

Article

Application of *Trichoderma* spp. Complex and Biofumigation to Control Damping-Off of *Pinus radiata* D. Don Caused by *Fusarium circinatum* Nirenberg and O'Donnell

Carmen Morales-Rodríguez, Giorgia Bastianelli, MariaPia Aleandri, Gabriele Chilosi and Andrea Vannini * 

Department for Innovation in Biological, Agro-food and Forest Systems (DIBAF), Agriculture and Forestry Campus, University of Tuscia, Via S. Camillo de Lellis, 01100 Viterbo, Italy; moralescorreo@hotmail.com (C.M.-R.); giorgiabastianelli93@gmail.com (G.B.); aleandri@unitus.it (M.A.); chilosi@unitus.it (G.C.)

* Correspondence: vannini@unitus.it; Tel.: +39-320-4363554

Received: 1 June 2018; Accepted: 11 July 2018; Published: 12 July 2018



Abstract: The damping-off of *Pinus radiata* D. Don by *Fusarium circinatum* Nirenberg and O'Donnell represents a limiting factor in nursery production, while seed contamination with the pathogen is one of the main pathways of the pathogen movement between areas. Chemical and physical treatments have been applied with encouraging results and some limitations. In the present study, biocontrol of damping-off by *F. circinatum* is proposed with *Trichoderma* spp. complex showing complementary antagonism and biofumigation with commercial *Brassica carinata* A. Braun pellets with biocidal effect. Experiments were conducted in vitro and in vivo using batches of *P. radiata* seeds and two *F. circinatum* isolates. Results were highly positive, showing an excellent efficacy of a combination of *Trichoderma* spp. in a single preparation to reduce significantly the mortality of *P. radiata* seedlings in seeds bed experiment. Biofumigation with *B. carinata* pellets also showed efficacy in controlling the *F. circinatum* inoculum and reducing seed mortality in inoculated seed batches although showing some phytotoxic effect.

Keywords: biological control; pitch canker; *Pinus radiata*; antagonism; isothiocyanates

1. Introduction

Fusarium circinatum Nirenberg and O'Donnell is the causal agent of pitch canker, which affects *Pinus* spp. and *Pseudotsuga menziesii* (Mirb.) in many countries worldwide [1,2]. In trees, *F. circinatum* causes branch dieback and resinous cankers that progressively girdle the wood, causing branch death. Canker infection of multiple branches of the main stem can cause extensive dieback in the tree crown, which can lead to tree mortality [3]. This disease causes significant economic losses in mature plantations because of reduced growth, quality of the timber, and tree mortality [4]. In nurseries, pitch canker is also an important disease associated with damping-off, shoot and tip dieback, and death of seedlings [5]. *F. circinatum* may contaminate pine seeds superficially or infect them in a latent or active form [6–9]. The presence of *F. circinatum* as a superficial inoculum or internal infection is likely to affect the efficacy of the seed treatments, as surface disinfection may not be sufficient. The pathogen can also asymptotically infect the seedlings, although most of the infected seedlings will eventually develop the disease and die in nurseries [6]. Furthermore, the movement and trade of contaminated seed and seedlings is an important mechanism of the introduction of the pathogen into new areas [10,11], as it has been reported to occur in Chile [12], South Africa [12], and Spain [11]. Currently, the use of

pesticides or hot water seed treatments, with quarantine and hygiene practices, are the methods mostly used to mitigate the risk of disease emergence on seedlings in nurseries [9]. However, increasing restrictions on the utilization of synthetic pesticides and their effects on the environment and human health [13], have raised interest in alternative methods to control pitch canker in nurseries.

Fungi such as *Trichoderma* spp. are widely used as biological control agents (BCAs) because of their ability to reduce the incidence of disease caused by common plant pathogenic fungi [14]. The degree of protection provided by *Trichoderma* spp. can be as effective as fungicide applications [15]. *Trichoderma* spp. utilize mycoparasitism [16] and antibiosis [17] as biocontrol mechanisms. Various *Trichoderma* strains with complementary antagonistic effects can be mixed as a complex in the treatment of soil-borne plant pathogens. Aleandri et al. [18] suggested that a complex of *Trichoderma* spp. activates systemic resistance in the host plants. Martin-Pinto et al. [19] suggested that *Trichoderma* spp. might exert antagonistic effects on nursery diseases caused by *Fusarium* spp. In the case of *Pinus radiata* D. Don (Monterey Pine) and pitch canker, several studies have been conducted with a number of isolates of *Trichoderma* spp., in order to evaluate the efficacy in mitigating the impact of the pathogen [20,21]. Studies with *T. hamatum* (Bonord.) Bainier, *T. viride* Pers., and *T. atroviride* P. Karst, produced encouraging results, although antagonism was always variable depending on the pathogen genotype and host plant [20,22,23].

Biofumigation refers to the suppression of soil-borne pests and pathogens by biocidal compounds released by species of *Brassicaceae* when glucosinolates (GLs) in their tissues are hydrolyzed by the myrosinase enzyme [24]. Hydrolysis products of GLs, in particular, the isothiocyanates (ITCs), are known to have broad biocidal activity, including insecticidal, nematocidal, fungicidal, antibiotic, and phytotoxic effects [25]. Some ITCs are characterized by high volatility and could potentially be applied as gaseous treatments to fruit and vegetables through ‘biofumigation’, commonly used for soil-borne pest control [26]. The efficacy of ITCs has been demonstrated in post-harvest treatment against several pathogens, such as *Alternaria alternata* (Fr.) Keissl., *Botrytis cinerea* Pers., and *Colletotrichum acutatum* J. H. Simmonds on blueberry fruit [27], or *B. cinerea* on strawberries [28]. The ITCs released from the *Brassicaceae* species have been shown to be useful in controlling *Fusarium oxysporum* Schltdl., a soil-borne pathogen of conifer seedlings in the nursery [29].

The objectives of this work are (1) to investigate in vitro the mechanisms of the antagonisms of *Trichoderma* spp. against the pathogen *F. circinatum*; (2) to test the efficacy of a combination of *Trichoderma* spp. in reducing the incidence of damping-off by soil-borne *F. circinatum* in vivo in the seedbeds of *P. radiata*; (3) to conduct in vitro studies to monitor the effect of biofumigation with ITCs on the mycelial growth and conidia germination of *F. circinatum*; and (4) to evaluate the efficacy of biofumigation of *P. radiata* seed as a preventive treatment to mitigate the risk of pitch canker occurrence and the establishment of *F. circinatum* infections upon the movement and trade of contaminated seeds.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. *Fusarium* and *Trichoderma* Isolates

Two *F. circinatum* isolates were used in this study, FcCa1 and Fc015 [22], obtained from a branch and the xylem tissue of *P. radiata*, respectively, belonging to the collection of the Sustainable Forest Management Research Institute (University of Valladolid-INIA, Valladolid, Spain) in Cantabria (Spain).

As antagonists, four isolates of *Trichoderma* spp. belonging to the collection of the Department for Innovation in Biological, Agro-food, and Forest systems (DIBAF, Tuscia University, Viterbo, Italy) were used. The isolates used were *T. hamatum* T3, *T. harzianum* Rifai T6, *T. asperellum* Samuels, Lieckf. and Nirenberg T20, and *T. virens* (J. H. Mill., Giddens and A. A. Foster) Arx T21. The antagonism and induction of resistance of these isolates, alone or in combination, were previously reported by Aleandri et al. [18].

