Electrochemical Properties for Co-Doped Pyrite with High Conductivity
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Abstract: In this paper, the hydrothermal method was adopted to synthesize nanostructure Co-doped pyrite (FeS$_2$). The structural properties and morphology of the synthesized materials were characterized using X-ray diffraction (XRD) and scanning electron microscopy (SEM), respectively. Co in the crystal lattice of FeS$_2$ could change the growth rate of different crystal planes of the crystal particles, which resulted in various polyhedrons with clear faces and sharp outlines. In addition, the electrochemical performance of the doping pyrite in Li/FeS$_2$ batteries was evaluated using the galvanostatic discharge test, cyclic voltammetry and electrochemical impedance spectroscopy. The results showed that the discharge capacity of the doped material (801.8 mAh·g$^{-1}$) with a doping ratio of 7% was significantly higher than that of the original FeS$_2$ (574.6 mAh·g$^{-1}$) because of the enhanced conductivity. Therefore, the doping method is potentially effective for improving the electrochemical performance of FeS$_2$.
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1. Introduction

During the past two decades, transition-metal sulfides have received a great deal of interest because of their wide range of applications [1–3]. In particular, pyrite (FeS$_2$) has attracted a good proportion of research attention and has long been considered one of the most attractive cathode materials for lithium
secondary batteries because of its high theoretic capacity (890 mAh·g⁻¹), low environmental pollution and affordable cost [4–8]. It is well known that FeS₂ is a semiconductor with low conductivity, which may result in poor electrical contact between the active particles and the conductive agent and low electrochemical reaction rate during cycling. To overcome the problem, some effective methods were used to improve its conductivity, such as chemical synthesis, doping and conductive polymer coating. The FeS₂ powder with small diameter and homogeneous distribution can be obtained using chemical synthesis methods, such as hydrothermal [9], sol-gel [10], and electrochemical precipitation [11]. Zhang et al. [12] obtained coated FeS₂ powder with polyaniline, and the electronic conductivity of the resultant powders was improved. Choi et al. [13] achieved modified FeS₂ material by adding Fe powder, and its electrochemical performance was significantly improved. However, the chemical synthesis and conductive polymer coating require a high temperature and complex operation, and, intrinsically, these methods did not improve the electronic conductivity of the crystal. Furthermore, the electronic characteristics can be tuned by modifying their electron filling with doping [14].

In the unit cell, the Fe atom occupies the corner and center of face of the polyhedron, and the S atoms are located at midpoint of the edge, where the octahedral is comprised of one Fe atom and six S atoms. Meanwhile, three Fe atoms and two S atoms are linked to constitute a tetrahedral coordination [15]. Based on this structure, Lehner et al. [16] found that (according to crystal field theory) Co and Fe were similar, where the low-spin Fe²⁺ is (t₂g)⁶ and low-spin Co²⁺ is (t₂g)⁶(eg)¹. According to the molecular orbital theory, both can form three π orbitals with the empty sulfur d orbitals. In the case of Co, the extra (eg)¹ electron is free to enter the conduction band as a charge carrier in the antibonding CoS₂σ* orbital, which is a result of the stabilization energy. The energy of this orbital is just below and overlapping the FeS₂σ* orbital energy range [17,18]. The energy decrease of the (t₂g)⁶ electrons compensates for the energy required to promote the (eg)¹ electron into the antibonding σ* orbital, assuming that one Co atom contributes one charge carrier and replaces the Fe atom in the pyrite unit cell. In addition, according to reports, CoS₂ is also a high-activity candidate electrode material for lithium-ion batteries [19], solar cells [20], and electrocatalysis [21].

In the present work, FeS₂ was obtained using simple hydrothermal synthesis. CoCl₂·6H₂O was considered a doping reagent, which was added to raw FeS₂ material, which was intended to immigrate into the FeS₂ lattice and substitute for Fe or the vacancies. As a result, the electrochemical performance of Co-doped FeS₂ for Li/FeS₂ batteries was improved. The highest initial discharge capacity of Co-doped FeS₂ was 801.8 mAh·g⁻¹, which was significantly higher than that of the original FeS₂ (574.6 mAh·g⁻¹).

2. Experimental Section

2.1. Preparation of the Samples

All chemical reagents (analytical grade) were used as received without further purification. Polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVP) and polyvinyl alcohol (PVA, the Degree of Polymerization: 1750 ± 50) solutions (3 wt%) were purchased from Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China).

