Effect of Temperature on the Structural and Physicochemical Properties of Biochar with Apple Tree Branches as Feedstock Material
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Abstract: The objective of this study was to study the structure and physicochemical properties of biochar derived from apple tree branches (ATBs), whose valorization is crucial for the sustainable development of the apple industry. ATBs were collected from apple orchards located on the Weibei upland of the Loess Plateau and pyrolyzed at 300, 400, 500 and 600 °C (BC300, BC400, BC500 and BC600), respectively. Different analytical techniques were used for the characterization of the different biochars. In particular, proximate and element analyses were performed. Furthermore, the morphological, and textural properties were investigated using scanning electron microscopy (SEM), Fourier-transform infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy, Boehm titration and nitrogen manometry. In addition, the thermal stability of biochars was also studied by thermogravimetric analysis. The results indicated that the increasing temperature increased the content of fixed carbon (C), the C content and inorganic minerals (K, P, Fe, Zn, Ca, Mg), while the yield, the content of volatile matter (VM), O and H, cation exchange capacity, and the ratios of O/C and H/C decreased. Comparison between the different samples show that highest pH and ash content were observed in BC500. The number of acidic functional groups decreased as a function of pyrolysis temperature, especially for the carboxylic functional groups. In contrast, a reverse trend was found for the basic functional groups. At a higher temperature, the brunauer–emmett–teller (BET) surface area and pore volume are higher mostly due to the increase of the micropore surface area and micropore volume. In addition, the thermal stability of biochars also increased with the increasing temperature. Hence, pyrolysis temperature has a strong effect on biochar properties, and therefore biochars can be produced by changing pyrolysis temperature in order to better meet their applications.
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1. Introduction

Biochar is a carbon (C)-rich byproduct produced in an oxygen-limited environment [1], which has been gaining increasing attention over the last decade due to its potential to mitigate global climate change [2,3]. Biochar can be used not only as a soil amendment with the aim of improving soil physical, chemical and biological properties [4,5], but also as an adsorbent to remove organic and inorganic pollutants [6,7]. The functions and applications of biochars mostly depend on their structural and physicochemical properties [8], therefore, it is very important to characterize the structural and physicochemical properties of biochar before its use.

Various types of biomass (wood materials, agricultural residues, dairy manure, sewage sludge, et al.) have been used to produce biochars under different pyrolysis conditions [9,10]. During pyrolysis, biomass undergoes a variety of physical, chemical and molecular changes [11]. Previous studies indicated that pyrolysis condition and feedstock type significantly affect the structural
and physicochemical characteristics of the resulting biochar products [12-14]. Generally, woody biomass provides a more C-rich biochar compared to other feedstocks since it contains varying amounts of hemicellulose, cellulose, lignin and small quantities of other organic extractives and inorganic compounds [15]. Xu and Chen [16] suggest that higher lignin and minerals content result in a higher yield of biochar. Therefore, woody biomass is one of the most important sources for biochar production.

The structural and physicochemical properties of biochar, such as surface area, pore structures, surface functional groups and element composition, can also be influenced by varying the pyrolysis condition, such as pyrolysis temperature, heating rate and holding time [17,18]. The pyrolysis temperature is reported to significantly influence the final structural and physicochemical properties of biochar due to the release of volatiles as well as the formation and volatilisation of intermediate melts [18]. Previous studies indicated that higher temperature resulted in a higher C content, while the losses of nitrogen (N), hydrogen (H) and oxygen (O) were also recorded [19]. In addition, increasing the temperature lead to an increase the ash and fixed C contents, and to a decrease the content of volatile materials [20]. Furthermore, the increase in pyrolysis temperature affects H/C and O/C ratios; porosity; surface area; surface functional groups and cation exchange capacity (CEC) and so on [21]. In particular, biochar produced at high temperature has high aromatic content, which is recalcitrant to decomposition [22]. In contrast, biochar produced at low temperature has a less-condensed C structure and, therefore, may improve the fertility of soils [23]. Therefore, the pyrolysis temperature was investigated in this study.

