Extending the Applicability of the MMN-HSS Method for Solving Systems of Nonlinear Equations under Generalized Conditions
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1. Introduction

Let \( F : D \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n \) be Gateaux-differentiable and \( D \) be an open set. Let also \( x_0 \in D \) be a point at which \( F'(x) \) is continuous and positive definite. Suppose that \( F'(x) = H(x) + S(x) \), where \( H(x) = \frac{1}{2}(F'(x) + F'(x)^*) \) and \( S(x) = \frac{1}{2}(F'(x) - F'(x)^*) \) are the Hermitian and Skew-Hermitian parts of the Jacobian matrix \( F'(x) \), respectively. Many problems can be formulated like the equation

\[
F(x) = 0,
\]

using mathematical modelling [1–22]. The solution \( x^* \) of Equation (1) can rarely be found in explicit form. This is why most solution methods of Equation (1) are usually iterative. In particular, Hermitian and Skew-Hermitian Splitting (HSS) methods have been shown to be very efficient in solving large sparse non-Hermitian positive definite systems of linear equations [11,12,17,19,22].

We study the semilocal convergence of the multi-step modified Newton-HSS (MMN-HSS) method defined by

\[
\begin{align*}
x_k^{(0)} &= x_k, \\
x_k^{(i)} &= x_k^{(i-1)} - \left( I - T(\alpha;x)^{(i)} \right) F(x_k)^{-1} F(x_k^{(i-1)}), & 1 \leq i \leq m, \\
x_{k+1} &= x_k^{(m)}, & i = 1, 2, \ldots, m, & k = 0, 1, \ldots,
\end{align*}
\]
where \( x_0 \in D \) is an initial point, \( T(a; x) = (aI + S(x))^{-1}(aI - H(x)) (aI + H(x))^{-1}(aI - H(x)) \), \( l_k \) is a sequence of positive integers, and \( a \) and \( tol \) are positive constants

\[
\|F(x_k)\| \leq tol\|F(x_0)\|
\]

and

\[
\|F(x_k) + F'(x_k)d_k\| \leq \eta_k\|F(x_k)\|, \quad \eta_k \in [0, 1), \quad \eta \leq 1. 
\]

The local and semilocal convergence analysis of method (2) was given in [19] using Lipschitz continuity conditions on \( F \). Later, we extended the local convergence of method (2) using generalized Lipschitz continuity conditions [8].

In the present study, we show that the results in [19] can be extended as the ones for MN-HSS in [8]. Using generalized Lipschitz-type conditions, we present a new semilocal convergence analysis with advantages (A):

(a) Larger radius of convergence,
(b) More precise error estimates on \( \|x_k - x_\ast\| \),
(c) The new results can be used in cases where the old ones in [19] cannot be used to solve Equation (1).

The advantages (A) are obtained under the same computational cost as in [19]. Hence, the applicability of the MMN-HSS method is extended.

The rest of the paper is structured as follows: Section 2 contains the semilocal convergence analysis of the MMN-HSS method. Section 3 contains the numerical examples.

2. Semilocal Convergence

The following hypotheses shall be used in the semilocal convergence analysis (H):

(H1) Let \( x_0 \in \mathbb{C}^n \). There exist \( \beta_1 > 0, \beta_2 > 0, \gamma > 0 \) and \( \mu > 0 \) such that

\[
\|H(x_0)\| \leq \beta_1, \quad \|S(x_0)\| \leq \beta_2, \quad \|F'(x_0)^{-1}\| \leq \gamma, \quad \|F(x_0)\| \leq \mu.
\]

(H2) There exist \( v_1 : [0, +\infty) \to \mathbb{R}, v_2 : [0, +\infty) \to \mathbb{R}, \) continuous and nondecreasing functions with \( v_1(0) = v_2(0) = 0 \) such that, for each \( x, y \in D \),

\[
\|H(x) - H(x_0)\| \leq v_1\|x - x_0\|, \\
\|S(x) - S(x_0)\| \leq v_2\|x - x_0\|.
\]

Define functions \( w \) and \( v \) by \( w(t) = w_1(t) + w_2(t) \) and \( v(t) = v_1(t) + v_2(t) \).

