Effectiveness and Limitation of Coastal Dykes in Jakarta: The Need for Prioritizing Actions against Land Subsidence
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Abstract: Jakarta has been experiencing severe land subsidence over the last few decades. A questionnaire survey of local inhabitants revealed that seawater is already overtopping coastal dykes and flooding a vulnerable community along Jakarta’s waterfront. The present study projects coastal floods around Jakarta until the year 2050 to understand the long term effectiveness of proposed dykes under continuing rapid land subsidence scenarios. This is done through a hydrodynamic model that considers land subsidence, sea-level rise, and tides. The analysis confirms that, if high enough, coastal dykes will help to prevent flooding, though their effectiveness will eventually disappear as land subsidence continues. For example, a 3-m dyke, which is expected to be sufficiently high to cope with present-day conditions, could completely lose its ability to stop floods by the year 2040. Moreover, higher dykes can also bring about other problems, because if they are overtopped, they actually prolong flooding, essentially trapping a higher volume of water inland. On the other hand, a small 1-m dyke can be expected to stop coastal floods if land subsidence can be stopped. This study demonstrates that actions to stop land subsidence would be the most effective countermeasure to mitigate coastal floods from the middle of the 21st century onwards, emphasizing the need to prioritize such actions among the range of countermeasures being proposed for Jakarta.
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1. Introduction

Jakarta is one of the largest coastal megacities in the world, with a total population of over 10 million inhabitants. Although it has been facing many urban development issues [1], land subsidence appears to currently pose one of the biggest challenges to its long-term ability to sustain human settlement. Subsidence rates along the coast of Jakarta ranged from 9.5 to 21.5 cm/year for the 2007 to 2009 period [2]. The current pace of subsidence in Jakarta appears to be the fastest amongst large Asian cities, including cities such as Tokyo, Bangkok, Hanoi, and Can Tho [3–6]. The soil in Jakarta’s coast consists primarily of thick unconsolidated layers of clay and silt, partially filled with sand, up to a depth of about 300 m [7]. Land subsidence has been mainly caused by the formally prohibited practice of extracting groundwater for industrial usage, and has led to severe damage to infrastructure and buildings, increasing the extent of flooded areas [8,9]. As a result, Jakarta is considered to be one of the most vulnerable cities in the world to coastal floods [10]. At present, large portions of the coastal area are barely above sea water (see Figure 1a, showing how Sunda Kelapa port, where the level of the water is already higher than the ground behind the narrow kerb/dyke that protects the port area from
getting flooded), or are already below seawater (the Pluit area is already protected by a dyke which surpasses the average height of an adult, about 1.8 m high, see Figure 1b). If groundwater extraction continues at the current rate, Jakarta is likely to sink a further 5–6 m by 2100 [11], which would require coastal dykes to be built around the entire coastal perimeter of the city or people to be relocated away from these areas. Thus, the National Capital Integrated Coastal Development (NCICD) [7] has urged Jakarta’s policy makers to prioritize solving the issue of land-subsidence as quickly as possible. If effective countermeasures to mitigate subsidence are not undertaken, future flood events will inevitably cause greater damage to Jakarta than what can be expected at present, especially given the increase in future exposure due to rapid economic and population growth. The potential areas at risk of being flooded in Jakarta are expected to drastically increase in the next decades, with subsidence being responsible for 88% of this increase [12]. However, the flood projection by Takagi et al. [12] was derived through a simulation that neglects the presence of coastal dykes, essentially investigating the potential flood extent without taking into account mitigation countermeasures against coastal floods. This assumption may cause an overestimation of the possible future impacts of flooding, at a time when the government of Jakarta has upgraded coastal protection in the area into a national project, as described later. In order to predict more realistic coastal floods until the year 2050, the authors developed a coastal hydrodynamic model that focused on the city of Jakarta. The aim of this model is to examine the effectiveness and limitation of coastal dykes, in order to address the fundamental question of how long the dykes will work under scenarios of continuing rapid land subsidence.

