Supplementary Materials: School Facilities and Sustainability-Related Concepts: A Study of Hellenic Secondary School Principals', Teachers', Pupils' and Parents' Responses

Vasiliki Zepatou, Maria Loizidou, Archontoula Chaloulakou and Nicolas Spyrellis

The following supplementary materials to the above-titled article consist of 13 Tables: Tables S1 to Tables S13, as listed in the article. Tables S1–S9 are Crosstabulations of survey variables and the results of Chi-square tests of independence conducted for the variables. These particular results are cases in which dependency was found between variables and are reported and discussed in the main text of the article. Tables S10–S13 are the four Rotated Component Matrices of PCA, conducted respectively for the four school user groups: principals, teachers, pupils and parents.

Table S1. DSBA* Quality of School Building and materials Crosstabulation, Chi-square tests & Symmetric Measures: Principals.

		Qua	ality of S	chool B	uilding and Materials
DS	SBA	Bad	Fair	Good	Total
Old	Count	4	29	19	52
	Exp. Count	4.8	21.4	25.8	52.0
	Residual	-0.8	7.6	-6.8	
New	Count	8	24	45	77
	Exp. Count	7.2	31.6	38.2	77.0
	Residual	0.8	-7.6	6.8	
Total	Count	12	53	64	129
	Exp. Count	12.0	53.0	64.0	129.0
	Chi-square	e Tests	& Symr	netric M	easures
			Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson C	Chi-square		7.816 ¹	2	0.020
Likeliho	ood Ratio		7.830	2	0.020
Phi			0.246	-	0.020
Cramer's V			0.246	-	0.020
n of val	lid cases		129		

¹1 cell (16.7%) has expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 4.84.

Table S2. DSBA* Quality of School Building and materials Crosstabulation, Chi-square tests & Symmetric Measures: Teachers.

		Quality of School Building And Materials							
DSBA		Unacceptably Bad	Bad	Fair	Good	Very good	Total		
Old	Count	8	11	45	46	0	110		
	Exp. Count	6.1	6.5	40.2	47.1	10.0	110.0		
	Residual	1.9	4.5	4.8	-1.1	-10.0			
New	Count	8	6	60	77	26	177		
	Exp. Count	9.9	10.5	64.8	75.9	16.0	177.0		
	Residual	-1.9	-4.5	-4.8	1.1	10.0			
Total	Count	16	17	105	123	26	287		
	Exp. Count	16.0	17.0	105.0	123.0	26.0	287.0		
		Chi-square	Tests	& Symn	netric Mea	sures			
					Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)		
Pearson	n Chi-square				23.041 1	4	0.000		
Likeli	hood Ratio				31.798	4	0.000		
Phi					0.283	-	0.000		
Cra	amer's V				0.283	-	0.000		
n of v	valid cases				287				

 $^{^{1}}$ 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 6.13.

Table S3. DSBA* Quality of School Building and materials Crosstabulation, Chi-square tests & Symmetric Measures: Pupils.

			Qualit	y of Sch	ool Build	ing and Mater	rials
DSBA		Unacceptably Bad	Bad	Fair	Good	Very Good	Total
Old	Count	33	34	125	88	20	300
	Exp. Count	19.2	26.6	108.9	114.4	30.9	300.0
	Residual	13.8	7.4	16.1	-26.4	-10.9	
New	Count	16	34	153	204	59	466
	Exp. Count	29.8	41.4	169.1	177.6	48.1	466.0
	Residual	-13.8	-7.4	-16.1	26.4	10.9	
Total	Count	49	68	278	292	79	766
	Exp. Count	49.0	68.0	278.0	292.0	19.0	766.0
		Chi-square	Tests	& Symr	netric Mea	asures	
		_		-	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
	Pearson Cl	ni-square			39.956 ¹	4	0.000
	Likelihoo	d Ratio			40.088	4	0.000
	Phi				0.228	-	0.000
	Cramer's V				0.228	-	0.000
	n of valid	d cases			766		

 $^{^{\}rm 1}$ 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 19.19.