Let \( r_0 = \sup\{t \geq 0 : \gamma v(t) < 1\} \)

and set

\( D_0 = D \cap U(x_0, r_0) \).

(H3) There exist \( w_1 : [0, +\infty) \to \mathbb{R}, w_2 : [0, +\infty) \to \mathbb{R}, \) continuous and nondecreasing functions with \( w_1(0) = w_2(0) = 0 \) such that, for each \( x, y \in D_0 \),

\[
\|H(x) - H(y)\| \leq w_1\|x - y\|, \\
\|S(x) - S(y)\| \leq w_2\|x - y\|.
\]

We need the following auxiliary results for the semilocal convergence analysis that follows.
Lemma 1. Under the (H) hypotheses, the following items hold for each \( x, y \in D_0 \):

\[
\|F'(x) - F'(y)\| \leq \omega(\|x - y\|), \tag{3}
\]

\[
\|F'(x) - F'(x_0)\| \leq \omega(\|x - y\|), \tag{4}
\]

\[
\|F'(x)\| \leq \omega(\|x - y\|) + \beta_1 + \beta_2, \tag{5}
\]

\[
\|F'(x) - F(y) - F'(y)(x - y)\| \leq \int_0^1 \omega(\|x - y\|\xi)d\xi\|x - y\|, \tag{6}
\]

and

\[
\|F'(x)^{-1}\| \leq \frac{1 - \gamma \omega(\|x - x_0\|)}{\gamma}, \tag{7}
\]

Proof. By hypothesis \((H_3)\) and \(F'(x) = H(x) + S(x)\), we have that

\[
\|F'(x) - F'(y)\| = \|\left( H(x) - H(y) \right) + \left( S(x) - S(y) \right) \|
\]

\[
\leq \|H(x) - H(y)\| + \|S(x) - S(y)\|
\]

\[
\leq \omega_1(\|x - y\|) + \omega_2(\|x - y\|) = \omega(\|x - y\|)
\]

and by \((H_2)\)

\[
\|F'(x) - F'(x_0)\| \leq \|H(x) - H(x_0)\| + \|S(x) - S(x_0)\|
\]

\[
\leq \omega_1(\|x - x_0\|) + \omega_2(\|x - x_0\|)
\]

\[
= \omega(\|x - x_0\|),
\]

which show inequalities (3) and (4), respectively.

Then, we get, by \((H_1)\) and \((H_3)\),

\[
\|F'(x)\| = \left\| \left( F'(x) - F(x_0) \right) + F'(x_0) \right\|
\]

\[
\leq \|F'(x) - F'(x_0)\| + \|H(x_0)\| + \|S(x_0)\|
\]

\[
\leq \omega(\|x - x_0\|) + \beta_1 + \beta_2,
\]

which shows inequality (5). Using \((H_3)\), we obtain that

\[
\|F(x) - F(y) - F'(y)(x - y)\| = \left\| \int_0^1 F'(y + \xi(x - y) - F'(y))d\xi(x - y) \right\|
\]

\[
\leq \int_0^1 \omega(\|x - y\|\xi)d\xi\|x - y\|,
\]

which shows inequality (6). By \((H_1)\), \((H_2)\) and inequality (4), we get, in turn, that for \( x \in D_0 \):

\[
\|F'(x_0)^{-1}\||\|F'(x) - F'(x_0)\| \leq \gamma(\|x - x_0\|) \leq \gamma \omega(r_0) < 1. \tag{8}
\]

It follows from inequality (8) and the Banach lemma on invertible operators [4] that \(F'(x)^{-1}\) exists so that inequality (7) is satisfied.