2.2. Microscopic Identification of *F. circinatum*

For morphological fungal identification, putative colonies of *F. circinatum* were grown on a Spezieller Nährstoffarmer Agar (SNA) medium to confirm the species. The plates were incubated for 7–10 days at 25 °C and then microscopically inspected for the formation of a coiled sterile hyphae, characteristic of *F. circinatum* [30].

2.3. Biocontrol of *F. circinatum* by *Trichoderma* spp.

2.3.1. In Vitro Assessment of Antagonistic Activity by Dual Culture Assay and Antibiosis by Non-Volatile and Volatile Metabolites

Dual culture inhibition experiments, as described by Morton and Stroube [31], were performed in order to determine the direct antagonistic activity, as well as the production of antifungal non-volatile and volatile metabolites of *Trichoderma* isolates against *F. circinatum*. Mycelial discs (6 mm in diameter) obtained from the peripheral region of the growing colonies of both the *Trichoderma* spp. and *F. circinatum* isolates were paired in all possible combinations on potato dextrose agar (PDA), approximately 1 cm from the edge of the 9 cm diameter Petri plates. The Petri plates inoculated with *F. circinatum* alone served as the control. The plates were incubated at 25 °C, and measurements were taken after five days. At the end of the incubation period, the radial growth was measured and the inhibition of the average radial growth was calculated in relation to the growth of the controls [32]. The experimental design was a randomized block with ten replicated plates per *Trichoderma*-pathogen combination, and the assay was repeated twice.

For the non-volatile metabolites assessment, each *Trichoderma* isolate was inoculated into 100 mL of potato dextrose broth (PDB) at 25 °C for 10 days. After incubation, the cultures were filtered through 0.22 mm filters (Merck Millipore, Darmstadt, Germany). Then, 1 mm aliquots of the filtrates were placed in sterile Petri plates, and 25 mL of PDA at 50 °C were added. After solidification, a mycelial disc of each pathogen isolate (6 mm in diameter), obtained from actively growing colonies, was placed in the center of the plate. The assay was repeated twice.

The effect on the *F. circinatum* mycelial growth of the volatile metabolites that were released by the *Trichoderma* isolates was evaluated according to Dennis and Webster [33]. The PDA plates were inoculated centrally with mycelial disks of *Trichoderma* isolates, and the lid of each plate was replaced with a dish containing PDA inoculated with each *F. circinatum* isolate. The two plates were taped together and the growth of *F. circinatum* was recorded after 72 h. In the controls, the *F. circinatum* isolates were cultured in the absence of the *Trichoderma* isolates. The assay was repeated twice.

For both the non-volatile and volatile metabolites tests, the percent inhibition was calculated in relation to the growth of the controls, as described by Lahlali and Hijri [32].

2.3.2. Biocontrol Activity against *F. circinatum* in the Seedbed of *P. radiata*

The seeds of *P. radiata* were artificially inoculated with conidial suspensions of *F. circinatum* isolates, using the procedure described by Ioos et al. [34]. Firstly, the seeds were surface disinfected by soaking for 10 min in a solution containing 0.37% NaHClO and 0.1% Tween-20, followed by complete drying on a sterile filter paper in a laminar flow hood. The conidial suspensions of isolates FcCa1 and Fc015 were adjusted to a concentration of 2×10^6 conidia mL⁻¹. The seeds were inoculated by soaking separately in a conidial suspension of each isolate amended with 0.1% Tween-20 for 10 min, after which they were air-dried overnight in a laminar flow hood. Two batches of 10 inoculated seeds per isolate were cultured on a Komada medium [35] after 24 h of imbibing the water. The *F. circinatum* was observed to grow from 100% of the seeds, confirming that soaking the inoculated seeds for the imbibition process would not remove all of the conidia from the seed surface.

A combination of the four isolates of *Trichoderma* spp. (T-complex) was used as the biocontrol inoculum. The substrate for the T-complex inoculum was prepared using millet kernels, which were imbibed with sterilized H₂O in 250 mL Erlenmeyer flasks (50 g of millet kernels each flask) and

autoclaved twice for 30 min at 121 °C. Each flask was inoculated with 10 agar discs (6 mm in diameter), cut from the edge of actively growing cultures of *Trichoderma* spp., and incubated for 10 days at 23 °C ± 2 °C. The four isolates of *Trichoderma* spp. were inoculated each in a distinct flask. The T-complex was prepared by mixing equal amounts of inoculum of each of the *Trichoderma* isolates.

The potting mix for the *P. radiata* seeds consisted of peat (grain size 10–30 mm, organic carbon 10% dry weight (dw), organic nitrogen 0.7 dw, organic matter 96% dw, and pH 3.5; Vigorplant, Lodi, Italy), pumice (1:1 containing 2 kg/m³ lime), and Osmocote 10-11-18 NPK (Scotts Italia Ltd., Treviso, Italy). Before use, the potting mix was sterilized twice at 121 °C for 15 min. The inoculation of the potting mix was carried out with 3 g Kg^{−1} of T-complex, corresponding to approximately 2.5×10^9 spores Kg^{−1}.

For the experiment, batches of forty seeds were placed in plastic seed trays. Each cell of the tray received 25 mL of potting mix and one seed. The treatments consisted of (1) uninoculated seeds in the potting mix without the T-complex; (2) uninoculated seeds plus potting mix with the T-complex; (3) inoculated seeds with *F. circinatum* in the potting mix without the T-complex; and (4) inoculated seeds with *F. circinatum* plus potting mix, with the T-complex. Treatments (3) and (4) were duplicated using each of the two *F. circinatum* isolates, FcCa1 and Fc015. Thus, a total of eight treatments per three replicates each, was considered. The seed trays were maintained in a growth chamber at 25 °C, with a photoperiod of 16 h of light and 8 h of darkness. The percentage of seeds' germination was recorded 15 days after sowing; the seedling mortality was recorded after two months, from the germinated/remaining seeds.

2.4. Biocontrol of *F. circinatum* with Biofence®

2.4.1. In Vitro Inhibition Tests

Brassica pellets, BioFence® (Triumph Italia SPA), were used as a seed treatment in this study, as it is a low cost, commercially available, easy to use, and environmentally friendly product. BioFence® is produced from *B. carinata* A. Braun selection ISCI 7, using a proprietary partial de-fatting method that limits glucosinolate and myrosinase degradation [36].

The inhibition tests were conducted using BioFence® in powder, according to the protocol described by Morales-Rodríguez et al. [37]. Six biofumigant concentrations (0, 0.850, 0.17, 0.34, 0.68, and 1.37 g L^{−1}) for radial growth assessment and seven concentrations (0, 0.125, 0.25, 0.5, 0.75, 1, and 2 g L^{−1}) for conidia germination assessment were compared at two different temperatures (10 and 20 °C) [37]. For each of the tests, there were five replicate plates per treatment combination. All of the in vitro experiments were repeated twice.

Mycelial Inhibition

Mycelial disks (6 mm) from actively growing colonies of the two *F. circinatum* isolates were placed in the center of a PDA plate (9 cm). All of the plates were incubated at 25 °C for 48 h before being exposed to the biofumigation, in order to exclude the initial growth lag phase.