![Figure 1. (a) Sunda Kelapa port is already below sea level; (b) Pluit area is currently protected by a narrow coastal dyke, and in the future many areas of Jakarta will have to be protected by similar dykes unless ground subsidence can be stopped. [Photos taken by the authors in May 2016].](image)

2. Methodology

The present study extends the coastal flood model developed for Jakarta by Takagi et al. [12], by taking into account a variety of different scenarios of possible dyke heights. This section will briefly describe this model and the different scenarios that the authors will consider regarding the future height of the dykes, land subsidence, and sea-level rise. To ascertain the current situation of coastal flooding, questionnaire surveys were also conducted in a small coastal community which is currently situated 2–3 m below sea level, protected from the sea by a narrow concrete dyke (Pluit, see Figure 1b).

2.1. Future Scenarios

This study considers the year of 2015 as the base year for the projection of the future extent of floods in Jakarta, and the year of 2050 as the end of the projection. In this projection, it is assumed that the present coastline does not have any effective dykes, and that the construction of a comprehensive coastal dyke that covers the entire shoreline of the city will be completed by 2030. It should be noted
that some small sections of this coastline are already protected by relatively tall dykes, and eventually these will have to be extended to cover all areas. Essentially, the scenario envisaged here is similar to the Phase A project of the NCICD roadmap [7]. After 2030, two distinctive scenarios are considered (Figure 2); in the first scenario, land subsidence and sea-level rise (SLR) are both assumed to continue until 2050, whereas the second scenario assumes that land subsidence will stop by 2030, though SLR would continue after 2030. The heights of dykes were set to be either 1 m, 3 m, or 5 m high, besides a “no-dyke present” (i.e., present day conditions) scenario, resulting in a total of eight scenarios being considered (Figure 2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2030</th>
<th>2035</th>
<th>2040</th>
<th>2045</th>
<th>2050</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Scenario</td>
<td><img src="image_url" alt="Diagram" /></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No Dyke (LS + SLR)</td>
<td><img src="image_url" alt="Diagram" /></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No Dyke (only SLR)</td>
<td><img src="image_url" alt="Diagram" /></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 m Dyke (LS + SLR)</td>
<td><img src="image_url" alt="Diagram" /></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 m Dyke (only SLR)</td>
<td><img src="image_url" alt="Diagram" /></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 m Dyke (LS + SLR)</td>
<td><img src="image_url" alt="Diagram" /></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 m Dyke (only SLR)</td>
<td><img src="image_url" alt="Diagram" /></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 m Dyke (LS + SLR)</td>
<td><img src="image_url" alt="Diagram" /></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 m Dyke (only SLR)</td>
<td><img src="image_url" alt="Diagram" /></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 2.** Outline of scenarios considered between the base year (2015) and 2050, depending on the height of the coastal dyke and whether land subsidence (LS) and sea-level rise (SLR) are taken into account.

It is clear that the subsidence scenario assumed in this paper could represent an overestimation of what could happen, as subsidence could rapidly be halted if adequate policies are put in place. For example, the “100-0-100” sanitation policy issued by the Ministry of Public Works (PU) aims to provide 100% of the water supply needed by the Jakarta Metropolitan area by 2019, which would greatly contribute to the mitigation of subsidence. However, the authors consider that this is unlikely to happen in the short term as it seems that tangible countermeasures against land subsidence have yet to be prioritized in national plans, such as those by the Ministry of National Development Planning [13]. Thus, flood projections in this study were performed for these two distinctive situations, with the aim of investigating both the best and worst future scenarios.

The annual rate of land subsidence in Jakarta was estimated through monitoring data at a total of 14 global positioning system (GPS) subsidence monitoring stations in the city in the period from December 1997 to October 2001 [14], where the average and maximum rates were estimated to be 5.6 cm year$^{-1}$ and 15 cm year$^{-1}$, respectively [12]. Due to the heterogeneous nature of the environment and ground, which is influenced by geographical considerations and the different distribution and concentration of population and industries, the subsidence rate in Jakarta tends to be faster in the north-western coast than in the inland part of the city (see Figure A1). Therefore, it is anticipated that coastal flooding will become more pronounced as land subsidence progresses. The present study assumes that land subsidence will continue at the current rate, similar to the assumptions in other studies [12,15]. The authors admit that data used for this land subsidence analysis are not necessarily sufficient for the projection of the first half of the 21st century. Since publicly available data are very limited in Indonesia, however, there was no other viable option than to use the short-span of GPS data. The projections in terms of the land subsidence should be refined with data from a longer period in future research.
SLR was assumed to be 7 mm year\(^{-1}\), based on satellite data analysis between 1992 and 2015 [12]. There is considerable uncertainty in the estimated pace of sea level rise after 2050, as summarized in the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change 5th Assessment Report (IPCC AR5), which depends on the model and greenhouse gas forcing used. In fact, the projections of global mean SLRs by 2100 are rather uncertain, varying in the range from 0.28 to 0.98 m, depending on RCP (Representative Concentration Pathway) scenarios [16]. On the other hand, the projections for the middle of the century (2046–2065) show relatively small variations, within the range of 0.17 to 0.38 m. Thus, the authors considered it prudent to stop their analysis in the year 2050 to minimize the uncertainties associated with the selection of the scenarios. This assumption would also constitute the typical planning horizon of civil engineering projects (which typically have a design life of a few decades, meaning that any dyke completed by 2030 would be expected to remain functional up to the year 2050).

