

Article

A Revisit to the Hydrogen Desorption/Absorption Behaviors of LiAlH₄/LiBH₄: Effects of Catalysts

Pattaraporn Sridechprasat¹, Labhatrada Phuirot¹, Pramoch Rangsunvigit^{1,2,*}, Boonyarach Kitiyanan^{1,2} and Santi Kulprathipanja³

- ¹ The Petroleum and Petrochemical College, Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok 10330, Thailand; E-Mails: nneayy@hotmail.com (P.S.); 1_phuirot@yahoo.co.th (L.P.); boonyarach.k@chula.ac.th (B.K.)
- ² Center of Excellence for Petrochemical and Materials Technology, Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok 10330, Thailand
- ³ UOP, A Honeywell Company, 50 East Algonquin Rd., Des Plaines, IL 60017, USA; E-Mail: santi.kulprathipanja@uop.com
- * Author to whom correspondence should be addressed; E-Mail: pramoch.r@chula.ac.th; Tel.: +66-2-218-4135; Fax: +66-2-215-4459.

Received: 31 July 2012; in revised form: 5 September 2012 / Accepted: 19 September 2012 / Published: 21 September 2012

Abstract: The hydrogen desorption/absorption behaviors of LiAlH₄/LiBH₄ with a focus on the effects of catalysts, namely TiCl₃, TiO₂, VCl₃, and ZrCl₄, were investigated using a thermal-volumetric apparatus. The hydrogen desorption was performed from room temperature to 300 °C with a heating rate of 2 °C min⁻¹. The LiAlH₄–LiBH₄ mixture with a molar ratio of 2:1 decomposed between 100 and 220 °C, and the hydrogen desorption capacity reached up to 6.6 wt %. Doping 1 mol % of a catalyst to the mixture resulted in the two-step decomposition and a decrease in the hydrogen desorption temperature. All the doped samples provided lower amountz of desorbed hydrogen than that obtained from the undoped one. No hydrogen absorption was observed under 8.5 MPa of hydrogen pressure and 300 °C for 6 h. Despite the fact each of the catalysts may affect the hydrogen storage behaviors of the mixture differently, none resulted in a change in the sample reversibility.

Keywords: LiAlH₄; LiBH₄; hydrogen storage

1. Introduction

For decades, concerns about limited fossil fuel reservoirs have increased alarmingly. In addition, increases in fossil-fuel consumption are causing global environmental issues due to CO_2 emissions. As the most promising alternative fuel, hydrogen is attractive as a clean energy source. Unlike petroleum, hydrogen can be produced from renewable sources such as water, sunlight, and biomass, thereby reducing the growing dependence on fossil fuels [1,2]. Furthermore, it can generate electricity by reacting with oxygen in PEM fuel cells with only water as the by-product without greenhouse gas emissions for hydrogen-fueled vehicles. Storage of hydrogen for on-board applications, however, is difficult because of its physical characteristics such as its very light weight. Despite the availability of a few hydrogen storage methods, solid-state hydrogen storage has been considered as a promising method to employ for on-board hydrogen storage [3,4].

Li-based complex hydrides such as lithium aluminum hydride (LiAlH₄) and lithium borohydride (LiBH₄) have been considered as promising hydrogen storage materials due to their high theoretical hydrogen capacities of about 10.5 and 18.5 wt %, respectively [5–7]. For LiAlH₄, the balling time for sample preparation and transition metals were reported to affect its decomposition behaviors [6,8,9]. Even though LiBH₄ has a high hydrogen desorption capacity, it is a very stable and needs a very high temperature, up to 600 °C, for any significant amount of desorbed hydrogen to be observed [10]. Jin *et al.* [5] reported that a LiBH₄ and LiAlH₄ mixture added with 3 mol % TiF₃ stored hydrogen up to 7.2 wt % with a decomposition temperature between 177 and 247 °C. In addition, LiAlH₄+2LiBH₄ doped with 5 mol % TiF₃ showed the best hydrogen storage properties, with the decrease in the onset temperature of the first and second dehydrogenation steps [11]. Moreover, it could absorb 3.76 and 4.78 wt % in 1 and 14 h, respectively, at 600 °C and 4 MPa hydrogen.