Conidia Germination

The *F. circinatum* isolates were grown on PDA and incubated for 15 days at 25 °C in the dark. The conidial suspensions from each isolate were prepared by flooding the agar surface with approximately 15 mL of sterile distilled water (SDW) and scraping with a sterile spatula. The resulting suspension was filtered through two layers of cheesecloth and adjusted with SDW to 100 conidia mL^{−1}, and 1 mL aliquot spread over a Petri plate containing a Komada medium.

The biofumigant was placed on the lids of the plates and inoculated either with a mycelium plug or a conidia suspension. The plates with no biofumigant treatments were used as controls. The biofumigation was started by moistening the pellet with SDW (1 µL mg^{−1} of pellet). The plates were immediately sealed with Parafilm® and incubated inverted (lid on the bottom) in the dark at each temperature. To evaluate the efficacy of the biofumigation, the radial growth of the colonies

(mean of two perpendicular diameters) was recorded after six days. The lids of the plates with 100% inhibition were removed at the end of the experiment and were replaced with new lids without the biofumigant. The plates were maintained for another 30 days at 25 °C, in order to evaluate the fungicidal or fungistatic effect of the biofumigant. The conidia were treated with BioFence® for 24 h at each temperature (10 or 20 °C) after the biofumigant was removed, and the plates were incubated at 20 °C to permit the conidia germination. The number of colony forming units (CFUs) from the conidial suspensions was assessed after eight days. The plates were maintained for one month at 25 °C, to evaluate the fungicidal or fungistatic effect of the biofumigant. To calculate the percent inhibition, the radial growth/germination in the presence of the biofumigant material was expressed as the mean percentage of the growth/germination in the control plates.

2.4.2. Effect of Biofumigation Exposure Period on *F. circinatum* In Vitro

The exposure period to obtain a fungicidal effect of BioFence® on *F. circinatum* was studied by exposing the mycelium and conidia to biofumigant doses for 3 h, 6 h, 9 h, 24 h, and 48 h at 10 °C. The mycelial inhibition and conidia germination were assessed as reported in the previous paragraph. After the biofumigation, the lids of the plate were removed and substituted with a new one. The plates were incubated for one month at 20 °C so as to evaluate the fungicidal effect.

2.4.3. Effect of Biofumigation with Biofence® to Control *F. circinatum* on *P. radiata* Seeds

The *Pinus radiata* seeds were inoculated with conidial suspensions of *F. circinatum* isolates using the procedure described above [34]. The biofumigant and inoculated seeds were placed in hermetic plastic boxes (10 × 20 cm and 0.5 L in volume) and incubated for 24 h at 10 °C. The dose recommended by the manufacturer, 3 g L⁻¹, and a second, double dose (6 g L⁻¹), were tested. The biofumigation was started by moistening the pellet with SDW (1 µL mg⁻¹ of pellet). After 24 h of biofumigation, the seeds were imbibed in water for 24 h and germinated in a wet chamber. Four replicates, of 30 seeds per treatment, and the *F. circinatum* isolate were performed. The germination percentage of the seeds and the *F. circinatum* growth were evaluated after 15 days in the wet chamber.

2.5. Statistical Analyses

All of the analyses were carried out with GraphPad Prism version 7.00 (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA, USA) (<http://www.graphpad.com/>). The selection of the appropriate statistical analysis depended on the type of data obtained. In the absence of an interaction between the variables, two- or three-way ANOVA were chosen. In contrast, simpler datasets had to be considered, and the one-way ANOVA or unpaired *t*-test were chosen. The data homoscedasticity and departure from normality were tested with Bartlett's and D'Agostino-Pearson, respectively. Tukey's multiple comparison test was chosen as the post-hoc test. For the calculation of half maximal effective concentration (EC₅₀) the non-linear regression module 'agonist vs. response' of the GraphPad Prism version 7.00 was used where the 'dose' was the 'agonist', and the 'inhibition' was the 'response'. The model comparison was carried out with the extra sum of squares *F*-test function of the 'non-linear regression (curve fit)' module of GraphPad Prism version 7.00.

3. Results

3.1. Biocontrol of *F. circinatum* by *Trichoderma* spp.

3.1.1. In Vitro Assessment of Antagonistic Activity by Dual Culture Assay and Antibiosis by Non-Volatile and Volatile Metabolites

The results from the dual culture test demonstrated that all of the *Trichoderma* spp. inhibited the hyphal growth of *F. circinatum* (Figure 1). The percent inhibition of the hyphal growth by all of the *Trichoderma* isolates ranged between 43 and 60%, and 45 and 64% against isolates FcCa1 and Fc015,

respectively. The two-way ANOVA highlighted a significant interaction between the two factors, the *F. circinatum* isolates and the *Trichoderma* spp. isolates ($F = 9.36$, $p < 0.05$). Thus, a one-way ANOVA was run for each *F. circinatum* isolate. Significant differences between *Trichoderma* spp. on the extent of inhibition were found for each of the *F. circinatum* isolates (one-way ANOVA; $F = 3.47$ and 40.09 for FcCa1 and Fc015, respectively, $p < 0.05$). The *T. asperellum* (T20) showed the highest inhibition effect (%) (55.9 ± 0.4 and 58.9 ± 0.6 (SE) for FcCa1 and Fc015, respectively), while *T. virens* T21 was the least effective. Significant differences between the *F. circinatum* isolates (FcCa1 \times Fc015) on the extent of the inhibition by *Trichoderma* spp. were found for *T. viride*, *T. asperellum*, and *T. hamatum* (unpaired t -test, $p < 0.05$), but *T. harzianum* ($p > 0.05$). However, although significant, the differences in the inhibition of the *F. circinatum* isolates by *Trichoderma* spp. were small and difficult to interpret in a biological perspective. In the dual culture tests, all of the *Trichoderma* isolates displayed a faster growth than the *Fusarium* isolates (Figure 2). *T. asperellum* T20, *T. hamatum* T3, and *T. harzianum* T6 overgrew the *F. circinatum* isolates (Figure 2A,C,D,F), while *T. virens* T21 was separated from both of the *F. circinatum* isolates by a thick inhibition zone (Figure 2B,G). *F. circinatum* FcCa1 showed a pinkish phenotype when challenged with *T. virens* T21, *T. hamatum* T3, and *T. harzianum* T6 (Figure 2B–D). Both of the *F. circinatum* isolates, when challenged with *T. asperellum* T20, displayed an orangish phenotype (Figure 2A,C).




Figure 1. Percent inhibition of *F. circinatum* (FcCa1 and Fc015) mycelial growth in the dual test with *Trichoderma* spp. Different letters indicate significant differences at $p < 0.05$, according to Tukey's post-hoc test. Vertical bars indicate standard error (SE).




Figure 2. Dual culture tests between *F. circinatum* FcCa1 (A–E) and Fc015 (F–J). (A,F)—*T. asperellum* T20; (B,G)—*T. virens* T21; (C,H)—*T. hamatum* T3; (D,I)—*T. harzianum* T6; (E)—control culture of FcCa1; (J)—control culture of Fc015.

