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Abstract: Based on the unbiased CALYPSO (Crystal structure Analysis by Particle Swarm Optimization) structure searching method in combination with density functional theory (DFT), the geometrical structures and electronic properties are investigated theoretically for Ga₆ and Ga₅X (X = B, C, N, O, F, Al, Si, P, S, Cl) clusters. The PBE0 exchange-correlation functional and the 6-31G(d) basis set is carried out to determine global minima on potential energy surfaces. The relative stabilities of the clusters are examined by the binding energies and substitution reaction. Following the predictions of the Jellium model, the Ga₅B cluster with the 18 valence electrons is the most stable structure. At last, with the obtained lowest energy structures, some physical properties such as electrons transfer, molecular orbitals, and total and partial densities of states are discussed, respectively.
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1. Introduction

Due to the unusual structure and bonding characteristics, gallium has attracted a significant amount of attention for its extensive application in the thin-layer growth and the thin-film deposition in the deposition of multilayer structures [1–3]. In the last decade, many theoretical and experimental studies of gallium clusters have been reported [4–11]. For example, based on the density functional theory (DFT), the lowest-energy geometrical and electronic structures of Gaₙ clusters containing up to 26 atoms have been calculated with generalized gradient approximation for the exchange-correlation potential [4]. By a simulated annealing technique with ab initio molecular dynamics (AIMD) method, Gong and Tosatti determined the lowest energy structures of small Gaₙ (n ≤ 8) clusters [6]. The atomic structure, structural transformation, and reactivity of Ga₁₃ clusters were investigated by the first principles’ pseudopotential calculations [7]. With a similar approach, Jones obtained the most stable structures of Gaₙ cluster up to n = 10 [9]. Based on eight different density functional theory and hybrid DFT/Hartree–Fock methods, the structures, dissociation energies and electron affinities of the anionic and neutral gallium clusters were calculated by Zhao et al. [10]. For the experimental research, photoelectron spectra of small anionic gallium clusters were presented in the size range of n = 1–15 [12]. Results show that a change in the pattern of the spectra near n = 6 can be predicted as a transition from planar to compact three-dimensional structures. A study of the electronic spectrum of
the gallium dimer was presented in the range of 33,600–36,800 cm$^{-1}$ [13]. In addition, Himmel et al. have characterized isolated Ga$_2$ dimers in an argon matrix with the aid of resonance Raman and UV/Vis spectroscopy [14]. Then, Balducci et al. characterized the dissociation energies of Ga$_2$ cluster, which derived from the Knudsen cell-mass spectrometric [15].

In order to modify or tune physical and chemical properties of clusters, doping, which is the intentional incorporation of impurities into materials, is a widely used strategy. When X (X = B, C, N, O, F, Al, Si, P, S, Cl) atom was doped into Ga$_n$ clusters, the introduction of a doped atom in Ga$_6$ clusters can change undoubtedly its geometrical structures and electronic properties, and further affect its chemical and physical properties significantly. A number of gallium clusters doped with a single X (X = N, O, F, Al, Si, P) atom have been observed and studied [16–26]. Based on the density functional theory (DFT), Costales et al. have studied the structures of Ga$_n$N$_m$ ($n = 1–6$) clusters [16,17]. Combined with molecular dynamics and simulated annealing techniques, Song calculated the structures of Ga$_n$N$_m$ ($n = 3, 5, 6$) clusters using a full-potential linear-muffin-orbital method [18–20]. The Ga$_{n-1}$Al$^+$ clusters were generated by pulsed laser ablation of a liquid aluminum/gallium alloy [21]. By an all-electron linear combination of atomic orbital approach, low-lying isomers of Ga$_n$Al ($n = 1–15$) clusters were reported [22]. The ground state structures, stability and electronic properties were systemically investigated for neutral, cationic and anionic Ga$_n$O$_n$ ($n = 4–7$) clusters [23]. The geometrical structures, electronic states and energies of Ga$_n$P$_m$ ($n = 1–7$; $m = 1, 2$) clusters were investigated using the density functional theory [24]. The equilibrium geometries and electronic states of Ga$_3$Sn, Ga$_5$P$_3$ and their ions were investigated using the complete active space self consistent field (CASSCF) levels and DFT [25]. Using ab initio quantum chemistry, the feasibility of laser cooling GaF was performed. The X$^1\Sigma^+$, $3\Sigma^+$ and $1\Pi$ states of GaF was calculated using the multireference configuration interaction (MRCI) level of theory [26]. However, a systematic study on X-doped gallium (X = B, C, S, Cl) clusters has not been reported, and some problems on the Ga$_3$X ($X = B, C, S, Cl$) clusters also have not been solved either. For example, how does the structure of the gallium clusters change with the X atom doped, and how can the pure gallium cluster properties be changed after doping a single X atom?