It should be noted that this study only discusses coastal floods induced by an overflow of the dykes, neglecting other mechanisms such as seawater that penetrates through sewage pipes and inundates the ground, geotechnical failure of the dykes due to differential settlement, or river flooding. These are also very important problems, and are likely to become worse as the extent of ground subsidence increases. Also, the possibility of the dykes failing during overtopping is not considered, despite this having been identified as a major problem in recent years (e.g., dramatic dyke failures during the 2011 Tohoku tsunami [17] and the 2013 Typhoon Haiyan [18]). However, such matters are outside the scope of the present paper, and should be the target of future work.

### 2.2. Numerical Simulation

A versatile hydrodynamic model, Delft3D-FLOW, was used for the simulation of ocean tides that travel from the offshore region to shallow bay areas, and eventually flood over downtown Jakarta. Although this model is applicable for a 3D domain, the present study used a 2D horizontal grid, and, thus, the code is equivalent to a non-linear long-wave model, which is the most common way to simulate long-wave propagation [19]. In combination with a flooding scheme for advection in the momentum equation, the algorithm referred to as drying and flooding in this numerical model is expected to give a good representation of rapidly varying flows with large water-level gradients as a result of overflows [20]. The topographical data was derived by combining the SRTM 90 m Digital Elevation Database [21] and the General Bathymetric Chart of the Oceans with 30 arc-second intervals [22]. The computational domain encompasses Jakarta in its entirety and the bay between 5.9° S–106.68° E and 6.2° S–107.03° E, covering an area of 38.8 km × 33.1 km (Figure 3). The computational grid sizes gradually become smaller from the offshore to the onshore area, with the smallest size being 60 m (Easting) × 20 m (Northing) grid cells. The tidal constituents, originally derived from the TPXO7.1 Global Tide Model [23], were adjusted with a historically abnormal tide event in November 2007 [12] and then assigned along the offshore open boundary (Figure 3). This historical event was likely brought about by a coincidence of the lunar nodal period and an annual spring tide, and the next peaks would be expected to appear in the periods around 2025–2027 and 2044–2046 [24]. Figure 3 also shows the perimeter of the hypothetical coastal dyke, which is approximately similar with that in the NCICD project.

As highlighted by the IPCC AR5, coastal floods are likely to become more common in coastal areas around the world due to the consequences of future climate change, including tropical cyclone intensification [25]. Although coastal areas could experience the indirect minor impact of a major typhoon which travels near the Philippines or Vietnam in the western north Pacific [26,27], Jakarta is not on the route that tropical cyclones take and, thus, is unlikely to face a significant typhoon-generating storm surge event. Therefore, the present study did not take such large/sudden storm events into consideration.
2.3. Definition of Inundation Area

The target area (Figure 3) encompasses the main districts in downtown Jakarta and its coastal area. The extent of the flooded areas, water depths, and volumes were all projected within this target area. The inundation map was created by masking a simulation result with a predefined base map, which classifies each point as either sea or land (Figure 4). As the coastline moves back and forth with tides, the present study defined the coastline by reference to the mean sea level on 20 November 2015 (the abovementioned abnormal tide took place 5–6 days later). By subtracting the sea area from the simulated extent of the water at one given point, an inundation map—Figure 4c—can be created, from which parameters such as the inundation area and depth can be extracted. The total volume of floodwater can be calculated by integrating the inundation depth and area over the entire domain.

