A New Adsorbent Composite Material Based on Metal Fiber Technology and Its Application in Adsorption Heat Exchangers
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**Abstract:** In order to achieve process intensification for adsorption chillers and heat pumps, a new composite material was developed based on sintered aluminum fibers from a melt-extraction process and a dense layer of silico-aluminophosphate (SAPO-34) on the fiber surfaces. The SAPO-34 layer was obtained through a partial support transformation (PST) process. Preparation of a composite sample is described and its characteristic pore size distribution and heat conductivity are presented. Water adsorption data obtained under conditions of a large pressure jump are given. In the next step, preparation of the composite was scaled up to larger samples which were fixed on a small adsorption heat exchanger. Adsorption measurements on this heat exchanger element that confirm the achieved process intensification are presented. The specific cooling power for the adsorption step per volume of composite is found to exceed 500 kW/m³ under specified conditions.
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1. Introduction

In the development of adsorption heat pumps and chillers over the past decades, it has been a persistent goal to increase the volume-specific cooling or heating power to achieve more compact and light-weight units. The design of improved adsorption heat exchangers continues to take a central place in this effort.

Perhaps the simplest concept of an adsorption heat exchanger is a bed of adsorbent grains in a volume, part of which is occupied by some kind of heat exchanger. This configuration is widely used, and it can simply be obtained by pouring adsorbent grains into the volume in which the heat exchanger has been placed, and possibly densifying the bed by vibration. Whereas in standard applications of adsorbent beds (such as gas separation) the focus is on selectivity, for heat pumps and chillers the focus is on the heat of adsorption and the intensity of the heat transfer processes (during exothermal adsorption and endothermal desorption).

Therefore, the enhancement of heat conductivity within the adsorbent layer or bed is discussed by many authors (see e.g., references in [1]). The best heat transfer achievable as the limiting case of an adsorbent bed is obtained for a single layer of adsorbent grains on each heat exchanger surface, which is an approach taken, for example, in some zeolite/water heat pumps that have become available on the market recently ([2–4]). As an alternative to designs with a single adsorbent bed, additives have been proposed to enhance thermal conductivity. Wang et al. [5] have shown that a composite of polyaniline and adsorbents leads to a four-fold rise in thermal conductivity of the bed, whereas the compression of the bed improves it only by a factor of 1.5. Zhang [6] enhances the heat transfer in a cylindrical double tube by inserting fins in an adsorbent bed, whereas Demir et al. [7] and Rezk, Al-Dadah, Mahmoud, and Elsayed [1] investigate the effect of metal additives. Many experimental investigations on the performance of adsorbent beds in combination with finned tubes or lamella heat exchangers have been done [8–11]. Other authors propose to use commercially available plate-fin heat exchangers [12–14]. An overview on different concepts is given by Sharafian and Bahrami [15]. Here, the mass-specific cooling power ranges from 0.02 to 0.8 kW/kg of the adsorbent. Improvement of the thermal contact between the adsorbent and the heat exchanger, beyond the “packed bed” concept in its “single layer of grains” limit, can be achieved by increasing the contact surface through a binding agent or glue.

Coating the heat exchanger surface directly reduces the thermal contact resistance between the heat exchanger and the adsorbent. Jaeschke and Wolf [16] propose to glue a single layer of silica gel onto the surface of a finned-tube heat exchanger, whereas Freni et al. [17] report an increase by a factor of 10 to 20 in power density for a binder-based coating with the silica gel/calcium chloride composite material SWS-1L onto finned tubes compared to a granular bed. Other binder-based coatings show similar behavior [18,19].

Direct crystallization allows binding the pure adsorbent directly onto the heat exchanger surface. The absence of additional material augments the mass ratio of adsorbent to non-adsorbent material [20] and often shows a high stability [21,22]. In some cases, direct crystallization leads to a much more
compact adsorbent layer (with a density close to the single-crystal density) than is achievable through a coating process. Different materials such as aluminum [23,24], copper [25], stainless steel [26], or graphite [27] can be used as a substrate.

