape analysis to intra-national areas of interest. Remote sensing can help to overcome these limitations. Due to its high precision, Synthetic Aperture Radar (SAR) data can be a very useful tool for supporting archaeological prospections, but compared to optical imagery, the detectability of sites of archaeological interest is limited. We analyzed the limitations of SAR using TerraSAR-X images in different modes. Based on ground truth, the discernibility of burial mounds was analyzed in different SAR acquisition modes. We show that very-high-resolution TerraSAR-X staring spotlight images are very well suited for the task, with >75% of the larger mounds being discernible, while in images with a lower spatial resolution only a few large sites can be detected, at rates below 50%.

Keywords: SAR; archaeology; object detection; Altai; spatial resolution

1. Introduction

The Altai Mountains have been an important transitional zone in Central Asia for thousands of years. Today, the region is transected by the borders of China, Kazakhstan, Russia, and Mongolia, as shown in Figure 1. It is also situated at the center of Eurasia's four major steppe zones with the Mongolian steppe to its east, the pastures of Kazakhstan and Russia to its west, the forested taiga of Siberia in the north, and the dry arid landscapes of Xinjiang to the south [1].

The region is one of Central Asia's richest archaeological areas, with some of the oldest archaeological sites in Siberia dating back to 430,000 BP (before present) [2] and an abundant Bronze and Iron Age heritage.

In this vast, rugged terrain, most valleys contain a large number of cultural heritage sites [1,3]. Ideally, the region should be studied as a whole in order to understand this highly complex heritage and its significance in the larger context of Central Asia. However, militarized border zones, language barriers, and differing research cultures make transnational cooperation on field projects extremely difficult. Under these circumstances, remote sensing can be an invaluable tool for the analysis of the archaeological landscape, because it (1) can cover large areas; (2) is not limited by national borders; and (3) can provide a basis for joint analysis of the larger region.



Figure 1. The area of interest in the Altai Mountains.

Remote sensing takes the role of a supportive tool in archaeological surveys and excavations. Both optical [4] and Synthetic Aperture Radar (SAR) [5] data were used for our fieldwork in summer 2015. Due to its weather independence and high geo-location accuracy, we found high-resolution SAR data to be a useful tool for planning archaeological prospections, for example when identifying areas of interest and planning the logistics of surveys. In recent years, thanks to its wider availability and higher spatial resolution, SAR is becoming more commonly used in archaeology (see, e.g., [6–9]).

A high spatial resolution is necessary in order to detect archaeological features. However, how high is the spatial resolution we need for archaeological prospections in the Altai area? Since we need to cover a large area of interest at a high resolution, the tradeoff between spatial coverage and spatial resolution in SAR acquisition becomes an important question.

In this paper, we analyze the spatial and radiometric resolutions necessary for effective identification of clusters of larger (e.g., >10 m diameter) burial mounds in the Altai Mountains. We used various TerraSAR-X (TSX) images in different acquisition modes and spatial resolutions to determine the spatial resolution required to clearly identify burial mounds of different sizes.

In Section 2, we describe the different types of burial mounds and other structures of archaeological interest relevant for our study, which are archaeological structures with a minimum dimension of at least one square meter, since we cannot identify single stone steles from remote sensing data. In Section 3, the test data and our methodology are introduced. In Section 4, we present and discuss the results of our analysis and finally we state our conclusions.

2. Burial Mounds in the Altai Region

In the context of remote sensing–supported landscape archaeology, we focused on larger objects and their spatial distribution. We are especially interested in *Scythian kurgans, Saka kurgans,* and *ogradkas* (see Figure 2).

We define a *Scythian kurgan* as a burial mound, dating to the Iron Age, built of stones with a light central depression if it has not been robbed, often lining up in an approximate north-south direction with others of its kind. *Scythian kurgans* are typically between 5–15 m in diameter, but smaller and larger monuments can also be found. We define a *Saka kurgan* as a large earthen mound with a circular ditch, also dating to the Iron Age. The *Saka kurgans* are typically between 10–60 m in diameter, but again there are monuments outside this range. An *ogradka* is a mound-like accumulation of stones with a rectangular enclosure of big stone slabs inside and often (but not necessarily) a single stele or array

of standing stones, dating to the Turkic period. *Ogradkas* are smaller, with a width and height of only a few meters and, as we will show below, are too small to be clearly identified from contemporary SAR remotely sensed data.