Figure 3. Computational domain, encompassing Jakarta city and its bay. The analysis of coastal floods focuses on the target area, while the simulation runs for a wider area, including the buffer zones.

Figure 4. Creation of inundation map, obtained by masking the simulation result with the base map.

2.4. Questionnaire Surveys

The authors conducted a structured questionnaire survey amongst local residents of the Pluit area in May 2016, with the aim of investigating the frequency of coastal floods and their impacts. Prior to this survey, the authors also conducted a series of field surveys along the coastline of Jakarta in January 2015, May 2015, September 2015, and February 2016 in order to investigate the current situation of
Jakarta’s coast and identify the potential coastal hazards affecting local communities. After these surveys, the authors identified this small coastal village as one of the most vulnerable communities in Jakarta, as it is only protected by a thin coastal dyke (Figure 5). The rate of land subsidence near this coastal area was considered particularly fast [2,28].

A total of 200 responses were collected, all of which were valid ($n = 200$). The structured questionnaire was administered by three enumerators, based on an original drafted in English that was then translated into Bahasa Indonesia, the national language of Indonesia. Since the area is surrounded by both the sea and the river, enumerators started by informing respondents that the definition of “coastal flood” is a flood event caused by high water levels in the sea, which should be different from a “river flood”, which is a flood event caused by high water levels in the river upstream. A random sampling method was used to select respondents, who were all residents of Pluit or adjacent districts in North Jakarta. Most respondents were of working age, with 80% being between 20 and 50 years old. The majority of respondents were housewives, with the second major group being labor workers.

Figure 5. Interview with a stall owner in Pluit, Jakarta. The community is protected by a thin coastal dyke, shown on the left side. Substantial leakage of seawater through a concrete joint made the road wet, as can be observed in the photo. The details on the dyke and community were described by Takagi et al. [28].

3. Results

This section shows the results of the projections of the flooded area and floodwater volume caused by coastal floods up until the year 2050, which demonstrate how land subsidence will be the main reason why the impact of flooding is likely to become more severe in the future. The results of the questionnaire survey regarding the current situation of coastal floods in the target coastal community are also described.

3.1. Projection of the Extent of Coastal Floods in Jakarta by 2050

Figure 6 presents the projection of the extent of the inundation areas between 2015 and 2050 for the range of scenarios shown in Figure 2. The present study assumed the worst possible high tide condition, essentially reproducing the abnormal tidal event in November 2007. Since this event
represents the case of a coastal flood that actually took place, the simulation correctly estimates that some parts of the coastline can already potentially be flooded. However, the maximum extent of the possible area that can be flooded is limited to within a few hundred meters from the coastline. If the dyke is finished within the next decade, as planned by the NCICD, it is expected that coastal floods could be completely prevented by 2030.

![Figure 6](image-url) Extent of expected flood area between 2015 and 2050. The simulation assumes that coastal dykes do not exist in 2015 and will be completed by 2030, according to the National Capital Integrated Coastal Development (NCICD) roadmap. The numbers in parenthesis provide the flooded area and average inundation depth, respectively. The five red spots that are shown in the bottom right figure indicate the location of pumping stations, numbered 1 to 5, corresponding with those listed in Table 1.

After 2030, however, the potential extent of the flooding depends on the scenario considered. In particular, continuing land subsidence greatly influences the outcome of the simulation. If land subsidence is stopped by 2030, the risk of coastal floods will be virtually eliminated, as SLR alone may not cause a drastic increase in the coastal flood risk, at least not until 2050. However, if land subsidence continues after 2030, the effectiveness of a 1-m high dyke will be nullified within 10 years of the dyke’s completion. Particularly, the western coast (which experiences the fastest rate of subsidence in the city) will start to get inundated as early as 2040, with inundation areas gradually extending towards the east coast and central districts. By 2050, the extent of the flooded area with a 1-m dyke would become almost identical to the case where no dyke was present, because if the dyke is overflowed, water will rapidly propagate over land, almost as if there was no coastal protection.

A 3-m high dyke will obviously preserve its functionality for a longer period, though even in this case its effectiveness will probably be limited to just 10 or 15 years after its completion in 2030. However, it is worth noting that its performance would suddenly drop just before 2050, resulting in a rapid expansion of the potential flooded areas within a very short transition period. In spite of the fact that a 3-m high dyke seems to be sufficiently high for present needs, the dyke will inevitably sink if the ground underneath it continues to subside, and will likely end up being totally submerged before 2050.

