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Abstract: According to recent technology road maps, system cost reductions and development of standardised plug-and-function systems are some of the most important goals for solar heating technology development. Retrofitting hot water boilers in single-family houses when installing solar collectors has the potential to significantly reduce both material and installation costs. Previous studies have investigated such retrofitting, using theoretical simulations and laboratory tests, but no actual installations were made and tested in practice. This article describes the installation, measured performance and cost effectiveness of a retrofitting solution that converts existing domestic hot water heaters to a solar domestic hot water system. The measured performance is characterised by the monthly and annual solar fractions. The cost effectiveness is evaluated by a life-cycle cost analysis, comparing the retrofitted system to a conventional solar domestic hot water system and the case without any solar heating system. Measurements showed that approximately 50% of the 5000 kWh/year of domestic hot water consumption was saved by the retrofitted system in south Sweden. Such savings are in agreement with previous estimations and are comparable to the energy savings when using a conventional solar domestic hot water system. The life-cycle cost analysis showed that, according to the assumptions and given climate, the return on investment of the retrofitted system is approximately 17 years, while a conventional system does not reach profitability during its lifetime of 25 years.
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1. Introduction

Buildings account for approximately 40% of the total energy use in Europe [1] where 79% of that energy demand is heat for domestic hot water and space heating [2]. In order to reach the European energy and climate goals for 2020 [3], the existing building stock should be addressed [1]. This includes not only passive energy efficient measures to decrease the building’s energy consumption but also local energy production by means of renewable energy, especially when moving towards net-zero energy buildings. Solar thermal has the potential to have an important role in achieving the European Union’s 20% renewable energy target for the year 2020 with scenarios predicting its contribution to be between 2.4% and 6.3% [4]. Long term scenarios for 2050 illustrate that the solar thermal contribution to the European Union’s (EU-27) low temperature heat demand could go up to 47% in a very favourable scenario that includes substantial financial and political support mechanisms, energy efficiency measures and research activities [4]. Beyond the previously mentioned national goals, several local communities and cities are setting ambitious targets for energy sustainability.
For example, the cities of Frederikshavn and Aalborg in Denmark aim to achieve 100% renewable energy sources to match their energy demand on an annual basis [5,6]. Solar thermal, together with other renewable energy sources, is thought to significantly contribute to reaching this goal where different technical solutions could be used [7–10].

Unlike solar photovoltaic systems, which are growing rapidly in the global market, the installation of new thermal collectors decreased by 15% between 2013 and 2014 [11,12]. One of the reasons for this apparent falling competitiveness is its relatively high complexity in terms of installation, maintenance and control, as well as high investment costs and low profitability [13–15].

The pathway for the successful development of solar thermal technology is dependent on, among other factors, the introducing of plug-and-function systems, standardised solutions, and reducing costs of solar thermal systems [13]. Some work has been started on these aspects, such as Task 54 of the International Energy Agency on price reduction of solar thermal systems [16]. There are also examples of retrofitted commercial solutions aimed at reducing costs, such as ‘Conergy’, ‘Thermo Dynamics’, and ‘Enerworks’ [17–19]. Such systems are based on the principle of connecting in series the existing hot water system with new upstream solar storage, and using the existing hot water boiler as a backup heater [20]. However, such concepts still require the installation of, in principle, a complete new conventional solar domestic hot water system, which increases space requirements and makes it difficult to achieve any cost reduction.

A commercial retrofitted system called ‘Solaplug’ consists of a coil heat exchanger around an auxiliary electric heater that is used to replace the existing electric heater at the bottom of the hot water heater [21]. Although this solution is compact and possibly cheaper, the energy performance is significantly lower than conventional solar domestic hot water systems—stratification is virtually non-existent since the auxiliary heater is still placed at the bottom.

This article investigates a retrofitted solar domestic hot water system that reuses the existing hot water heater to store solar heat when installing new solar collectors. This has the potential to reduce the investment cost by reducing material and installation costs. Such a solution could help minimise some of the challenges and is in line with the technology roadmap [13]. In fact, most solar domestic hot water installations comprise retrofitting situations, which makes it possible to combine a large market with lower investment costs [22]. In Sweden alone there are approximately half a million single-family houses that are electrically heated and use conventional electric hot water heaters for domestic hot water production [23].

