### Supplementary Information: Improved Satellite 1 Retrieval of Tropospheric NO2 Column Density via 2 Updating of Air Mass Factor (AMF): Case Study of 3 Southern China 4

#### 5 Hugo Wai Leung Mak <sup>1,\*</sup>, Joshua L. Laughner <sup>2</sup>, Jimmy Chi Hung Fung <sup>1,3</sup>, Qindan Zhu <sup>4</sup> 6 and Ronald C. Cohen 2,4

- 7 Department of Mathematics, The Hong Kong University of Science and Technology, Hong Kong, China; 1 8 hwlmak@ust.hk (H.W.L.M.); majfung@ust.hk (J.C.H.F.)
- 9 Department of Chemistry, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA; jlaughner@berkeley.edu 2 10 (J.L.L.); rccohen@berkeley.edu (R.C.C.)
- 11 <sup>3</sup> Division of Environment and Sustainability, Hong Kong University of Science and Technology, 12 Hong Kong, China 13
  - <sup>4</sup> Department of Earth and Planetary Sciences, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA; qindan\_zhu@berkeley.edu
- Correspondence: hwlmak@ust.hk; Tel.: +852-9432-7394 15

#### 17 Air Mass Factor (AMF) based upon Traditional OMI-NASA Retrieval **S1**.

18

14

16

19 In this Section, the Air Mass Factor (AMF) is calculated based on Equations (2) and (3) on Page 3. 20 Figure S1 below shows the spatial distributions of AMF within four seasons of 2015, based on 21 OMI-NASA retrieval. The AMF values of most places in southern China are between 0.5 and 0.8, with some pixels having extraordinarily high AMF (>0.85), which happens more frequently in April 22 23 (spring) and July (summer) 2015, especially in Hainan, Zhanjiang and Yulin. None of the pixels 24 have AMF values lower than 0.25 in all four seasons, indicating that the ratio of apparent column

25 densities (ACD) to VCD is high.



26 27

28

Figure S1. OMI-NASA average AMF in southern China. (a) Jan 2015; (b) Apr 2015; (c) Jul 2015; (d) Oct 2015. The units of the figures are dimensionless, and AMF ranges from 0 to 1 in most circumstances.

30 31

32

## S2. Air Mass Factor (AMF) based upon BEHR-HK v3.0C Retrieval

Using BEHR-HK retrieval (with higher spatial resolution datasets), the resulting AMF becomes much lower in all four seasons in 2015, possibly due to the lack of lightning emissions within NO<sub>2</sub> profiles. There are three retrieval versions for BEHR-HK, namely BEHR-HK v3.0A, v3.0B and v3.0C respectively. All of them give similar AMF spatial distributions. Even for the most adverse circumstances, the difference in AMF between the two retrieval algorithms is less than 0.1 (i.e., a percentage difference of around 10%). We provide BEHR-HK v3.0C AMF spatial plots in Figure S2, as a comparison with Figure S1.

40



41

Figure S2. BEHR-HK v3.0C average AMF in southern China (a) Jan 2015; (b) Apr 2015; (c) Jul 2015;
(d) Oct 2015.; The units of the figures are dimensionless, and AMF ranges from 0 to 1 in most circumstances.

We notice that there are changes in AMF in all months compared with the corresponding spatial plot
in Figure S1. Most pixels have AMF value of less than 0.5, in contrast with AMF in traditional
OMI-NASA product (Figure S1). For coastal cities, average AMF is higher than inland areas in
general.

- 49
- 50 51
- 52
- 52 53
- 54
- 55
- 56
- 57
- 58
- 59

Version Oct, 2018 submitted to Remote Sens.

(S4)

60

62

## 61 S3. Statistical Indices and Methods for Evaluation

In analyzing satellite retrieval outputs, we adopt different statistical measures and quantities. In Section 5.2 (Table 3) and Section 6.2 (Table 4), we first obtain corresponding best fit line by using linear regression techniques, then base on pairwise comparison of datasets, the equation of best-fit straight line, Pearson correlation coefficient (*R*-value), *p*-value, *t*-statistics and root mean squared errors (RMSE) are deduced. Equations (S1) to (S4) below show the working formulae of these well-accepted statistical parameters used.