We shall define some scalar functions and parameters to be used in the semilocal convergence analysis. Let \( t_0 = 0 \) and \( s_0^{(1)} = (1 + \eta)\gamma \mu \). Define scalar sequences \( \{t_k\}, \{s_k^{(1)}\}, \ldots, \{s_k^{(m-1)}\} \) by the following schemes:

\[
t_0 = 0, \quad s_k^{(0)} = t_k, \quad t_{k+1} = s_k^m,
\]
Then, we have that for each \( t \in \mathbb{R} \) and \( R \),

\[
s_k = s_k^{(i-1)} + \frac{[(\int_0^1 w((s_k^{(i-1)}) - s_k^{(i-2)})) d\xi + w(s_k^{(i-2)} - t)](1 + \eta + (1 - \gamma t)\xi)(s_k^{(i-1)} - s_k^{(i-2)})}{1 - \gamma t}
\]

\[
t_k = s_k^{(i)} + (1 - \gamma t)(s_k^{(i-1)}), i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, m - 1, k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots.
\]  

Moreover, define functions \( q \) and \( h_q \) on the interval \([0, r_0] \) by

\[
q(t) = \frac{(1 + \eta)(1 + \eta)\int_0^1 w((1 + \eta)\gamma t) d\xi + (1 + \eta)(1 + \eta)\gamma t + \eta(1 - \gamma t)\xi)}{1 - \gamma t}
\]

and

\[
h_q(t) = q(t) - 1.
\]

We have that \( h_q(0) = \eta - 1 < 0 \) and \( h_q \to \infty \) as \( t \to r_0^- \). It follows from the intermediate value theorem that function \( h_q \) has zeros in interval \((0, r_0)\). Denote by \( r_q \) the smallest such zero. Then, we have that for each \( t \in [0, r_0) \)

\[
0 \leq q(t) < 1.
\]  

**Lemma 2.** Suppose that \( t(1 - q(t)) - ((1 + \eta)(1 + \eta)\gamma t + (1 + \eta)\gamma t) = 0 \) has zeros in interval \((0, r_q)\). Denote by \( r \) the smallest such zero. Then, sequence \( \{t_k\} \), generated by Equation (9) is nondecreasing, bounded from above by \( r_q \) and converges to its unique least upper bound \( r^* \), which satisfies

\[
0 < r^* \leq r < r_q.
\]  

**Proof.** Equation (11) can be written as

\[
t_1 - t_0 = r,
\]

since, by Equation (9),

\[
t_1 = (1 + \eta)(1 + \eta)\gamma t + (1 + \eta)\gamma t \int_0^1 w((1 + \eta)\gamma t) d\tau + \eta + w((1 + \eta)\gamma t)
\]

and \( r \) solves Equation (11). It follows from the definition of sequence \( \{t_k\} \), functions \( w_1, w_2, v_1, v_2 \) and inequality (10) that

\[
0 \leq t_0 \leq s_0 \leq t_1 \leq \cdots \leq t_k \leq s_k \leq t_{k+1} < r,
\]

\[
t_{k+2} - t_{k+1} = q(r)(t_{k+1} - t_k) \leq q(r) t_{k+1} \leq q(r) t_{k+1} = q(r) t_{k+1} (t_1 - t_0),
\]

and

\[
t_{k+2} \leq t_{k+1} + q(r) t_{k+1} (t_1 - t_0) \leq t_k + q(r) t_{k+1} (t_1 - t_0) \leq \cdots \leq t_1 + q(r) t_{k+1} (t_1 - t_0) + q(r) t_{k+1} (t_1 - t_0)
\]

\[
\leq \frac{t_1 - t_0}{1 - q(r)} \frac{(1 - q(r) t_{k+2})}{} < \frac{t_1 - t_0}{1 - q(r)} = r.
\]

Therefore, sequences \( \{t_k\} \) converges to \( r^* \), which satisfies inequality (12). □