Previous work investigated this retrofitting solution both in theory and in the laboratory. A previous theoretical analysis, based on TRNSYS simulations, investigated several retrofitting system possibilities using forced circulation flow [24]. Results showed that, when designed according to the load, one of the investigated systems reached approximately the same annual solar fraction as a conventional solar domestic hot water system. The system was built in practice and laboratory measurements enabled calibration of the theoretical models and further optimisation of its design [25].

Performance has never been tested under real conditions, but this is done for over one year in this study. This is important in order to evaluate, for a real domestic hot water load profile, aspects such as energy savings, the delivered thermal comfort, i.e., the capability to provide the required hot water temperature to the load, system reliability, and installation costs. With such information, life-cycle cost analysis can be carried out for both the retrofitted solution and a conventional solar domestic hot water system. Alternative systems that could compete with the investigated retrofitted solution, such as some sort of heat pump or a water mantled wood/pellets burner, were not investigated here [26]. The design of the retrofitted system and its operation are described in the next section.

2. The Retrofitted Solar Domestic Hot Water System

As illustrated in Figure 1a, conventional solar domestic hot water systems commonly replace the existing storage with a new solar hot water storage that includes an internal heat exchanger for the solar heat carrier and an auxiliary heater. A new pump unit, expansion vessel and controller also need
to be added. The new solar storage often has a larger volume or different shape, which can make it difficult to fit in the same space as the old hot water heater. This adds to the complexity and cost.

The principle of the presented retrofitted system is to reuse the existing hot water heater for solar hot water storage. The new solar collectors are connected to the existing storage by means of a recently developed connection unit containing the required balance of system (BOS) (Figure 1b). Consequently, the retrofitted solution upgrades the existing heating system instead of replacing it, which has the potential to reduce the investment cost in terms of both material and installation costs. The connection unit is designed to be non-invasively connected to an existing water heater of a conventional kind. In other words, there is no need to provide additional inlets or outlets in the hot water storage—the two available connections, inlet of cold water and outlet of hot water, are sufficient for installation of the module.

The retrofitted system aims to ensure the main operation modes of a solar hot water system: hot water discharge and solar charge. All components of the retrofitted system and the two operation modes are illustrated in Figure 2 and described in Table 1. The existing hot water heater is retrofitted to store solar heat at a variable temperature, while an additional small auxiliary storage with an electric heater is added in series to ensure that the required outlet temperature can be attained. The electric heater of the retrofitted storage (component #13 in Figure 2) is disconnected; this means that the retrofitted storage tank is now exclusively used for solar hot water at lower temperatures, which increases the collector working hours and efficiency [24].

During discharge (Figure 2a), when hot water is drawn off by the user, incoming cold water from the mains is preheated by the heat exchanger (when solar heat is available) before entering the bottom of the retrofitted storage. This forces the water at the top of the storage to flow into the bottom of the small heater and from there to the user. The auxiliary heater in the small storage tank is controlled by a thermostat and provides the extra energy when solar energy is insufficient.

During solar charge (Figure 2b), the pump on the solar circuit (#2 in the diagram) is turned on when the brine temperature from the solar collector (#4) is higher than the temperature at the bottom of the storage tank (#11). Subsequently, when the temperature on the heat exchanger (#15) becomes higher than the temperature at the bottom of the storage tank, the pump on the water side (#5) is also turned on. This makes cold water flow from the bottom of the retrofitted storage through the heat exchanger, where it is heated and flows into the top of the storage. Note that the direction of the water flow in the connections of the retrofitted storage (#7 and #8) is now reversed in comparison to the hot water discharge mode.
Having the larger storage working at lower temperatures reduces the retrofitted storage heat losses by approximately half [24]. Furthermore, mixing caused by diffusion and wall conduction is also considerably reduced, since the auxiliary hot water at 60 °C is not stored in the same tank as the cold water from the mains [24]. The temperature stratification of the system is therefore achieved by means of two tanks working at different average temperatures [24].

![Diagram showing components and connections of the retrofitted system](image)

**Figure 2.** Flow schematics and monitored measuring points during: (a) domestic hot water discharge and (b) solar charge.