In cluster physics, the Jellium model predicts that small clusters with a certain valence electronic configuration (8, 18, 20, 34, 40, 58 . . . ), which were known as magic numbers, exhibit increased stability compared with their neighboring configuration. However, some works have shown that the number of electrons not corresponding to the magic numbers also produces extra stability [27,28]. The nitrogen-doped (Ga$_n$N, $n = 1–9$) clusters were investigated at the PBE/DNP level of theory. It is found that Ga$_n$N ($n = 3, 7, 15$) clusters were particularly stable despite of the fact that these clusters do not conform to the predictions of the Jellium model because they have 14, 26 and 50 valence electrons. In addition, Rebere et al. reported that Ag$_{15}^+$, Ag$_{14}$, and Ag$_{15}^+$ clusters with 14 valence electrons were resistant to reactivity with O due to their large highest occupied-lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (HOMO-LUMO) energy gaps, despite not having fully filled electronic shell configurations. Inspired by the above studies, when there are X-doped (X = B, C, N, O, F, Al, Si, P, S, Cl) gallium clusters, do the stable X-doped structures possess the magic number? Hence, it is of interest to carry on more detailed studies on the magic numbers.

With this purpose in mind, we systematically studied the geometrical structures and electronic properties of Ga$_6$ and Ga$_3$X (X = B, C, N, O, F, Al, Si, P, S, Cl, Ga) clusters based on a particle swarm optimization algorithm combined with density function theory. For all of these clusters, relative stability was measured via analysis of binding energies and substitution reaction. Based on the HOMO-LUMO gaps and chemical hardness, the stable structures were determined for Ga$_3$X (X = B, C, N, O, F, Al, Si, P, S, Cl, Ga) clusters. At last, the electron transfer, molecular orbitals, and density of states are also computed and discussed.

2. Computational Detail

It is well known that the number of possible geometric configurations of clusters increases exponentially with the size of the clusters, it will be more complex for binary clusters. Thus, it is
necessary for us to search for an effective method in structure prediction. In the present paper, we performed through the intelligent methodology [29,30], as implemented in the CALYPSO (Crystal structure Analysis by Particle Swarm Optimization) code [30]. The algorithm can predict stable structures depending only on the chemical composition. It has been successful in correctly predicting structures for various systems [31–34]. The optimized structures obtained from particle swarm optimization (PSO) are taken as the initial structures for further simulation by the DFT method. Within the CALYPSO structure search, each generation contains 20 structures, 70% of which are generated by a particle swarm optimization algorithm. The others will be generated randomly. We followed 50 generations for each cluster to achieve convergence of the potential energy surface sampling. Then, 1000 structures of variable structures can be obtained for every Ga$_5$X (X= B, C, N, O, F, Al, Si, P, S, Cl) and Ga$_6$ clusters. The further geometry optimizations are performed with the PBE0 functional using the 6-311G(d) basis set, as implemented in the Gaussian09 package [35]. PBE0 has been confirmed to be suitable for describing the energy difference of isomers of X-doped gallium clusters [36]. In the process of calculation, the effect of the spin multiplicity is performed in the geometric optimization procedure. Harmonic vibrational frequencies are calculated to ensure that the obtained optimized structures are real minima with no imaginary frequencies.