Table S4. DSBA * Quality of School Building and materials Crosstabulation, Chi-square tests & Symmetric Measures: Parents/Guardians.

				Schoo	ol Buildi	ng Quality and	l Materials	
	DSBA	Unacceptably Bad	Bad	Fair	Good	Very Good	I don't Know	Total
Old	Count	19	31	109	86	16	11	272
	Exp. Count	14.9	21.9	83.8	97.1	38.0	16.4	272.0
	Residual	4.1	9.1	25.2	-11.1	-22.0	-5.4	
New	Count	19	25	105	162	81	31	423
	Exp. Count	23.1	34.1	130.2	150.9	59.0	25.6	423.0
	Residual	-4.1	-9.1	-25.2	11.1	22.0	5.4	
Total	Count	38	56	214	248	97	42	695
	Exp. Count	38.0	56.0	214.0	248.0	97.0	42.0	695.0
	<u>-</u> -	Ch	i-squa	re Tests	& Symm	etric Measure	s	
					-	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
	Pearson C	hi-square				46.475 ¹	5	0.000
	Likelihoo	od Ratio				48.839	5	0.000
Phi					0.259	-	0.000	
	Crame	er's V				0.259	-	0.000
	n of vali	d cases				695		

 $^{^{1}}$ 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 14.87.

Table S5. DSBA * Importance of selection and use in schools of materials friendly to the environment and health Crosstabulation, Chi-square tests & Symmetric Measures: Pupils.

	Im	portance of S	election & Us Environn	se in School onent and Hea		riendly t	0
	DSBA	Slightly Important	0,		Extremely Important	I don't know	Total
Old	Count	6	33	89	165	7	300
	Exp. Count	3.9	23.1	83.8	180.9	8.2	300.0
	Residual	2.1	9.9	5.2	-15.9	-1.2	
New	Count	4	26	125	297	14	466
	Exp. Count	6.1	35.9	130.2	281.1	12.8	466.0
	Residual	-2.1	-9.9	-5.2	15.9	1.2	
Total	Count	10	59	214	462	21	766
	Exp. Count	10.0	59.0	214.0	462.0	21	766.0
	_	Chi-squa	re Tests & Sy	ymmetric Me	asures		
					Value	df	As. Sig. (2-sided)
]	Pearson Chi-Sq	uare			11.920 ¹	4	0.018
	Likelihood Ra	ntio			11.683	4	0.020
	Phi				0.125	-	0.018
	Cramer's V	7			0.125	-	0.018
	n of valid cas	ses			766		

 $^{^{1}}$ 1 cell (10.0%) has expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 3.92.

Table S6. TIRZ * More efficient and enhanced lighting Crosstabulation, Chi-square tests & Symmetric Measures: Parents/Guardians.

		More Efficient and Enhanced Lighting					
	TIRZ	Slightly Important	Fairly Important	Very Important	Extremely Important	I don't Know	Total
Zone A	Count	1	28	33	49	6	117
	Exp. Count	4.9	16.7	31.3	61.5	2.5	117.0
	Residual	-3.9	11.3	1.7	-12.5	3.5	
Zone B	Count	21	51	93	246	4	415
	Exp. Count	17.5	59.3	111.2	218.1	9.0	415.0
	Residual	3.5	-8.3	-18.2	27.9	-5.0	
Zone C	Count	11	33	84	117	7	252
	Exp. Count	10.6	36.0	67.5	132.4	5.5	252.0
	Residual	0.4	-3.0	16.5	-15.4	1.5	
Total	Count	33	112	210	412	17	784
	Exp. Count	33.0	112.0	210.0	412.0	17.0	784.0
Chi-	square Tests & S	ymmetric Meas	sures		Value	df	As. Sig. (2-sided)
	Pearson Chi-Squa	re			35.814 1	8	0.000
	Likelihood Ratio				35.448	8	0.000
	Phi				0.214	-	0.000
	Cramer's V				0.151	-	0.000
	N of valid cases				784		

 $^{^{1}}$ 2 cells (13.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.54.

