



Supplementary Material

The composition CO ₂ emissions estimation	2
Spatial patterns of the gridded CO2 emissions maps	2

The composition CO₂ emissions estimation

We used the open gridded CO₂ emissions maps in the paper of Dai, et al. [1-3]. The specific composition of these CO₂ emissions is shown in Table S1. From this table, it can be seen that the industrial sector was the largest source of CO₂ emissions.

Sectors	Emissions/10 ³ t	Percentage of total
Residential	2600	15.62
Industrial	13,700	82.59
Transport	298	1.79
Total	16,598	100.00

Table S1. CO₂ emissions in Jinjiang city from different sectors in 2013.

Spatial patterns of the gridded CO₂ emissions maps

Spatial autocorrelation and spatial strata testing are important components for understanding the spatial distribution of CO₂ emissions. Moran's I is a global spatial autocorrelation indicator based on the first law of geography[4]. The neighborhood rule used in this study is the Moore neighborhood rule, which means that the eight cells surrounding each cell are deemed as adjacent grids.

$$I = \frac{n \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} w_{i,j} z_{ij} z_{j}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} w_{i,j} \sum_{i=1}^{n} z_{i}^{2}}$$
(S1)

where z_i , and z_j are the deviations of the attribute values of the *i*th and *j*th grids from their average values. $w_{i,j}$ is the spatial weights (1 represents the *j*th grid in the neighborhood of *i*th grid, while 0 represents otherwise). *n* is the total number of grids.

The Geographical Detector was originally used to study the risk of endemic diseases and related geo-environmental factors[5]. Later, Wang et al. proposed its use as a tool to measure spatial heterogeneity[6]. The model is:

$$q = 1 - \frac{\sum_{h=1}^{L} N_h \sigma_h^2}{N \sigma^2} \tag{S2}$$

where equation (S6), *q* is a statistic ranging from 0 to 1. The larger the value of *q*, the stronger the spatial heterogeneity. *H* (1,..., *L*) is the stratification of direct emissions, with K-means and analysis of variance being used to determine the most significant differences in stratification (*L* ranging from 3 to 9). *N*_h and *N* are the grid numbers for layer h and the whole study region, respectively. σ^{2}_{h} and σ^{2} are the variance of direct emissions in layer *h* and whole region, respectively. Through K-means and ANOVA analysis, the classification of residential, industrial, transport, and the total emissions are 5, 3, 3, and 5 on the 30 m resolution map and 4, 3, 4, and 3 on the 500 resolution map, respectively.

The semi-variogram presents the function to distance of grid pairs, and represents spatial autocorrelation and spatial variability[7].

$$\gamma(x,h) = \frac{1}{2} Var[Z(x) - Z(x+h)] = \frac{1}{2} E[Z(x) - Z(x+h)]^2$$
(S3)

where $\gamma(x, h)$ is the semi-variogram, in which the larger the value of $\gamma(x, h)$, the stronger the spatial autocorrelation and spatial variability. Z(x) and Z(x+h) are the values of direct CO₂ emission of the *x*th grid and the grid for which the distance to *x*th grid is *h*. *h* is the distance on different grids.

Moran's I was calculated from the various maps and sectors (Table S2). The pattern of emissions was more aggregated in the 30 m resolution map, in which Moran's I was always more than 0.6. Industrial emissions were most aggregated (0.904). Moran's I was always more than 0.3 in the 500 m resolution maps, demonstrating that the pattern was aggregated. In contrast to the result of the 30 m resolution map, residential emissions were most aggregated in the 500 m resolution map (I = 0.781).Based on the corresponding results, the spatial differentiation characterization *q* value (Table S3) indicated strong spatial heterogeneity in the emission pattern on both the 30 m and 500 m resolution maps. The distribution of CO₂ emissions from different sources also showed strong spatial stratification, with all *q* values exceeding 0.8.

Table 52. Moran S I for the different CO ₂ emissions sectors at different scales						
Resolution	Total	Residential	Industrial	Transport		
	emission	emission	emission	emission		
30 m	0.905***	0.793***	0.904***	0.693***		
500 m	0.463***	0.781***	0.426***	0.304***		

Table S2. Moran's I for the different CO₂ emissions sectors at different scales

Note: *** represent P-Value is less than 0.001.

Table 53. Factor detector q value of different CO ₂ emissions at different scales					
Resolution	Total	Residential emission	Industrial	Transport	
	emission		emission	emission	
30 m	0.915***	0.826***	0.920***	0.940***	
500 m	0.894***	0.891***	0.902***	0.903***	

Table S3. Factor detector q value of different CO2 emissions at different scales

Note: *** represent P-Value is less than 0.001.

Reference:

- Zuo, S.; Dai, S.; Ren, Y. More fragmentized urban form more CO₂ emissions? A comprehensive relationship from the combination analysis across different scales. *J. Clean. Prod.* 2019, 244, 118659, doi: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.118659.
- Dai, S.; Zuo, S.; Ren, Y. A spatial database of CO₂ emissions, urban form fragmentation and city-scale effect related impact factors for the low carbon urban system in Jinjiang city, China. *Data Brief* 2020, 29, 105274, doi: 10.1016/j.dib.2020.105274.
- 3. Dai, S.; Zuo, S.; Ren, Y. GISerDaiShaoqing/Urban-Carbon-Dioxide-sources-gridded-maps-and-its-detemination-in-Jinjiang-cit y 0.4. 0.4 ed.; Zenodo, **2020**; doi: 10.5281/zenodo.3692078.
- 4. Moran, P.A. Notes on continuous stochastic phenomena. *Biometrika* **1950**, 37, 17-23.
- Wang, J.F.; Li, X.H.; Christakos, G.; Liao, Y.L.; Zhang, T.; Gu, X.; Zheng, X.Y. Geographical Detectors-Based Health Risk Assessment and its Application in the Neural Tube Defects Study of the Heshun Region, China. *Int. J. Geogr. Inf. Sci.* 2010, 24, 107-127, doi: 10.1080/13658810802443457.
- 6. Wang, J.; Zhang, T.; Fu, B. A measure of spatial stratified heterogeneity. *Ecol. Indic.* **2016**, 67, 250-256, doi: 10.1016/j.ecolind.2016.02.052.
- Wu, J. Effects of changing scale on landscape pattern analysis: scaling relations. *Landscape Ecol.* 2004, 19, 125-138, doi: 10.1023/B:LAND.0000021711.40074.ae.