The accuracy of the present DFT method was assessed by benchmark calculations for available experimental and theoretical results. For Ga$_2$, the calculated dissociation energy and vibrational frequency are 1.34 eV and 214 cm$^{-1}$, respectively, in excellent agreement with experimental values 1.50 eV and 180 cm$^{-1}$ [14,37]. To our knowledge, there is no bond length in the experiment for the Ga$_2$ dimer. The present Ga-Ga bond length (2.45 Å) is broadly consistent with the previous theoretical studies [4,6,7,9]. For GaAl and GaSi, we obtained a bond length (2.456 Å, 2.43 Å) that fits well with the theoretical values of 2.585 Å and 2.45 Å by the GGA using B3LYP function, respectively [23,38]. In addition, for Ga$_6$ cluster, the calculated vertical electron affinity (VEA) (2.12 eV) and vertical ionization potential (VIP) (6.69 eV) are close to the experimental values (2.60 eV) and theoretical vertical ionization potential (6.52 eV), respectively [12]. In short, PBE0/6-311G(d) level is able to describe the structural and electronic properties of Ga$_6$ and Ga$_5$X (X = B, C, N, O, F, Al, Si, P, S, Cl) clusters in a satisfactory manner.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Lowest Energy Structures and Growth Pattern

The lowest energy structures of Ga$_6$ and Ga$_5$X clusters determined from CALYPSO and DFT calculation are displayed in Figure 1. The corresponding electronic states, symmetries and harmonic vibrational frequencies are tabulated in Table 1, and the atomic coordinates of each cluster are provided in the Supporting Information (SI) for the lowest energy structures.

![Figure 1. Optimized geometries of the lowest energy Ga$_5$X (X = B, C, N, O, F, Al, Si, P, S, Cl, Ga) clusters.](image-url)
As for the Ga$_6$ cluster, the $^3A'_1$ state of the trigonal prism ($D_{3h}$) is almost degenerate with the $^1A_1$ state of the similar trigonal prism, and the latter is computed to be 0.003 eV lower in energy, which is a certainly insignificant amount. Our result agrees with Drebov and Jones et al.’s results [9,39]. However, Gong and Tosatti applied an ab initio molecular-dynamics method and showed the most stable structure to be a distorted prism structure with $C_{2v}$ point symmetry [6].

For a Ga$_5$Al cluster, using the B3LYP-DFT calculations, Guo et al. predicted that the ground state is $C_5$ structure and analogous to Ga$_6$ structure, which is identical to our results [23]. Simultaneously, the calculated vertical ionization potential (VIP) of 6.70 eV and the vertical electron affinity (VEA) of 2.13 eV in our work agree with previous DFT estimates (6.44 and 2.11 eV) calculated by Guo et al. [23].

Li et al. [25] calculated geometrics of Ga$_5$P cluster and predicted that the ground state is the trigonal bipyramidal state ($C_5$). From Figure 1, we can find that our result is identical to Li et al. In addition, our calculation predicted the VEA of the ground state (1.68 eV) agree with Li’s results (1.93 eV).

For the Ga$_5$N cluster, in Li’s calculation, the ground state structure with $C_{2v}$ point symmetry is a planar structure. In our prediction, the result is similar to Li and Song’s results [20,40]. Moreover, the calculated intensity vibration and lowest frequency (631 and 27 cm$^{-1}$) are close to the Li et al.’s results (672.3 and 32 cm$^{-1}$) [40].

Although the ground state structures of the Ga$_5$X ($X = B, C, S, Cl$) clusters have not been reported, the above structures are obtained and listed in Table 1. Comparing X-doped ($X = B, C, N, O, F, Si, P, S, Cl$) clusters, we can find that the doped atom simply replaces one Ga atom of pure Ga$_5$ cluster, leading to a reduction of the molecular symmetry for the lowest stable configurations of Ga$_5$Bi($^1A_1$), Ga$_5$C($^3A''_1$), Ga$_5$O($^2A'_1$), Ga$_5$F($^1A'_1$), Ga$_5$Al($^3A''_1$), Ga$_5$Si($^2A'_1$), Ga$_5$S($^2A'_1$) and Ga$_5$Cl($^1A'_1$). On the contrary, completely new structures are formed for Ga$_5$N($^1A_1$) and Ga$_5$P($^1A'_1$) clusters. In conclusion, except for Ga$_5$N and Ga$_5$P clusters, the dopants of lighter elements (B, C, O, F) greatly influence the structure of the pure gallium clusters; however, those of heavier elements (Al, Si, S, Cl) generally generate a minor effect.