Table S7. DSBA * Improved acoustics/Noise protection Crosstabulation, Chi-square tests & Symmetric Measures: Pupils.

		Improved Acoustics/Noise Protection							
	DSBA	Unimportant	Slightly Important	Fairly Important	Very Important	Extremely Important	I don't Know	Total	
Old	Count	2	19	62	84	123	1	291	
	Exp. Count	6.2	15.1	64.3	94.2	107.7	3.5	291.0	
	Residual	-4.2	3.9	-2.3	-10.2	15.3	-2.5		
New	Count	14	20	104	159	155	8	460	
	Exp. Count	9.8	23.9	101.7	148.8	170.3	5.5	460.0	
	Residual	4.2	-3.9	2.3	10.2	-15.3	2.5		
Total	Count	16	39	166	243	278	9	751	
	Exp. Count	16.0	39.0	166.0	243.0	278.0	9.0	751.0	
	(Chi-square Tests &	z Symmetric Mo	easures		Value	df	As. Sig. (2-sided)	
	Pearson Chi-so	quare				14.639 1	5	0.012	
	Likelihood R	atio				15.954	5	0.007	
	Phi					0.140	-	0.012	
	Cramer's V	V				0.140	-	0.012	
	n of valid ca	ses				751			

¹1 cell (8.3%) has expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 3.49.

Table S8. TIRZ* Better air quality Crosstabulation, Chi-square tests & Symmetric Measures: Pupils.

		Better Air Quality					
7	ΓIRZ	Slightly	Fairly	Very	Extremely	Total	
		Important	Important	Important	Important		
Zone A	Count	2	22	36	75	135	
	Exp. Count	1.1	13.4	40.5	80.0	135.0	
	Residual	0.9	8.6	-4.5	-5.0		
Zone B	Count	4	46	118	285	453	
	Exp. Count	3.6	44.9	135.8	268.0	453.0	
	Residual	0.4	1.1	-17.8	16.4		
Zone C	Count	1	19	109	160	289	
	Exp. Count	2.3	28.7	86.7	171.4	289.0	
	Residual	-1.3	-9.7	22.3	-11.4		
Total	Count	7	87	263	520	877	
	Exp. Count	7.0	87.0	263.0	520.0	877.0	
Ch:	carrana Tacka 8	- Czyma wa obwi a M		Value	DF	As. Sig.	
CIII	-square Tests &	t Symmetric M	easures	varue	DF	(2-sided)	
	Pearson Chi-sq	uare		21.059 1	6	0.002	
	Likelihood Ratio				6	0.002	
	Phi			0.155	-	0.002	
	Cramer's V			0.110	-	0.002	
	N of valid cas	ses		877			

¹ 3 cells (25.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.08.

Table S9. TIRZ* Water efficiency Crosstabulation, Chi-square tests & Symmetric Measures: Pupils.

				Wat	er efficiency			
	TIRZ	Unimportant	Slightly Important	Fairly Important	Very Important	Extremely Important	I don't Know	Total
Zone A	Count	12	11	32	37	36	9	137
	Exp. Count	5.7	14.1	33.3	34.4	44.1	5.4	137.0
	Residual	6.3	-3.1	-1.3	2.6	-8.1	3.6	
Zone B	Count	18	47	104	123	151	15	458
	Exp. Count	19.1	47.1	111.3	114.9	147.5	18.1	458.0
	Residual	-1.1	-0.1	-7.3	8.1	3.5	-3.1	
Zone C	Count	7	33	79	62	98	11	290
	Exp. Count	12.1	29.8	70.5	72.7	93.4	11.5	290.0
	Residual	-5.1	3.2	8.5	-10.7	4.6	-0.5	
Total	Count	37	91	215	222	285	35	885
	Exp. Count	37.0	91.0	215.0	222.0	285.0	35.0	885.0
	Chi-	square Tests & S	ymmetric Me	asures		Value	df	As. Sig. (2-sided)
Pears	on Chi-square					18.769 ¹	10	0.043
	elihood Ratio					17.316	10	0.068
	Phi					0.146	-	0.043
C	ramer's V					0.103	-	0.043
n of	f valid cases					885		

 $^{^{1}}$ 0 cells (0.0%) has expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 5.42.