Weibei upland of the Loess Plateau has been recognized as one of the best apple production areas in the world due to its special topography and climate features which are suitable for planting apples [24]. Apple tree branches (ATBs) are a major agricultural residue in this region due to the quick development of the apple-planted area in recent years. During the autumn of each year, the residues might be burned by the fruit grower with the aim of reducing the occurrence of plant diseases and insect pests, which then becomes an important source of atmospheric CO$_2$. Thus, conversion of ATBs into biochar has the potential to be used to mitigate environmental problems. In addition, the biochar products also can be used as a soil amendment, which can improve the soil quality [25,26]. Therefore, the aim of this study was to examine the structure and physicochemical properties of biochar produced at different pyrolysis temperatures using ATB as feedstock. Such understanding is crucial for the sustainable development of apple industry on the Weibei upland.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Feedstock Preparation

ATBs were collected from apple orchards located on the Weibei upland of the Loess Plateau, Northwest China (34°53’ N, 108°52’ E). Prior to the experiments, ATBs were ground to a particle size of less than 2 mm and then washed with deionized water several times to remove impurities. These pre-prepared samples were dried at 80 °C for 24 h to remove moisture.

2.2. Biochar Production

Pyrolysis experiments were carried out in a muffle furnace (Yamato Scientific Co., Ltd, FO410C, Tokyo, Japan) under nitrogen gas stream (at a rate 630 cm$^3$·min$^{-1}$, standard temperature and pressure 298 K, 101.2 kPa). The feedstock was placed in a stainless steel reactor of 20.5 cm internal length, 12.2 cm internal width and 7.5 cm internal height with a lid and subjected to pyrolysis at different temperatures (300, 400, 500 and 600 °C, respectively) for 2 h 10 min. The pyrolysis heating rate employed was 10 °C min$^{-1}$. After pyrolysis, the reactor was left inside the furnace to cool to room temperature. The biochars obtained were labeled as BC300, BC400, BC500 and BC600, respectively.
All biochars were weighed and then the generated biochars were milled to pass through a 0.25 mm sieve (60 mesh) for further analysis and use. The yield of biochar was calculated as follows:

\[
\text{Yield (\%)} = \frac{\text{mass of biochar (g)}}{\text{oven dry mass of feedstock (g)}} \times 100\%
\]

2.3. Characterization of Biochar

2.3.1. Proximate Analysis, pH and Cation Exchange Capacity

The contents of volatile matter (VM) and ash were determined using the American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) D5142 method [27]. VM content was determined as weight loss after heating the char in a covered crucible to 950 °C and holding for 7 min. Ash content was determined as weight loss after combustion at 750 °C for 6 h with no ceramic cap. Fixed C content was calculated by the following equation:

\[
\text{Fixed carbon \%} = 100\% - (\text{Ash \%} + \text{Volatile matter \%})
\]

The pH of biochars was measured using a pH meter at a 1:5 solid/water ratio after shaking for 30 min. The CEC of biochar was estimated using an NH\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{+} replacement method [28]. Briefly, 0.20 g was leached five times with 20 mL of deionized water. Then, the biochar was leached with 20 mL of 1 mol·L\textsuperscript{-1} Na-acetate (pH 7) five times. The biochar samples were then washed with 20 mL of ethanol five times to remove the excess Na\textsuperscript{+}. Afterwards, the Na\textsuperscript{+} on the exchangeable sites of the biochar was displaced by 20 mL of 1 mol·L\textsuperscript{-1} NH\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{+}-acetate (pH 7) five times, and the CEC of the biochar was calculated from the Na\textsuperscript{+} displaced by NH\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{+}.

2.3.2. Elemental and Nutrients Analysis

A CHN Elemental Analyzer (Vario EL III, Heraeus, Germany) was used to determine the contents of C, N and H. The O content (%) was calculated by the following equation: O (%) = 100 - (C % + H % + N % + Ash %). The H/C and O/C ratios were also calculated. Total nutrients (K, P, Fe, Mn, Cu, Zn, Ca and Mg) in the biochar were extracted using a wet acid digestion method (concentration HNO\textsubscript{3} + 30% H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2}) [29]. The nutrients in the digestion solution were determined using an ICAP Q ICP-MS spectrometer (ThermoFisher, Waltham, MA, USA).

2.3.3. Surface Properties of Biochars

The surface morphology of these biochars was examined using an environmental scanning electron microscopy (SEM) system (JEOL JSM-6360LV, Tokyo, Japan). Biochars were held onto an adhesive carbon tape on an aluminum stub followed by sputter coating with gold prior to viewing. The surface area and porosity of biochars were measured using a NOVA 2200e analyser (Quantachrome Instruments, Boynton Beach, FL, USA) at liquid nitrogen temperature (77 K). The Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) surface area ($S_{BET}$), micropore surface area ($S_{mic}$) and micropore volume ($V_{mic}$), total pore volume ($V_T$) of the biochars produced at different temperatures were determined using the BET equation, t-plot method and single point adsorption total pore volume analysis, respectively [30]. Fourier-transform infrared (FTIR) spectra peaks of biochars were also obtained on pressed pellets of 1:10 biochar/KBr mixtures using a Tensor27 FTIR spectrometer (Bruker, Karlsruhe, Germany). The spectra were obtained at 4 cm\textsuperscript{-1} resolution from 400 to 4000 cm\textsuperscript{-1}. The amount of acidic and basic functional groups was measured by the Boehm method [31].