3.2. Relative Stability

The relative stability of clusters can be compared with the computing the binding energy (BE). Binding energies are calculated by the enthalpy change for the following reaction:

$$5\text{Ga} + X \rightarrow \text{Ga}_5X.$$
In addition, the stability can also be measured by the enthalpy of substitution reaction ($\Delta H_{\text{subst}}$), which can be expressed as:

$$\text{Ga}_6 + X \rightarrow \text{Ga}_5X + \text{Ga}.$$  

(2)

In Figure 2, the values of the BE and $\Delta H_{\text{subst}}$, corrected for zero point vibrational energy, are shown with the cluster size increasing.

![Graph showing binding energy and $\Delta H_{\text{subst}}$ vs. element size](image)

**Figure 2.** (a) Binding energies and (b) $\Delta H_{\text{subst}}$ of substituted gallium clusters ($\text{Ga}_5X$) (eV).

As seen in Figure 2, the $\text{Ga}_6$ cluster with 18 valence electrons possesses the maximum value of the binding energy. The isoelectronic $\text{Ga}_5\text{Al}$ and $\text{Ga}_5\text{B}$ clusters are also possessed of considerably larger binding energies, indicating greater overall stability. The $\text{Ga}_5\text{Al}$ cluster has larger binding energy than that of the $\text{Ga}_5\text{B}$ cluster. Moreover, this result can be obtained for all isoelectronic X-doped systems. In general, the tendency of $\Delta H_{\text{subst}}$ is similar to that of the binding energies, and the $\text{Ga}_5\text{C}$ cluster leads to the largest stabilizing effect ($\Delta H_{\text{subst}} = -3.38$ eV). Theoretically, the dopants of B and Al should arouse a minor influence on the stability of the doped clusters because they are equivalent to the valence electron of the Ga atom. In fact, we find that the Al atom actually leads to an increase in stability (0.16 eV) while the B atom leads to an even greater increase in stability (2.16 eV). Doping with O and S elements leads to a 21 valence electrons system and would not be expected to lead to a significant increase in stability. However, it is clear from Figure 2 that X-doped (X = O, S) clusters increase the stability of the cluster. Generally speaking, based on the BE and $\Delta H_{\text{subst}}$, the stability of host $\text{Ga}_6$ clusters will indeed be enhanced by the dopants of X atoms.
3.3. The HOMO-LUMO Gaps

In cluster science, the HOMO-LUMO gaps ($E_{\text{gap}}$) can be usually used for estimating the chemical stability of the clusters. Larger values of the gaps indicate stronger chemical stability. In Table 1, we list the calculated energy gaps of the mentioned clusters. It is interesting to notice that the Ga$_5$B, Ga$_5$N, Ga$_5$F, Ga$_5$P and Ga$_5$Cl clusters with an even number of electrons (18, 20, 22) have higher stabilities than other Ga$_5$C, Ga$_5$O, Ga$_5$Si and Ga$_5$S clusters with an odd number of electrons (19, 21). For Ga$_5$B, Ga$_5$N, Ga$_5$F, Ga$_5$P and Ga$_5$Cl clusters, all paired electrons form a closed-shell electronic structure caused the larger energy gap of 1.87–2.88 eV. However, the Ga$_5$C, Ga$_5$O, Ga$_5$Si and Ga$_5$S clusters show a small energy gap due to the LUMO occupied by a single electron. In addition, the local maxima of $E_{\text{gap}}$ are found at Ga$_5$B, suggesting that the Ga$_5$B cluster possesses enhanced relative stability, and the clusters with 18 valence electrons are expected to be especially stable by the Jellium model.

Chemical hardness, proposed by Pearson [41,42], can also be viewed as a parameter to measure the relative stability of the clusters. The chemical hardness ($\eta$) can be expressed as

$$\eta = \text{VIP} - \text{VEA}. \quad (3)$$

VIP and VEA are the vertical ionization potential and vertical electron affinity, respectively. From Table 1, one can see that the tendency and the extremum (Ga$_5$B) of $\eta$ completely accord with the above analysis based on the HOMO-LUMO gaps.