In this work, LiBH₄ and LiAlH₄ were selected as the base materials for hydrogen storage. Mixtures of these hydrides were prepared by mechanical ball-milling. A small amount of Ti-based catalysts (TiCl₃ and TiO₂), VCl₃, and ZrCl₄ were added during the milling process to study their effects on the hydrogen storage capacity, decomposition temperature, kinetics, and reversibility of hydrogen desorption/absorption. Phase transformations during the hydrogen desorption/absorption were also investigated.

2. Results and Discussion

Various molar ratios of LiAlH₄ and LiBH₄ in the mixtures were studied in order to understand effects of the hydride combination on the amount of desorbed hydrogen and desorption temperature. As shown in Figure 1, LiAlH₄ (Figure 1a) decomposes in two steps starting at 145 °C and continues to 220 °C with a total hydrogen amount of 7.6 wt %. LiBH₄ (Figure 1g) starts to decompose a small amount of hydrogen at a low temperature of 95 °C, which is believed to occur due to the reduction in the crystallite size and hydrogen desorption distance, and the distortion of hydrogen at a temperature higher than 285 °C, which is the melting point of LiBH₄. In the case of the LiAlH₄ and LiBH₄ mixtures (Figures 1b–f), all mixtures release lower amounts of hydrogen than LiAlH₄. A 2:1 molar ratio of LiAlH₄:LiBH₄ (2LiAlH₄+LiBH₄) (Figure 1b) provides the highest amount of hydrogen at 6.6 wt %,

and it desorbs hydrogen in the temperature range of 100-220 °C. The hydrogen desorption temperatures of the LiAlH₄/LiBH₄ mixture correspond with the data is previous works [11]. The amounts of desorbed hydrogen and temperature of each mixture are also shown in Table 1. However, the desorbed samples cannot re-absorb hydrogen under the tested conditions, as we will further discuss in the phase transformation section.

Figure 1. Hydrogen desorption profiles of: (a) as-milled LiAlH₄; (b) 2LiAlH₄+LiBH₄; (c) 3LiAlH₄+LiBH₄; (d) 4LiAlH₄+LiBH₄; (e) LiAlH₄+LiBH₄; (f) LiAlH₄+2LiBH₄; and (g) as-milled LiBH₄.



Table 1. Hydrogen desorption temperature and amounts of desorbed hydrogen of LiAlH₄–LiBH₄ mixtures.

Sample -	Desorption ten	Desorption amount		
	Initial	Final	(wt %)	
LiAlH ₄	145	220	7.6	
1:1	105	220	5.2	
2:1	100	220	6.6	
3:1	95	220	6.1	
4:1	105	220	5.8	
1:2	95	210	3.3	
$LiBH_4$	75	370 *	1.0 *	

* The final desorption temperature of LiBH₄ (370 °C) according to the operating condition of this work.

One mol % of TiO₂, TiCl₃, VCl₃, or ZrCl₄ were also added to the 2LiAlH₄+LiBH₄ mixture in order to investigate effects of the transition metals on the hydrogen desorption/absorption behaviors. Figure 2 shows the two-step decomposition reactions and the decrease in the desorption temperature for all doped mixtures. The mixture in the presence of TiCl₃ (Figure 2d) starts to decompose and release hydrogen at the lowest temperature (40 °C), which is lower than the undoped one by 60 °C. In addition, 1 mol % TiCl₃–2LiAlH₄+LiBH₄ provides the highest amount of hydrogen desorption among

the doped samples, 6.4 wt %. A possible reason is the fact that $TiCl_3$ could facilitate the crystallite size reduction of the hydride during the milling process resulting in the hydrogen storage improvement [13]. However, the hydrogen desorption capacities of all the doped mixtures are significantly lower than that of the undoped one. The results are summarized in Table 2.