Thick layers result in a higher adsorbent mass and thus an increase in Coefficients of Performance (COPs), but mass transfer may become the limiting factor. Especially when using water as a working fluid, the low operating pressure restricts the thickness of compact layers, whereas other working pairs like ammonia/activated carbon with a high operating pressure show less sensitivity to this restriction [28]. To overcome this issue, the surface area of the heat exchanger has to be enlarged considerably. The use of honeycomb structures [29], foams [25,30], or fiber material [31] has been proposed. Recently, Tatlier et al. [32] investigated coatings of zeolite A on stainless steel plates with different layer thickness (58–176 μm). They show that up to a certain layer thickness, the higher amount of adsorbents can compensate the reduction in rate of adsorption. Under the conditions investigated, an optimum was found for a layer thickness of 130–140 μm. An overview on recent developments in the field of coated adsorption heat exchangers and their characterization can be found in [33]. In this paper, we present a novel composite material of aluminum fibers coated by a silico-alumino-phosphate (SAPO-34) with a chabasite structure using a partial support transformation (PST) method as described by Bauer, Herrmann, Mittelbach, and Schwieger [23]. SAPO-34 has been shown to be a suitable adsorbent for heat transformation of low grade heat (<100 °C) [34–37]. Both the characteristics of the fiber material and the fiber-adsorbent composite are investigated. The application in adsorption processes is shown for small samples (30 mm × 30 mm × 3.9 mm). Finally, the feasibility of the scale-up to a new type of compact adsorption heat exchanger is presented and its water uptake behavior is examined.

2. Preparation of Composite Material

2.1. Aluminum Fiber Structures

Short fibers of the aluminum alloy AlCu5 (aluminum with 5 wt% copper), specially designed for the required sintering process, have been produced by crucible melt extraction (CME) [38]. Porous bodies of various external shapes can be manufactured from such fibers through a process of fiber deposition and sintering, as described in detail in [39]. The alloy AlCu5 has been chosen for reasons of sinterability and good heat conductivity. Its density was found slightly higher than that of pure copper (3000 kg/m³ instead of 2700 kg/m³).

As liquid phase sintering has to be applied in order to achieve a good material bonding between the individual fibers, a sufficiently large super-solidus temperature interval is necessary in order to achieve a stable process window. The microstructure of the sintered fiber samples shows finely dispersed Al2Cu precipitates with a higher concentration at the grain boundaries. A patent on such super-solidus sintered aluminum alloy fiber structures made from eutectic alloys has been granted [40].

The CME process yields fibers with a low variation in length (mean lengths are determined by shape of extraction disc, typically 3 to 15 mm ±10%) and mean fiber diameters ranging from 50 to 250 μm. The geometric standard deviation of the fiber diameter is typically in the order of 2. Sintered fiber structures show a pore size distribution around a mean value that depends in a complex way on the geometric properties of the individual fibers and on the parameters of the subsequent processing [41].
In general, individual pore sizes may reach from 5 to 500 μm, with the mean pore size ranging from 50 to 250 μm, depending on the chosen porosity and the fiber diameter, i.e., at the same porosity a finer diameter results in a finer mean pore size.

Similarly, the specific surface area may be adjusted by choosing a certain combination of porosity and mean fiber diameter. Attainable porosities range from 50% to 90%. The volume-specific surface area of these exclusively open-porous structures thus covers values from 2500 to 50,000 m²/m³.

The heat conductivity of such sintered metal fiber structures depends largely on the porosity level of the structure. Due to the manufacturing process, their heat conductivity has been found to be highly anisotropic [42]. Heat conduction along the direction of preferred fiber orientation may be two-to-three times higher than along the other directions. A factor influencing the degree of anisotropy is the fiber length, with longer fibers leading to stronger anisotropy. However, quantitative data on this hypothesis is not yet available.

The higher the relative density of the structure, the higher is the heat conductivity and the provided surface area for the zeolite coating. However, the accessibility of the structure for coating and transport of water vapor will be hindered when the resulting pore sizes are too small, therefore a good compromise was found with a porosity of 70% to 80% in conjunction with a fiber diameter of 100 to 200 μm, i.e., for the AlCu5 fiber batch D108 with a mean equivalent diameter of 125 μm and a measured volume-specific surface area of 30,000 m²/m³, the resulting specific surface area amounts to 6000 and 9000 m²/m³ for a porosity of 80% and 70%, respectively. The measurement of the specific surface area is based on metallographic cross sections of a larger number of single fibers (typically >500). Computed tomography has shown that the specific surface values after sintering are very close to the metallographically measured values, meaning that the loss of surface due to sintering is typically in the range of 5% to 10%. Effective heat conductivities in this porosity range lie between 5 and 25 W/(m K), depending on the actual porosity of the sample and the direction of the heat flux in relation to the fiber orientation.