First, 0.60 g PVP was dissolved in a mixed solution of 10 mL distilled water and 25 mL PVA. Then, 0.009 mol of ferrous chloride heptahydrate (FeCl₂·7H₂O) and a specific amount of Cobalt(II)
chloride hexahydrate (CoCl₂·6H₂O) were added to this solution under constant stirring to form a homogeneous solution. Subsequently, 39 mL 0.75 mol·L⁻¹ sodium hydroxide (NaOH) was dropwise added into the solution. Finally, 1.80 g sulfur powder (S) was added to the solution under magnetic stirring for 30 min. The mixture was sealed in a Teflon-lined stainless steel autoclave (80% filled) and heat-treated at 180 °C for 12 h. When the reaction finished, a black powder was obtained by centrifugation, which was rinsed with ethanol and distilled water three times and subsequently dried in an oven at 80 °C. This as-prepared powder was FeS₂. The powder was carried into a horizontal tube furnace and heat-treated under a flow of the high-purity Ar for 6 h at 400 °C (noted as DH-0). Meanwhile, FeS₂ doped with various Co concentrations (3 wt%, 5 wt%, 7 wt%, 9 wt% and 11 wt%) was marked as DH-3, DH-5, DH-7, DH-9 and DH-11, respectively. The actual Co concentrations are determined using wavelength dispersive X-ray fluorescence (WD-XRF).

2.2. Materials Characterization

The X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns of the samples were obtained using a Bruker/D8-FOCUS diffractometer at a voltage of 40 kV and a current of 40 mA, with Cu Kα radiation (0.1542 nm). The morphology was carried out with a Hitachi/SU8010 high-resolution field emission scanning electron microscope (SEM). In addition, the actual Co concentrations of all Co-doped FeS₂ samples was determined using a PANalytical/AxiosMax wavelength dispersive X-ray fluorescence spectrometer and the resistivity of all Co-doped FeS₂ samples was measured with a Radiant/RT66B ferroelectric tester, respectively.

2.3. Electrochemical Measurement

Electrochemical experiments were performed using coin-type cells, which were assembled in an argon-filled glovebox with lithium foil as the anode electrode at room temperature. The cathode was prepared by mixing 60 wt% active materials, 25 wt% carbon black, and 15 wt% polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF), which were added in N-methyl-2-pyrrolidone (NMP). Subsequently, the slurry was pasted onto the aluminum foil and allowed to dry at 65 °C in air. The resulting electrode contained ~6.6 mg of the active material, which is a thickness of 250 μm, a diameter of 15 mm, and an apparent area of ~1.766 cm². The Celgard 2400 membrane was used as the separator, and 1 M LiClO₄·PC:DME (volume ratio of PC to DME is 1:1) was used as the electrolyte.

The discharge measurements were operated at a voltage range of 1.0–2.5 V on a Arbin/BT2000 battery testing instrument at 0.2 mA·cm⁻² (53.5 mA·g⁻¹). Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) was performed on a Bio-Logic/VMP3 electrochemical workstation over a frequency range of 100 kHz to 10 mHz with an amplitude of 5 mV.

3. Results and Discussion

The WD-XRF quantitative analysis results indicates the Co concentration of DH-0, DH-3, DH-5, DH-7, DH-9, and DH-11 are 0 wt%, 2.93 wt%, 4.75 wt%, 6.31 wt%, 8.17 wt%, and 10.03 wt%, respectively. Meanwhile, as shown in Figure 1, the characteristic peaks of all samples are indexed as cubic pyrite FeS₂ (JCPDS No. 71-0053), which indicates that the crystal structure of FeS₂ was not
changed with Co doping. The patterns of the heat-treated FeS$_2$ and doped FeS$_2$ show a tiny peak at 44.06°, which is attributed to pyrrhotite (Fe$_7$S$_8$), which formed during the thermal process. Meanwhile, the peak intensity of DH-9 and DH-11 significantly decreased, which suggests that Co doping could suppress the formation of Fe$_7$S$_8$.

The resistivity of DH-0, DH-3, DH-5, DH-7, DH-9 and DH-11 is $1.25 \times 10^{-2}$, $3.72 \times 10^{-2}$, $1.02 \times 10^{-2}$, $8.65 \times 10^{-3}$, $4.32 \times 10^{-3}$ and $1.97 \times 10^{-4}$ Ω·cm, respectively. This result indicates that the resistivity of Co-doped FeS$_2$ samples decreased gradually with the increase of Co concentration, which is in accordance with the results reported by Oertel et al. [22] The carrier concentration of FeS$_2$ increased and its conductive type transformed from p-type to n-type with the doping of Co cation.

