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Abstract: Stopping the plasma-enhanced chemical vapor deposition (PECVD) once and maintaining the film in a vacuum for 30 s were performed. This was done several times during the formation of a film of i-layer microcrystalline silicon (µc-Si:H) used in thin-film silicon tandem solar cells. This process aimed to reduce defect regions which occur due to collision with neighboring grains as the film becomes thicker. As a result, high crystallinity ($X_c$) of µc-Si:H was obtained. Eventually, a solar cell using this process improved the conversion efficiency by 1.3% (0.14 points), compared with a normal-condition cell. In this paper, we propose an easy method to improve the conversion efficiency with PECVD.
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1. Introduction

Stable high quality hydrogenated microcrystalline silicon (µc-Si:H) films which are used in thin-film silicon tandem solar cells have been difficult to make since the quality of µc-Si:H varies depending on its underlying shape or film thickness [1]. This is because a defective component in the µc-Si:H film is affected by transparent conductive oxide (TCO) texture, as the film thickness of the microcrystalline silicon increases [2]. To avoid a defective region being formed and achieve high conversion efficiency ($Eff$), the surface morphology of TCO was modified to have a blunt angle or rounded shape [3]. Recently, École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne has developed thin-film silicon solar cells with 12.63% efficiency [4]. Also, as the current maximum value of $Eff$, the National Institute of Advanced Industrial Science and Technology (AIST) reported the $Eff$ of 12.69% with a triode plasma-enhanced chemical vapor deposition (PECVD) reactor [5].

As a model of a defective region generated, when µc-Si:H is grown from the slope of the texture shape of TCO, a defective region ingenerates at the collided point of each µc-Si:H [6,7]. A defective region is affected by the underlying shape or the underlying film quality. It has been reported that the defective region consists of vacancies and a low-density amorphous region [2]. Previously, we proposed another factor for the formation of a defective region due to the shadowing effect on incident radicals [2], which should reduce the $X_c$ [8].

Beyond that, a defective region also occurs with the continuous deposition film after becoming thicker. This defect region was caused by colliding with the neighboring grains after the film become
thicker [9]. This is because µc-Si:H grows perpendicular to the textured substrate. The reduction in $X_c$ was revealed by micro-crystallinity depth profiling measurements [8,10]. An $X_c$ profiling technique was reported by Choong et al. who measured the $X_c$ profiling of the early-stage (<800 nm) of µc-Si:H. Therefore, in this report, we aim to reduce the collisions with the neighboring grains by stopping the PECVD once to make the epitaxial µc-Si:H film thinner (>1000 nm). Finally, a high conversion efficiency solar cell can be expected. Since the beginning period of discharge is an unstable process, the value of $X_c$ can be expected to decrease if epitaxial growth of µc-Si:H is halted by temporarily stopping the PECVD. Impurities which come from the deposition chamber also influence the quality of µc-Si:H.

As a comparative experiment to reduce the defective region correlating to the film thickness gain, an experiment was carried out. The experimental method is very simple; the plasma-discharge of very high frequency (VHF) PECVD should be stopped once and then the chamber with a vacuum is held for a few seconds (although this process stopped the epitaxial growth of µc-Si:H, this experimental method is referred to as “SEG”). In the actual experiment, SEG, which was done twice or three times, produces an equal thickness during the i-layer deposition. For ease of evaluating SEG, all samples were deposited with pulsed-PECVD [11]. This is because the pulsed-PECVD can be expected to reduce the ion-bombardment and short lifetime species [12,13]. Using different SEG method, characteristic improvement was reported by Urbain et al. [14]. Even though they used a different way of profiling the controlled SiH$_4$, the aim of suppressing the reduction of $X_c$ was the same as that of the SEG experiments. In only the experiment where SEG was done twice using the thin-film silicon tandem solar cells, this $X_c$ of test cells became higher than that of normal-condition cells, and it did not decrease during the process of forming a thick film as well as the case using $X_c$-adjustment layer [8]. Eventually, Eff improved by 1.3% (0.14 points), $V_{oc}$ by 0.011 V, and FF by 0.002 compared with those for the normal-condition cell.

2. Experimental Methods

In this study, actual thin-film silicon tandem solar cells were used for the evaluation of SEG. The structure of these cells was glass/tin oxide (SnO$_2$)/a-Si:H (p-i-n)/µc-Si:H (p-i-n)/back electrode [15]. For TCO, a commercial SnO$_2$-based TCO substrate (Asahi VU, Asahi Glass, Tokyo, Japan) was adopted. µc-Si:H and a-Si:H were deposited by plasma-enhanced chemical vapor deposition (PECVD).