2.2. In Situ Crystallization of SAPO-34 on Aluminum Fiber Structures

In situ crystallization of SAPO-34 on aluminum supports has been reported by Bauer, Herrmann, Mittelbach, and Schwieger [23] and in US 8,053,032 B2 [24]. This process can also be described as a consumptive crystallization, since the Al support is partially transformed into the micro-porous adsorbent material SAPO-34. During the first part of this formation of a zeolitic aluminum phosphate, the metallic aluminum is dissolved to be available as the required Al source. Since the dissolution is controlled by the reaction conditions, it is possible to adjust the loss of fiber thickness to an amount that keeps the fibers itself and the fiber-fiber sinter connections intact. The solder for soldering the fiber package onto the heat exchanger was chosen to withstand the dissolution. On the other hand, the controlled crystal growth on the surface locally protects the Al, which leads to an advantageous micro-structured Al-adsorbent interface with an enhanced area for heat transport. This property also results in a very good mechanical bond between metal and adsorbent, which is especially important in sorption applications with cyclic temperature changes.

The SAPO-34 loading was adjusted to cover the fiber package with a mean thickness of approximately 45 μm. This amount of adsorbent keeps the porosity through the composite for water vapor transport while a high mass of adsorbent is realized (mean macropore diameter: 150 μm; see Table 1).
Table 1. Material properties of composite material.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material property</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Heat Conductivity</td>
<td>W/m K</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean macropore diameter</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>$150 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macro porosity fibers</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macro porosity composite</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tortuosity</td>
<td></td>
<td>2–3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permeability</td>
<td>m$^2$</td>
<td>$1 \times 10^{-10}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The structure of the zeolite coating was confirmed to be CHA by the XRD pattern (X’Pert Pro MPD, see Figure 1). The mass of the formed adsorbent was determined by measuring the mass loss during calcination at 520 °C and comparison with powder data (SAPO-34 powder: 18.7% calcination mass loss) as well as by water adsorption on the basis of the SAPO-34 isotherm (see Equation (2)). ICP-OES (Perkin Elmer Plasma 400) measurements of zeolite removed from the support show a composition of $(Si_{0.06}Al_{0.49}P_{0.45})O_2$. The density of the adsorbent layer amounts to around 1500 kg/m$^3$. This value was calculated from the adsorbent mass and the layer thickness (measured by cross section SEM, Hitachi S 2400).

![Image](image_url)

Figure 1. (a) Microscopic view of a fiber-SAPO-34 sample; (b) XRD pattern of the SAPO-34 coated fiber sample; the reflexes of the fiber support are marked with Al.

2.3. Material Properties

The most important properties of the composite material and its application for adsorption heat exchangers (AdHEX) have been determined (see Table 1).

The thermal diffusivity of the composite material across the fiber structure has been determined with a flash method in a LFA 447 NanoFlash by Netzsch. With a specific heat capacity of 900 J/(kg K) [43] and a composite density of 1510 kg/m$^3$, the heat conductivity is found to be $9 \pm 1$ W/(m K) [44]. This is more than an order of magnitude higher than the heat conductivity of the adsorbent material and thus leads to a better heat transfer characteristic.

The pore size distribution of both the uncoated fibers as well as the composite material has been determined by mercury porosity under a protective gas atmosphere to prevent the formation of amalgam.
A maximum of the pore size distribution is found at a pore width of around 330 \( \mu \)m for the pure aluminum fiber matrix and 150 \( \mu \)m for the macropores of the composite structure.

As viscous flow is the main transport mechanism (Knudsen number \( <<1 \)) in the macropores of the composite material, the permeability \( \kappa \) can be determined by:

\[
\kappa = \Psi \frac{d_{\text{pore}}^2}{32 \cdot \mu}
\]

with \( \Psi \) being the macro-porosity of the composite, \( \mu \) the tortuosity, and \( d_{\text{pore}} \) the diameter of the macropores. By measurements in the slip flow regime (transition between Knudsen diffusion and viscous flow [45]), a tortuosity \( \mu \) of 2 to 3 has been determined [44]. Thus, a value in the order of \( 1 \times 10^{-10} \) \( \text{m}^2 \) can be calculated for the permeability.