Since a 5-m high dyke appears to be too high to be overflowed, as proven by Figure 7, the flooded area will substantially increase until at least 2050, irrespective of the scenarios assumed, and, thus, Figure 6 does not show the results for the 5-m dyke.
Figure 7. Floodwater volumes, which vary according to tidal conditions, were projected until 2050 for the eight scenarios considered, as shown in Figure 2. The total number of days needed for pumping out the floodwater was calculated by dividing the water volume by the discharge capacity of 77 m$^3$/s.

3.2. Floodwater Volume and Required Pump Facilities

Pluit Pump Station is the largest pump in Jakarta (situated adjacent to the sea, with a discharge capacity of about 50 m$^3$/s), followed by Cideng–Siantar Pump Station (40 m$^3$/s, [29]). The total discharge capacity of the 17 pump facilities in Jakarta is estimated to be around 200 m$^3$/s. Among them, five stations are located within the potential coastal areas that can be flooded, as shown in Figure 6. The present study, thus, assumes that these five pumps could work to discharge floodwater up to their total capacity of 77 m$^3$/s (see Table 1).

Figure 7 shows the projections of floodwater volumes, which significantly vary depending on the year, scenario, and tidal conditions considered. The scenario that considers no dyke, continuing land subsidence, and SLR (top left in Figure 7) indicates that the rate of increase in the potential flooded area would be accelerated in later years, demonstrating an exponential increase in the impact of flooding as time progresses. Figure 7 also indicates the time required to pump out all the floodwater using the five pumping stations, which was simply estimated by dividing the total water volume by the discharge capacity of 77 m$^3$/s. Although tidal ranges in Jakarta are relatively small (less than 1.2 m), the total water volume that could enter the land as a consequence of a high tide would be considerably larger than those during mean or low tide. Therefore, floodwater volumes and water levels need to take into account the maximum possible tides, as the worst flood damage would obviously take place during such events.

Nevertheless, Figure 7 also shows that the influence of the tidal fluctuations on the extent of the flooding will diminish as the coastal dykes become higher, as higher walls will trap more floodwater than a smaller wall when the water returns to the sea during the ebb tidal phase. This implies that a higher dyke is not necessarily better than a smaller dyke, and could sometimes cause a more persistent flood. For example, a 3-m dyke may work well to prevent coastal floods until 2045. However, afterwards the volume of floodwater that would have to be removed from behind the dykes would suddenly jump to about 60 million m$^3$, irrespective of the tidal phase considered.
Compared with the case where no dyke is present, the 3-m dyke appears to be more effective in reducing the maximum volume of floodwater. However, the results show that unless the pumping facilities are drastically expanded or upgraded, it may take as long as 9 days by 2050 to remove all the potential floodwater once a flooding event occurs. However, if land subsidence can be stopped by 2030, a 1-m dyke would effectively protect Jakarta from coastal floods, at least until 2050. Furthermore, in this case, it is probably not necessary to upgrade the present pumping systems, which appear adequate to handle the occasional coastal flood.

### Table 1. List of the five pump facilities in Jakarta’s coastal area (adapted from a table present in a report by JICA (Japan International Cooperation Agency) [29]).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Place for Discharging Floodwater</th>
<th>Pump Capacity (m$^3$/s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Pluit</td>
<td>Java Sea</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Muara Angke</td>
<td>K. Angke</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Teluk Gong</td>
<td>K. Angke</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Pademangan/Ancol</td>
<td>K. Ancol</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Sunter Barat Utara</td>
<td>K. Ancol</td>
<td>9.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>76.7</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.3. Current Situation of Coastal Floods Revealed through the Interview

Figure 8a indicates that 85.5% of respondents ($n = 200$) have experienced some sort of damage caused by coastal floods in the course of their lives, with about 68% of them answering that their properties/houses suffered major damage or were completely destroyed (Figure 8b). This is not surprising considering that the last major floods took place in 2007, as reported in Takagi et al. [12,28]. Essentially, this was an important benchmark event that could be used to calibrate the responses of those interviewed, giving the authors confidence in the results of the questionnaire. As a result of this 2007 event, few respondents appeared to have been spared the consequences of this event, with 14.5% of the respondents replying that they have not experienced any sort of damage, and 11.1% replying that there was no damage due to coastal flooding. As these two answers should theoretically coincide, the slight difference points out that there is some confusion regarding flood type among local people, who may not necessarily distinguish the mechanism behind the floods.