2.3.4. Thermal Stability Evaluation

The thermal stability evaluation of the biochars was performed by thermogravimetric analysis (STA449F3, NETZSCH, Freistaat Bayern, Germany). Approximately 5 mg of biochar was weighed into
an alumina crucible, the sample was subjected to a thermogravimetric analysis in a nitrogen flow (gas flow of 50 mL·min⁻¹) at a heating rate of 10 °C·min⁻¹, from 50 °C to 1000 °C.

2.4. Statistical Analysis of Data

All data were reported as means ± standard deviation. The data were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) using SAS version 8.0 (SAS Institute Inc, Cary, NC, USA). The least significant difference (LSD, p < 0.05) test was applied to assess the differences among the means.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Effect of Temperature on the Basic Characteristics of Biochars

3.1.1. Proximate Analysis

Table 1 presents the results of proximate analyses as a function of pyrolysis temperature. During the pyrolysis process, the temperature kept rising and was then held at the peak temperature for 2 h and 10 min before cooling down to room temperature. When the pyrolysis temperature increased from 300 to 500 °C, the biochar yield sharply decreased from 47.94% to 31.71%. This was probably due to the fact that the increasing temperature resulted in the further crack of the fixed C. This might due to the fact that the increasing temperature resulted in the further crack of the lignocellulosic material was decomposed at this temperature range [32]. While, when the pyrolysis temperature further increased from 500 to 600 °C, the biochar yield only decreased from 31.71% to 28.48%. This result indicated that most of the volatile fraction had been removed at lower temperatures.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Yiel (%)</th>
<th>35.49 ± 1.39 b</th>
<th>31.73 ± 1.02 c</th>
<th>28.48 ± 0.72 d</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Proximate analysis, dry basis</td>
<td>Ash (%)</td>
<td>6.72 ± 0.02 d</td>
<td>10.06 ± 0.15 a</td>
<td>9.40 ± 0.21 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volatile matter (%)</td>
<td>60.77 ± 0.86 a</td>
<td>29.85 ± 0.90 b</td>
<td>23.19 ± 0.34 c</td>
<td>14.86 ± 0.63 d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fixed carbon (%)</td>
<td>32.50 ± 0.86 d</td>
<td>62.30 ± 0.93 c</td>
<td>66.75 ± 0.28 b</td>
<td>75.73 ± 0.76 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elemental analysis, dry basis</td>
<td>C (%)</td>
<td>62.20 ± 0.85 d</td>
<td>71.13 ± 2.39 c</td>
<td>74.88 ± 2.11 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H (%)</td>
<td>5.18 ± 0.19 a</td>
<td>4.03 ± 0.21 b</td>
<td>2.88 ± 0.08 c</td>
<td>2.72 ± 0.14 c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N (%)</td>
<td>1.69 ± 0.08 c</td>
<td>1.94 ± 0.06 a</td>
<td>1.77 ± 0.08 b</td>
<td>1.28 ± 0.06 d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O (%)</td>
<td>24.21 ± 0.62 a</td>
<td>15.05 ± 2.35 b</td>
<td>10.41 ± 0.25 c</td>
<td>6.59 ± 1.38 e</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Values in the same row followed by the same letter are not significantly different at p < 0.05 according to least significant difference test. All data were reported as means ± standard deviation (n = 3). Fixed carbon was estimated by difference: Fixed carbon % = 100% − (Ash % + Volatile matter %). O content was estimated by difference: O % = 100% − (C% + H% + N% + Ash %).

The content of VM and fixed C for the generated biochars ranged from 14.86% to 60.77% and 33.60% to 73.50%, respectively. An increase in the pyrolysis temperature decreased the content of VM, exhibiting a similar trend with the biochar yield, while an opposite trend was found for the content of fixed C. This might due to the fact that the increasing temperature resulted in the further crack of the volatiles fractions into low molecular weight liquids and gases instead of biochar [33]. Meanwhile, the dehydration of hydroxyl groups and thermal degradation of cellulose and lignin might also occurred with the increasing temperature [12]. These results confirmed that the increase in temperature enhanced the stability of biochar for the loss of volatile fractions [34]. It was interesting that the ash content remarkably increased from 6.72% to 10.06% with an increase in the pyrolysis temperature from
300 to 500 °C. The increase in the content of ash resulted from progressive concentration of inorganic constituents [35], which also was confirmed by our nutrients analysis (Table 1). When pyrolysis temperature increased from 500 to 600 °C, some inorganic materials might volatilize as gas or liquid, thus, the content of ash decreased at higher temperature (600 °C).