3. Adsorption Characteristics of Small Sample

The adsorption behavior of the small sample of the composite material (see Figure 2) has been investigated by a Large Pressure Jump (LPJ) method in a set-up described by Schnabel, Tatlier, Schmidt, and Erdem-Şenatalar [25]. The sample is placed on a cold plate in a vacuum chamber: thermostats control the temperature of the cold plate and the sample is desorbed (here: at 95 °C), either against a vacuum pump or against a temperature-controlled water flask, setting a certain condenser pressure for the desorption process and thus enabling the preparation of the sample at a well-defined initial adsorbate loading. The pressure in the vapor chamber is the saturation pressure of the water flask (here: 10 °C, \textit{i.e.}, 12 mbar). The sample is cooled down to an adsorption temperature of 38.7 °C and adsorption is started by opening the valve between the vapor chamber and the measurement cell. The decrease in pressure in the combined volume over time is detected by a pressure sensor and the amount of water adsorbed by the sample can thus be determined with an accuracy of \( \pm 5\% \) under the assumption that water vapor is an ideal gas [46] (see Figures 3 and 4).

![Figure 2](image.png)

**Figure 2.** Sample of fiber-SAPO-34 composite material (0.03 m \( \times \) 0.03 m \( \times \) 0.0039 m), carrier: Al sheet of 0.4 mm thickness.
Figure 3. Water uptake (relative to final uptake) over time at 38.7 °C for small sample at a start loading of 0.06 g H2O/g Ade.

Figure 4. Surface temperature of sample and vapor pressure in measurement cell during water uptake as shown in Figure 3.

Figure 3 shows the water uptake over time measured at 38.7 °C. Further results are given in Table 2.

Table 2. Main parameters of small sample and prototype.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Small Sample</th>
<th>Prototype</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Volume</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>m³</td>
<td>0.0039 × 10⁻³</td>
<td>3 × 10⁻³ a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Profiles/support</td>
<td>m³</td>
<td>0.0004 × 10⁻³</td>
<td>0.495 × 10⁻³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fiber</td>
<td>m³</td>
<td>0.0035 × 10⁻³</td>
<td>0.315 × 10⁻³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mass</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mass adsorbent</td>
<td>kg</td>
<td>2.2 × 10⁻³</td>
<td>0.240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total mass b</td>
<td>kg</td>
<td>6.8 × 10⁻³</td>
<td>1.350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific values</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mass adsorbent per total mass</td>
<td>kg/kg</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mass adsorbent per volume composite</td>
<td>kg/m³</td>
<td>620</td>
<td>760</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: a Including void volume; b Measured at ambient conditions.
The sample adsorbs 180 mg of water in a short time: the time to reach 90% of the final uptake (t90) is 210 s, which leads to a volume-specific cooling power density of over 500 kW/m³ of the composite material.

4. Lab-Scale Adsorbent Heat Exchanger (AdHEX)

The application of the newly developed composite material is demonstrated in a lab-scale adsorption heat exchanger.

4.1. Construction and Coating

A first scale-up to a heat exchanger device has been realized. The design is based on aluminum extrusion profiles which are welded into a collector tube. The fibers are sintered before being applied onto the heat exchanger. In the second step, fiber stripes are soldered onto the extrusion profiles. The whole fiber heat exchanger is then coated with SAPO-34 by a partial support transformation technique as described in Section 2.2.

The adsorption heat exchanger (AdHEX) is depicted in Figure 5. Its main parameters are given in Table 2.

![Figure 5. (a) Adsorption heat exchanger (AdHEX); (b) Detailed view: some parts of the fiber composite material still show poor contact to the surface of the heat exchanger.](image)

4.2. Characterization

The kinetics of adsorption have then been measured in the set-up depicted in Figure 6. Its core part is a vacuum chamber in which the adsorption heat exchanger is placed. The water uptake is measured using a scale (WZA 8200, Sartorius, 8200 g ± 0.01 g) while the adsorption heat exchanger is connected to the hydraulic loop of the system. An evaporator is placed below the chamber and provides the water vapor to be adsorbed. During desorption, the same device is used as a condenser. Both the evaporator/condenser unit and AdHEX are equipped with volume flow and temperature sensors to determine the energy balance. The influence of different process parameters on the measurement of water uptake, such as temperature, pressure, and volume flow, has been investigated by Wittstadt et al. [47]. A maximum error of ±2 g in the measurement of water uptake has been determined.

Other than the measurement on small samples (see Section 3), adsorption takes place under quasi-isobaric conditions. After complete desorption, the connection between the evaporator/condenser and AdHEX is closed and both devices are cooled down to adsorption conditions. Due to the water
vapor, which remains in the free volume of the vacuum chamber, this step is not isosteric. Since the pressure inside the chamber is measured, the water loading of the sorbent material can be calculated using the equilibrium data of SAPO-34. The following standardized Dubinin approach \[48\] is used for their description.

\[
W(a) = \frac{a + c \cdot A + e \cdot A^2 + g \cdot A^3 + i \cdot A^4 + k \cdot A^5}{1 + b \cdot A + d \cdot A^2 + f \cdot A^3 + h \cdot A^4 + j \cdot A^5}
\]  

(2)

with \( W \) being the adsorbed volume in cm\(^3\)/g adsorbent and \( A \) the adsorption potential in J/g adsorbent. The parameters a–k can be found in Table 3.