Figure 2. Hydrogen desorption profiles of: (a) $2LiAlH_4+LiBH_4$; (b) 1 mol % $ZrCl_4-2LiAlH_4+LiBH_4$; (c) 1 mol % $VCl_3-2LiAlH_4+LiBH_4$; (d) 1 mol % $TiCl_3-2LiAlH_4+LiBH_4$; and (e) 1 mol % $TiO_2-2LiAlH_4+LiBH_4$.



Table 2. Hydrogen desorption temperature and amounts of desorbed hydrogen of 2LiAlH_4 +LiBH₄ mixed with 1 mol % TiO₂, TiCl₃, VCl₃, and ZrCl₄ in the first (R1) and second (R2) steps.

Sample	Desorption temperature (°C)			Desorption amount (wt %)		Total
	R1	R2	Final	R1	R2	(Wt %)
2LiAlH ₄ +LiBH ₄	100	-	220	-	-	6.6
TiO ₂ -2LiAlH ₄ +LiBH ₄	105	138	190	3.5	1.8	5.3
TiCl ₃ -2LiAlH ₄ +LiBH ₄	40	110	170	4.4	2.0	6.4
VCl ₃ -2LiAlH ₄ +LiBH ₄	53	110	155	3.7	1.8	5.5
ZrCl ₄ -2LiAlH ₄ +LiBH ₄	72	105	150	4.3	1.8	6.1

To investigate effects of an amount of catalyst on the hydrogen desorption properties of the 2LiAlH_4 +LiBH₄ mixture, 3 and 5 mol % TiCl₃ were further added. The results, as shown in Table 3 and Figure 3, show that the hydrogen desorption capacities of all TiCl₃-doped mixtures are significantly lower than that of the undoped one. The hydrogen desorption capacity decreases with the increase in the doping amount, 6.4, 5.6, and 2.7 wt % for 1, 3, and 5 mol % doping, respectively. The desorption temperature of all TiCl₃-doped samples are lower than the undoped one by 40–70 °C; however, there is no significant difference in the desorption temperature among the TiCl₃-doped samples. Jin *et al.* [5] reported that the mixture of LiAlH₄+2.2LiBH₄ together with 3 mol % TiF₃

started to decompose between 177 °C and 247 °C and the weight loss reached up to 7.2 wt % at 387 °C. Mao *et al.* [11] also reported that LiAlH₄+2LiBH₄ doped with 5 mol % TiF₃ could decrease the onset temperature of the first and second decomposition steps by 64 and 150 °C, respectively. They further studied that doping with 5 mol % TiO₂ decreased the temperature of the first and second decomposition steps by 27 and 50 °C, respectively. In this work, no hydrogen absorption was observed for any of the doped samples at 300 °C and 8.5 MPa hydrogen for 6 h. Jin *et al.* [5] confirmed that the dehydrogenated product of 3 mol% TiF₃–LiAlH₄+2.2LiBH₄ could be hydrogenated to about 5.1 wt % H₂ at 350 °C and 70 bar hydrogen for 6 h. But the reaction kinetics was rather slow. Mao *et al.* [11] reported that 5 mol % TiF₃–LiAlH₄+2LiBH₄ absorbed 3.76 wt % and 4.78 wt % in 1 h and 14 h, respectively, at 600 °C and 4 MPa hydrogen.

Table 3. Hydrogen desorption temperature and amounts of desorbed hydrogen of $TiCl_3$ -doped $2LiAlH_4$ +LiBH₄ in the first (R1) and second (R2) steps.