3.4. Charge Transfer in the Ga$_5$X Cluster

To probe into the internal charge transfer of these X-doped gallium clusters, we extended the charge populations on Ga and X atoms inferred from the Hirshfeld, Mulliken, natural population analysis (NPA) and Bader approaches based on the Multiwfn program (Tian Lu and Feiwu Chen, Beijing, China) [43]. As is shown in Table 2, there is less positive charge on Ga with less negative charge on X, expect for Ga$_5$B clusters. The results show that the values for the X (X = B, C, N, O, F, Si, P, S, Cl) atoms are almost negative. This means that the charge transfers from the Ga host to X (X = B, C, N, O, F, Si, P, S, Cl) atoms in the corresponding clusters because of the higher electronegativity of the X (X = B, C, N, O, F, Si, P, S, Cl) atom than that of the Ga atom (Ga:1.81 eV, B:2.04 eV, C:2.55 eV, N:3.04 eV, O:3.44 eV, Si, P, S, Cl) atoms in the corresponding clusters because of the higher electronegativity of the Ga (1.81 eV) is more than that of the Al (1.61 eV) [44]. However, the electronegativity of the Ga (1.81 eV) is more than that of the Al (1.61 eV) [44]. One can see that the charge transfers from Al atoms to Ga frames in Ga$_5$Al clusters. This fact has also been observed in a number of gallium-containing clusters in earlier reports [31].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Methods</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>O</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>Al</th>
<th>Si</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>S</th>
<th>Cl</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hirshfeld</td>
<td>−0.399</td>
<td>−0.436</td>
<td>−0.405</td>
<td>−0.366</td>
<td>−0.274</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>−0.175</td>
<td>−0.224</td>
<td>−0.248</td>
<td>−0.177</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mulliken</td>
<td>−0.861</td>
<td>−1.350</td>
<td>−1.150</td>
<td>−0.822</td>
<td>−0.471</td>
<td>0.254</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>−0.061</td>
<td>−0.156</td>
<td>−0.262</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPA</td>
<td>−2.865</td>
<td>−1.395</td>
<td>−2.179</td>
<td>−0.789</td>
<td>−0.755</td>
<td>0.189</td>
<td>−0.332</td>
<td>−0.921</td>
<td>−0.503</td>
<td>−0.485</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bader</td>
<td>−1.282</td>
<td>−1.816</td>
<td>−1.673</td>
<td>−1.343</td>
<td>−0.773</td>
<td>0.350</td>
<td>−0.371</td>
<td>−0.857</td>
<td>−0.974</td>
<td>−0.591</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.5. The Molecular Orbitals

In Figure 3, an examination of the molecular orbitals (MOs) is presented for understanding the shell model of the most stable Ga$_5$X (X = B, C, N, O, F, Al, Si, P, S, and Cl) clusters. In an effort to obtain the specific orbital composition of every MO, the corresponding orbital compositions are also plotted in Figure S1 (see SI).

From the Figure 3, it can be seen that the HOMO and LOMO are localized around the Ga atoms. For Ga$_5$X (X = B, C, O) clusters, the HOMO involves the $s$, $p_x$, $p_y$ and $p_z$ from all of Ga atoms, the $s$, $p_x$ of B atoms, the $p_y$ of C atoms; the contribution of $p_y$ is very small from oxygen atoms. The LUMO of Ga$_5$X (X = B, C) cluster is composed of $(s, p_y, p_z)$, $(p_x, p_y, p_z)$ of Ga and $p_y$ of B atoms; the effect of
p_z of C is very small. Moreover, the LUMO involves Ga-s, p_x, and p_y from oxygen atom in Ga\_5O clusters. For Ga\_5F and Ga\_5Cl clusters, the HOMO mainly comes from the (s, p_z) and (s, p_x, p_y) orbitals of Ga atoms along with small mixture of p_x and p_y orbitals in X (X = F, Cl) atoms. The LUMO is almost identical in Ga\_5F and Ga\_5Cl clusters. It results from the identical orbital. Gallium atoms involve s, p_x, p_y, and p_z component orbitals; F and Cl atoms possess the same p_z atomic orbitals. The HOMO of the Ga\_5Al and Ga\_5Si clusters is very similar. However, the HOMO includes the p_x, p_y, and p_z states of Ga atoms and the s, p_x, p_y states of Al atom in the Ga\_5Al cluster, whereas the HOMO mostly involves the p_z of gallium and silicon atoms as well as a small mixture of s orbitals of the Ga and Si atoms in the Ga\_5Si cluster. For Ga\_5X (X = N, P, S) clusters, the HOMO mainly comes from the same p_y and p_z orbitals of Ga atoms in Ga\_5N and Ga\_5P clusters. In LUMO, all of the atoms are composed of the p_z, and p_z atomic orbitals in Ga\_5N, Ga\_5P, and Ga\_5S clusters, respectively. The contribution of N, P, and S atoms are almost zero in HOMO and LUMO. These molecular orbitals indicate the presence of sp hybridization of the X atom and Ga atoms.