69

$$70 R = \begin{cases} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} (\text{VCD}_{1,i} - \overline{\text{VCD}_{1}}) (\text{VCD}_{2,i} - \overline{\text{VCD}_{2}})}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{N} (\text{VCD}_{1,i} - \overline{\text{VCD}_{1}})^{2}} \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{N} (\text{VCD}_{2,i} - \overline{\text{VCD}_{2}})^{2}}} & \text{(for Section 5.2)} \\ \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} (\text{VCD}_{MAX-DOAS,i} - \overline{\text{VCD}_{MAX-DOAS}}) (\text{VCD}_{1,i} - \overline{\text{VCD}_{1}})}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{N} (\text{VCD}_{MAX-DOAS,i} - \overline{\text{VCD}_{MAX-DOAS}})^{2}} \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{N} (\text{VCD}_{1,i} - \overline{\text{VCD}_{1}})^{2}}} & \text{(for Section 6.2)} \end{cases} \end{cases}$$

71

72 
$$p-value = \begin{cases} Pr(VCD \ge x|H) \text{ (right tail)} \\ Pr(VCD \le x|H) \text{ (left tail)} \\ 2\min\{Pr(VCD \le x|H), Pr(VCD \ge x|H)\} \text{ (double tail event)} \end{cases}$$
(S2)

75

*t*-statistic  $(t_{\overline{\text{VCD}}}) = \frac{\overline{\text{VCD}} - \text{VCD}_0}{\text{s.e.}(\overline{\text{VCD}})}$  (S3)

$$RMSE = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} (\overline{vCD} - vCD_i)^2}{N}}$$

76 77

In Equation (S1), *R*-values in Section 5.2 measure the linear correlation between VCDs retrieved
by two different satellite retrieval algorithms, namely 1 and 2 respectively, while in Section 6.2, it
measures the linear correlation between VCD retrieved by different algorithms and MAX-DOAS
tropospheric NO<sub>2</sub> VCD measurements. The range of *R*-value can be from -1 to 1.

82

In Equation (S2), H is supposed to be the null hypothesis, *p*-value indicates the probability where statistical summary is not less than the actual observed results, if H holds. Smaller *p*-values imply higher levels of significance as the null hypothesis may not adequately explain the statistical trend.

In Equation (S3),  $\widehat{\text{VCD}}$  is an estimator of VCD in the linear regression model,  $\text{VCD}_0$  is a known constant that may or may not match the actual retrieved VCD, and s. e. ( $\widehat{\text{VCD}}$ ) denotes the standard error of  $\widehat{\text{VCD}}$  to approximate VCD.

91

In Equation (S4), measures the difference between predicted values (i.e., VCD projected on the
best-fit line by linear regression) and true data points (VCD). A lower RMSE is desirable because it
means that most data points are less deviated from the best-fit line.

- 95 96
- 97
- 98
- 99
- 100
- 101
- 102
- 103

# S4. Uncertainty Estimates of Tropospheric NO<sub>2</sub> column density in BEHR-HK v3.0C retrieval

Based on the approaches in "Laughner, J.L.; Zhu, Q.; Cohen, R.C. Evaluation of version 3.0B of the BEHR OMI NO2 product. Atmos. Meas. Tech. Discuss. (under review)" (Reference [37] in main manuscript), we derive the uncertainty estimates of Tropospheric NO<sub>2</sub> column density within different seasons (months), where BEHR-HK v3.0C retrieval are conducted. The total uncertainty estimates and respective composition are included in Figure S4 as follows. The total uncertainty (in black) can be contributed by many different factors, including cloud pressure (in blue), cloud radiance fraction (in dark orange), GLOBE terrain height (in pale orange), MODIS albedo (in purple), Local Profile (in green), Time Profile (in light blue).



118Figure S4. Total Uncertainty Estimates of Tropospheric NO2 column density in BEHR-HK v3.0C119retrieval in different retrieval period within 2015, and estimates of its corresponding contribution120factors in southern China.

- 142
- 143 Similar as Figures 8 and 9 in the manuscript, we provide the corresponding comparison between
- 144 MAX-DOAS measurements, BEHR-HK v3.0B and BEHR-HK v3.0C retrieval results within
- 145 Guangzhou, China in April and July 2015 respectively.
- 146



147

148Figure S5(a). Tropospheric NO2 VCD in April 2015 obtained through MAX-DOAS measurements149(Purple Triangles), and satellite retrieval: BEHR-HK v3.0B (Orange Rhombuses), BEHR-HK v3.0C150(Green Circles) for 12 dates for which all datasets have available information. The error bound151indicates the uncertainty estimates of each measurement or retrieval result, based on description152provided in Section 6.1.

Version Oct, 2018 submitted to Remote Sens.



**Figure S5(b).** Tropospheric NO<sub>2</sub> VCD in July 2015 obtained through MAX-DOAS measurements (Purple Triangles), and satellite retrieval: BEHR-HK v3.0B (Orange Rhombuses), BEHR-HK v3.0C (Green Circles) for 18 dates for which all datasets have available information. The error bound indicates the uncertainty estimates of each measurement or retrieval result, based on description provided in Section 6.1.