3.1.2. Elemental and Nutrients Analysis

The elemental composition for the generated biochars changed with pyrolysis temperature (Table 1). The C content increased from 62.20% to 80.01%, while the H and O contents decreased from 5.18% to 2.72% and 24.21% to 6.59% as the pyrolysis temperature increased from 300 to 600 °C, respectively. These results were consistent with previous results [36]. The decrease in the contents of H and O at higher temperature was likely due to the decomposition of the oxygenated bonds and release of low molecular weight byproducts containing H and O [15]. Interestingly, the highest N content was observed in BC400 (1.94%). This was attributed to the incorporation of nitrogen into complex structures which were resistant to lower temperature and not easily volatilized [37]. Furthermore, the ratios of H/C (the degree of aromaticity) [36] and O/C (the degree of polarity) [38] varied as a function of pyrolysis temperature. In our study, the H/C and O/C ratios of biochars were significantly decreased from 1.00 to 0.41 and 0.29 to 0.06 with the increasing temperature, respectively (Figure 1). The gradually reduced in the H/C and O/C atomic ratios with the increasing pyrolysis temperature was mainly contributed to the dehydration reactions [39], which could be well described by the Van Krevelen diagram (Figure 1). In addition, the H/C and O/C ratios also indicated that the structural transformations [36] and surface hydrophilicity of biochar [40], the higher extent of carbonization and loss of functional groups containing O and H (such as hydroxyl, carboxyl, et al.) at higher temperature resulted in the lower ratios of H/C and O/C, indicating that the surface of biochar was more aromatic and less hydrophilic [41,42].

![Figure 1](image_url). The van Krevelen plot of elemental ratios for biochars produced at different temperatures. Thick line represents the direction for dehydration reaction. Individual points are averages (n = 3) and error bars are standard deviations.

In addition, the nutrients K, P, Ca, Mg, Fe and Zn were also increased with increasing the pyrolysis temperature (Table 1). While, the highest concentration of Cu (85.07 mg·kg⁻¹) and Mn...
(102.89 mg·kg⁻¹) were found in BC500. Pituello et al. [43] suggested that some metals might volatilize at high temperature. Sun et al. also found a decrease in the concentration of Ca and Mg as the pyrolysis temperature increased from 450 to 600 °C using hickory wood as feedstock [21]. Thus, both the volatility of the nutrients and the influence of temperature on the composition and chemical structure of biochar can significantly affect the concentration of nutrients during the process [13].

3.1.3. pH and Cation Exchange Capacity

The generated biochars were generally alkaline (pH > 7) and the pH of biochar significant increased from 7.48 to 11.62 when the pyrolysis temperature increased from 300 to 500 °C, and then decreased to 10.60 in BC600 (Figure 2). This was probably due to the highest ash content in BC500. The pH values and ash content were positively correlated ($R^2 = 0.97$), hence, the minerals, especially for the carbonates formation (such as CaCO₃ and MgCO₃) and inorganic alkalis (such as K and Na), were probably the main cause of each biochars' inherent alkaline pH [28].

![](image)

**Figure 2.** The pH and cation exchange capacity (CEC) of biochars produced at different temperatures. Individual points are averages ($n = 3$) and error bars are standard deviations.

CEC is an important property of biochar indicating the capacity of a biochar to adsorb cation nutrients [20]. In our study, the CEC for the generated biochars significantly decreased from 66.59 to 18.53 cmol·kg⁻¹ when the pyrolysis temperature increased from 300 to 600 °C (Figure 2), which was consistent with previous study [44]. The shift in CEC may due to the reduction of functional groups and oxidation of aromatic C with temperature [34], which was well supported by the lower O/C ratio and our FTIR and Boehm titration results at higher temperature.

3.2. Effect of Temperature on the Surface Properties of Biochars

3.2.1. Surface Morphology (Scanning Electron Microscopy Analysis)

Figure 3 shows SEM micrographs ($×$4000) of biochars produced at different temperatures. The image of BC300 showed that the biomass had softened, melted and fused into a mass of vesicles (Figure 3a) [45]. The vesicles were the result of volatile gasses released within the biomass. As temperatures increased, more
The increase in the surface area and pore volumes might be caused by the progressive degradation of the organic materials (hemicelluloses, cellulose and lignin) and the formation of vascular bundles or channel structures during pyrolysis during the process [46, 47]. Hemicellulose has a high reactivity during the decomposition of more components (Figure 3c, ellipse). The fracture phenomenon also appeared within the pore structure for the BC600, the last temperature that samples were collected (Figure 3d, ellipse).