In addition, as shown in Figure 2, the SEM pictures verify that DH-7 shows significant face and sharp outline of the polyhedrons, which indicates that the Co doping could change the growth rate of different crystal planes. Although the Co doping could not change the crystal structure of FeS$_2$, it could change the morphology of the doped FeS$_2$ samples.
As shown in Figure 3, the Co-doped samples have higher initial discharge capacities than FeS$_2$, particularly DH-7, which reached 801.8 mAh·g$^{-1}$. Furthermore, because of the lowest charge transfer resistance and highest Li$^+$ diffusion rate (Table 1), DH-7 shows the best electrochemical performance among all doped samples. This result indicates that the electrochemical performance of FeS$_2$ can be improved by Co doping, and the optimum concentration of doping is not the highest one. Compared with the non-heat-treated FeS$_2$, the electrochemical properties of the samples DH-0 were improved. This result is mainly related to two reasons. First, the impurity was removed to some extent after the calcination, which made the sample structure more suitable for electrochemical reaction [23]. Second, the surface of the heat-treated material was changed and might generate adsorption sites [24], which facilitated the absorption of Li$^+$ and improved the electrochemical performance.

The 1st discharge curves of all samples in Figure 4a reveal one discharge plateau and two charge plateaus, except the original FeS$_2$. The single discharge plateau is likely related to the high discharge current density (53.5 mA·g$^{-1}$) [25,26]. The increased conductivity of Co-doped FeS$_2$ improved the reactivity, which resulted in the 2nd incomplete charge plateau at 2.46 V because of the low charge potential limit. In addition, as shown in Figure 4, the 1st and 10th discharge curves of DH-7 reveal the lowest charge plateau, which corresponds to the lowest level of polarization and probably accounts for its better electronic conductivity.
EIS measurement was used to investigate the charge transfer resistance, as shown in Figure 5. Meanwhile, the measured impedance data were analyzed using the ZSimpWin software, as listed in Table 1. The Warburg coefficient \[ \sigma_w \] is the slope for the function of \( Z' \) vs. \( \omega^{-1/2} \), where \( \omega \) is the angular frequency in the low-frequency region (Figure 5b). In addition, the diffusion coefficient values of the lithium ions \( (D_{Li}) \) [28] and conductivity values \( (\sigma) \) [29] can be determined from Equations (1) and (2), respectively.

\[
D_{Li} = 0.5 \frac{RT}{AF^2 \sigma_w C^2} \tag{1}
\]

\[
\sigma = t / R_{ct} \cdot A \tag{2}
\]
Figure 5. Nyquist plots with the equivalent circuits (a) and curves for the functions of $Z'$ vs. $\omega^{-1/2}$; (b) for the samples.

Table 1. Impedance parameters of samples.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>$R_{ct}$ (Ω)</th>
<th>$\sigma_w$ (Ω·cm²·s⁻0.5)</th>
<th>$D_{li}$ (cm²·s⁻¹)</th>
<th>$\sigma$ (S·cm⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FeS₂</td>
<td>234.0</td>
<td>55.6</td>
<td>$3.7 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
<td>$9.7 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DH-0</td>
<td>118.8</td>
<td>176.5</td>
<td>$3.6 \times 10^{-8}$</td>
<td>$1.9 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DH-3</td>
<td>137.5</td>
<td>101.6</td>
<td>$1.1 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
<td>$1.6 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DH-5</td>
<td>52.3</td>
<td>29.3</td>
<td>$1.3 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
<td>$3.3 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DH-7</td>
<td>43.9</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>$4.9 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>$5.1 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DH-9</td>
<td>87.9</td>
<td>102.4</td>
<td>$1.1 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
<td>$2.6 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DH-11</td>
<td>105.1</td>
<td>65.1</td>
<td>$2.7 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
<td>$2.2 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 5a displays the Nyquist plots for all samples. The semicircle in the high-frequency range is related to one set of parallel resistor and capacitor [30–32]. As shown in Figure 5 and Table 1, DH-7 had the lowest charge-transfer resistance ($R_{ct}$) and highest $D_{li}$, which suggests the highest activity of electrochemical reactions and fastest migration of lithium ions. These results indicate that DH-7 is the most suitable Co-doped samples for the electrochemical reaction, which is probably the result of better electronic conductivity (Table 1) and specific morphology (Figure 2) of DH-7.

4. Conclusions

In this study, Co-doped FeS₂, which was synthesized using a hydrothermal method, and its electrochemical properties were measured. The results show that the Co element can significantly improve the conductivity and change the morphology of FeS₂. As a result, the electrochemical performance of Co-doped FeS₂ was improved. In particular, the Co-doped sample with 7 wt%, it had the best electrochemical performance with excellent morphology, highest electronic conductivity, and highest migration rate of lithium ions.
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