Sample	Desorption temperature (°C)			Desorption amount (wt %)		Total
	R1	R2	Final	R 1	R2	(WL 70)
2LiAlH ₄ +LiBH ₄	100	-	220	-	-	6.6
1 mol % TiCl ₃ –2LiAlH ₄ +LiBH ₄	40	110	170	4.4	2.0	6.4
3 mol % TiCl ₃ -2LiAlH ₄ +LiBH ₄	60	95	170	3.1	2.5	5.6
5 mol % TiCl ₃ -2LiAlH ₄ +LiBH ₄	45	100	150	1.4	1.3	2.7

Figure 3. Hydrogen desorption profiles of: (a) $2LiAlH_4+LiBH_4$; (b) 1 mol % $TiCl_3-2LiAlH_4+LiBH_4$; (c) 3 mol % $TiCl_3-2LiAlH_4+LiBH_4$; and (d) 5 mol % $TiCl_3-2LiAlH_4+LiBH_4$.



Phase transformation of the 2:1 molar ratio of LiAlH₄:LiBH₄ mixture after the milling process is similar to LiAlH₄, without any peak of LiBH₄. In the case of the undoped mixture, as shown in Figure 4a, only LiAlH₄ is left after the 120 min milling process. In the case of the doped mixture, as shown in Figures 4b–e, Al is observed after the milling process. It is possible that the milling process and a dopant might destabilize the structure of LiAlH₄ [9]. After the hydrogen desorption at 300 °C (Figure 5), Al and LiH are present in both undoped and doped mixtures, without the formation of AlB₂. It is the AlB₂ phase that is claimed to facilitate the reversibility of LiAlH₄ and LiBH₄ [11,14–16]. This could be a possible reason why the LiAlH₄/LiBH₄ mixture is not reversible. In addition, as shown in Figure 6, the XRD patterns of the desorbed samples in the presence of a higher amount of the catalyst (3 or 5 mol % TiCl₃) exhibit new peaks, which are those of LiCl [17]. The formation of LiCl might deteriorate the hydrogen desorption ability of the samples, consequently decreasing the hydrogen desorption capacity.

Figure 4. XRD patterns of milled samples for 120 min: (a) $2LiAlH_4+LiBH_4$; (b) 1 mol % $ZrCl_4-2LiAlH_4+LiBH_4$; (c) 1 mol % $VCl_3-2LiAlH_4+LiBH_4$; (d) 1 mol % $TiCl_3-2LiAlH_4+LiBH_4$; and (e) 1 mol % $TiO_2-2LiAlH_4+LiBH_4$.



Figure 5. XRD patterns of desorbed samples at 300 °C: (a) $2LiAlH_4+LiBH_4$; (b) 1 mol % $ZrCl_4-2LiAlH_4+LiBH_4$; (c) 1 mol % $VCl_3-2LiAlH_4+LiBH_4$; (d) 1 mol % $TiCl_3-2LiAlH_4+LiBH_4$; and (e) 1 mol % $TiO_2-2LiAlH_4+LiBH_4$.



Figure 6. XRD patterns of: (**a**) milled 3 mol % TiCl₃–2LiAlH₄+LiBH₄; (**b**) milled 5 mol % TiCl₃–2LiAlH₄+LiBH₄; (**c**) desorbed 3 mol % TiCl₃–2LiAlH₄+LiBH₄; (**d**) desorbed 5 mol % TiCl₃–2LiAlH₄+LiBH₄.



The LiAlH₄ and LiBH₄ mixtures doped with TiO₂, TiCl₃, VCl₃, or ZrCl₄ partially decompose during the milling process because the structure of LiAlH₄ is unstable and the additives might destabilize its structure [9]. These metal catalysts in the hydride systems are reduced by the metal hydrides and transformed to TM-neutral [6,18], as shown in Reactions (1) and (2), where TM is the transition metal, M is the metal, and X can be either V or Ti:

$$TiO_2 + 4MH \rightarrow 2M_2O + Ti + H_2 \tag{1}$$

$$XCl_3 + 3MH \rightarrow 3MCl + X + \frac{3}{2}H_2$$
(2)

It may be this reduction that increases the hydrogen desorption capacity of $LiAlH_4$ as compared to the undoped one. Moreover, after the decomposition, these catalysts can form M₂O and MCl, which are left in the sample as stable materials and cannot further decompose [7]. In this work, MCl formation in Reaction (2) is LiCl, the presence of which might cause the decrease in the hydrogen desorption capacity with the increase in the TiCl₃ amount.