Figure 3. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) micrographs (magnification 4000×) of biochars samples pyrolyzed at: (a) 300 °C; (b) 400 °C; (c) 500 °C; and (d) 600 °C, respectively.

3.2.2. Surface Area and Pore Volume

The surface area and pore volumes produced at various pyrolysis temperatures were obtained by N₂ adsorption and the results shown in Table 2. An increase in the pyrolysis temperature from 300 to 600 °C resulted in a significant increase in the \( S_{\text{BET}} \) from 2.39 m\(^2\)·g\(^{-1}\) to 108.59 m\(^2\)·g\(^{-1}\) and in the \( V_T \) from 2.56 × 10\(^{-3}\) cm\(^3\)·g\(^{-1}\) to 58.54 × 10\(^{-3}\) cm\(^3\)·g\(^{-1}\). In the same way, \( S_{\text{mic}} \) and \( V_{\text{mic}} \) significant increased from 0.10 m\(^2\)·g\(^{-1}\) and 0.13 × 10\(^{-3}\) cm\(^3\)·g\(^{-1}\) at 300 °C to 84.44 m\(^2\)·g\(^{-1}\) and 37.87 × 10\(^{-3}\) cm\(^3\)·g\(^{-1}\) at 600 °C, respectively. This evolution is somewhat similar to that reported in the literature [15]. The increase in the surface area and pore volumes might be caused by the progressive degradation of the organic materials (hemicelluloses, cellulose and lignin) and the formation of vascular bundles or channel structures during pyrolysis during the process [46,47]. Hemicellulose has a high reactivity during thermal treatment at lower temperature (usually under 300 °C).

Table 2. Surface area and pore volumes of biochars produced at different temperatures.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>BC300</th>
<th>BC400</th>
<th>BC500</th>
<th>BC600</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( S_{\text{BET}} ) (m(^2)·g(^{-1}))</td>
<td>2.39 ± 0.12 d</td>
<td>7.00 ± 0.25 c</td>
<td>37.24 ± 0.80 b</td>
<td>108.59 ± 4.11 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( S_{\text{mic}} ) (m(^2)·g(^{-1}))</td>
<td>0.10 ± 0.01 d</td>
<td>1.47 ± 0.01 c</td>
<td>9.33 ± 0.73 b</td>
<td>84.44 ± 6.76 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( V_T ) (10(^{-3})·cm(^3)·g(^{-1}))</td>
<td>2.56 ± 0.25 d</td>
<td>6.52 ± 0.64 c</td>
<td>12.41 ± 0.32 b</td>
<td>58.54 ± 3.44 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( V_{\text{mic}} ) (10(^{-3})·cm(^3)·g(^{-1}))</td>
<td>0.13 ± 0.01 d</td>
<td>0.52 ± 0.03 c</td>
<td>1.58 ± 0.10 b</td>
<td>37.87 ± 0.91 a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Values in a row followed by the same letter are not significantly different at \( p < 0.05 \) according to LSD test. All data were reported as means ± standard deviation (n = 3). \( S_{\text{BET}}, S_{\text{mic}}, V_T \) and \( V_{\text{mic}} \) were the BET surface area, micropore surface area, total pore volume and micropore volume, respectively.
The presence of vesicles at BC300 also indicated that volatile components were formed and released (Figure 3a). When the pyrolysis temperature increased from 300 to 400 °C, the rupture of the hemicellulose along with other organic compounds generated more micropores within biochar (Figure 3b) [48], thus, an increase in the surface area and pore volumes was found in BC400. Meanwhile, some amorphous carbon structures were formed during this temperature range due to the degradation of cellulose. Several researchers suggested that the micropores may be formed by amorphous carbon structures [49]. The increase in the biochar porosity was found in BC500 due to the decomposition of lignin and the quick release of \( \text{H}_2 \) and \( \text{CH}_4 \) as the temperature increased up to 500 °C (Figure 3c). This result contributed to a sharp increase of the surface area and pore volumes (Table 2) [18]. Further increases in the temperature to 600 °C resulting in a dramatic increase in \( S_{\text{BET}} \) and \( V_T \), especially for the \( S_{\text{mic}} \) and \( V_{\text{mic}} \). This was mostly contributed to the further degradation of lignin and the reaction of aromatic condensation [30], which increased the release of VM (Table 1) and created more pores (Figure 3d) [18]. The enhancement of the pore development at a higher temperature suggesting that the increase of \( S_{\text{BET}} \) and \( V_T \) mostly dependent on the increase of the \( S_{\text{mic}} \) and \( V_{\text{mic}} \).