As other archaeological features, such as stone circles, standing stones, stone concentrations, etc., are often in close proximity to these larger structures, the detection of these three types can provide sufficient information for a preliminary landscape archaeological analysis and for survey planning. Furthermore, these structures are also often spatially clustered so the detection of large clusters may be sufficient for wide-area overview analysis regarding, e.g., the spatial patterns of these structures in Central Asia.



Figure 2. (a) Example of a *Scythian kurgan* lining up with others of its kind; (b) Example of a *Saka kurgan* (left side) with a clearly visible ditch; (c) Example of a Turkic *ogradka*. The scale bar seen in photos (a) and (c) is 50 cm long.

3. Data Processing and Methodology

3.1. Data Used in Our Analysis

For the experiments described in this paper we used six TSX images. In Table 1, we listed the details of the images. TSX offers different acquisition modes. For our experiments, we used TSX stripmap (SM) data, TSX spotlight (SL) data, and TSX staring spotlight data (ST). The stripmap mode (SM) is the standard acquisition mode of a SAR sensor and in the case of TSX offers an approximately 3 m resolution. In the spotlight modes (e.g., SL and ST), the acquisition time is increased by shifting the squint angle of the sensor from slightly forward-looking to slightly backward-looking during acquisition. This increases the acquisition time and is used to enhance the spatial resolution in the standard spotlight mode (SL). In the staring spotlight mode, this acquisition time is further increased, leading to an approximately 0.25 m resolution in azimuth, and due to the larger bandwidth in the range of 300 MHz, compared to the 150 MHZ in SL and SM, a range resolution of approximately 1 m can be achieved. We therefore assumed that we can get the highest discernibility in the staring spotlight mode images, followed by the spotlight mode images. We expected the results from the stripmap mode images to be the least satisfactory due to their lower spatial resolution.

Table 1. TSX images used in the experiment, with resolution given as ground-range resolution by azimuth resolution and incidence angle (Inc. angle) at the scene center (ST = staring spotlight mode, SL = spotlight mode, SM = stripmap mode).

Satellite	Mode	Acquisition Date	Orbit	Resolution (m)	Inc. Angle
TSX-1	ST	16 September 2015	descending	0.91 imes 0.23	39.8°
TSX-1	SL	15 July 2015	ascending	3.03 imes 1.60	22.7°
TSX-1	SL	14 July 2015	ascending	1.57 imes 1.60	48.4°
TSX-1	SL	12 July 2015	descending	1.83 imes 1.60	39.9°
TSX-1	SL	9 July 2015	ascending	1.94 imes 1.60	37.3°
TSX-1	SM	5 June 2014	descending	2.64 imes 3.30	26.4°

From our ground survey in summer 2015, we collected survey information from 842 monuments that have a spatial extension of more than one meter. As we can see from Table 2, most of the structures have a diameter below 10 m and are therefore difficult to identify clearly in SAR images. However, the remaining 152 objects with more than a 10 m diameter should be identifiable.

	Number of Objects
Diameter ≥ 40 m	8
Diameter \geq 30 m and <40 m	14
Diameter \geq 20 m and <30 m	38
Diameter ≥ 10 m and < 20 m	92
Diameter \geq 1 m and <10 m	690

Table 2. Distribution of the diameters of the archaeological structure in the test area.

3.2. Geo-Coding Considerations and Pre-Processing

TSX offers a very precise geo-referencing capability, as the orbit is well-known [10]. The accuracy in azimuth depends mainly on the orbit accuracy and timing error and can reach below one decimeter of absolute precision. In range, the accuracy depends on the atmospheric path delay of the signal as well as on various other error sources (see, e.g., [10,11]). Including corrections for these errors, an absolute position accuracy of below one decimeter in range and azimuth can be achieved for TSX images acquired in a stereo configuration [12].

Using only the path delay information included in the TerraSAR-X header files, we can achieve a precision of <0.5 m, based on our experiments with TSX data in Wuhan [13]. To be able to geo-correct the data accordingly, we use single-look complex (SLC) data for the geo-referencing and in the following experiments. Using a corner reflector, we set up in the test area during our ground survey, we corrected for systematical errors in the GPS measurements. As it turns out, although just a handheld GPS was used, the measurements were quite accurate, and after correction for the geoid, the difference between the TerraSAR-X estimated coordinates and the GPS coordinates was <1 m, which was acceptable for our experiments.