3. Experimental Section

All sample handling was carried out in a nitrogen-filled glove box. LiBH₄ (95%, Aldrich Chemical), LiAlH₄ (95%, Acros), zirconium (IV) chloride (99.5% ZrCl₄, Aldrich Chemicals), vanadium (III) chloride (>99% VCl₃, MERCK), and titanium dioxide (TiO₂, Degüssa) were used without further purification. Titanium (III) chloride (TiCl₃) powder was prepared by evaporation of 12% titanium (III) chloride solution in hydrochloric acid obtained from Riedel-de Haën. The mixing procedure was completed in a centrifugal ball mill at 300 rpm for 120 min with a ball-to-powder ratio of 100:1. After that, approximately 350 mg of a sample was immediately loaded into the high-pressure stainless reactor. Then, it was placed in the thermo-volumetric apparatus. The desorption was performed from the room temperature to 300 °C (350 °C for LiBH₄) with a heating rate of 2 °C min⁻¹. The sample was held at this condition until no further desorption was observed. The absorption was carried out at 300 °C and 8.5 MPa hydrogen for 6 h. X-ray diffraction measurements (Rigaku, model: D/max-2200) were used in order to identify phase transformations during the hydrogen desorption/absorption of the hydride systems at room temperature over a range of diffraction angles from 20° to 90° with CuK- α radiation (40 kV, 30 mA). The XRD sample plate is covered with a Kapton tape to prevent oxide contamination. The tape shows a diffraction peak at around 28°.

4. Conclusions

The hydrogen desorption/absorption behaviors of undoped and doped LiAlH₄/LiBH₄ mixtures were studied. A 2:1 LiAlH₄:LiBH₄ molar ratio released the highest amount of hydrogen at 6.6 wt % in the temperature range of 100–220 °C. In the case of the doped systems, 1 mol % of metal catalysts (TiCl₃, TiO₂, VCl₃, or ZrCl₄) was doped into the mixture. The mixture with TiCl₃ desorbed hydrogen at the lowest temperature (40 °C). Furthermore, the increase in the amount of TiCl₃ from 1 to 3 and 5 mol % decreased the hydrogen desorption capacity. No hydrogen absorption was observed for any of the doped LiAlH₄–LiBH₄ samples. In addition, the XRD patterns indicated the presence of Al and LiH in the mixture after the desorption corresponding to the decomposition of LiAlH₄.

Acknowledgments

The financial support for this work was provided by the 90th Anniversary of Chulalongkorn University Fund (Ratchadaphisek Somphot Endowment); Royal Jubilee Ph.D. Program (Grant No. PHD/0249/2549), Thailand Research Fund; National Science and Technology Development Agency (Reverse Brain Drain Project); The Petroleum and Petrochemical College (PPC); The Climate Change Cluster (CC557A), National Research University Program, Office of Higher Education Commission (OHEC); Center of Excellence for Petrochemical and Materials Technology, Chulalongkorn University; and UOP, A Honeywell Company, Des Plaines, IL, USA.