![Figure 6. Schematic of the set-up for the characterization of small AdHEX.](image)

**Table 3.** Parameters for the standardized Dubinin characteristic curve.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>0.33657 cm(^3)/g</td>
<td>g</td>
<td>(-1.26124 \times 10^{-8}) (cm(^3)-g(^2))/J(^3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>(-0.00786) g/J</td>
<td>h</td>
<td>(3.86925 \times 10^{-11}) g(^4)/J(^4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c</td>
<td>(-0.00270) cm(^3)/J</td>
<td>i</td>
<td>(9.22605 \times 10^{-12}) (cm(^3)-g(^3))/J(^4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d</td>
<td>(2.53508 \times 10^{-5}) g(^2)/J(^2)</td>
<td>j</td>
<td>(-8.10788 \times 10^{-15}) g(^5)/J(^5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e</td>
<td>(8.39344 \times 10^{-6}) (cm(^3)-g)/J(^2)</td>
<td>k</td>
<td>(-2.48858 \times 10^{-15}) (cm(^3)-g(^4))/J(^5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f</td>
<td>(-4.35518 \times 10^{-8}) g(^3)/J(^3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A comparison of the water uptake behavior is given in Figure 7. The removal of the heat of adsorption influences the speed of the water uptake. With a very low volume flow of the heat transfer fluid (0.2 L/min, i.e., residual time of 100 s) the 90% uptake time is 1520 s, around ten times higher than for the small sample. With a residence time of only 10 s (2 L/min) this time can be reduced to 630 s.
A further intensification of the heat transfer to the fluid is not possible with the given heat exchanger geometry at a reasonable volume flow or pressure drop, respectively. As the flow channels have a small hydraulic diameter of around 3 mm, the flow stays laminar ($Re = 12$ and 121, respectively).

The absolute water uptake at the end of adsorption differs within the expected error range. The main influencing parameters are uncertainties in the mass of active adsorbent and the correlation of the equilibrium data.

A direct comparison of the uptake behavior of the AdHEX to the one of the small sample is not possible as the measurements have been carried out under non-isobaric conditions and, hence, the uptake per g of adsorbent differs: the small sample adsorbs only around 40% of the water that is adsorbed per g of adsorbent in the AdHEX (0.11 g/g and 0.22 g/g, respectively), since the end pressure arrives at 5.8 mbar for the small sample, whereas for the AdHEX measurements, the end pressure lies at 11.9 mbar. Figure 8 shows the start and end loadings for the measurements on the 39 °C isotherm calculated from the equilibrium data of SAPO-34 (characteristic curve, see Equation (2)).

The relative water uptake behavior of the small sample can be used as a best-case scenario. Less heat has to be removed and the heat removal is close to ideal.

Although the uptake behavior is considerable slower for the AdHEX with $t_{90}$ being three to seven times higher depending on the heat removal (see Figure 7), the volume-specific cooling power (VSCP) is slightly higher (see Figure 9). This is due to the fact that the composite material contains a higher amount of adsorbent material per volume. This outweighs the slower kinetics whereas the utilization of the sorbent material is lower in the AdHEX: the mass-specific cooling power (MSCP) is 0.77 kW/kg, which is 20% lower than the MSCP of the small sample (0.96 kW/kg). The rise-up time and sorption speed lie within the order of magnitude as reported in [19]. A direct comparison is difficult operation conditions as well as measurement procedure differences.
Figure 8. Isotherm at 39 °C for SAPO-34, calculated from the characteristic curve (Equation (2)). Open symbols indicate the start and end loading of all measurements. End loading of AdHEX differs slightly due to deviation in operation conditions (see Table 4).