3.3. Transforming the Survey Results into the SAR Image Coordinate System

In our experiment we were interested in the discernibility of archaeological features in SAR images. As such, we wanted the SAR images to be undisturbed to allow a better analysis of the detectability without introducing additional disturbances from resampling the data. Therefore, we transformed the survey results into the SAR coordinate system instead of resampling the SAR images to a geographic or local coordinate system.

As discussed previously, the coordinates from our ground survey were quite good, but there are still shifts and errors; we will analyze these sources of uncertainty in detail in Section 4. After transforming the coordinates in the radar coordinate system based on the Range-Doppler model using the height information from the GPS measurements, and including the dry-atmosphere path delay information from the TerraSAR-X headers (see [13]), we marked *Saka kurgans* with a red rectangle, *Scythian kurgans* with a green rectangle, *ogradkas* in purple, undatable mounds in yellow and all other objects in cyan.

4. Analyzing the Detectability of Archaeological Structures

4.1. Very High Resolution TSX Staring Spotlight Images (ST)

Using the TerraSAR-X staring spotlight mode, we used four-time multi-looking in azimuth to reduce the speckle effect before analyzing the data. This resulted in almost square pixels with a pixel size of approximately 0.9 m by 0.9 m. As we can see in Figure 3, we can clearly identify large *Saka kurgans* in the TSX ST images, especially when they are clustered.



Figure 3. Cluster of *Saka kurgans* in the TSX ST image from 16 September 2015 (SAR image © DLR, 2015). (*Saka kurgans* = red, *Scythian kurgans* = green, *ogradkas* = purple, undatable mounds = yellow, and all other objects = cyan).

However, not all *Saka kurgans* are clearly visible and there is also an increased likelihood of confusing archaeological structures with recent soil markings from husbandry, as can be seen in Figure 4. Furthermore, large *Scythian kurgans* can be identified in TSX ST images, but generally *ogradkas* (in purple) are too small to be clearly identified even in TSX ST images, as we can see in Figure 5.



Figure 4. *Saka kurgans* and a non-archaeological structure (marked with the yellow arrow) in the TSX ST image from 16 September 2015 (SAR image © DLR, 2015). (*Saka kurgans* = red, *Scythian kurgans* = green, *ogradkas* = purple, undatable mounds = yellow, and all other objects = cyan).



Figure 5. Cluster of *Saka kurgans, Scythian kurgans* and *ogradkas* in the TSX ST image from 16 September 2015 (SAR image © DLR, 2015). (*Saka kurgans* = red, *Scythian kurgans* = green, *ogradkas* = purple, undatable mounds = yellow, and all other objects = cyan).

In the TSX spotlight mode (SL) images, the spatial resolution in azimuth is reduced to 1.6 m. In this instance, we did not use any multi-looking to keep the full spatial resolution. As we can see from the images shown in Figure 6, the cluster of *Saka kurgans* shown in Figure 3 can also be discerned in the SL images, but appears much less clear.



Figure 6. Cluster of *Saka kurgans* in TSX SL images acquired on 9 July 2015 (**a**) and 15 July 2015 (**b**); (SAR images © DLR, 2015). (*Saka kurgans* = red, *Scythian kurgans* = green, *ogradkas* = purple, undatable mounds = yellow, and all other objects = cyan).

The discernibility also seems to depend on the orbit and the incidence angle. The *Saka kurgans* in the image acquired on 9 July 2015, as shown in Figure 6a, from an ascending orbit with a 37.3° incidence angle at the scene center, are harder to detect than in the image from 15 July 2015, acquired from an ascending orbit with a 22.7° incidence angle, as shown in Figure 6b, although this image in Figure 6a has a much smaller ground-range resolution.

However, even the large *kurgan*, shown in Figure 5, is hard to see in the SL images, as shown in Figure 7a. The visibility is much better in Figure 6b, the image acquired on 14 July 2015 from an ascending orbit with a 48.4° incidence angle, compared to the image from a descending orbit with a 39.9° incidence angle, shown in Figure 7a.