Typically, when the µc-Si:H is deposited with PECVD, the plasma-discharge power is not stopped before reaching the target thickness. However, in this study, when the thickness reached one-third of t ($t/3$) and two-thirds of t ($2t/3$), SEG was done twice, if the total thickness of i-µc-Si:H is t (=2300 nm). The evacuation times of SEG were carried out in two modes: 30 s and 600 s, and these were labeled cells A and B, respectively. This degree of vacuum was under $5 \times 10^{-3}$ (pa). The vacuum time of 30 s was determined by the time required for the deposition gases (SiH$_4$/H$_2$) to me almost completely eliminated from the chamber. It is due to a return to the default deposition position. The impact of long time exposure of the cell to the vacuum chamber was compared with that for the cell with the 600 s exhaust time (B cells). Only the difference between cell A and cell B influences the impurities while discharge is halted. The structures of a normal-condition cell and a cell made using the SEG cell (cells A and B) are shown in Figure 1.

Additionally, different numbers of SEG experiments were carried out simultaneously. Since $X_c$ is correlated with the film thickness, the value of $X_c$ changes according to the number of SEG. When the thickness reached one-fourth of t ($t/4$), two-fourths of t ($2t/4$), and three-fourths of t ($3t/4$), SEG was done three times, if the total thickness of i-µc-Si:H is t (=2300 nm). One evacuation time of SEG was 30 s, which is the same as for cell A. This was labeled cell C. The degree of vacuum was under $5 \times 10^{-3}$ (pa), which is the same as for cells A and B. Table 1 summarizes these conditions.
Figure 1. Cell structure comparison between normal-condition, SEG (other than cell C) and a SEG cell (cell C). The i-μc-Si:H thicknesses were t. SEG was done when the thicknesses reached t/3 and 2t/3, t/4, 2t/4, and 3t/4.

Table 1. Comparison of i-μc-Si:H thickness constitution with normal-condition cells A, B and C; as well as normal-condition film and films A. One time of evacuations times of SEG, and total evacuations times of that with films A and cells A, B and C.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Normal Condition</th>
<th>Cell A/Film A</th>
<th>Cell B</th>
<th>Cell C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>i-layer constitution</td>
<td>t</td>
<td>t/3 + t/3 + t/3</td>
<td>t/4 + t/4 + t/4 + t/4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>total i-layer thickness</td>
<td>t (=2300 nm)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>exhaust time between i-layer (s)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>total exhaust time (s)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The cell position and temperature of the heater were maintained during SEG. After the SEG, the same gases as the deposition conditions were supplied for 30 s, and then plasma-discharge was restarted again. The total discharge time of μc-Si:H was the same for the whole duration of these experiments, although the discharge was stopped during the deposition. All the μc-Si:H treatment times with PECVD became longer by only around 5 min, except for cell B.

Furthermore, to verify the reproducibility of the SEG process, two other types of SEG tests were carried out. These test conditions were different from the conditions applied to cells A to C, such as the top layer and i-μc-Si:H. However, the SEG processes were carried out twice, the same as for cell A. The total thicknesses of i-μc-Si:H were 1500 and 1750 nm: these were labeled cells D and E, respectively. For cell D, the deposition conditions (thickness, gases, power and pressure) of i-μc-Si:H and the top layer condition were varied compared to other cells. Cell E was subjected to conditions different from those applied to cells A to D. The no-SEG cells were fabricated simultaneously, and were labeled as having been fabricated under the same normal conditions as those for cell D and cell E. Table 2 summarizes these conditions.

Table 2. Comparison of different i-μc-Si:H thickness (1500/1750 nm) SEG processes with cell D and normal-condition cell D, and cell E and normal-condition cell E. Single evacuation times of SEG and total exhaust time of cell.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Normal Condition (D)</th>
<th>Cell D</th>
<th>Normal Condition (E)</th>
<th>Cell E</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>i-layer constitution</td>
<td>t</td>
<td>t/3 + t/3 + t/3</td>
<td>t</td>
<td>t/3 + t/3 + t/3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>total i-later thickness</td>
<td>t (=1500 nm)</td>
<td></td>
<td>t (=1750 nm)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>exhaust time between i-layer (s)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>total exhaust time (s)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The thickness of the i-layer (α-Si:H) in the top layer was sufficient at 240 nm. This was to ensure that the top layer current would have no effect on the bottom layer current, since the bottom layer current has a rate-controlling effect on $I_{sc}$. 