From the results, it is clear that events where the seawater overtops the dykes and floods vulnerable communities adjacent to Jakarta bay are already taking place. Figure 8c shows that, according to respondents, the frequency of coastal floods is between once a week to once every few years, indicating that the chance of inundation is not uniformly distributed even in this small community.

Regarding the most severe flood they had experienced during their lives, 95% of respondents replied that water was 1 m deep or more (Figure 8d). As the authors had not originally foreseen that such deep inundation was taking place, the questionnaire could not accurately capture the ranges for higher inundations. Nevertheless, some respondents indicated that the flood waters reached up to the first floor of their houses, which would represent a maximum inundation of nearly 2 m.

Finally, respondents were also asked about what measures the government should implement to address the issue of flooding in the Pluit district (Figure 9), with most of them indicating that the dyke should be strengthened, and about half of respondents also favoring an even bigger “seawall” in the Jakarta bay (as will be discussed later).
cause many problems, including traffic jams, degradation of water quality and sanitary conditions, and electric blackouts, which can result in an adverse slope in the sewage systems that are connected to the sea, which will further help seawater come into urban areas. If water is not quickly removed after a flooding event, it can eventually cause many problems, including traffic jams, degradation of water quality and sanitary conditions, disease outbreaks (e.g., dengue fever, cholera), and electric blackouts, which can eventually result in an adverse slope in the sewage systems that are connected to the sea, which will further help seawater come into urban areas.

4. Discussion

As land subsidence continues, the extent of the area at risk of flooding is likely to quickly increase, and these events will start to affect not only coastal areas but also the city center, where the busiest financial and commercial districts in the country are concentrated. Land subsidence can eventually result in an adverse slope in the sewage systems that are connected to the sea, which will further help seawater come into urban areas. If water is not quickly removed after a flooding event, it can eventually cause many problems, including traffic jams, degradation of water quality and sanitary conditions,
disease outbreaks (e.g., dengue fever, cholera), and electric blackouts, which can lead to significant economic and human risks. If heavy rain or fluvial floods coincide with the timing of an extensive coastal flood, the combined event could have catastrophic consequences to the Jakarta metropolitan area. To respond to the possibility of unprecedented floods taking place in the future, large numbers of pumping stations would need to be installed to remove the huge quantities of seawater that could accumulate behind the dykes.

The NCICD has urged policy makers and municipal officials to prioritize attempting to solve the current land-subsidence problem. Nevertheless, effective and tangible countermeasures against land subsidence have yet to be implemented, and would obviously require alternative provisions of clean water, in itself not easy given that this is a megacity with a population of over 10 million. Otherwise, the NCICD program has also proposed a comprehensive roadmap to eliminate coastal floods, which is composed of multiple phases over the next four decades. The first stage of the project, referred to as “phase A”, aims to strengthen current sea walls and build new dykes during the course of the next 10 years, costing about $1.9 billion [7].

As corroborated by the present study, a similar project in Jakarta would be expected to contribute to the reduction of flood damage, at least over the course of the next 10 to 20 years. The authors’ questionnaire survey confirmed that local people consider that the strengthening of the present dyke should be the first countermeasure to be implemented (Figure 9).

However, the possibility of extensive floods affecting the region would resurface by the middle of the 21st century if land subsidence continues, potentially allowing seawater to reach several kilometers inland, effectively affecting downtown Jakarta. For instance, due to land subsidence, a 3-m dyke would completely lose its flood-protecting function by the year 2040.

It is obvious that the higher the dyke, the greater the flood mitigation functionality. Indeed, the results for a 5-m dyke demonstrate that it would be effective to almost completely protect coastal areas until 2050 (Figure 7). However, as Figure 10a illustrates, SLR and land subsidence will both increase the relative difference between the sea surface and the ground, which would create the risk of a dyke-break induced tsunami taking place [28]. Although analyzing the structural stability of the dykes is out of the scope of this study, the thin coastal dykes found in many communities of Jakarta could suddenly collapse due to material aging, the occurrence of high waves, SLR, land subsidence, landslides, a collision with ships, earthquakes, or other adverse mechanisms. Takagi et al. [28] in the course of their field visits investigated the thin dyke (with the height of 1.8 m) that protects the Pluit area, and already found that there is substantial leakage of seawater through a concrete joint. As even such a relatively low dyke is not capable of completely holding back seawater, there are serious questions about what could happen during the lifetime of a 5-m high dyke that made use of similar construction techniques, which could potentially be structurally unstable and even less impermeable.