Table 4. Parameters of the experimental characterization and main results of the water uptake behavior.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Small Sample</th>
<th>AdHEX</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Volume flow of heat transfer fluid</td>
<td>0.2 L/min</td>
<td>2 L/min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desorption temperature</td>
<td>95 °C</td>
<td>92 °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adsorption temperature</td>
<td>38.7 °C</td>
<td>39.2 °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Starting pressure</td>
<td>2.4 mbar</td>
<td>2.4 mbar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End pressure</td>
<td>5.8 mbar</td>
<td>11.9 mbar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pressure Jump</td>
<td>2.4–12.0 mbar</td>
<td>2.4–12.1 mbar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condenser temperature/pressure</td>
<td>30 °C/42 mbar</td>
<td>24 °C/30 mbar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Start loading (calculated)</td>
<td>0.06 g/g</td>
<td>0.06 g/g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End loading (calculated)</td>
<td>0.17 g/g</td>
<td>0.28 g/g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rel. water uptake (calculated)</td>
<td>0.11 g/g</td>
<td>0.22 g/g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abs. water uptake (calculated)</td>
<td>0.22 g</td>
<td>52.3 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rel. water uptake (measured)</td>
<td>0.09 g/g</td>
<td>0.23 g/g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abs. water uptake (measured)</td>
<td>0.18 g ± 0.01 g</td>
<td>55 g ± 2 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time 90% uptake ( t_{90} )</td>
<td>210 s</td>
<td>1520 s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rise-up time ( t_{80-15} )</td>
<td>118 s</td>
<td>1114 s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sorption speed ( V_s )</td>
<td>920 × 10(^{-6}) 1/s</td>
<td>187 × 10(^{-6}) 1/s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water uptake at ( t_{90} )</td>
<td>0.16 g</td>
<td>49.9 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cooling power (( t_{90} ))</td>
<td>2 W</td>
<td>80 W</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Volume-specific cooling power (VSCP)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>per volume composite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>per volume composite + HEX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>per total volume AdHEX</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mass-specific cooling power (MSCP)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>per mass adsorbent</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{(1)}\): Fiber on profile as used for AdHEX \(^{(2)}\): As \(^{(1)}\), but plus same percentage of void volume as in AdHex.
Figure 9. Mass-specific (MSCP) and volume-specific (VSCP) cooling power for the adsorption half-cycle calculated with 90% loading time of the composite material as a small sample and in an AdHEX.

In the AdHEX, the contact between the composite material and the heat exchanger surface for this first prototype is poor in some regions (see Figure 5b). A reduction of the thermal contact resistance might lead to a better performance if the mass transport into the adsorbent layer is not limiting the process. This question cannot be answered by just evaluating the experimental data, but has to be analyzed in a simulation with a detailed model of heat and mass transfer.

5. Conclusions

A new composite material made from AlCu5 fibers which are coated with SAPO-34 by an in situ crystallization process has been developed. The high surface area of the fiber structures allows a high amount of adsorbent per volume (up to 760 kg/m³) with a low adsorbent layer thickness. In combination with lowering the heat transfer resistance by soldering the fiber structure onto the support, a good heat transport characteristics i rea lized. A VSCP of 545 kW per m³ of composite material measured on a small sample makes it favorable for adsorption chillers or heat pumps.

A scale-up from small samples to an AdHEX has been realized with an even higher amount of adsorbent mass and cooling power per volume of the composite. Further optimization is expected by enhancing the contact to the heat exchanger surface and by optimizing the heat transfer on the fluid side. Still, the vapor transport should be taken into account for the development of a more compact AdHEX design.
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Nomenclature

\[ A \quad J/g \quad \text{Adsorption potential} \]
\[ \text{Re} \quad \text{Reynolds number} \]
\[ t_{50} \quad s \quad \text{Time to reach 50\% of final loading} \]
\[ t_{80-15} \quad s \quad \text{Rise-up time (time span between 15\% and 80\% of final loading)} \]
\[ t_{90} \quad s \quad \text{Time to reach 90\% of final loading} \]
\[ V_s \quad 1/s \quad \text{Sorption speed (loading } (t_{50})/t_{50} \text{)} \]
\[ W \quad \text{cm}^3/g \quad \text{Adsorbed volume} \]
\[ \kappa \quad \text{m}^2 \quad \text{Permeability} \]
\[ \mu \quad \text{-} \quad \text{Tortuosity} \]
\[ \psi \quad \% \quad \text{Macro porosity of composite material} \]

Abbreviations

AdHEX \quad \text{Adsorption heat exchanger} \]
COP \quad \text{Coefficient of performance} \]
ICP-OES \quad \text{Inductively Coupled Plasma-Optical Emission Spectroscopy} \]
PST \quad \text{Partial support transformation} \]
MSCP \quad \text{Mass-specific cooling power} \]
SEM \quad \text{Scanning electron microscopy} \]
VSCP \quad \text{Volume specific cooling power} \]
XRD \quad \text{X-ray diffraction} \]
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