Next, we present the semilocal convergence analysis of the MMN-HSS method.
Theorem 1. Suppose that the hypotheses (H) and hypotheses of Lemma 2 hold. Define $\bar{r} = \min\{r^*_1, r^*_s\}$, where $r^*_1$ is defined in ([17], Theorem 2.1) and $r^*_s$ is given in Lemma 2. Let $u = \min\{m, l_s\}$, $m_s = \liminf_{k \to \infty} m_k$, $l_s = \liminf_{k \to \infty} l_k$. Moreover, suppose

$$u > \left\lfloor \frac{\ln \eta}{\ln((\tau + 1)\theta)} \right\rfloor,$$

where the symbol $\lfloor \cdot \rfloor$ denotes the smallest integer no less than the corresponding real number, $\tau \in (0, \frac{1-\theta}{\theta})$ and

$$\theta := \theta(\alpha; x_0) = \|T(\alpha; x_0\| < 1.\ (15)$$

Then, the sequence $\{x_k\}$ generated by the MMN-HSS method is well defined, remains in $U(x_0, \bar{r})$ for each $k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ and converges to a solution $x_\ast$ of Equation $F(x) = 0$.

Proof. Notice that we showed in ([8], Theorem 2.1) that for each $x \in U(x_0, \bar{r})$

$$\|T(\alpha; x)\| \leq (\tau + 1)\theta < 1.\ (16)$$

The following statements shall be shown using mathematical induction:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l}
\|x_k - x_0\| \leq t_k - t_0, \\
\|F(x_k)\| \leq \frac{1}{1+\eta}\theta f(t_k), \\
\|x^{(i)}_k - x_k\| \leq s_1^{(i)} - t_k \\
\|F(x^{(i)}_k)\| \leq \frac{1}{1+\eta}\theta f(s_1^{(i)}), \\
\|x^{(i)}_{k+1} - x^{(i)}_k\| \leq s^{(i)}_{k+1} - s^{(i)}_k, i = 1, 2, \ldots, m - 2, \\
\|F(x^{(m-1)}_k)\| \leq \frac{1}{1+\eta}\theta f(s^{(m-1)}_k), \\
\|x^{(m-1)}_{k+1} - x^{(m-1)}_k\| \leq t_{k+1} - s^{(m-1)}_k. \\
\end{array} \right.\ (17)$$

We have for $k = 0$:

$$\|x_0 - x_0\| = 0 \leq t_0 - t_0, \\
\|F(x_0)\| \leq \delta \leq \frac{\gamma(1 - \gamma \theta(t_0))(s_1^0 - t_0)}{\gamma(1 + \eta)}, \\
\|x^{(1)}_0 - x_0\| \leq \|I - T(\alpha; x_0)^{\theta_0}\| \|F'(x_0)^{-1}\| \|F(x_0)\| \leq (1 + \theta_0^0) < (1 + \eta)\gamma\delta = s_1^0.\ (18)$$

Suppose the following items hold for each $i < m - 1$:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l}
\|F(x^{(i)}_0)\| \leq \frac{1}{1+\eta}\theta(1 - \gamma \theta(t_0))(s^{(i+1)}_0 - s^{(i)}_0), \\
\|x^{(i+1)}_0 - x^{(i)}_0\| \leq s^{(i+1)}_0 - s^{(i)}_0, i = 1, 2, \ldots, m - 2. \\
\end{array} \right.\ (18)$$

We shall prove that inequalities (18) hold for $m - 1$.