For each condition test, five to eight cells were fabricated. The active area of each cell was 1 cm². The I–V characteristics of open circuit voltage (Voc), short circuit current (Isc), fill factor (FF), and conversion efficiency (Eff) were measured using a solar simulator under an air mass of 1.5 (AM 1.5) at 25 °C.

Pulsed PECVD was used at all times during the i-μc-Si:H process. As the pulsed PECVD conditions, a PECVD frequency of 40 MHz, a pulse modulation frequency of 30 kHz, and a duty ratio of 50% were adopted for i-layer deposition.

For the comparison of Voc, actual normal-condition and cell A cells were used in the analysis with a polishing method. This method was reported in [8]. The actual cell A was analyzed by secondary ion mass spectrometry (SIMS) [16]. Nitrogen and oxygen were analyzed through to the glass substrate from the back electrode side of the cell. SIMS measurement conditions were as follows: Equipment: CAMECA ims5f (CAMECA, Gennevilliers Cedex, France) Ion conditions: Cs+, 14.5 keV, approximately 30 nA; Measuring area: approximately 30 µm diameter; Depth: calibration by Si sputtering.

In addition to the evaluation of cells, μc-Si:H films have been analyzed simultaneously. In the film evaluations, Eagle XG (Corning, NY, USA) was used as the substrate. A 20 nm p-layer was first deposited on a bare substrate under the same conditions as the cell. Then, two types of process (normal-condition and SEG) were carried out at the same thicknesses as for the cell, and these were labeled as normal-condition film and film A. The total μc-Si:H thickness of the normal-condition film and film A were “t”, in the same manner as for the cell. For film A, when the thickness reached one-third of t (t/3) and two-thirds of t (2t/3), SEG processes were done in the same manner as for cell A. The evacuation time of the SEG of film A was 30 s. The i-μc-Si:H films of the normal-condition film and the film A were compared. Table 1 summarizes the conditions.

These two types of film (normal-condition film and film A) were analyzed by Raman spectrometry and Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR). The quality of the μc-Si:H film was different from that of a cell because it is affected by the underlying shape. This evaluation was a relative comparison among the films. To distinguish the crystallinity of the cell expressed by “Xc,” the crystallinity of the film is referred to as “Xc’”. The crystallinity of μc-Si:H was evaluated using a 514 nm wavelength and a Via Reflex Raman microscope (Renishaw, Gloucestershire, UK). The crystallinity evaluated by Raman spectroscopy was defined as Xc = I520/I480, where the peak heights of I480 and I520 are the wavenumbers of 480 and approximately 520 cm⁻¹, respectively [17,18]. Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) measurements using the attenuated total reflection (ATR) method were carried out using a Spectrum 100 instrument (PerkinElmer, Waltham, MA, USA) [19]. The following stretching modes (SM) of Si–H bonds were used to fit the IR spectra: oxide silicone (OxSiH₆) at about 2250 cm⁻¹, high SM (HSM) at 2100 cm⁻¹, middle SM (MSM) at 2040 cm⁻¹, low SM (LSM) at 2000 cm⁻¹, and extremely low SM (ELSM) at 1925 and 1895 cm⁻¹ [20–22]. Each SM was analyzed using the Gaussian mode [23], and each SM fraction was defined as the ratio of the total area of all SMs divided by that SM’s area. The LSM was used in the discussion section of this study. The two ELSM values were also simply expressed as ELSM.

3. Results

3.1. Comparison of Conversion Efficiency with the SEG Process Cell

Test results for the cells A–C are shown in Figure 2 and Table 3. Each of the values of Eff, Voc, Isc, and FF in Table 3 was the average for each condition. The error bars are also the standard deviation (δ) calculated for every condition. All results for the cells (five cells) are plotted in Figure 2. For cell A, Eff improved by 1.3% (0.14 points), Voc by 0.011 V, Isc by 0.0021, and FF by 0.002 compared with those for the normal-condition cell. The improved Isc and FF were within a standard deviation range. Simply performing SEG thus improved the Eff, Voc, Isc, and FF.