Figure 7. Cluster of *Scythian kurgans, Saka kurgans,* and *ogradkas* in the TSX SL images from 12 July 2015 (**a**) and 14 July 2015 (**b**)—flipped vertically and horizontally for better comparability (SAR image © DLR, 2015). (*Saka kurgans* = red, *Scythian kurgans* = green rectangle, *ogradkas* = purple, undatable mounds = yellow, and all other objects = cyan).

Even though the cluster of *Saka kurgans* seen in Figures 3 and 6 is visible in the ST and the SL mode images, this is not true for all of these monuments, as shown in Figure 8, where no *Saka kurgans* are detectable. This is also the case in the other SL images taken from different orbits and different angles. This cluster of *kurgans* is not detectable in any of the SL images.



Figure 8. Several clusters of *Saka kurgans* in TSX SL image acquired on 14 July 2015 (SAR images © DLR, 2015). (*Saka kurgans* = red, *Scythian kurgans* = green rectangle, *ogradkas* = purple, undatable mounds = yellow, and all other objects = cyan).

From these results we can see the detection of larger burial structures is still possible in SL mode images; nevertheless, detection depends strongly on the type of object and the acquisition parameters. Generally, very flat and very steep acquisition angles are preferable, as they enhance the visibility of three-dimensional structures by increasing the layover or the shadow area, respectively. Nevertheless, from an operational point of view the detectability of larger structures in SL images is already very limited and cannot be guaranteed.

4.3. TSX Stripmap Images (SM)

As we can see from Figure 9, when marked we can detect some of the *Saka kurgans* in the cluster, but it would generally be very difficult or even impossible to recognize grave mounds without any previous knowledge. Therefore, TSX stripmap data is not suitable for the detection of these types of archaeological structures.



Figure 9. Cluster of *Saka kurgans* in the TSX SM image from 5 June 2014 (SAR image © DLR, 2015). (*Saka kurgans* = red, *Scythian kurgans* = green rectangle, *ogradkas* = purple, undatable mounds = yellow, and all other objects = cyan).

4.4. Quantifying the Analysis

In the sections above, we demonstrated the discernibility of several archaeological structures in TSX images of different spatial resolutions, modes, orbits, and incident angles. However, for quantifying the results, we generated a random sample of image subsets showing *Saka kurgans* and *Scythian kurgans* of different sizes from different images. As we want to analyze the discernibility of the structures in the data and not the advantages and disadvantages of automatic detection methods, we instead tested the visual detectability by showing the images to a test group and asking them to detect the structures in the images.

Our test group consisted of 11 members, all graduate students with experience in SAR image analysis. Only one of the group members has knowledge of archaeology and only two had knowledge of the region.

For each set of different object sizes, two examples were randomly selected and 200×200 pixel image subsets from different images were created. To keep the number of images limited for the test group, we selected three sample images: a TSX stripmap mode image from 5 June 2014, a TSX spotlight image from 14 July 2015, and a TSX staring spotlight image from 16 September 2015 (see Table 1).

We presented the images in slant-range and in the original resolution. However, for the staring spotlight image, we multi-looked four times in azimuth. As the interpretability of SAR images may also depend on the stretching of the amplitude data to the eight-bit grey-scale display, we used two different stretching methods. For the first method we calibrated the data to beta-nought in dB and stretched that from -15 dB to 10 dB for visualization. In the second method, we used a square root of the digital number and stretched the images between 0 and $\sqrt{2048}$. However, that did not make a significant difference in the results and we therefore aggregated these results together in the analysis. Furthermore, we presented the test group with three response options to the question "Can they can identify a burial mound in the center of the test image?": yes, maybe, and no.

As we can see from the results shown in Table 3, the majority could not discern the archaeological features in the stripmap image. Even the large *Saka kurgans* were only identified by around 22% of the test group. In the spotlight image, the 'maybe' responses dominated and none of the objects are identified clearly. Only in the ST images did a majority respond that a clear identification of the objects was possible. Interestingly, the identification of the *Saka kurgans* was generally less certain than the *Scythian kurgans*.