All of the *Trichoderma* spp. produced non-volatile inhibitory compounds, showing a variable inhibition activity of *F. circinatum* hyphal growth (Figure 3). The percent inhibition ranged from 17 to 37% and 15 to 41% for FcCa1 and Fc015, respectively. The two-way ANOVA highlighted a significant interaction between the two factors, the *F. circinatum* isolates and the *Trichoderma* spp. isolates ($F = 48.74$, $p < 0.05$). Thus, one-way ANOVA was run for each of the *F. circinatum* isolates. Significant differences were found between *Trichoderma* spp. in the inhibition of the hyphal growth of both of the *F. circinatum* isolates (one-way ANOVA; $F = 85.0$ and 44.3 for FcCa1 and Fc015, respectively, $p < 0.05$). Significant differences were found between the *F. circinatum* isolates on the extent of inhibition by all of the *Trichoderma* spp. (unpaired t -test, $p < 0.05$). In general, the *T. hamatum* T3 non-volatile compounds were the least effective against both of the *F. circinatum* isolates. *T. asperellum* T20 showed the highest inhibition activity against isolate FcCa1, and *T. virens* T21 and *T. harzianum* T6 were the most effective inhibitors against isolate Fc015 (Figure 3).




Figure 3. Percent inhibition of *F. circinatum* (FcCa1 and Fc015) mycelial growth by non-volatile compounds of *Trichoderma* spp. Different letters indicate significant differences at $p < 0.05$, according to Tukey's post-hoc test. Vertical bars indicate SE.

All of the *Trichoderma* spp. produced volatile compounds, showing a variable inhibition activity of *F. circinatum* hyphal growth (Figure 4). The percent inhibition of the hyphal growth by all of the *Trichoderma* isolates ranged between 17 and 22%, and 14 and 41% against isolates FcCa1 and Fc015, respectively. The two-way ANOVA highlighted a significant interaction between the two factors, *F. circinatum* and *Trichoderma* spp. ($F = 8.84$, $p < 0.05$). Thus, the one-way ANOVA was run for each *F. circinatum* isolate. Significant differences were found between the *F. circinatum* isolates on the extent of inhibition by *T. asperellum* and *T. hamatum* (unpaired t -test, $p < 0.05$). No significant differences were found between the *Trichoderma* spp. in the inhibition of mycelial growth of the *F. circinatum* isolate, FcCa1 (one-way ANOVA; $F = 1.02$, $p > 0.05$). However, significant differences were found against the isolate, Fc015 (one-way ANOVA; $F = 28.72$, $p < 0.05$). In general, the *T. hamatum* T3 volatile compounds were the least effective against both of the *F. circinatum* isolates, while the *T. asperellum* T20 and *T. virens* T21 showed the highest volatile inhibition (Figure 4).




Figure 4. Percent inhibition of *F. circinatum* (FcCa1 and Fc015) mycelial growth by volatile compounds of *Trichoderma* spp. Different letters indicate significant differences at $p < 0.05$, according to Tukey's post-hoc test. Vertical bars indicate SE.

3.1.2. Biocontrol Activity of *F. circinatum* in the Seedbed of *Pinus radiata*

No significant differences were found between the *F. circinatum* isolates; thus, the data were combined for the statistical analysis. Pine seeds inoculated with *F. circinatum* were significantly reduced in germination, in comparison with other treatments and the uninoculated control (one-way ANOVA; $F = 10.41$, $p < 0.05$) (Table 1). A white mycelium was observed on the surface of the non-germinated seeds. *F. circinatum* typical coiled sterile hyphae were observed at the light microscopy from the colonies grown on SNA, identical to those of the isolates FcCa1 and Fc015. None of the germinated pine seedlings died in the uninoculated controls, with or without the T-complex treatment. Significant differences were found in the percent of the mortality of the seedlings after two months of growth (one-way ANOVA; $F = 97.13$, $p < 0.05$). The treatment of the *Fusarium*-inoculated seed with the T-complex, reduced the mortality four times in comparison to the *Fusarium* inoculated, non-treated control (Table 1). Taking into account the germination and mortality frequencies both of the *F. circinatum* isolates, demonstrated to be highly pathogenic with an average of 96.87% incidence.

Table 1. Percentage of germination and mortality of *P. radiata* seeds inoculated with *F. circinatum* and treated with the T-complex (combination of *T. hamatum* T3, *T. harzianum* T6, *T. asperellum* T20, and *T. virens* T21; see Materials and Methods). Different letters in the columns indicate significant differences ($p < 0.05$), according to Tukey's post-hoc test. SE—standard error.

	% Germination \pm SE	% Seedling Mortality \pm SE ¹
Non-inoculated control	78.3 \pm 5.2 ^b	0
T-complex	66.6 \pm 5.2 ^b	0
<i>Fusarium</i> and T-complex	70.83 \pm 7.52 ^b	16.5 \pm 3.7 ^a
<i>Fusarium</i>	52.5 \pm 8.51 ^a	69 \pm 3.7 ^b

¹ Once the seed was germinated, it was classified as a seedling and the mortality was recorded.

3.2. Biocontrol of *F. circinatum* with BioFence®

3.2.1. In Vitro Inhibition Tests

The BioFence® treatments inhibited the mycelial growth of both of the *F. circinatum* isolates (Figure 5). The three-way ANOVA highlighted a significant interaction among the isolate \times temperature \times dose. Thus, the two-way ANOVA was run for each temperature (10 °C and 20 °C). The two-way ANOVAs

indicated that the inhibition was significantly different depending on the dose employed ($F = 37.13$, $p < 0.05$ at $10\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$; and $F = 847.09$, $p < 0.05$ at $20\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$), but not on the *F. circinatum* isolate ($F = 0.2$, $p > 0.05$ at $10\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$; and $F = 0.3$, $p > 0.05$ at $20\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$). No significant interactions ($p > 0.05$) were found between the factors *F. circinatum* isolate and BioFence[®] dose.




Figure 5. Percent inhibition of *F. circinatum* mycelial growth at two different temperatures ($10\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ and $20\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$) with the dose of BioFence[®] 0.0850, 0.17, 0.34, 0.68 and 1.37 g L^{-1} . No significant differences were found between the isolates FcCa1 and Fc015; thus, data were combined for the statistical analysis. Different letters indicate significant differences at $p < 0.05$ according to Tukey's post-hoc test. Vertical bars = SE.

The dose of 1.37 g L^{-1} was fungicidal as no growth was observed once the pellets were removed and the plates were incubated for 30 days. In contrast, 0.34 and 0.68 g L^{-1} were fungistatic at 10 and $20\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ respectively, causing 100% inhibition for 6 days after incubation.

Conidia germination was inhibited after the exposure to various doses of BioFence[®], and the numbers of colonies decreased with an increase in biofumigant dose (Figure 6). Three-way ANOVA highlighted a significant interaction among isolate \times temperature \times dose. Thus, two-way ANOVA was run for each temperature ($10\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ and $20\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$). Two-way ANOVAs indicated that the inhibition was significantly different depending on the dose employed ($F = 15.27$, $p < 0.0001$ at $10\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$; and $F = 28.05$, $p < 0.0001$ at $20\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$), but not on the *F. circinatum* isolate ($F = 3.35$, $p > 0.05$ at $10\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$; and $F = 0.06$, $p > 0.05$ at $20\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$). No significant interactions ($p > 0.05$) were found between the factors *F. circinatum* isolate and BioFence[®] dose.

Germination was completely inhibited at 0.75 , 1 , and 2 g L^{-1} at 8 days at all the temperatures studied.




Figure 6. Percent inhibition of *F. circinatum* conidia germination at two different temperatures (10 °C and 20 °C) with the dose of BioFence® 0.125, 0.25, 0.5, 0.75, 1, and 1.5 g L⁻¹. No significant differences were found between the isolates FcCa1 and Fc015; thus, data were combined for the statistical analysis. Different letters indicate significant differences at $p < 0.05$, according to Tukey's post-hoc test. Vertical bars = SE.