**Table 1.** Description of the components that comprise the entire retrofitted system.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component Number</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Compact heat exchanger</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Circulation pump of the collector circuit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Expansion vessel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Highest temperature of the solar collector field</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Circulation pump of the tank circuit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Developed multiport valve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Bottom connection of the retrofitted storage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Top connection of the retrofitted storage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Controller and logger</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Surface temperature on the upper part of the retrofitted storage.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Surface temperature on the lower part of the retrofitted storage.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Retrofitted hot water storage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Heating source of the retrofitted storage (electric heater)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>New auxiliary storage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Temperature on the outlet of the cold side of the heat exchanger.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( T_a )</td>
<td>Incoming cold water temperature from the main</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( T_b )</td>
<td>Water temperature exiting the solar hot water storage (retrofitted storage)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( T_c )</td>
<td>Hot water temperature exiting the auxiliary storage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( f )</td>
<td>Flow of hot water discharge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1–P5</td>
<td>Ports of the developed multiport valve</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

When a standard hot water heater is retrofitted for solar thermal use in a non-invasive manner, the two connections that were previously only used for hot water discharge to the user should now also be used to charge the storage with solar energy. As shown in Figure 2, each of the two connections of the retrofitted hot water heater need to be used in both directions to ensure favourable charge and discharge modes. A patented multiport valve was used to ensure the switch of operation modes, from hot water discharge to solar charge, and vice-versa, and is illustrated in Figures 2 and 3. The multiport valve comprises five ports, two cavities and a sliding piston inside. Water does not run between the two cavities but the piston slides through them. During solar charge, the valve is in relaxed position.
and the water entering the valve in port P3 from the heat exchanger (#1) exits the valve from port P4 into the top of the retrofitted storage (Figures 2b and 3a). During hot water discharge, incoming cold water flows into port P1 through the actuator and exits via port P2 (Figures 2a and 3b). This pushes the piston and redirects the water entering the valve in port P3 coming from the heat exchanger to exit the valve from port P5 instead, into the bottom of the retrofitted storage. At this position, the illustrated magnet switches OFF the pump on the water side. Note that the spring illustrated in Figure 3c becomes compressed and forces the piston back to its relaxed/initial position once the hot water draught is over. The multiport valve therefore switches the operation modes of the retrofitted system in a mechanical way, which promotes long lifetime and reliability. A short video, Video S1, is attached to the electronic version of this article illustrating the behaviour of the multiport valve.

Figure 3. Newly developed multiport valve [27]: (a) during solar charge; (b) during hot water discharge; (c) picture of the components of the valve while dismounted.

Technical drawings show that all the BOS components can be fitted together with an approximately 50-litre auxiliary storage in one connection unit with dimensions under 60 cm \( \times \) 60 cm \( \times \) 60 cm, as illustrated in Figure 1. The tested prototype seen in Figures 4 and 5 was built at this stage without integrating the small auxiliary tank in the connection unit. This enabled the size of the auxiliary tank to be varied according to the size of the family.

Figure 4. Prototype drawings of the connecting unit excluding the auxiliary heater: (a) with the external cover; (b) without the external cover in perspective; (c) without the external cover in another perspective.
The thermal performance of a retrofitted solar domestic hot water system was measured over a full year. The model of the solar collectors was Vitosol 200-F with a total collector aperture area of 4.66 m$^2$ manufactured by Viessmann [28]. The collectors were placed on a roof tilted 20° from horizontal facing south-east. The auxiliary heater storage was a Nibe Eminent with a volume of 35 L and an electric heater of 1 kW [29]. The retrofitted tank was a Nibe Compact with a volume of 300 L. The measurement points are shown in Figure 2. The temperatures were measured using PT 1000 sensors [30] and flow was measured using a Grundfos VFS flow meter [31]. The built-in Steca controller was used for controlling the operation of the system and for logging flow and temperatures [32]. Temperatures were measured as five-minute average values, and the flow was integrated during that period. An electric meter was installed in such a way that electricity use for the whole connecting unit and the auxiliary heater was measured together. The type of sensors is listed in Table 2.