	TerraSAR-X Stripmap—			TerraSAR-X Spotlight—		TSX Staring Spotlight—			
	5 June 2014			14 July 2015		16 September 2015			
	No	Maybe	Yes	No	Maybe	Yes	No	Maybe	Yes
Scythian kurgan (10 m–20 m)	40.9%	29.5%	29.5%	22.7%	68.2%	9.1%	22.7%	20.5%	56.8%
Scythian kurgan (20 m–30 m)	63.6%	22.7%	13.6%	21.8%	60.0%	18.2%	18.2%	4.5%	77.3%
Saka kurgan (>30 m)	30.3%	47.0%	22.7%	40.0%	43.6%	16.4%	23.4%	18.2%	58.4%

Table 3. Aggregated voting results from the test group with 11 members. The majority votes are marked in bold.

For analyzing the discernibility, we used two methods for considering a burial mound to be detected. In the first method, an object needs to have >50% of the test persons clearly identify the object as a burial mound and >75% percent of the test persons identify it as a mound or maybe identifiable as a mound.

Under these rather strict conditions, all of the grave mounds with a >10 m diameter were identified in the staring spotlight image and 50% of the *kurgans* with a <10 m diameter were identified in the staring spotlight image. However, none of the examples were identified in the spotlight or the stripmap image.

In our second method, we defined every object that had more than 75% of the test persons voting with yes or maybe. Under these conditions, all the objects with a diameter of 10 m and above were

identified in the staring spotlight images. Of the *Saka kurgans* larger than 30 m, 50% were identified in the stripmap and the spotlight images. From the *Scythian kurgans* of a more than 10 m diameter, 66% were identified in the spotlight image, but none were identified in the stripmap image. For the *kurgans* with a diameter of 10 m, only half were identified in the spotlight image and none in the stripmap image.

5. Discussion

As shown by our results, using the staring spotlight mode, most larger mounds (>10 m diameter) were clearly distinguished and clusters of smaller mounds were also identifiable. However, the high spatial resolution of the staring spotlight mode comes with a reduced coverage, making it very difficult and expensive to obtain data from large areas, whereas in spotlight and especially in the stripmap mode, large areas can be covered. The problem is that in these modes even the largest mounds (>30 m diameter) were only seldom identified clearly, making these modes unsuitable for mound detection.

Due to the speckling effect, the discernibility of large archaeological and natural structures in SAR images is, especially in comparison with more recent man-made structures, quite limited. Compared to optical imagery, we estimate it would require approximately a two- to three-times-higher spatial resolution for the clear identification of burial mounds. In our experience, we were able to identify larger burial mounds in optical images with a resolution of about one meter; however, for SAR imagery we need at least the spatial and radiometrical resolution of the TSX staring spotlight mode data to discern the burial mounds in our test site. Although we tested many different modes and acquisition parameters, there might be approaches that provide better results and that would certainly be valuable for further research. Future inquiries might focus on polarimetric analyses as well as time-series and multi-baseline interferometry.

6. Conclusions

In this paper, we demonstrated the discernibility of burial mounds in TSX images of different acquisition modes. We showed that in the staring spotlight mode, more than 50% of the larger mounds (>10 m diameter) can clearly be identified with more than 75% positive votes. Furthermore, clusters of smaller mounds are also identifiable. However, in the spotlight and stripmap mode, even the largest mounds (>30 m diameter) were only clearly identified in about 16% and 22% of the cases, respectively, making these modes unsuitable for mound detection.

Based on our experiments, we conclude that the TerraSAR-X staring spotlight mode is useful for archaeological applications, because that mode approaches the spatial resolution of many archaeological features. This was also recently demonstrated by Tapete et al. [14] using TSX staring spotlight data to measure the rates of looting activities in Syria. As such, the staring spotlight mode might pave the way towards an increased use of SAR in archaeology. However, for landscape analysis and wide-area mapping, the staring spotlight mode data is less advantageous due to the limited acquisition size.

Nevertheless, with the approval at the World Radio Conference in November 2015, future SAR systems will be able to use the 1200 MHz spectrum range in X-band, allowing for much higher spatial resolution in stripmap mode, thus opening possibilities for SAR data usage in wide-area mapping of archaeological features. With the next-generation systems, e.g., TerraSAR-X NG (next generation), very-high-resolution stripmap mode data will become available and will catalyze SAR data applications suitable for landscape archaeology.

Acknowledgments: This work was supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grants 61331016 and 41174120, the CAS-CSIRO Cooperative Research Program (Grant No. GJHZ1407), the Archaeocare Foundation, as well as the Swiss National Science Foundation (Grant P2SKP1_168315). The TerraSAR-X data was provided by DLR via MTH2240. The authors would also like to especially thank the Xinjiang branch of the Chinese Academy of Sciences for their support during our field work.