To study the effect of temperature on the biofumigation efficacy of the Biofence® pellets, the EC₅₀ was calculated for the inhibition of mycelial growth and conidia germination (Table 2). As no significant differences were found between the isolates, FcCa1 and Fc015, in response to the doses of Biofence®, the data of the two isolates were combined. Significant differences in inhibition of the mycelial growth were found between the two temperatures studied (extra sum-of-square *F*-test model comparison; $F = 399.4$, $p < 0.0001$) (Table 2). The EC₅₀ at 10 °C was almost ten times lower than at 20 °C (Table 2). Regarding the conidia germination, no differences in inhibition were found between the two temperature studied (extra sum-of-square *F*-test model comparison; $F = 1.22$, $p > 0.05$) (Table 2).

Table 2. Values of EC₅₀ (g L⁻¹) for the inhibition of mycelial growth and the conidia germination of *F. circinatum* by BioFence® at two different temperatures (10 °C and 20 °C). No significant differences were found between the isolates, FcCa1 and Fc015; thus, the data were combined for the statistical analysis.

	10 °C		20 °C		Models Comparison ²
	EC ₅₀ (g L ⁻¹ ± SE)	Goodness of Fit Rsqr (DF ¹)	EC ₅₀ (g L ⁻¹ ± SE)	Goodness of Fit Rsqr (DF)	
Mycelial growth	0.026 ± 0.003	0.98 (69)	0.22 ± 0.02	0.97 (69)	$F = 399.4$; $p < 0.0001$
Conidia germination	0.3 ± 0.1	0.76 (52)	0.47 ± 0.15	0.79 (53)	$F = 1.22$; $p > 0.05$

¹ DF—degrees of freedom; ² Extra sum-of-square *F*-test.

3.2.2. Fungicide Effect and Application Timing of Biofence® on *Fusarium circinatum* In Vitro

The mycelial growth and conidia germination of the two *F. circinatum* isolates responded variably to the application timing of BioFence®, although the differences were not significant. In the case of the mycelial growth, the concentration used (1, 1.5, and 2 g L⁻¹) was fungistatic at 3 h, 6 h, and 9 h, and fungicidal at 24 h and 48 h. In the case of conidia, the germination was inhibited with all of the BioFence® concentrations used, and all of the doses were fungicidal.

3.2.3. Biocontrol in *Pinus radiata* Seeds with BioFence®

The number of germinated seeds was recorded after 15 days in the wet chamber. The concentration recommended by the manufacturer (3 g L⁻¹) for the biofumigation had no effect on the *F. circinatum*. Therefore, only the results of the biofumigation with 6 g L⁻¹ are shown in Table 3. The biofumigation with BioFence® of the *Fusarium*-inoculated seeds had a significant effect on the germination, as the

number of seeds that were germinated doubled when treated. However, the treatment with BioFence[®] at 6 g L⁻¹ showed a significant phytotoxic effect on the non-inoculated seeds. A whitish mycelium of *F. circinatum* was observed on the surface of the *Fusarium*-inoculated control seeds or on the radicles of the germinated seeds. Coiled sterile hyphae were observed at light microscopy on the colonies grown on SNA, identical to those observed from the cultures of the isolates FcCa1 and Fc015. None of the non-inoculated control and BioFence[®]-treated seeds had mycelium present. No significant differences were found between the *F. circinatum* isolates, and the data were combined for the statistical analysis. One-way ANOVA was used, followed by the Tukey post-hoc test; significant differences were found between the treatments ($F = 17.28$, $p < 0.05$).

Table 3. Percentage of germination of the *P. radiata* seeds inoculated with *F. circinatum* isolates, FcCa1 and Fc015, and treated with BioFence[®]. Different letters in the column indicate significant differences ($p < 0.05$), according to Tukey's post-hoc test.

	% Germination \pm SE
Non-inoculated control	75 \pm 6.4 ^a
Non-inoculated control and BioFence [®]	51.7 \pm 11.38 ^b
Fusarium and BioFence [®]	47.8 \pm 11.7 ^b
Fusarium	22.8 \pm 7.2 ^c

4. Discussion

In this study, the antagonist effect of a selection of *Trichoderma* isolates, referred to as the T-complex, and the efficacy of the biofumigation with the commercial pellet BioFence[®], were investigated in vitro and in vivo, to control the pathogen *F. circinatum*.

The results obtained add *F. circinatum* to the list of pathogens successfully challenged with the T-complex isolates [18], reducing the disease levels of the host plants. The efficacy of *Trichoderma* spp. as biocontrol agents against *F. circinatum* is not obvious in the literature. In similar tests conducted on *P. radiata* seeds and seedlings, no effect of *T. harzianum* on the development of the disease was observed [38]. Compost from forest cleaning green waste and *T. asperellum* strain T34 reduced the incidence of damping-off by *F. circinatum* in *P. radiata* seedlings [39], although it was as not possible to discriminate whether such a reduction was due to the suppressiveness of the compost or to the antagonist effect of *T. asperellum*. In the dual tests carried out in the present study, all of the *Trichoderma* isolates inhibited the growth of the *F. circinatum* isolates by more than 50%, with *T. asperellum* being the most effective and *T. virens* being the least. A similar extent of inhibition in vitro was reported by Martínez-Álvarez et al. [22], using a different *Trichoderma* species, *T. viride*. In the non-volatile inhibition tests, *T. hamatum* was the least effective against both of the *F. circinatum* isolates; *T. asperellum* was the most effective against isolate FcCa1, whereas *T. virens* and *T. harzianum* were the most effective against isolate Fc015. With respect to the volatile metabolites, the four *Trichoderma* isolates showed the same inhibitory effect against the isolate FcCa1, whereas *T. asperellum* and *T. virens* were the most effective against the isolate Fc015. Thus, the genotype of the pathogen was a significant factor in determining the biocontrol efficiency of the *Trichoderma* spp. isolates. As reported by Howell [16], the biocontrol mechanisms used by the *Trichoderma* species are varied and complex, and their efficacy varies among species, in relation to the pathogens and host. The variability in the efficacy of a single isolate (or species) of *Trichoderma* to control *P. radiata* damping-off by *F. circinatum* has been reported by Martínez-Álvarez et al. [22]. In their studies, the reduction of the seedling mortality by *T. viride* was conditioned by the pathogen genotype and inoculum concentration of the biocontrol agent. More recently, Martín-García et al. [23], demonstrated a variable efficiency of *Trichoderma* spp. (i.e., *T. viride* and *T. atroviride*) in reducing the post-emergence mortality of the seedlings of *Pinus sylvestris* L., *P. mugo* Turra, and *Picea abies* (L.) Karst, at different doses of *F. circinatum* inoculum. In the present study, the *Trichoderma* isolates exerted a variable inhibition of *F. circinatum* mycelial

growth by direct contact, and volatile and non-volatile compounds, indicating that the mechanisms involved in antagonism are different and often complementary. In the *in vivo* experiments, the application of *Trichoderma* spp. combined in a single preparation resulted in a significant reduction in the seedling mortality, with no significant effect of the pathogen genotype. These results support the choice of a combination of antagonists, instead of single ones, to control the *F. circinatum* in the nursery. Aleandri et al. [18] obtained a better control of lavender root rot caused by *Rhizoctonia solani* J. G. Kühn, *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* (Lib.) de Bary, and *Phytophthora nicotianae* Breda de Haan, with the application, in a single preparation, of the *Trichoderma* isolates, compared with each isolate applied alone. Roberts et al. [40] speculated that a combination of biocontrol agents is more likely to have a greater variety of traits responsible for the suppression of one or more pathogens, and is likely to have these traits expressed over a wide range of environmental conditions.