### Table 2. Description of measurement equipment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Device</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Accuracy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Thermometer</td>
<td>Pt-1000</td>
<td>±0.3 °C at 0 °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flow sensor</td>
<td>Grundfos VFS</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Logger</td>
<td>Steca, TR 0603mc</td>
<td>1% (Energy efficiency)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Domestic hot water is heated in two steps. Firstly, solar energy is used. The temperature difference over the solar (retrofitted) storage, $\Delta T_{sol}$, can be calculated to be $\Delta T_{sol} = T_b - T_a$ (Figure 2a). This temperature increase includes the effects of the water passing the heat exchanger (#1). Secondly, auxiliary energy may be provided. The temperature difference over the small auxiliary heater, $\Delta T_{aux}$, can be calculated to be $\Delta T_{aux} = T_c - T_b$ (Figure 2a).

The delivered energy from the solar installation, $Q_{sol}$, and from the auxiliary tank, $Q_{aux}$ during one month can be calculated using Equations (1) and (2)

$$Q_{sol} = \sum_{month} f \cdot \rho \cdot C_p \cdot \Delta T_{sol} \cdot t \ (\text{Wh}) \quad (1)$$

$$Q_{aux} = \sum_{month} f \cdot \rho \cdot C_p \cdot \Delta T_{aux} \cdot t \ (\text{Wh}) \quad (2)$$
\[ Q_{aux} = \sum_{\text{month}} f \cdot \rho \cdot C_p \cdot \Delta T_{aux} \cdot t \text{ (Wh)} \]  

where \( f \) is the flow, \( Q \) is the energy, \( \rho \) is the density of water, \( C_p \) is the specific heat for water and \( t \) is the time. The sum is taken over all the five-minute time step values during the month.

The solar fraction over a certain period, \( S.F. \), i.e., the fraction of the energy need that is covered by the solar installation in that period, can be calculated using Equation (3) [33].

\[ S.F. = \frac{Q_{sol}}{Q_{sol} + Q_{aux}} \]  

All electrical energy consumption was measured over the full year. The measurement includes both the energy from the small auxiliary heater (#14) as well as the energy consumed by the pumps (#2 and #5) and the controller (#9) in the solar installation (Figure 2). This means that all electrical energy consumption is included in the measurements and calculations.

The life cycle cost (LCC) analysis was carried out by applying a geometric gradient series, which are cash flow series that either increase or decrease by a constant percentage for each period throughout the life cycle (see Equations (4)–(6)) [34]. The total LCC for the studied energy saving measures was summarized by applying Equation (7), and the life cycle profit (LCP) by applying Equation (8) [34].

The net present value for the domestic hot water consumption, \( NPV_{DHW} \), takes into account the annual running costs for domestic hot water production, \( C_0 \), and how these costs are accounted over time by the influence of the rate of return on investment, \( i \), and a linear increase on the yearly electricity price, \( g \). Finally, the total net present value, \( NPV_{total} \), accounts for the net present value for the domestic hot water consumption, \( NPV_{DHW} \), together with the net present value for maintenance, \( NPV_{mainten.} \), and the investment cost, \( I_c \). The meaning of each input and the considered assumptions are shown in Table 3. Since the result of an LCC analysis can be very dependent on certain assumed input parameters, a local sensitivity analysis was performed. This was carried out by varying the assumed input parameters by \( \pm 20\% \) where the uncertainty was thought to be higher (Table 3). The LCP value was used to evaluate the impact of the sensitivity analysis and consists on the difference between the net present value with and without a solar domestic hot water system.

\[ A_1 = C_0 (1 + i)^n \text{ [€]} \]  
\[ C_0 = E_{DHW} \cdot (1 - S.F.) \cdot C_{elect.} \text{ [€]} \]  
\[ NPV_{DHW} = A_1 \left( 1 - \frac{(1+i)^n}{1+g} \right) \text{ [€]} \]  
\[ NPV_{total} = I_c + NPV_{DHW} + NPV_{mainten.} \text{ [€]} \]  
\[ LCP = NPV_{total} - NPV_{without\,DHW} \text{ [€]} \]  