3.2.3. Fourier-Transform Infrared Analysis and Functional Groups

The FTIR spectra of biochars produced at four pyrolysis temperatures are presented in Figure 4 and the descriptions for peak assignments are provided in Table 3. As the pyrolysis temperature increased, FTIR spectra of biochars revealed a decrease in the stretching of O–H (3200–3500 cm\(^{-1}\)) and C–H (2935 cm\(^{-1}\)) [29], this was attributed to the acceleration of dehydration reaction in biomass [30], which suggested a decrease in the polar functional groups with an increase in pyrolysis temperature [50]. In particular, biochars began to increase aromatic C=C stretching (1440 cm\(^{-1}\)) [29] and out-of-plane deformation by aromatic C–H groups (885 cm\(^{-1}\)) [51], while the symmetric C–O stretching (1030–1110 cm\(^{-1}\)) for the source material began to disappear with the increasing pyrolysis temperature [51].

![Figure 4. Fourier-transform infrared (FTIR) spectra peaks of biochars produced at: (a) 300 °C; (b) 400 °C; (c) 500 °C; and (d) 600 °C, respectively.](image-url)
Table 3. Functional groups observed in the Fourier-transform infrared (FTIR) spectra of biochars produced at different temperatures.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wave Numbers (cm$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Characteristic Vibrations (Functionality)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3500–3200</td>
<td>O–H stretching (water, hydrogen-bonded hydroxyl) [13]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2935</td>
<td>C–H stretching (aliphatic CHx; 2935-asymmetric) [13]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1600</td>
<td>Aromatic C=C and C=O stretching of conjugated ketones and quinones [52]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1440</td>
<td>C=C stretching (lignin carbohydrate) [29]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1325</td>
<td>O–H bending (phenols, phenolic; ligneous syringyl) [29]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1100–1030</td>
<td>Symmetric C–O stretching (cellulose, hemicellulose, and lignin) [51]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>885</td>
<td>C–H bending (aromatic C–H out-of-plane deformation) [51]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>781</td>
<td>Pyridine (pyridine ring vibration and C–H deformation) [53]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This result is likely due to the degradation and depolymerization of cellulose, hemicelluloses and lignin [29]. Instead, intensities of O–H (1325 cm$^{-1}$) stretching [29] and aromatic C=C and C=O stretching of conjugated ketones and quinones (1600 cm$^{-1}$) [52] decreased with temperature which may suggest that phenolic and carboxylic compounds in lignin had been degraded [54]. There was also pyridine in biochars (781 cm$^{-1}$) [53], which was one of the heterocyclic nitrogen compounds commonly observed during pyrolysis [55]. In general, the maximum loss was obtained in –OH, –CH$_2$, and C–O functional groups in biochars as a function of pyrolysis temperature, which was also apparent from their elemental composition (Table 1). Relatively low values of O, H, and H/C in BC600 than those in BC300 revealed the significant elimination of polar functional groups (–OH and C–O).

Different spectra reflected changes in the surface functional groups of biochars produced at different temperatures. A Boehm titration method was used to quantify the surface functional groups of biochars produced at different temperatures. The results showed that when the pyrolysis temperature increased from 300 to 600 $^\circ$C the concentration of total acidic functional groups, surface carboxylic functional groups, surface phenolic functional groups and surface lactonic functional groups decreased from 1.16 to 0.44 mmol·g$^{-1}$, 0.49 to 0.23 mmol·g$^{-1}$, 0.33 to 0.09 mmol·g$^{-1}$ and 0.34 to 0.13 mmol·g$^{-1}$, respectively (Figure 5). This result was in agreement with the findings in previous studies [56,57]. During the pyrolysis process, organic materials were converted to water and carbonaceous gas, thus, the higher temperature caused an increased in the quantity of volatile compounds resulting in a decrease in the content of acidic groups [18], which was well supported by our FTIR results. It was interesting that the total acidic and carboxylic functional groups significant decreased with increasing temperatures ($p < 0.05$), whereas the temperature trend for phenolic and lactonic functional groups content were less apparent (Figure 5). This was probably due to the fact carboxylic acids represented 48.63% of total acidic functional groups, on average, and were 1.5–2.5 times more abundant than phenolic or lactonic functional groups. This result is similar to the one reported in the literature [15]. While, the total basic functional groups significant increased from 0.11 to 0.32 mmol·g$^{-1}$ when the pyrolysis temperature increased from 300 to 500 $^\circ$C and then decreased to 0.22 mmol·g$^{-1}$ at 600 $^\circ$C. The strong direct linear correlation between ash fraction and basic groups ($R^2 = 0.66$) suggest that the basic functional groups are mainly associated with the ash fraction [15], which also implied the highest content of basic groups in BC500 (Figure 5 and Table 1).
were produced [59]. For each of the tested biochar, only one peak was found during the temperature weight decrease took place between 313 °C (under 200 °C), probably because they were not fully carbonized [21]. Above 600–700 °C, decomposition for all the biochars finished, and the curves became stable. It was interesting that the total weight losses resulting from thermal degradation were 52.19%, 33.32%, 23.80% and 27.27% for BC300, BC400, BC500 and BC600, respectively. This was probably because BC500 had highest ash content.