In the *in vitro* test with BioFence® pellets, two variables were investigated, dose and temperature, to determine the efficacy of the biofumigation and its potential for routine applications in nurseries. The suppression of *F. circinatum* by BioFence® confirmed the results obtained in previous studies with other *Fusarium* species, such as *Fusarium oxysporum* [29,41], *F. graminearum* Schwabe [42], and *F. culmorum* (Wm.G. Sm.) Sacc. [43]. The treatment can be lethal to *F. circinatum* mycelium and even to asexual spores. The EC₅₀ results showed a better efficacy of the conidia germination inhibition or death at 10 °C than at 20 °C. The effect of temperature on the biofumigation efficacy against different organisms has been reported in several studies, but with contrasting results. In a greenhouse experiment, Steffek et al. [43] showed that the efficacy of the biofumigation against *Verticillium dahliae* Kleb. and *F. culmorum* was temperature independent between 8 °C and 25 °C. Matthiessen and Shackleton [44] reported how the EC₉₀ of different purified ITCs and *Brassica* tissues against white-fringed weevil larvae decreased as the temperature rose from 5 °C to 20 °C. In the case of the control of *Phytophthora cinnamomi* Rand. with BioFence®, the EC₅₀ increased as temperature increased [37]. In the studies on the Allyl-ITC (AITC) production by degradation of *Brassica juncea* (L.) Czern. tissues, Prince et al. [45] observed how the concentration of AITC is constant at 15 °C overtime, with no significant differences over 24 h. However, at 30 and 45 °C, the concentration of the AITC increased between 0.25 h and 4 h, and then decreased between 4 h and 24 h. The authors suggested that the increase in temperature enhanced the volatilization of the AITC. Lim and Tung [46] reported the negative relationship of the permeability coefficients with the temperature for AITC. The higher efficacy at the lower temperatures of biofumigation with BioFence® pellets might be explained by a better solubilization of the ITCs into fungal tissues [37].

While the temperature affected the efficacy of BioFence® against *F. circinatum* at low concentrations, it had no effect at the fungicidal concentration of 1.37 and 0.75 g/L. This result has practical implications, as it enables the use of biofumigation at lethal concentrations across a wide temperature range, against vegetative and reproductive structures of the pathogen.

The mycelium was more sensible than the conidia to the biofumigation with BioFence®, having a lower EC₅₀. Previous studies also evidenced a lower tolerance of mycelium of *R. solani* and *S. sclerotiorum* to the biofumigation than to the sclerotia [47,48]. These observations are consistent with the speculation of Greenhalgh and Mitchell [49], that the activity of propenyl isothiocyanate toward *P. nicotianae* mycelium should be more deleterious than to sporangia, because the first is an active and the latter is an inactive stage in the fungal development. On the contrary, Smolinski et al. [29] found that the conidial and chlamydospore germination of *F. oxysporum* was highly susceptible to inactivation by isothiocyanates, while the effect on the mycelial growth was less relevant.

Exposition to biofumigation treatment to obtain a lethal effect on *F. circinatum* vegetative and reproductive structures represents an additional factor to keep in consideration. In the present study, the lethal effect was achieved *in vitro* at 24 h. In the post-harvest studies, different times of exposition have been investigated. On pears fruit, the best control of *Penicillium expansum* Link, causing blue mold, was achieved by exposing the fruits at a dose of 5 mg L⁻¹ allyl-isothiocyanates-enriched atmosphere for 24 h. [50]; Glucoraphanin ITC showed the highest effectiveness at 6 d at 20 °C against *Monilinia laxa* (Aderh. and Ruhland) Honey, *Botrytis cinerea*, and *Mucor piriformis* A. Fisch. [51].

The allyl-isothiocyanates (AITC) treatment significantly reduced the losses caused by the *B. cinerea* on the strawberry fruit, at a dose of 0.1 mg L^{-1} for 4 h [28]. It is important to mention that these studies have been used in synthetic AITC enriched atmospheres. In the present study, the *B. carinata* pellet was used, where the addition of water activates the myrosinases that catalyze the production of ITCs among other compounds.

Pine seeds are an important pathway for the movement of the causal agent of pitch canker [52]. Several chemicals, including fungicides, and physical methods have been evaluated for efficacy to control the *F. circinatum* on the *P. radiata* seeds [9,53]. Muñoz et al. [53] reported that chlorothalonil treatments effectively reduced *F. circinatum* incidence on inoculated *P. radiata* seeds, but fludioxonil, metiram, mefenoxam, pyraclostrobin, tebuconazole, thiophanate-methyl, and thiram were considered to be ineffective. Berbegal et al. [9] reported that the treatments with hydrogen peroxide, pyraclostrobin, fluazinam, and imazalil increased the percent of the seed emergence. In the same study, hot water and hydrogen peroxide treatments significantly reduced the *F. circinatum* contamination on the *P. radiata* seeds, with an overall disease incidence lower than 0.8% on the seedlings. In the present study, the biofumigation of *P. radiata* seeds with BioFence® for 24 h, had a fungicide effect on the conidia and increased, up to 100%, the number of germinated seeds compared to the inoculated controls, even if a significant detrimental effect on the seed viability was recorded on the uninoculated controls. Although the phytotoxic effect of ITC is reported in the literature [25], other co-factors, such as the pine species, and the age and vigor of the seed lots, can account for the seed viability after chemical and physical treatments [54,55]. Thus, further studies including more variables are necessary to optimize the use of ITCs and to minimize the risk of phytotoxicity. However, biofumigation with BioFence®, compared to the other physical and chemical seed treatments, can still be considered a valuable alternative, specifically in the consideration of the ability of the volatile ITCs, to penetrate into the tissues to control the endophytic infections, as evidenced in the studies on the post-harvest treatment [28]. However, this aspect was not considered in the present study, and certainly deserves to be investigated.

In conclusion, the use of the T-complex to limit the *P. radiata* seedling damping-off when being added to the potting mix and of BioFence® in seed disinfection, provide a valid alternative for the production of high-quality nursery plant and seed lots, combining efficacy and environmental sustainability. The use of non-infected seeds and the control of the disease in nurseries are the most effective means to prevent the introduction of *F. circinatum* into areas currently free of the disease. Finally, the release of new protocols and a method for the sustainable prevention of seed contamination must be carefully considered in the phytosanitary measures stated by National Plant Protection Organizations (NPPO's) and member states, in the case of regulated pests, such as *F. circinatum* in Europe [56].

Author Contributions: C.M.-R. developed the conceptual ideas, designed the study, conducted the data elaboration, and wrote the paper; G.B. and M.A. developed conceptual ideas, design the study, conducted measurements and data analysis in lab experiments; G.C. contributed in the design of the experiment with the T-complex and in the discussion section; and A.V. supervised the study and wrote the paper.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Acknowledgments: The study was carried out within the framework of the COST action FP1406 Pine pitch canker-strategies for management of *Gibberella circinata* in greenhouses and forests (PINESTRENGTH). Carmen Morales-Rodríguez was awarded a Short Term Scientific Mission within the PINESTRENGTH framework, to perform the experiment in the Laboratory of DIBAF, the University of Tuscia (Italy).