For cell B, which has the extended vacuum time, Eff improved by 1.1% (0.12 points), Voc by 0.008 V, Isc by 0.08 mA compared with those for the normal-condition cell. This result shows that SEG has similar effect to that of cell A. However, no added benefit of Eff is obtained by extending the vacuum
time. However, \( I_{sc} \) was higher than that for cells prepared under other conditions. The longer the vacuum time is, the higher the \( I_{sc} \) available is, but the value of the changed \( I_{sc} \) resembled the standard deviation range. The result of \( FF \) was within the margin of error.

![Graphs](image)

**Figure 2.** Results of each test cell: normal-condition cell, cell A, cell B, and cell C. For each cell type, five cells were fabricated. Points 1-5 were cells location of substrate.

**Table 3.** Results for each test cell. Each of the values of \( Eff, V_{oc}, I_{sc}, \) and \( FF \) was averaged for each condition. Error bars are standard deviation (\( \delta \)) calculated for each condition.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Normal-Condition Cell</th>
<th>Cell A</th>
<th>Cell B</th>
<th>Cell C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( V_{oc} ) (V)</td>
<td>1.345 ± 0.008</td>
<td>1.355 ± 0.003</td>
<td>1.353 ± 0.005</td>
<td>1.354 ± 0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( I_{sc} ) (mA)</td>
<td>11.194 ± 0.089</td>
<td>11.214 ± 0.029</td>
<td>11.272 ± 0.074</td>
<td>10.996 ± 0.121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( FF )</td>
<td>0.736 ± 0.007</td>
<td>0.738 ± 0.002</td>
<td>0.734 ± 0.005</td>
<td>0.730 ± 0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( Eff ) (%)</td>
<td>11.07 ± 0.06</td>
<td>11.21 ± 0.02</td>
<td>11.19 ± 0.03</td>
<td>10.86 ± 0.15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For cell C, which increased the number of SEG treatments to three, \( Eff \) decreased by 1.8% (0.21 points), \( I_{sc} \) by 0.20 mA compared with those for the normal-condition cell. Although \( V_{oc} \) improved by 0.008 V, it was not better than for cell A. At this time of the experiment, two SEG trials are better than three trials.

Test results for cells D and E are shown in Table 4 and Figure 3. For cell D, \( Eff \) increased by 13.1% (1.14 points), \( I_{sc} \) by 1.33 mA, \( FF \) by 0.005 compared with the normal-condition cell D. However,
$V_{oc}$ decreased by 0.027 V. For cell E, $Eff$ increased by 2.1% (0.21 points), $V_{oc}$ by 0.006, $I_{sc}$ by 0.31 mA compared with the normal-condition cell E. But increases of $V_{oc}$ and $I_{sc}$ were within a standard deviation range. However, $FF$ decreased by 0.009.

**Table 4.** Results for each test of cell D and cell E. Each of the values of $Eff$, $V_{oc}$, $I_{sc}$, and $FF$ was averaged for each condition. Normal condition of D is without SEG. Normal condition of E is without SEG. Error bars are standard deviation (δ) calculated for each condition.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Normal Condition (E)</th>
<th>Cell D</th>
<th>Normal Condition (D)</th>
<th>Cell E</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$V_{oc}$ (V)</td>
<td>1.337 ± 0.015</td>
<td>1.309 ± 0.021</td>
<td>1.325 ± 0.009</td>
<td>1.331 ± 0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$I_{sc}$ (mA)</td>
<td>9.099 ± 0.660</td>
<td>10.424 ± 0.380</td>
<td>10.289 ± 0.320</td>
<td>10.597 ± 0.306</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$FF$</td>
<td>0.718 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.724 ± 0.00</td>
<td>0.7335 ± 0.00</td>
<td>0.724 ± 0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Eff$ (%)</td>
<td>8.72 ± 0.49</td>
<td>9.87 ± 0.167</td>
<td>10.00 ± 0.213</td>
<td>10.21 ± 0.278</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 3.** Results of each test cell: cell D, cell E, normal-condition cell D, and normal-condition cell E. For each cell type, eight cells were fabricated. Points 1-8 were cells location of substrate.
The results for the all I–V characteristics are relative to the bottom cell condition, because the thickness of the i-layer (a-Si:H) of the top layer is sufficient. For cell B, for which the thickness of the i-layer on top is 240 nm, the $J_{sc}$ values of the top layer and bottom layer were 11.59 and 11.31 mA/cm$^2$, respectively, assuming external quantum efficiency (EQE). For cell D, for which the thickness of the top i-layer is 240 nm, the $J_{sc}$ values of the top layer and bottom layer were 11.12 and 9.38 mA/cm$^2$, respectively, with external quantum efficiency. The bottom layer current has a rate-controlling effect on $J_{sc}$, because a top i-layer thickness of 240 nm was adequate.