The NCICD [7] has also proposed a second stage of the project, Phase B, which is collectively called the Giant Sea Wall and Great Garuda project. This project involves the construction of an outer seawall over 35 km long and land reclamation of 1.250 ha, which will attempt to protect Jakarta against coastal floods by creating a large water storage basin between the sea and the land. Many concerns have been voiced by local residents, activists, and scientists about the impacts of such a project [30,31], though, according to our questionnaire survey, over half of respondents support these massive national projects, rather than more reasonable solutions such as constructing higher buildings, planting mangroves, or raising the lands.

It is worth noting, however, that there are a number of other grave concerns relating to such an ambitious project. In the event that the great seawalls fail to shut out seawater or the project is suspended or postponed due to economic turmoil, engineering difficulties, environmental impact, or political decisions, and assuming that efforts to reduce land subsidence are not carried out, downtown Jakarta would eventually become submerged. This could result in environmental displacement [32,33], eventually forcing the relocation of hundreds of thousands, if not millions, of Jakarta’s citizens, unless alternative adaptation strategies could be attempted.
To make matters worse, contemplating such grandiose national plans could lower the awareness amongst people of the most pressing problem, which is clearly land subsidence. The construction of a dyke along the perimeter of the city could dilute these concerns and the necessity to implement measures to stop ground water extraction.

If the Phase A project is implemented, this will mean that Jakarta will follow the path of Tokyo, Japan, which experienced similar land subsidence over the course of the 20th century, resulting in the construction of large dykes and many pumping stations to protect areas such as Koto and Edogawa wards (currently 2–4 m under sea level, [34]). However, the robustness of the dykes in Tokyo appears to be much more reliable than those found in Jakarta. If Jakarta was to prioritize hard structures, far more robust dykes should be built, similar, for example, to those protecting low-lying coasts in Tokyo (Figure 10b).

Figure 10. (a) Illustration showing a sinking coastal community protected by a 5-meter thin dyke, such as the ones currently used in Jakarta; (b) Robust coastal dyke defending Koto ward in Tokyo (note that the houses on the left are a few meters below sea level).

5. Conclusions

The present study projected coastal floods around Jakarta until the year 2050 to address concerns over how long the dykes will remain effective under the rapid land subsidence being experienced in Jakarta. To do so, the authors developed a coastal hydrodynamic model that considers land subsidence, sea-level rise, and tidal range. The tidal constituents were adjusted to reproduce the observed abnormal tides that took place in November 2007 and which lead to extensive coastal flooding. The changing effectiveness of the dykes over the coming decades was investigated by assuming multiple coastal protection scenarios, including a long dyke being built along the coastline of Jakarta, with heights ranging from 1 m to 5 m. Two further scenarios were considered, one where land subsidence was stopped by 2030, and the other where it continued past this date. The simulations showed that the dyke can effectively prevent coastal floods in the early stage of its lifetime, though it would soon lose its effectiveness unless land subsidence is stopped. Once seawater overflows the dyke, extensive flooding would immediately occur, potentially reaching a few kilometers inland into downtown Jakarta. For example, a 3-m dyke is expected to be sufficiently high for present day conditions, but it would have entirely lost its flood-protecting function by the year 2040. On the other hand, even a short structure such as a 1-m dyke is expected to stop coastal floods if land subsidence is stopped. Hence, the present study demonstrates that any actions that attempt to stop land subsidence would be the most effective countermeasure to mitigate future coastal floods, and should clearly be prioritized by the national government.
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Appendix A

Figure A1. Estimated annual land subsidence rates in Jakarta (after Takagi et al. [12]). The discontinuous blue line shows the area in which the rate of land subsidence was estimated, using data from global positioning system (GPS) subsidence monitoring stations, indicated by the black dots. The thin line in the upper part indicates the coastline.
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