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Ganley, R.J.; Watt, M.S.; Manning, L.; Iturrutxa, E. A global climatic risk assessment of pitch canker disease. *Can. J. For. Res.* **2009**, *39*, 2246–2256. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
2. Gordon, T.R.; Swett, C.L.; Wingfield, M.J. Management of *Fusarium* diseases affecting conifers. *Crop Prot.* **2015**, *73*, 28–39. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
3. Evira-Recuencho, M.; Iturrutxa, E.; Raposo, R. Impact of seed transmission on the infection and development of pitch canker disease in *Pinus radiata*. *Forests* **2015**, *6*, 3353–3368. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
4. Dwinell, L.D.; Barrows-Broadbent, J.B.; Kuhlman, E.G. Pitch canker: A disease complex. *Plant Dis.* **1985**, *69*, 270–276. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
5. Viljoen, A.; Wingfield, M.; Marasas, W. First report of *Fusarium subglutinans* f. sp. *pini* on pine seedlings in South Africa. *Plant Dis.* **1994**, *78*, 309–312. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
6. Storer, A.; Gordon, T.; Clark, S. Association of the pitch canker fungus, *Fusarium subglutinans* f. sp. *pini* with Monterey pine seeds and seedlings in California. *Plant Pathol.* **1998**, *47*, 649–656. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
7. Huang, J.; Kuhlman, E. Fungi associated with damping-off of slash pine seedlings in Georgia. *Plant Dis.* **1990**, *74*, 27–30. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
8. Wingfield, M.; Hammerbacher, A.; Ganley, R.; Steenkamp, E.; Gordon, T.; Wingfield, B.; Coutinho, T. Pitch canker caused by *Fusarium circinatum*—A growing threat to pine plantations and forests worldwide. *Australas. Plant Pathol.* **2008**, *37*, 319–334. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
9. Berbegal, M.; Landeras, E.; Sánchez, D.; Abad-Campos, P.; Pérez-Sierra, A.; Armengol, J. Evaluation of *Pinus radiata* seed treatments to control *Fusarium circinatum*: Effects on seed emergence and disease incidence. *For. Pathol.* **2015**, *45*, 525–533. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
10. Garbelotto, M. Molecular analyses to study invasions by forest pathogens: Examples from Mediterranean ecosystems. *Phytopathol. Mediterr.* **2009**, *47*, 183–203.
11. Berbegal, M.; Pérez-Sierra, A.; Armengol, J.; Grünwald, N. Evidence for multiple introductions and clonality in Spanish populations of *Fusarium circinatum*. *Phytopathology* **2013**, *103*, 851–861. [\[CrossRef\]](#) [\[PubMed\]](#)
12. Carey, W.; Oak, S.; Enebak, S. Pitch canker ratings of longleaf pine clones correlate with *Fusarium circinatum* infestation of seeds and seedling mortality in containers. *For. Pathol.* **2005**, *35*, 205–212. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
13. Du Fretay, G.; Fritsch, F. Alterbromide, dissemination of sustainable alternatives to methyl bromide in soil disinfestation and in post-harvest. *Acta Hort.* **2009**, *883*, 113–116. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
14. Cooney, J.; Lauren, D. *Trichoderma*/pathogen interactions: Measurement of antagonistic chemicals produced at the antagonist/pathogen interface using a tubular bioassay. *Lett. Appl. Microbiol.* **1998**, *27*, 283–286. [\[CrossRef\]](#) [\[PubMed\]](#)
15. Khan, M.R.; Khan, S.M.; Mohiddin, F.A. Biological control of *Fusarium* wilt of chickpea through seed treatment with the commercial formulation of *Trichoderma harzianum* and/or *Pseudomonas fluorescens*. *Phytopathol. Mediterr.* **2004**, *43*, 20–25.
16. Howell, C. Mechanisms employed by *Trichoderma* species in the biological control of plant diseases: The history and evolution of current concepts. *Plant Dis.* **2003**, *87*, 4–10. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
17. Sivasithamparan, K.; Ghisalberti, E. Secondary metabolism in *Trichoderma*. In *Trichoderma and Gliocladium. Volume 1: Basic Biology, Taxonomy and Genetics*; CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL, USA, 2014.
18. Aleandri, M.P.; Chilos, G.; Bruni, N.; Tomassini, A.; Vettraino, A.M.; Vannini, A. Use of nursery potting mixes amended with local *Trichoderma* strains with multiple complementary mechanisms to control soil-borne diseases. *Crop Prot.* **2015**, *67*, 269–278. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
19. Martín-Pinto, P.; Pajares, J.; Nanos, N.; Diez, J. Site and seasonal influences on the fungal community on leaves and stems of *Pinus* and *Quercus* seedlings in forest nurseries. *Sydowia* **2004**, *56*, 243–257.
20. Hohmann, P.; Jones, E.E.; Hill, R.A.; Stewart, A. Understanding *Trichoderma* in the root system of *Pinus radiata*: Associations between rhizosphere colonization and growth promotion for commercially grown seedlings. *Fungal. Biol.* **2011**, *115*, 759–767. [\[CrossRef\]](#) [\[PubMed\]](#)
21. Moraga-Suazo, P.; Opazo, A.; Zaldúa, S.; González, G.; Sanfuentes, E. Evaluation of *Trichoderma* spp. and *Clonostachys* spp. strains to control *Fusarium circinatum* in *Pinus radiata* seedlings. *Chil. J. Agric. Res.* **2011**, *71*, 412–417. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
22. Martínez-Álvarez, P.; Alves-Santos, F.M.; Diez, J.J. In vitro and in vivo interactions between *Trichoderma viride* and *Fusarium circinatum*. *Silva Fenn.* **2012**, *46*, 303–316. [\[CrossRef\]](#)