Figure 3. The molecular orbitals for the lowest energy structure of Ga\_5X (X = B, C, N, O, F, Al, Si, P, S, Cl) clusters.

3.6. The Density of States

To understand the nature of the chemical bonding of these clusters, the density of states (DOS) of Ga\_5X (X = B, C, N, O, F, Al, Si, P, S, and Cl) and Ca\_6 clusters are shown in Figure 4. It is clear that there is finite electronic density, mainly coming from Ga atoms, at the Fermi level for all clusters, which means that they exhibit metallic behavior. At the Fermi level, the total density of states (TDOS) of Ga\_5X clusters is lower than that of the Ga\_6 cluster except for Ga\_5N and Ga\_5Si clusters. The fact indicates that the impurity atoms reduce the metallicity of the Ga\_5X (X = B, C, O, F, Al, P, S, and Cl) clusters. The contribution of the impurity atoms primarily centralizes in the valence bands for Ga\_5X (X = B, C, N, O, F, S, and Cl) clusters, and they are comparative in the valence bands and conduction band for Ga\_5X (X = Al, Si, and P) clusters. In addition, the HOMO energy levels shift to higher energy levels when X (X = C, N, O, F, and S) are doped gallium clusters, whereas they shift to lower energy levels when other atoms are doped gallium clusters.
Figure 4. Calculated total densities of states (TDOS) and partial densities of states (PDOS) of Ga\textsubscript{5}X (X = B, C, N, O, F, Al, Si, P, S, Cl) clusters.
4. Conclusions

In the present study, we have performed a global minimum search for the ground state structures of \text{Ga}_6 and \text{Ga}_5X (X = B, C, N, O, F, Al, Si, P, S, Cl) clusters by using the CALYPSO method in combination with DFT geometry optimization. The optimized geometries reveal that the dopant atoms (B, C, O, F, Al, Si, S, and Cl) simply replace one Ga atom of pure \text{Ga}_6, leading to a reduction of the molecular symmetry. However, completely new structures are formed for \text{Ga}_5N and \text{Ga}_5P. Trends of the binding energies and substitution reaction showed that the dopant atoms can lead to increased stability relative to \text{Ga}_6 clusters. Based on the HOMO-LUMO gaps and chemical harnesses, the \text{Ga}_5B clusters with 18 valence electrons exhibit superior stability and can be viewed as the magic number clusters. In addition, analysis of molecular orbitals and DOS show that the HOMO and LOMO are localized around the Ga atoms, and the contribution of X atoms is almost zero. These molecular orbitals indicate the presence of sp hybridization of the X atom and Ga atoms. At last, the electrons transfer from the Ga frames to X (X = B, C, N, O, F, Si, P, S, Cl) atoms. On the contrary, the direction of charge transfer reverses and the amount of charges transfer from Al atoms to Ga atoms in \text{Ga}_5Al clusters.

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at http://www.mdpi.com/1996-1944/11/4/552/s1, Figure S1: The orbital composition of HOMO and LUMO for \text{Ga}_5X (X = B, C, N, O, F, Al, Si, P, S, Cl) clusters.
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