3.2. Analysis Results of Actual Crystallinity Profile of µc-Si:H along with Depth

The Raman analysis results of actual crystallinity profiles of the normal-condition cell and the cell A are shown in Figure 4. The relationship between the film thickness and $X_c$ is shown from the µc-Si:H thickness of zero. The obtained figure was plotted from the TCO side, and it also includes the thickness of the p-layer. This is because the p-layer of the bottom cell was µc-Si:H, but its thickness was very small (20 nm). The values of $X_c$ were normalized by the maximum value of $X_c$ among the normal-condition cell and the cell A.

![Figure 4. Results of Raman analysis of polished test cells; normal-condition cell and cell A. Values of $X_c$ were normalized by the maximum value of $X_c$ among normal-condition cell and cell A. $X_c$ was expressed from the TCO side.](image)

Both the $X_c$ values did not show any difference between the normal-condition cell and the cell A up to the half thickness ($t/2$) of µc-Si:H. However, $X_c$ of the normal-condition cell decreased at an around $2t/3$. This decrease in $X_c$ was probably caused by a defective region produced after the film thickness increased [8]. These decreases in the values of $X_c$ were measured at four points successively. The values of $X_c$ are connected smoothly, unlike the surrounding values. These decreases in $X_c$ are therefore not due to measurement error. The decreasing $X_c$ during the formation of a thick film inhibited the high $X_c$ of µc-Si:H and effected the $Eff$.

Conversely, there was no reduction in $X_c$ in the thickness direction with cell A. It means that SEG has prevented the defective region. In addition, $X_c$ increased with the increasing film thickness, and a maximum $X_c$ was confirmed. In the comparison of the $X_c$ profiles between the normal-condition cell and cell A, SEG yielded high values of $X_c$.

3.3. Secondary Ion Mass Spectrometry Analyses with Cell

Nitrogen impurity levels were analyzed with the normal-condition cell and the cell A shown in Figure 5. Since it was analyzed from the back-contact side by SIMS, the results were expressed in the direction reverse to the deposition direction. In the no SEG region of µc-Si:H, nitrogen was detected by about $2.5 \times 10^{18}$ (atom/cm$^3$). Nitrogen increased by approximately 10%–15% (about $2.9 \times 10^{18}$ (atom/cm$^3$)) in the layers of the SEG region: at $t/3$ and $2t/3$. In comparison, under normal conditions, it did not change significantly during the i-layer deposition. Nitrogen gas was never been used at all even after the deposition process in the process chamber. This nitrogen was brought form outside air by the
substrate of the cell or film, or was released as degassing from the wall of the deposition chamber. Nitrogen gas was incorporated into the µc-Si:H [24].

![Graph showing nitrogen and oxygen impurity profile](image)

**Figure 5.** Profile of impurities about nitrogen and oxygen in cell A which used the SEG by SIMS. The nitrogen profile for normal-condition cells is also shown. Results were expressed in the direction reverse to the deposition direction.

On the one hand, between the a-Si:H layer and the µc-Si:H layer, nitrogen was detected with a threefold increase \((1.0 \times 10^{19} \text{ atom/cm}^3)\) compared to other layers of µc-Si:H. When the processing equipment was changed from a-Si:H process to µc-Si:H process, cells were at once exposed to the atmosphere.

Oxygen impurity levels were analyzed with the cell A shown in Figure 5. Slightly more was detected in the SEG region of µc-Si:H in the same way as nitrogen. This was caused by the SEG. However, a difference level comparison between the SEG layer and no SEG layer was not as high as for nitrogen. The details are not noted here because the differences were negligible.

### 3.4. Film Analysis with FTIR and Raman

As a comparison of the normal-condition film and film A, the results of analysis by Raman spectrometry and FTIR are shown in Figure 6. The value of \(X_c'\) was normalized by a maximum value of film A.

![Graph showing film test results](image)

**Figure 6.** Analyzed results of film tests, normal-condition film and film A, by Raman spectrometry and FTIR. The values of \(X_c'\) were normalized by the value of the film A.

The film quality was different from the quality of the cell because that was deposited on the flat-glass rather than textured-TCO. The difference was spread significantly by the flat substrate. Thus, this confirmation was a relative comparison.