23. Martín-García, J.; Paraschiv, M.; Flores-Pacheco, J.A.; Chira, D.; Díez, J.J.; Fernández, M. Susceptibility of several northeastern conifers to *Fusarium circinatum* and strategies for biocontrol. *Forests* **2017**, *8*, 318. [[CrossRef](#)]
24. Sarwar, M.; Kirkegaard, J. Biofumigation potential of brassicas: II. Effect of environment and ontogeny on glucosinolate production and implications for screening. *Plant Soil* **1998**, *201*, 91–101. [[CrossRef](#)]
25. Brown, P.D.; Morra, M.J. Control of soil-borne plant pests using glucosinolate-containing plants. *Adv. Agron.* **1997**, *61*, 168–231.
26. Kirkegaard, J.A.; Sarwar, M.; Matthiessen, J.N. Assessing the biofumigation potential of crucifers. *Acta Hortic.* **1998**, *459*, 105–112. [[CrossRef](#)]
27. Mehra, L.; MacLean, D.; Shewfelt, R.; Smith, K.; Scherm, H. Effect of postharvest biofumigation on fungal decay, sensory quality, and antioxidant levels of blueberry fruit. *Postharvest Biol. Technol.* **2013**, *85*, 109–115. [[CrossRef](#)]
28. Ugolini, L.; Martini, C.; Lazzeri, L.; D'Avino, L.; Mari, M. Control of postharvest grey mould (*Botrytis cinerea* Pers.: Fr.) on strawberries by glucosinolate-derived allyl-isothiocyanate treatments. *Postharvest Biol. Technol.* **2014**, *90*, 34–39. [[CrossRef](#)]
29. Smolinska, U.; Morra, M.; Knudsen, G.; James, R. Isothiocyanates produced by *Brassicaceae* species as inhibitors of *Fusarium oxysporum*. *Plant Dis.* **2003**, *87*, 407–412. [[CrossRef](#)]
30. Nirenberg, H.I.; O'Donnell, K. New *Fusarium* species and combinations within the *Gibberella fujikuroi* species complex. *Mycologia* **1998**, *90*, 434–458. [[CrossRef](#)]
31. Morton, D.; Stroube, W. Antagonistic and stimulatory effects of soil microorganisms upon *Sclerotium rolfsii*. *Phytopathology* **1955**, *45*, 417–420.
32. Lahlali, R.; Hijri, M. Screening, identification and evaluation of potential biocontrol fungal endophytes against *Rhizoctonia solani* AG3 on potato plants. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* **2010**, *311*, 152–159. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
33. Dennis, C.; Webster, J. Antagonistic properties of species-groups of *Trichoderma*: II. Production of volatile antibiotics. *Trans. Br. Mycol. Soc.* **1971**, *57*, 41–44. [[CrossRef](#)]
34. Ioos, R.; Fourrier, C.; Iancu, G.; Gordon, T.R. Sensitive detection of *Fusarium circinatum* in pine seed by combining an enrichment procedure with a real-time polymerase chain reaction using dual-labeled probe chemistry. *Phytopathology* **2009**, *99*, 582–590. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
35. EPPO. Pm 7/91(1): *Gibberella circinata*. *EPPO Bull.* **2009**, *39*, 298–309.
36. Lazzeri, L.; Curto, G.; Leoni, O.; Dallavalle, E. Effects of glucosinolates and their enzymatic hydrolysis products via myrosinase on the root-knot nematode *Meloidogyne incognita* (Kofoid et White) chitw. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2004**, *52*, 6703–6707. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
37. Morales-Rodríguez, C.; Vettraino, A.; Vannini, A. Efficacy of biofumigation with *Brassica carinata* commercial pellets (BioFence®) to control vegetative and reproductive structures of *Phytophthora cinnamomi*. *Plant Dis.* **2016**, *100*, 324–330. [[CrossRef](#)]
38. Martínez-Álvarez, P.; Alves-Santos, F.M.; Díez, J.J.J. Efecto de *Trichoderma harzianum* sobre el damping off causado por *Fusarium circinatum* en plántulas de *Pinus radiata*. In Proceedings of the XV Congreso de la Sociedad Española de Fitopatología, Vitoria-Gasteiz, Spain, 27 September–1 October 2010.
39. López-López, N.; Segarra, G.; Vergara, O.; López-Fabal, A.; Trillas, M.I. Compost from forest cleaning green waste and *Trichoderma asperellum* strain t34 reduced incidence of *Fusarium circinatum* in *Pinus radiata* seedlings. *Biol. Control* **2016**, *95*, 31–39. [[CrossRef](#)]
40. Roberts, D.P.; Lohrke, S.M.; Meyer, S.L.; Buyer, J.S.; Bowers, J.H.; Baker, C.J.; Li, W.; de Souza, J.T.; Lewis, J.A.; Chung, S. Biocontrol agents applied individually and in combination for suppression of soilborne diseases of cucumber. *Crop Prot.* **2005**, *24*, 141–155. [[CrossRef](#)]
41. Gilardi, G.; Moizio, M.; Gullino, M.L.; Garibaldi, A. Use of different soil amendments to control fusarium wilt of lettuce. *Prot. Delle Colt.* **2013**, *2*, 74.
42. Sarwar, M.; Kirkegaard, J.; Wong, P.; Desmarchelier, J. Biofumigation potential of brassicas. 3. *In vitro* toxicity of isothiocyanates to soil-borne fungal pathogens. *Plant Soil (Netherlands)* **1998**, *201*, 103–112. [[CrossRef](#)]
43. Steffek, R.; Spornberger, A.; Stich, K.; Lazzeri, L. The use of brassicas to reduce inoculum potential of soil-borne pests in Austrian horticulture. In Proceedings of the International Symposium on Horticulture in Europe, Vienna, Austria, 17–20 February 2008.
44. Matthiessen, J.N.; Shackleton, M.A. Biofumigation: Environmental impacts on the biological activity of diverse pure and plant-derived isothiocyanates. *Pest Manag. Sci.* **2005**, *61*, 1043–1051. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]

45. Price, A.J.; Charron, C.S.; Saxton, A.M.; Sams, C.E. Allyl isothiocyanate and carbon dioxide produced during degradation of *Brassica juncea* tissue in different soil conditions. *HortScience* **2005**, *40*, 1734–1739.
46. Lim, L.T.; Tung, M.A. Vapor pressure of allyl isothiocyanate and its transport in pvdc/pvc copolymer packaging film. *J. Food Sci.* **1997**, *62*, 1061–1062. [[CrossRef](#)]
47. Yulianti, T.; Sivasithamparam, K.; Turner, D. Response of different forms of propagules of *Rhizoctonia solani* AG2-1 (ZG5) exposed to the volatiles produced in soil amended with green manures. *Ann. Appl. Biol.* **2006**, *148*, 105–111. [[CrossRef](#)]
48. Kurt, Ş.; Güneş, U.; Soylu, E.M. In vitro and in vivo antifungal activity of synthetic pure isothiocyanates against *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum*. *Pest Manag. Sci.* **2011**, *67*, 869–875. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
49. Greenhalgh, J.; Mitchell, N. The involvement of flavor volatiles in the resistance to downy mildew of wild and cultivated forms of *Brassica oleracea*. *New Phytol.* **1976**, *77*, 391–398. [[CrossRef](#)]
50. Mari, M.; Leoni, O.; Iori, R.; Cembali, T. Antifungal vapor-phase activity of allyl-isothiocyanate against *Penicillium expansum* on pears. *Plant Pathol.* **2002**, *51*, 231–236. [[CrossRef](#)]
51. Mari, M.; Iori, R.; Leoni, O.; Marchi, A. Bioassays of glucosinolate-derived isothiocyanates against postharvest pear pathogens. *Plant Pathol.* **1996**, *45*, 753–760. [[CrossRef](#)]
52. Gordon, T.; Storer, A.; Wood, D. The pitch canker epidemic in California. *Plant Dis.* **2001**, *85*, 1128–1139. [[CrossRef](#)]
53. Muñoz López, C.; Cuervo Sánchez, E.; Ampudia Díaz, M.; Gastón González, A.; Peñuelas Rubira, J.; Iglesias Sauce, S.; Herrero Sierra, N. Control químico de *Fusarium circinatum* Nirenberg & O'Donnell en semillas del género *Pinus*. In Proceedings of the 5° Congreso Forestal Español, Ávila, Spain, 21–25 September 2009.
54. Baker, R. Thermotherapy of planting material. *Phytopathology* **1962**, *52*, 1244–1255.
55. Barnett, J.P. Sterilizing southern pine seeds with peroxide. *Tree Plant. Notes* **1976**, *27*, 17–19.
56. Vettraino, A.M.; Potting, R.P.J.; Raposo, R. EU legislation on forest plant health: An overview with a focus on *Fusarium circinatum*. *Forests*. in press.



© 2018 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).