Using the (H) conditions, we get in turn that

$$\begin{align}
\|F(x^{(m-1)}_0)\| & \leq \|F(x^{(m-1)}_0) - F(x^{(m-2)}_0) - F'(x_0)(x^{(m-1)}_0 - x^{(m-2)}_0)\| \\
& + \|F'(x^{(m-2)}_0) - F'(x^{(m-2)}_0)(x^{(m-1)}_0 - x^{(m-2)}_0)\| \\
& + \|F'(x^{(m-2)}_0)(x^{(m-1)}_0 - x^{(m-2)}_0)\| (x^{(m-1)}_0 - x^{(m-2)}_0)\| \\
& + \|F'(x^{(m-2)}_0)\| \|F(x^{(m-2)}_0)\| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{\tau} \|x^{(m-1)}_0 - x^{(m-2)}_0\| + \|x^{(m-1)}_0 - x^{(m-2)}_0\| \|x^{(m-1)}_0 - x^{(m-2)}_0\| \|x^{(m-1)}_0 - x^{(m-2)}_0\| \\
& + \|F(x^{(m-2)}_0)\| \|x^{(m-2)}_0\| \|x^{(m-1)}_0 - x^{(m-2)}_0\| + \eta \|F(x^{(m-2)}_0)\|.
\end{align}\ (19)$$
Then, we also obtain that
\[
\| (x_0^{(m-1)} - x_0^{(m-2)} \| \leq s_0^{(m-1)} - s_0^{(m-2)},
\]
\[
\| x_0^{(m-2)} - x_0 \| \leq \| x_0^{(m-2)} - x_0^{(m-3)} \| + \cdots + \| x_0^{(1)} - x_0 \|
\]
\[
\leq (s_0^{(m-2)} - s_0^{(m-3)}) + \cdots + (s_0^{(1)} - t_0)
\]
\[
\leq s_0^{(m-2)} - t_0 = s_0^{(m-2)}
\]
and
\[
\| F(x_0^{(m-2)}) \| \leq \frac{1}{(1 + \eta)\gamma} (1 - \gamma \psi(t_0))(s_0^{(m-1)} - s_0^{(m-2)}).
\]

Hence, we get from inequality (19) that
\[
\| F(x_0^{(m-1)}) \| \leq \int_0^1 w(s_0^{(m-1)} - s_0^{(m-2)}) \| x_0 \| \, d\xi
\]
\[
+ w(s_0^{(m-2)} - t_0)(s_0^{(m-1)} - s_0^{(m-2)}) + \frac{\eta(1 - \gamma \psi(t_0))}{(1 + \eta)\gamma} (s_0^{(m)} - s_0^{(m-1)})
\]
\[
\leq \frac{1 - \gamma \psi(t_0)}{(1 + \eta)\gamma} (s_0^{(m)} - s_0^{(m-1)}).
\]

Then, we have by Equation (9) that
\[
\| x_1 - x_0^{(m-1)} \| \leq \| I - T(\alpha; x_0^{(m)}) \| \| F(x_0^{(m-1)}) \| \| F(x_0^{(m-1)}) \| \| F(x_0^{(m-1)}) \|
\]
\[
\leq (1 + (\tau + 1)\eta) \| F(x_0^{(m-1)}) \|
\]
\[
\leq \frac{1}{(1 + \eta)\gamma} (1 - \gamma \psi(t_0))(s_0^{(m)} - s_0^{(m-1)}) = t_1 - s_0^{(m-1)}
\]
holds, and the items (17) hold for \( k = 0 \). Suppose that the items (17) hold for all nonnegative integers less than \( k \). Next, we prove the items (17) hold for \( k \).

We get, in turn, by the induction hypotheses:
\[
\| x_k - x_0 \| \leq \| x_k - x_0^{(m-1)} \| + \| x_0^{(m-1)} - x_0^{(m-2)} \| + \cdots + \| x_k^{(1)} - x_k^{(0)} \| + \| x_k^{(0)} - x_0 \|
\]
\[
\leq (t_k - s_k^{(m-1)}) + (s_k^{(m-1)} - s_k^{(m-2)}) + \cdots + (s_k^{(1)} - t_k^{(0)}) + (t_k^{(0)} - t_0),
\]
\[
= t_k - t_0 < r, < r.
\]