**Table 3.** Description of the input parameters used in the life cycle calculations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Sensitivity Analysis (±20%)</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( A_1 )</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Accumulated costs in Year 1 for domestic hot water in €</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( C_0 )</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Running cost in Year 0 for domestic hot water in the current money value in €</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( E_{DHW} )</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Annual energy consumption for domestic hot water in kWh/year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( S.F. )</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Annual solar fraction of the solar domestic hot water system (–)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( C_{elect.} )</td>
<td>0.104, 0.13 and 0.156 €/kWh</td>
<td>Cost of electricity set constant and equal to 0.13 €/kWh [35]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The International Energy Agency reports the investment cost for a solar domestic hot water system in Sweden, with a 5 m² collector area and storage of 300 litres, to be approximately 5000 € excluding VAT [37]. The Swedish National Board of Housing, Building and Planning also reports on an average investment cost of a solar domestic hot water system in Sweden, including a new solar hot water storage, to be estimated at approximately 5000 € for a collector area between 4 m² and 6 m² [38]. In the neighbouring country of Denmark, such a system is reported to cost approximately 1100 €/m² which would mean a total cost of about 5500 €/m² for an entire system with 5 m² solar collector area [12]. The total cost of a conventional solar domestic hot water system was set to 5000 € excluding VAT, based on cost data from the manufacturing supplier for large volumes.

It is difficult to find detailed information on how the total investment cost is divided between the different parts of a solar domestic hot water system in Sweden. Based on the author’s experience and information from different sources, a cost distribution as illustrated in Figure 6 was assumed [38,39]. Note that the cost of the solar storage was set to zero for the retrofitted system since the existing hot water heater is reused.

![Figure 6](image-url)  
**Figure 6.** Costs of the different parts of the conventional and retrofitted solar domestic hot water systems, including VAT.
The LCC calculations were based on an assumed life cycle of 25 years [12] and the residual value of the building and building materials was set to zero. An annual cost for covering maintenance such as replacement of the heat carrier fluid and pumps was set to 20 €/year for each of the systems. It was assumed that the existing domestic hot water heater was maintenance-free. No degradation rate for any of the systems was considered. The LCC calculations were performed for three cases: the retrofitted solar domestic hot water solution, a conventional solar domestic hot water system and the base case without any solar heating system. The results are compared in the next chapter.

The annual solar fraction for the conventional solar domestic hot water system was set to 50% as this is commonly reported in the climate where the study was conducted [24,38,40,41]. The solar fraction of the retrofitted solar domestic hot water system was measured and is reported in the next section.

4. Results

Figure 7 illustrates the measured monthly solar fraction of the retrofitted solar domestic hot water system. Values for January and February are missing since insufficient hot water was provided, forcing the users to turn on the auxiliary heater in the bottom of the retrofitted hot water boiler to a preheating temperature (#13 in Figure 2). During this period, solar energy savings could not be calculated, since the energy provided by the existing storage was a combination of energy from the electric heater and solar collectors. These two months were excluded from the energy savings because the system was not able to keep up with the demand. The annual energy needs and energy savings are presented in Table 4.

Table 4. Measured annual values of the solar fraction and auxiliary energy need, and the corresponding calculated annual energy savings and energy need.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Calculated Annual Energy Need (kWh/y)</th>
<th>Measured Auxiliary Energy (kWh/y)</th>
<th>Calculated Energy Savings (kWh/y)</th>
<th>Measured Annual Solar Fraction (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5033</td>
<td>2564</td>
<td>2469</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 8 illustrates some of the measured temperatures and hot water discharge flow on 7 and 8 September, illustrating representative summer behaviour. During those days the incoming cold water temperature was approximately 16 °C. Once the temperature on the outlet of the solar collectors
(#4), shown in yellow, was higher than that of the bottom of the tank (#11), shown in blue, the pumps
started the charging process. It can be seen that stratification decreased initially, since water at a colder
temperature was placed at the top. This is explained by the fact that the inlet position is placed at
the top (Figure 2) while the controller compares the bottom temperature with the collector outlet
temperature in order to start the pumps. At approximately 20:00 on the first day, hot water was
discharged, which cools the bottom of the tank and builds up stratification. The discharge is shown in
black using the right-hand vertical axis.

![Figure 8](image)

**Figure 8.** Measured temperatures and flow of the retrofitted solar domestic hot water system on 7 and
8 September, illustrating representative summer behaviour.