References

- 1. ProQuest. Available online: http://www.csa.com/discoveryguides/hydrogen/overview.php (accessed on 21 April 2009).
- 2. Mitch, J. Filling up with hydrogen, 22 August 2005. Chemical and Engineering News Website. Available online: http://www.cen-online.org (accessed on 22 August 2009).
- 3. U.S. Department of Energy. Hydrogen and Fuel Cells Program. Available online: http://www.hydrogen.energy.gov/storage (accessed on 21 April 2009).
- 4. Zhou, L. Progress and problems in hydrogen storage. *Renew Sustain. Energy Rev.* 2004, *9*, 395–408.
- 5. Jin, S.A.; Shim, J.H.; Cho, Y.W.; Yi, K.W.; Zabarac, O.; Fichtnerc, M. Reversible hydrogen storage in LiBH₄–Al–LiH composite powder. *Scr. Mater.* **2008**, *58*, 963–965.
- 6. Blanchard, D.; Brinks, H.W.; Hauback, B.C.; Norby, P. Desorption of LiAlH₄ with Ti- and V-based additives. *Mater. Sci. Eng.* **2004**, *108*, 54–59.
- Isobe, S.; Ichikawa, T.; Hanada, N.; Leng, H.Y.; Fichtner, M.; Fuhr, O.; Fujii, H. Effect of Ti catalyst with different chemical form on Li–N–H hydrogen storage properties. *J. Alloy Compd.* 2005, 404–406, 439–442.
- 8. Easton, D.S.; Schneibel, J.H.; Speakman, S.A. Factors affecting hydrogen release from lithium alanate (LiAlH₄). *J. Alloy Compd.* **2005**, *398*, 245–248.
- 9. Suttisawat, Y.; Rangsanvigit, P.; Kitayanan, B.; Muangsin, N.; Kulprathipanja, S. Catalytic effect of Zr and Hf on the hydrogen desorption/absorption of NaAlH₄ and LiAlH₄. *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy* **2007**, *32*, 1277–1285.
- 10. Züttel, A.; Wenger, P.; Rentsch, S.; Sudan, P.; Mauron, Ph.; Emmenegger, Ch. LiBH₄ a new hydrogen storage material. *J. Power Sources* **2003**, *118*, 1–7.
- 11. Mao, J.F.; Guo, Z.P.; Liu, H.K.; Yu, X.B. Reversible hydrogen storage in titanium-catalyst LiAlH₄-LiBH₄ system. *J. Alloy Compd.* **2009**, *487*, 434–438.
- 12. Sridechprasat, P.; Suttisawat, Y.; Rangsunvigit, P.; Kitiyanan, B.; Kulprathipanja, S. Catalyzed LiBH₄ and MgH₂ mixture for hydrogen storage. *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy* **2010**, *36*, 1200–1205.
- Varin, R.A.; Zbroniec, L.; Polanski, M.; Bystrzycki, J. A review of recent advances on the effects of microstructural refinement and nano-catalytic additives on the hydrogen storage properties of metal and complex hydrides. *Energies* 2011, *4*, 1–25.
- 14. Mao, J.F.; Yu, X.B.; Guo, Z.P.; Poh, C.K.; Liu, H.K.; Wu, Z.; Ni, J. Improvement of the LiAlH₄–NaBH₄ system for reversible hydrogen storage. *Phys. Chem. C* **2009**, *113*, 10813–10818.

- Mao, J.F.; Guo, Z.P.; Leng, H.Y.; Wu, Z.; Guo, Y.H.; Yu, X.B.; Liu, H.K. Reversible hydrogen storage in destabilized LiAlH₄-MgH₂-LiBH₄ ternary-hydride system doped with TiF₃. *Phys. Chem. C* 2010, *114*, 11643–11649.
- 16. Yu, X.B.; Xia, G.L.; Guo, Z.P.; Liu, H.K. Dehydrogenation/rehydrogenation mechanism in aluminum destabilized lithium borohydride. J. Mater. Res. 2009, 24, 2720–2727.
- 17. Kamali, A.R.; Fray, D.J.; Schwandt, C. Thermokinetic characteristics of lithium chloride. *J. Therm. Anal. Calorim.* **2011**, *104*, 619–626.
- Siangsai, A.; Suttisawat, Y.; Sridechprasat, P.; Rangsunvigit, P.; Kitiyanan, B.; Kulprathipanja, S. Effect of Ti compounds on hydrogen desorption/absorption of LiNH₂/LiAlH₂/MgH₂. *J. Chem. Eng. Jpn.* 2010, 43, 95–98.

 \bigcirc 2012 by the authors; licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/).