Author Contributions: T. Balz designed and performed the experiments. G. Caspari was responsible for the archaeological analysis; B. Fu organized the field work; M. Liao contributed in editorial and technical support. T. Balz and G. Caspari wrote the paper.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Gheyle, W. Highlands and Steppes: An Analysis of the Changing Archaeological Landscape of the Altay Mountains from the Eneolithic to the Ethnographic Period. Ph.D. Thesis, University of Ghent, Ghent, Belgium, 2009.
- 2. Chlachula, J. Pleistocene climate change, natural environments and Palaeolithic occupation of the Altai area. *Quat. Int.* **2001**, *80–81*, 131–167. [CrossRef]
- 3. Plets, G. Heritages in the Making. Ph.D. Thesis, University of Ghent, Ghent, Belgium, 2013.
- 4. Caspari, G.; Balz, T.; Liu, G.; Wang, X.Y.; Liao, M.S. Application of Hough Forests for the detection of grave mounds in high-resolution satellite imagery. In Proceedings of the 2014 IEEE International Geoscience and Remote Sensing Symposium (IGARSS), Quebec City, QC, Canada, 13–18 July 2014; pp. 906–909.
- 5. Balz, T.; Caspari, G.; Erasmi, S.; Fu, B.H.; Rosenbauer, R.; Liao, M.S. Analyzing TerraSAR-X staring spotlight mode data for archaeological prospections in the Altai Mountains. In Proceedings of the APSAR 2015, Singapore, 1–4 September 2015.
- 6. Chen, F.L.; Lasaponara, R.; Masini, N. An overview of satellite synthetic aperture radar remote sensing in archaeology: From site detection to monitoring. *J. Cult. Herit.* **2015**. [CrossRef]
- Erasmi, S.; Rosenbauer, R.; Buchbach, R.; Busche, T.; Rutishauer, S. Evaluating the quality and accuracy of TanDEM-X digital elevation models at archaeological sites in the Cilician Plain, Turkey. *Remote Sens.* 2014, 6, 9475–9493. [CrossRef]
- 8. Stewart, C.; Lasaponara, R.; Schiavon, G. ALOS PALSAR analysis of the archaeological site of Pelusium. *Archaeol. Prospect.* **2013**, *20*, 109–116. [CrossRef]
- Linck, R.; Busche, T.; Buckreuss, S.; Fassbinder, J.W.E.; Seren, S. Possibilities of archaeological prospection by High-resolution X-band Satellite Radar—A case study from Syria. *Archaeol. Prospect.* 2013, 20, 97–108. [CrossRef]
- 10. Eineder, M.; Minet, C.; Steigenberger, P.; Cong, X.; Fritz, T. Imaging geodesy—Toward centimeter-level ranging accuracy with TerraSAR-X. *IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens.* **2011**, *49*, 661–671. [CrossRef]
- Schubert, A.; Jehle, M.; Small, D.; Meier, E. Influence of atmospheric path delay on the absolute geolocation accuracy of TerraSAR-X high-resolution products. *IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens.* 2010, 48, 751–758. [CrossRef]
- 12. Balss, U.; Gisinger, C.; Cong, X.; Brcic, R.; Steigenberger, P.; Eineder, M.; Pail, R.; Hugentobler, U. High resolution geodetic earth observation with TerraSAR-X: Correction schemes and validation. In Proceedings of the 2013 IEEE International Geoscience and Remote Sensing Symposium (IGARSS), Melbourne, Australia, 21–26 July 2013.
- 13. Wang, J.H.; Balz, T.; Liao, M.S. Absolute geolocation accuracy investigation of high resolution spotlight TerraSAR-X image in Wuhan. *Spat. Geoinf. Sci.* **2016**, submitted.
- 14. Tapete, D.; Cigna, F.; Donoghue, D.N.M. 'Looting marks' in space-borne SAR imagery: Measuring rates of archaeological looting in Apamea (Syria) with TerraSAR-X Staring Spotlight. *Remote Sens. Environ.* **2016**, 178, 42–58. [CrossRef]



© 2016 by the authors; licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC-BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).