3.3. Effect of Temperature on the Thermal Stability of Biochars

Representative thermogravimetric (TG) analysis and differential thermogravimetry (DTG) curves for both biochars are presented in Figure 6. During the process, two stages of weight losses were observed (Figure 6a), which was also implied by the DTG curves (Figure 6b). The mass loss occurred slowly under 200 °C and varied from 0.77% to 2.86% (Figure 6a; maximum at around 80 °C, Figure 6b) (under 200 °C), suggesting that this reduction in mass loss commonly associated with the loss of the initial moisture of the sample [58]. Above that, the main mass losses started at around 315 °C, 388 °C, 499 °C and 517 °C for BC300, BC400, BC500 and BC600, respectively. Meanwhile, a sharp weight decrease took place between 313 °C and 500 °C, 388 °C and 644 °C, 499 °C and 738 °C, 517 °C and 753 °C (Figure 6a) in the BC300, BC400, BC500 and BC600, respectively. This was probably due to the fact the generated biochars had undergone a previous heat treatment before the thermal analysis, thus, the tested biochar samples were thermally stable below the temperature which they were produced [59]. For each of the tested biochar, only one peak was found during the temperature range between the temperature they were produced and 1000 °C on the DTG curves (Figure 6b). It is known that secondary pyrolysis reactions could be easily detected and observed if the temperature exceeding the biochars’ primary decomposition temperature [58]. Thus, the weight loss over a wider temperature range could be attributed to the degradation and decomposition of organic materials [21]. The maximum weight loss occurred at around 352 °C, 388 °C, 658 °C and 674 °C for BC300, BC400, BC500 and BC600 (Figure 6b), respectively, suggesting that the higher the pyrolysis temperature was, the better thermal stability the biochar showed, which is consistent with the literature [60]. The lower temperature derived biochars were less thermally stable than the higher temperature derived biochars, probably because they were not fully carbonized [21]. Above 600–700 °C, decomposition for all the biochars finished, and the curves became stable. It was interesting that the total weight losses resulting from thermal degradation were 52.19%, 33.32%, 23.80% and 27.27% for BC300, BC400, BC500 and BC600, respectively. This was probably because BC500 had highest ash content.

Figure 5. Variation in surface functional groups as a function of pyrolysis temperature. Individual points are averages (n = 3) and error bars are standard deviations.
The structural and physicochemical properties of biochar derived from ATBs change with increasing pyrolysis temperature, whereas total C, fixed C, BET surface area, pore volumes and inorganic minerals (except for Cu and Mn) concentrations increased with the increase in pyrolysis temperature. The results show that yield, VM, CEC and H and O were decreased with pyrolysis temperature.