Table S10. PCA of Principals' school-environment desired outcomes: Rotated Component Matrix.

Desired Outcome	Component 1	Component 2	Component 3
A. Better air quality	0.230	0.183	0.904
B. Toxic-products/substances elimination	0.000	0.799	0.391
C. Better long-term maintenance	0.674	0.494	0.124
D. Improved thermal comfort (cool in summer, warm in winter)	0.608	0.645	-0.093
E. More efficient and enhanced lighting	0.501	0.698	0.137
F. Energy efficiency	0.434	0.694	0.106
G. Water efficiency	0.703	0.262	0.387
H. Improved acoustics/ Noise protection	0.775	0.272	0.244
I. More spacious, natural and attractive environment	0.694	0.213	0.274
J. Innovative use of whole school as teaching-tool	0.855	0.163	0.002

Table S11. PCA of Teachers' school-environment desired outcomes: Rotated Component Matrix.

Desired Outcome	Component 1	Component 2	Component 3
A. Better air quality	0.236	0.257	0.765
B. Toxic-products/substances elimination	0.122	0.054	0.865
C. Better long-term maintenance	0.649	0.334	0.145
D. Improved thermal comfort (cool in summer, warm in winter)	0.827	0.118	0.109
E. More efficient and enhanced lighting	0.859	0.079	0.141
F. Energy efficiency	0.349	0.784	0.034
G. Water efficiency	0.171	0.821	0.325
H. Improved acoustics/ Noise protection	0.650	0.340	0.383
I. More spacious, natural and attractive environment	0.680	0.440	0.116
J. Innovative use of whole school as teaching-tool	0.639	0.327	0.207

Table S12. PCA of Pupils' school-environment desired outcomes: Rotated Component Matrix.

Desired Outcome	Comp. 1	Comp. 2	Comp. 3	Comp. 4
A. Better air quality	0.304	0.114	0.734	0.084
B. Toxic-products/substances elimination	0.057	0.141	0.842	0.058
C. Better long-term maintenance	0.229	0.213	0.511	0.381
D. Improved thermal comfort (cool in summer, warm in winter)	0.768	0.022	0.260	0.117
E. More efficient and enhanced lighting	0.729	0.302	0.133	0.195
F. Energy efficiency	0.218	0.877	0.172	0.107
G. Water efficiency	0.168	0.887	0.166	0.113
H. Improved acoustics/ Noise protection	0.652	0.295	0.122	0.260
I. More spacious, natural and attractive environment	0.411	0.064	0.130	0.727
J. Innovative use of whole school as teaching-tool	0.098	0.131	0.100	0.888

Table S13. PCA of Parents'/Guardians' school-environment desired outcomes: Rotated Component Matrix.

Desired Outcome	Comp. 1	Comp. 2	Comp. 3
A. Better air quality	0.334	0.208	0.762
B. Toxic-products/substances elimination	0.174	0.142	0.855
C. Better long-term maintenance	0.553	0.353	0.116
D. Improved thermal comfort (cool in summer, warm in winter)	0.673	0.214	0.354
E. More efficient and enhanced lighting	0.702	0.358	0.297
F. Energy efficiency	0.317	0.857	0.202
G. Water efficiency	0.283	0.874	0.180
H. Improved acoustics/ Noise protection	0.736	0.273	0.208
I. More spacious, natural and attractive environment	0.813	0.121	0.176
J. Innovative use of whole school as teaching-tool	0.746	0.238	0.166