In view of \( x_{k-1}, x_{k-1}^{(1)}, \cdots, x_{k-1}^{(m-1)} \in U(x_0, r) \), we have
\[
\| F(x_k) \| \leq \| F(x_k) - F(x_{k-1}^{(m-1)}) - F'(x_{k-1}^{(m-1)})(x_k - x_{k-1}^{(m-1)}) \|
\]
\[
+ \| F'(x_{k-1}^{(m-1)}) - F'(x_{k-1}^{(m-1)})(x_k - x_{k-1}^{(m-1)}) \|
\]
\[
\leq \| F(x_k) - F(x_{k-1}^{(m-1)}) - F'(x_{k-1}^{(m-1)})(x_k - x_{k-1}^{(m-1)}) \|
\]
\[
+ \| F'(x_{k-1}^{(m-1)}) - F'(x_{k-1}^{(m-1)})(x_k - x_{k-1}^{(m-1)}) \| + \| x_k - x_{k-1}^{(m-1)} \| + \| x_{k-1}^{(m-1)} - x_{k-1}^{(m-1)} \|
\]
\[
\leq \int_0^1 w(\| x_k - x_{k-1}^{(m-1)} \|) \| x_{k-1}^{(m-1)} \| + \frac{\eta(1 - \gamma \psi(t_k^{(0)}))}{(1 + \eta)\gamma} (s_k^{(m)} - s_k^{(m-1)})
\]
\[
\leq \frac{1 - \gamma \psi(t_k^{(0)})}{(1 + \eta)\gamma} (s_k^{(m)} - s_k^{(m-1)}).
\]
We also get that
\[ \|x_k - x_{k-1}^{(m-1)}\| \leq t_k - s_{k-1}^{(m-1)}, \]  
(22)
\[ \|x_k^{(m-1)} - x_{k-1}\| \leq \|x_{k-1}^{(m-1)} - x_{k-1}^{(m-2)}\| + \cdots + \|x_k^{(1)} - x_{k-1}\|, \]
\[
\leq (s_{k-1}^{(m-1)} - s_{k-1}^{(m-2)}) + \cdots + (s_k^{(1)} - t_{k-1}),
\]  
(23)
and
\[ \|F(x_k^{(m-1)})\| \leq \frac{1}{1 + \eta} (1 - \gamma \nu(t_{k-1}))(s_{k-1}^{(m)} - s_{k-1}^{(m-1)}). \]  
(24)
It follows that
\[ \|x_{k-1}^{(1)} - x_k\| \leq \|I - T(\alpha; x_k)^{[i]}\| \|F'(x_k)^{-1}\| \|F(x_k)\| \leq (1 + \theta_k^{[i]}) \frac{\gamma}{1 - \gamma \nu(t_k)}  \frac{1 - \gamma \nu(t_k)}{(1 + \eta) \gamma} (s_k^{(1)} - t_k) \]
\[
\leq s_k^{(1)} - t_k.
\]
Suppose that the following items hold for any positive integers less than \( m - 1 \):
\[
\begin{cases} 
\|F(x_k^{(i)})\| \leq \frac{1}{1 + \eta \gamma} (1 - \gamma \nu(t_k))(s_k^{(i+1)} - s_k^{(i)}), \\
\|x_k^{(i+1)} - x_k^{(i)}\| \leq s_k^{(i+1)} - s_k^{(i)}, i = 1, 2, \ldots, m - 2.
\end{cases}
\]  
(25)
We will prove items (25) hold for \( m - 1 \). As in inequality (21), we have that
\[ \|F(x_k^{(m-1)})\| \leq \|F(x_k^{(m-1)}) - F(x_k^{(m-2)}) - F'(x_k)x_k^{(m-1)} - x_k^{(m-2)}\| \]
\[ + \|F'(x_k^{(m-2)}) + F'(x_k)(x_k^{(m-1)} - x_k^{(m-2)})\| \]
\[ \leq \|F(x_k^{(m-1)} - F(x_k^{(m-2)}) - F'(x_k^{(m-2)})(x_k^{(m-1)} - x_k^{(m-2)})\| \]
\[ + \|F'(x_k^{(m-2)}) - F'(x_k)\| \|x_k^{(m-1)} - x_k^{(m-2)}\| + \eta \|F(x_k^{(m-2)})\| \]
\[ \leq \frac{1}{(1 + \eta \gamma)} (1 - \gamma \nu(t_k))(s_k^{(m)} - s_k^{(m-1)}). \]
We also get that
\[ \|x_k^{(m-1)} - x_k^{(m-2)}\| \leq \|s_k^{(m-1)} - s_k^{(m-2)}\|, \]
(27)
\[ \|x_k^{(m-2)} - x_k\| \leq \|x_k^{(m-2)} - x_k^{(m-3)}\| + \cdots + \|x_k^{(1)} - x_k\| \]
\[ \leq (s_k^{(m-2)} - s_k^{(m-3)}) + \cdots + (s_k^{(1)} - t_k) \]
\[ \leq s_k^{(m-2)} - t_k, \]
(28)
and
\[ \|F(x_k^{(m-2)})\| \leq \frac{1}{(1 + \eta \gamma)} (1 - \gamma \nu(t_k))(s_k^{(m-1)} - s_k^{(m-2)}). \]  
(29)
Therefore,
\[
\|x_{k+1} - x_k^{(m-1)}\| \leq \|I - T(x_k)T'(x_k)^{(m)}\| \|F'(x_k)^{-1}\| \|F(x_k^{(m-1)})\|
\]
\[
\leq (1 + \beta^\gamma) \frac{\gamma(1 - \gamma v(t_k))(s_k^{(m)} - s_k^{(m-1)})}{(1 - \gamma v(t_k))(1 + \gamma)}
\]
\[
\leq t_{k+1} - s_k^{(m-1)}
\]
holds. The induction for items (17) is completed. The sequences \{t_k\}, \{s_k\}, \cdots, s_k^{(m-1)} converges \(r^*\), and
\[
\|x_{k+1} - x_0\| \leq \|x_{k+1} - x_k^{(m-1)}\| + \|x_k^{(m-1)} - x_k^{(m-2)}\| + \cdots + \|x_1^{(1)} - x_1^{(0)}\| + \|x_0 - x_0\|
\]
\[
\leq (t_{k+1} - s_k^{(m-1)}) + (s_k^{(m-1)} - s_k^{(m-2)}) + \cdots + (s_1^{(1)} - s_1^{0}) + (t_0 - t_0)
\]
\[
= t_{k+1} - t_0 < r^* < r.
\]