Figure 9a shows the net present value during 25 years for three cases: base case (without solar
energy), shown in blue; the retrofitted solar domestic hot water system, shown in red; and the
conventional solar domestic hot water system, shown in green. With the assumptions applied, the
payback time of the retrofitted system is approximately 17 years while the conventional system never
becomes profitable. In Figure 9b the investment cost is compared to the life cycle profit after 25 years
for each of the solar thermal systems. Only the retrofitted system is expected to reach any profit.

![Figure 9](image)

**Figure 9.** (a) Life cycle cost based on net present value for the base case (without solar energy),
retrofitted system, and a conventional solar domestic hot water system; (b) Life cycle profit (LCP) and
investment cost for the retrofitted system and the conventional systems.

Figure 10 shows a sensitivity analysis of the LCP calculations by varying some of the assumed
inputs by \( \pm 20\% \). The analysis shows that the result of the LCP calculation for the retrofitted system is
always positive, but mainly negative for the conventional system. It can also be noted that the input
parameters with the strongest influence on the LCP result are the cost of electricity, investment cost
and lifetime.
would be 4000 kWh instead \[43\]. It is important to note that the measured load of 5000 kWh/year corresponds to gross consumption, i.e., including circulation losses. The measured annual solar fraction of nearly 50% is in line with previous theoretical estimations \[24\] and laboratory measurements \[25\], and the energy savings would be somewhat higher if energy production in January and February had been included. The collectors were placed on the same slope as a roof tilted 20° from horizontal facing south-east. At an optimal orientation of approximately 40° tilt from horizontal facing south, the annual received global solar radiation would increase approximately by 10% which would in turn increase the annual solar fraction.

The estimated annual domestic hot water load of approximately 5000 kWh/year is roughly in accordance with the rule of thumb of 4500–4800 kWh/year mentioned by the Swedish Energy Agency for single-family houses \[42\]. If one follows measurements performed on domestic hot water consumption, the expected hot water load for a Swedish single-family house with five inhabitants would be 4000 kWh instead \[43\]. It is important to note that the measured load of 5000 kWh/year corresponds to gross consumption, i.e., including circulation losses. The measured annual solar fraction of nearly 50% is in line with previous theoretical estimations \[24\] and laboratory measurements \[25\], and the energy savings would be somewhat higher if energy production in January and February had been included. The collectors were placed on the same slope as a roof tilted 20° from horizontal facing south-east. At an optimal orientation of approximately 40° tilt from horizontal facing south, the annual received global solar radiation would increase approximately by 10% which would in turn increase the annual solar fraction.

The estimated annual domestic hot water load of approximately 5000 kWh/year is roughly in accordance with the rule of thumb of 4500–4800 kWh/year mentioned by the Swedish Energy Agency for single-family houses \[42\]. If one follows measurements performed on domestic hot water consumption, the expected hot water load for a Swedish single-family house with five inhabitants would be 4000 kWh instead \[43\]. It is important to note that the measured load of 5000 kWh/year corresponds to gross consumption, i.e., including circulation losses. The measured annual solar fraction of nearly 50% is in line with previous theoretical estimations \[24\] and laboratory measurements \[25\], and the energy savings would be somewhat higher if energy production in January and February had been included. The collectors were placed on the same slope as a roof tilted 20° from horizontal facing south-east. At an optimal orientation of approximately 40° tilt from horizontal facing south, the annual received global solar radiation would increase approximately by 10% which would in turn increase the annual solar fraction.

The level of thermal comfort was, in this case, not sufficient in the winter during these months. This was expected from previous calculations for such a large family size in comparison with the size of the auxiliary heater (35 litres) \[25\]. Nevertheless, this was one of the aspects that were to be tested. The main ways to solve this problem are to either increase the volume of the auxiliary storage or to use the existing hot water storage for preheating in the winter \[25\]. In climates where solar radiation is more evenly distributed throughout the year, this challenge should be easier to overcome \[25\]. Since the added auxiliary storage ensures that the hot water load is met, even during winter, the more evenly distributed the solar radiation is throughout the year, the lower the required volume of the auxiliary storage becomes. Also, a higher level of annual solar radiation and higher ambient temperatures will naturally decrease the required collector area for the same annual energy production \[25\].

The recently developed valve generally behaved as expected, but further development and testing is required to ensure reliability and long lifetime. As illustrated in Figure 8, the control mechanism of the charging mode needs improvement in order to increase temperature stratification during charging.