Biochar is a carbon-rich product and its addition to soil has been proposed as an effective method for C sequestration [61]. However, the ultimate C sequestration efficiency of biochar mainly depend on its stability, which is mainly effect by the production conditions including pyrolysis temperature [37]. Lehmann et al. suggested that a biochar can be used as an effective C sequestration agents in case its O:C and H:C ratios less than 0.4 and 0.6, respectively [62]. Based on the results, ATB biochars produced at higher temperatures (>400 °C) with H/C of 0.46–0.41 and O/C of 0.10–0.06, may exhibit a high C sequestration potential. Thus, biochars produced at higher temperatures (>400 °C) could be more resistant to mineralization than those pyrolyzed at lower temperature (≤400 °C), thus representing an efficient technique for mitigating greenhouse gas emissions into the environment. In addition, biochars produced at higher temperatures may prove beneficial for use as fertilizer due to their concentrations of minerals like K and Na. However, our results also show that higher pyrolysis temperatures also have the potential of accumulating heavy metals, which can cause soil pollution. Biochars from pyrolysis processes are usually alkaline in nature, especially for the biochars produced at higher pyrolysis temperatures. Therefore, the application of these higher temperature biochars can be useful to increase the pH of acidic soils, which are in risk of aluminum toxicity [63]. In contrast, the application of these higher temperature biochars to arid soils may be critical of concern due to their high salinity and alkalinity. While, compared with the higher temperature biochars, ATB biochars produced at the lower pyrolysis temperature (≤400 °C) have more organic functional groups on their surface, high cation exchange capacity, lower pH values as well as less aromatic content. Thus, the ATB biochars produced at the lower pyrolysis temperature (≤400 °C) may be used to enhance the soil nutrient exchange sites as well as soil cation exchange capacity when they are applied to arid soils.

3.4. Implications for Environmental and Agronomic Management

Biochar is a carbon-rich product and its addition to soil has been proposed as an effective method for C sequestration [61]. However, the ultimate C sequestration efficiency of biochar mainly depend on its stability, which is mainly effect by the production conditions including pyrolysis temperature [37]. Lehmann et al. suggested that a biochar can be used as an effective C sequestration agents in case its O:C and H:C ratios less than 0.4 and 0.6, respectively [62]. Based on the results, ATB biochars produced at higher temperatures (>400 °C) with H/C of 0.46–0.41 and O/C of 0.10–0.06, may exhibit a high C sequestration potential. Thus, biochars produced at higher temperatures (>400 °C) could be more resistant to mineralization than those pyrolyzed at lower temperature (≤400 °C), thus representing an efficient technique for mitigating greenhouse gas emissions into the environment. In addition, biochars produced at higher temperatures may prove beneficial for use as fertilizer due to their concentrations of minerals like K and Na. However, our results also show that higher pyrolysis temperatures also have the potential of accumulating heavy metals, which can cause soil pollution. Biochars from pyrolysis processes are usually alkaline in nature, especially for the biochars produced at higher pyrolysis temperatures. Therefore, the application of these higher temperature biochars can be useful to increase the pH of acidic soils, which are in risk of aluminum toxicity [63]. In contrast, the application of these higher temperature biochars to arid soils may be critical of concern due to their high salinity and alkalinity. While, compared with the higher temperature biochars, ATB biochars produced at the lower pyrolysis temperature (≤400 °C) have more organic functional groups on their surface, high cation exchange capacity, lower pH values as well as less aromatic content. Thus, the ATB biochars produced at the lower pyrolysis temperature (≤400 °C) may be used to enhance the soil nutrient exchange sites as well as soil cation exchange capacity when they are applied to arid soils.

4. Conclusions

The structural and physicochemical properties of biochar derived from ATBs change with pyrolysis temperature. The results show that yield, VM, CEC and H and O were decreased with
increasing pyrolysis temperature, whereas total C, fixed C, BET surface area, pore volumes and inorganic minerals (except for Cu and Mn) concentrations increased with the increase in pyrolysis temperature. The pH and ash content increased as temperature increased up to 500 °C and decreased at higher temperature. The increasing temperature also decreased the acidic functional groups, especially for the carboxylic functional groups. While, reverse trend was found for the basic functional groups of biochars. In general, higher temperatures (>400 °C) biochars possessing predominately aromatic carbon structures and highly thermal stability, which can be useful to help mitigate climate change, while, lower temperature (≤ 400 °C) biochars having more functional groups as well as relatively low pH values may be more suitable for improving the fertility of high pH soils in arid regions. Consequently different ATB biochars can be produced by changing the pyrolysis temperature in order to better meet specific application needs.
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Abbreviations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Carbon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>Nitrogen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>Hydrogen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O</td>
<td>Oxygen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEC</td>
<td>Cation exchange capacity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATB</td>
<td>Apple tree branch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VM</td>
<td>Volatile matter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEM</td>
<td>Scanning electron microscopy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BET</td>
<td>Brunauer-Emmett-Teller</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S_{BET}</td>
<td>BET surface area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S_{mic}</td>
<td>Micropore surface area;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V_T</td>
<td>Total pore volume</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V_{mic}</td>
<td>Micropore volume</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTIR</td>
<td>Fourier-transform infrared</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TG</td>
<td>Thermogravimetric</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DTG</td>
<td>Differential thermogravime</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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