Then, the sequence \{x_k\} also converges to some \(x \in \overline{U(x_s, r)}\). By letting \(k \to \infty\) in inequality (21), we get that
\[
F(x_s) = 0.
\]

\(\Box\)

**Remark 1.** Let us specialize functions \(w_1, w_2, v_1, v_2\) as \(w_1(t) = L_1t, w_2(t) = L_2t, v_1(t) = K_1t, v_2(t) = K_2t\) for some positive constants \(K_1, K_2, L_1, L_2\) and set \(L = L_1 + L_2, K = K_1 + K_2\). Suppose that \(D_0 = D\). Then, notice that
\[
K \leq L,
\]
\[
K_1 \leq L_1
\]
\[
K_2 \leq L_2,
\]
\[
\beta_1 \leq \beta
\]
\[
\beta_2 \leq \beta
\]
where \(\beta := \max\{\|H(x_0)\|, \|S(x_0)\|\}\).

Notice that in [19], \(K_1 = L_1, K_2 = L_2,\) and \(\beta = \beta_1 = \beta_2\). Therefore, if strict inequality holds in any of item (34), (35), (36) or (37), the present results improve the ones in [19], (see also numerical examples).

**Remark 2.** The set \(D_0\) in (H3) can be replaced by \(D_1 = D \cap U(x_1, r_0 - \|x_1 - x_0\|)\) leading to even smaller "w" and "v" functions, since \(D_1 \subset D_0\).