In comparison with the base case without any solar heating system, the life cycle cost analysis showed no profitability for a conventional solar domestic hot water system and long payback time for the retrofitted solution. However, it is important to note that the cost analysis was carried out for Swedish conditions, which can be seen as a worst case scenario where electricity is cheap and the annual level of solar radiation is relatively low. The sensitivity analysis performed on some of the input parameters for the life cycle profit calculation did not show any single parameter that had a noticeably
greater impact than the others; however, it did show that the results of the life cycle profit calculations are always positive for the retrofitted system but mainly negative for the conventional system.

Until 2011 there was a subsidy for solar thermal heating technology in Sweden [38]. The year subsidy was removed, the installation of new solar thermal collectors decreased to a third and continued decreasing thereafter at a slower rate [37]. One of the contributing factors is the profitability results shown in Figure 9a,b. The investigated retrofitted system has the potential to be more cost-effective if manufactured at larger volumes. In order to reach large production volumes, the retrofitted system needs to successfully get a market share in competition with other solutions for domestic hot water energy saving such as small air-to-water heat pumps which have recently entered into this specific market [44,45].

It is important to point out that the retrofitted system should only be considered in cases where the existing hot water storage is still estimated to have a long lifetime, or else the retrofitting may be pointless. The manufacturer of the most common brand of hot water heaters in Sweden claims that such storages have often long lifetimes, particularly if the electric heater, which is often a weak point, is not used [46]. The connection unit must be made more compact in order to simplify and reduce installation time. Technical drawings show that all components could be fitted in one connection unit with dimensions under 60 cm × 60 cm × 60 cm. Since most of the hot water heaters have base dimensions of 60 cm × 60 cm, the connection unit could be stacked on top of most hot water heaters, thereby further simplifying the installation.

The retrofitted solution is more dependent on the type of hot water storage and heating system and the domestic hot water load than the type of building itself. In this study, the retrofitted solar domestic hot water system was implemented by reusing the existing electric hot water storage for solar domestic hot water production. However, the same concept could also be applicable for storages using other energy sources as long as the hot water outlet is placed at the top and the cold water inlet at the bottom so that solar charge and hot water discharge are performed favourably, as illustrated in Figure 2. Such an example are pellets/wood hot water heaters which are almost as common as electric hot water heaters in Sweden [23]. In such case, the profitability is expected to decrease since pellets/wood is cheaper than electricity in Sweden. On the other hand, the house owner will benefit from long periods during the summer where refilling and cleaning the pellets/wood burner is not needed.

As it is designed today, the investigated retrofitted solar thermal system is not suitable for being installed in combination with a water-based space heating system. The main reason for this is that the capacity of the added auxiliary hot water storage is too small to cover the whole energy demand for both domestic hot water and space heating in the winter period. The heater placed at the bottom of the existing storage could be used for preheating but this would decrease the solar contribution and therefore the solar fraction. This is further discussed in [25]. When it comes to office buildings and multi-family houses in Sweden, these are commonly connected to district heating and therefore do not use heat storage. Hence, such retrofitting is not possible in this case.

6. Conclusions

A prototype of a retrofitted solar domestic hot water system was evaluated in a Swedish single-family house over one year. The retrofitting involved reusing the existing hot water heater to store solar heat when installing new solar collectors, to reduce the investment cost. The measured annual solar fraction was nearly 50% of the annual domestic hot water load of approximately 5000 kWh/year. This annual performance is comparable to that of conventional solar hot water systems. However, in this case, the level of thermal comfort, i.e., the capability to provide the required hot water temperature to the load, was, in this case, not sufficient in the winter. This can be solved by either increasing the volume of the auxiliary storage or turning on the existing hot water storage for preheating in the winter. The life cycle cost analysis showed that the retrofitted system is estimated to have a payback time of 17 years and a profit of approximately 1500 € after 25 years for an investment cost to the final customer of 4250 €. In contrast, a conventional solar hot water system did not show
any profitability during its lifetime of 25 years for an investment cost to the final customer of 6250 €. The sensitivity analysis on some of the input parameters for the cost analysis showed that the result of the life cycle profit calculation is always positive for the retrofitted system but mainly negative for the conventional system.
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