In film A, the LSM value was detected as stronger compared to a normal-condition film. This means that the film A contains a large amount of silicon monohydride (Si–H), so this component is expected to
terminate the dangling bonds in µc-Si:H [25]. Also, O₂SiH₃ which means crystalline grain boundaries was fewer than in the normal-condition film [19]. Owing to the SEG, the quality of µc-Si:H became better on the evaluation of the film.

However, Xₓ′ of film A was higher than that of the normal condition film. The higher Xₓ′, higher LSM, and lower O₂SiH₃ of i-µc-Si:H such as film A can yield a high solar cell Eff. Thus, SEG successfully improved the µc-Si:H quality.

4. Discussion

To reduce the defective region correlation with the film thickness gain, the SEG process was carried out. Under its influence, as seen in Figure 4, Xₓ of µc-Si:H does not decrease the value of Xₓ during the process of forming a thick film. As a result, the cell achieved a high Xₓ and the value of Xₓ′ increased. Also, as seen in Figure 6, the LSM value was detected as stronger than in a normal-condition film. Increasing the amorphous component such as LSM improved the quality of µc-Si:H by terminating the dangling bonds in µc-Si:H [26,27]. Eventually, the Eff, Vocc, and FF of cell A improved.

On the other hand, the difference between cell A and cell B, which was the test of discharge stop time, increased the Isc. This characteristic difference was led not only by the initial discharge instability, but also by the impurity incorporation. Nitrogen and oxygen were also detected on the SEG cell with SIMS. On another hand, the Xₓ of µc-Si:H is changed by nitrogen, according to Ehara [28]. In our study of film A, the LSM which corresponds to the amorphous component (Si–H) was increased using the SEG layer. From this circumstantial evidence, it is reasonable to assume that nitrogen, which comes from the SEG process, increased the amorphous component. More nitrogen in cell B increases the N donor in the amorphous component and may increase the charged Si dangling bonds [29] and finally increased the Isc more than cell A. Or oxygen was increased by SEG impurities in the growing film [30].

After the SEG, the amorphous component was deposited in the precursor defective region. The crystalline direction of µc-Si:H, which grows perpendicular to the textured substrate, was changed by the amorphous component [31]. As a result, collisions with the neighboring grains were reduced. The oxide might have influenced the Xₓ as well as nitrogen [32]. On the another front, a large amount of nitrogen was detected in the earlier thickness stage of µc-Si:H (around t = 0) in Figure 5. In exactly the same way, the value of Xₓ became low at its earlier thickness stage (around t = 0) in Figure 4. More nitrogen, which was carried from outside, might change it.

Moreover, in many of the SEG cell Cs, Vocc increased, and Isc and FF decreased. Since the µc-Si:H film formation was stopped before the Xₓ growth increases, the continuous deposition time of µc-Si:H was shortened, and much of the amorphous phase easily formed [8]. Therefore, much of the amorphous phase increased Vocc [3], and decreased Isc [33]. Since the shunt resistance was increased by the rising number of SEG, FF was decreased [34].

The other cells (D, E) showed improved Eff, even when certain conditions were changed. These results showed repeatability in how the SEG process improves efficiency. However, variations (increase or decrease) of Vocc, Isc, and FF did not show the same pattern. Thus, Eff changed depending on each condition. This is because the solar characteristic of i-µc-Si:H are determined by the proportion of µc-Si:H and a-Si:H. As a result, the best number for SEG varies depending on the i-layer condition. Double SEG does not always produce a high Eff, even though it showed improvement in this experiment. The number of SEGs can be determined by how high Xₓ becomes.

5. Conclusions

It was considered that stopping the plasma-discharge of PECVD during the deposition cannot generate a high quality film, because it is likely to contain impurities. However, in this study, stopping the plasma-discharge and applying vacuum for 30 s was done a few times very consciously during the deposition. This was aimed at increasing the Xₓ by avoiding collisions with the neighboring grains after the film became thicker. Then, collisions of µc-Si:H with each other were suppressed. Additionally, SEG improved the quality of the µc-Si:H film by increasing the amorphous component.
Finally, the $X_c$ value of holistic µc-Si:H became high. As a result, $Eff$ improved by 1.3% (0.14 points), compared with the normal-condition cell. This cell used the SEG process several times during the deposition of i-µc-Si:H. The optimal number of SEG applications depends on the conditions of the µc-Si:H film. It should be determined by how high $X_c$ becomes.
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