### 3. Numerical Examples

**Example 1.** Suppose that the motion of an object in three dimensions is governed by system of differential equations
\[
f_1'(x) - f_1(x) - 1 = 0,
\]
\[
f_2'(y) - (e-1)y - 1 = 0,
\]
\[
f_3'(z) - 1 = 0.
\]
with \(x, y, z \in D\) for \(f_1(0) = f_2(0) = f_3(0) = 0\). Then, the solution of the system is given for \(v = (x, y, z)^T\) by function \(F := (f_1, f_2, f_3) : D \to \mathbb{R}^3\) defined by
Consider the system of nonlinear equation \( F(X) = 0 \), wherein \( F = (F_1, \ldots, F_n)^T \) and \( X = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)^T \), with

\[
F_i(X) = (3 - 2x_i)x_i^{3/2} - x_i - 2x_{i+1} + 1, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, n,
\]

where \( x_0 = x_{n+1} = 0 \) by convention. This system has a complex solution. Therefore, we consider the complex initial guess \( X_0 = (-i, -i, \ldots, -i) \). The derivative \( F'(X) \) is given by

\[
F'(X) = \begin{bmatrix}
\frac{3}{2}(3 - 2x_1)\sqrt{x_1} - 2x_1^{3/2} & -2 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
-1 & \frac{3}{2}(3 - 2x_2)\sqrt{x_2} - 2x_2^{3/2} & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & -1 & \frac{3}{2}(3 - 2x_n)\sqrt{x_n} - 2x_n^{3/2}
\end{bmatrix}.
\]

It is clear that \( F'(X) \) is sparse and positive definite. Now, we solve this nonlinear problem by the Newton-HSS method (N-HSS), (see [10]), modified Newton-HSS method (MN-HSS), (see [22]), three-step modified Newton-HSS (3MN-HSS) and four-step modified Newton-HSS (4MN-HSS) method. The methods are compared in error estimates, CPU time (CPU-time) and the number of iterations. We use experimentally optimal parameter values of \( a \) for the methods corresponding to the problem dimension \( n = 100, 200, 500, 1000 \), see Table 1. The numerical results are displayed in Table 2. From numerical results, we observe that MN-HSS outperforms N-HSS in the sense of CPU time and the number of iterations. Note that, in this example, the results in [19] can not be applied since the
operators involved are not Lipschitz. However, our results can be applied by choosing “$w$” and “$v$” functions appropriately as in Example 3.1. We leave these details to the interested readers.

Table 1. Optimal values of $\alpha$ for N-HSS and MN-HSS methods.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$n$</th>
<th>100</th>
<th>200</th>
<th>500</th>
<th>1000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N-HSS</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MN-HSS</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMN-HSS</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Numerical results.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$n$</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Error Estimates</th>
<th>CPU-Time</th>
<th>Iterations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>N-HSS</td>
<td>$3.98 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>1.744</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MN-HSS</td>
<td>$4.16 \times 10^{-8}$</td>
<td>1.485</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3MN-HSS</td>
<td>$8.28 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>1.281</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4MN-HSS</td>
<td>$1.12 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>1.327</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>N-HSS</td>
<td>$3.83 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>6.162</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MN-HSS</td>
<td>$5.46 \times 10^{-8}$</td>
<td>4.450</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3MN-HSS</td>
<td>$7.53 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>4.287</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4MN-HSS</td>
<td>$9.05 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
<td>4.108</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500</td>
<td>N-HSS</td>
<td>$4.65 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>32.594</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MN-HSS</td>
<td>$4.94 \times 10^{-8}$</td>
<td>24.968</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3MN-HSS</td>
<td>$7.69 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>21.250</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4MN-HSS</td>
<td>$9.62 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
<td>20.406</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000</td>
<td>N-HSS</td>
<td>$4.29 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>119.937</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MN-HSS</td>
<td>$5.32 \times 10^{-8}$</td>
<td>98.203</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3MN-HSS</td>
<td>$9.16 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>89.018</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4MN-HSS</td>
<td>$8.94 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
<td>91.000</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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